Degradation of Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) Material Exposed to Tropical Atmospheric Condition

Mousay Mohammed^{1,a}, CHAI Yew Ying^{1,b}, DOH Shu Ing^{1,c} and LIM Kar Sing^{1,d*}

¹Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Lebuhraya Tun Razak, 26300 Gambang, Kuantan, Pahang, MALAYSIA

^amousa.mohamed.m91@gmail.com, ^byychai.official@gmail.com, ^cdohsi@ump.edu.my, ^dlimks@ump.edu.my

Keywords: GFRP, degradation, atmospheric, tensile strength, tropical condition

Abstract. Fibre reinforced polymers (FRPs) have emerged as popular materials for structural application in recent decades due to numerous of advantages. Despite the growing body of research on the use of glass fibre reinforced polymers (GFRP) composites in repairing and retrofitting the important structures such as oil and gas pipelines, the lack of comprehensive data on the long-term degradation mechanism for these materials is still impeding their widespread use in open-air structures repairs particularly in tropical climate locations such as Malaysia. Therefore, this paper presents an experimental investigation to determine the influence of tropical atmospheric condition on tensile properties of the GFRP. In this study, a set of GFRP samples were fabricated using epoxy resin as polymer matrix and woven E-glass fibres as reinforcing materials. These samples were exposed to tropical atmospheric condition in Malaysia for a period of four months. Tensile test was carried out for each sample before and after four-months period of exposure. The experimental tensile test results recorded a 15% reduction in tensile strength after 4 months of exposure as compared to its original strength. Further, the dominant failure mode of the exposed sample was characterized with longitudinal splitting of the fibres without completely breaking out. Overall, the tropical atmospheric condition has a noticeable impact on the GFRPs tensile strength degradations over the exposure duration.

Introduction

Fibre reinforced polymeric (FRP) materials have undergone a rapid growth replacing the use of the conventional metal materials in high-performance engineering applications mainly in aerospace, marine and automotive industries. The structure of FRP materials are typically comprised of a polymer matrix (e.g., epoxy, vinyl ester, or polyester) reinforced by fibres (i.e.: carbon, glass, aramid) to create a combination of the desired mechanical properties. The increasing in the applications of FRPs in the different industries is because of some noticeable advantages such as a high strength with low density, corrosion resistance, resistance to impact and friction, thermal stability, sound insulation, ease of handling and outstanding optical and electrical properties [1–5] Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymers (GFRPs) have been recently implemented in some engineering applications that involve repairing, rehabilitations or replacing the deteriorated existing structures. In marine and underground structures such as oil and gas pipelines, GFRP composites are an effective technique to retrofit any possible damages that might occur in those structures [6–9]

Atmospheric environment is defined as the air envelope around the Earth including its interfaces and interactions with the solid or liquid surface of the Earth. In other word, anything either living or non-living things on Earth in any situation that cover in air conclude as relate with atmospheric environment. Weather, moisture content, temperature, soil properties, salinity, humidity, wind direction and some parameters taken into consideration when discussing environmental factors in term of atmospheric environment. The application of GPRP composites in outdoor service (such as atmospheric environment) might be exposed to climate quantities such as solar radiation, heat, humidity, and rain. These climatic quantities would be more severe in tropical locations such Malaysia. In fact, these materials are more vulnerable to moisture and heat impact when function in such fluctuating conditions. The moisture in the humid climate is one of the main causes of the FRPs degradation as it may penetrate into the matrix resulting in swelling, cracking, plasticization and fiber/matrix interfacial debonding [10–14] Further, the moisture absorption by the fibrous composites increases with higher temperatures the characterizes their interfacial degradation rate [15]. Moreover, ultraviolet (UV) radiation is seen as a common degradation factor in the outdoor environment that mainly impacts the GFRP laminates with thinner thickness [13]. The UV radiation absorbed by the polymer causing a photo-oxidation reaction which mostly affect surface of the composites [16]. Therefore, the GFRP composites in open-air applications might be exposed to multiple weathering factors leading to synergistic degradation processes.

Previous studies [10,13,17,18] have relate the reduction in the mechanical properties of the GFRP composites (e.g., tensile strength, Young's Modulus, strain at failure) to the types of the environmental exposures. Nevertheless, this established correlation was based on artificial laboratory testing environments with accelerated aging exposure. Hence, this might not truly replicate the real situation of material degradation in the open-air. On the other hand, limited studies have considered the effects of the actual field conditions on degradation mechanism of GFRP composites such as seasonal conditions in Switzerland [19], urban environment in Portugal [20], hot weather in Saudi Arabia [21] and semi-arid climate condition in Kelowna, Canada [22]. Keller et al. [19] concluded that the eight years of winter exposure of a temporary GFRP truss bridge in Switzerland had caused tensile strength reduction up to 18 % whereas the Young's Modulus losses were negligible. Furthermore, the GFRP with unsaturated polyester or vinylester profile were exposed to natural environment condition in the urban region of Lisbon city centre in Portugal for 42 months had caused a degradation of 22 % in flexural strength, and of 33% and 37% in tensile and flexural moduli, respectively [20]. In addition, degradation of the tensile properties for GFRPs bars in two field conditions of the Saudi Arabia was 2% which is small reduction in hot weather that indicates that the hot weather in the middle east region has less effect on GFRP composites [21]. Lastly, a semi-arid climate with dry, sunny summers, cold, cloudy winters and all four seasons in Kelowna, Canada was a field environment condition to investigate the effect of 9 months exposure on the GFRPs degradation and it was found that the effect level on mechanical and physical properties of GFRPs due to fiber orientation, cure condition, and gel coating can be altered over time under natural weathering conditions [22]. Therefore, the abovementioned studies confirmed that the different field environmental conditions in different geographical locations around the world can lead to completely different degradations of the FRPs mechanical properties.

The susceptibility of GFRP durability attributed to individual or synergistic impact of humidity, ultraviolet, heat and rain in tropical climatic locations is a significant issue that should be examined. Thus, this study provides understanding on the natural long-term degradation of glass fibre-reinforced polymer under tropical atmospheric condition in Malaysia. This study focused on the evaluation of tensile strength as a measure to assess the degradation.

Methodology

Samples preparation

In this study, the FRP samples were prepared using conventional wet-layup method as stated per supplier's guidelines. Further, the proportion of epoxy and hardener in matrix was based on the recommended mixing ratio by the supplier. The materials used in preparing of the GFRP samples were E-glass fibres that contains more than 60 % of silica, liquid epoxy resin and liquid hardener as curing agent. Moreover, due to the commercial confidentiality, the mechanical properties of "stand alone" material are not provided. Basically, the composite laminate of 300mm x 250mm x 3mm was prepared from four layers of 0°/90° bi-directional woven E-glass fibre mats, epoxy resin and hardener (curing agent) using hand layup fabrication technique. Each layer of woven E-glass fibre mat was cut precisely into the above-mentioned dimensions as shown in Fig. 1(a). During the hand layup preparation process, the first layer of E-glass fibre mat was placed by hand on baking paper and then a mixture of 24.15g of epoxy resin and 10.85g of hardener was poured thoroughly on the placed reinforcing fibre layer (see Fig. 1(b)). After that, a roller was used to consolidate the layer ensuring an entirely wetting of fibre mats and removing the entrapped air and voids in the matrix as shown in Fig.

1(c). Then the same process was applied for the subsequent three layers forming the final composite product. Lastly, the 300mm x 250mm composite laminate was left for a while to be partially cured and then cut into twelve equal pieces with 25mm width and 250mm length as seen in Fig. 1(d). The cut edges of each sample were sealed with epoxy resin to stop instantaneous ingress of moisture into the composites when they are exposed to the open-air environment.



Fig. 1. Preparation process of GFRP samples using hand layup method.

Exposure condition

In this stage, a total number of 11 samples were prepared for glass fibre-reinforced polymers (GFRPs). Six (6) out of the eleven (11) samples were used as reference (control sample) without any exposure. On the other hand, the remaining five (5) samples were exposed to tropical atmospheric condition in Malaysia for a period of four (4) months to evaluate the impact of the climatic quantises (e.g., heat, solar radiation, humidity and rain) on the samples' durability. Fig. 2 shows the GFRPs sample during the exposure.



Fig. 2. GFRP samples exposure.

Tensile test

Eleven GFRP specimens were tested under a direct tension load using universal testing machine (UTM) with 50 KN capacity. Five samples were exposed to tropical atmospheric condition as described in previous section and the other six samples were used as reference without any exposure. The direct tension test was performed by employing a displacement control method with displacement rate of 2mm/min based on ASTM D3039 [23]. A strain gauge was attached at the middle part of each sample to measure the strain value. The applied displacement, the load response and the strain response were all recorded during the test time. The experimental tensile test set up are shown in Fig. 3. The overall details of specimens including the exposure environment, exposure duration, number of samples, geometry details, test employed and loading protocol and rate are summarized in Table 1.



Fig. 3. Experimental tensile test set up.

Table 1. Summary of samples details.

Samples Type	Control Samples	Exposed Samples	
No. of Samples	6	5	
Dimensions (L x W x t)	251.3x25x3.43 mm	251.3x25x3.43 mm - 252x25.3x3.43 mm 4 months	
Exposure duration	-		
Test used	Uniaxial tensile test ASTM D3039 [23]		
Standard			
Loading protocol	Displacement control		
Loading rate	2mm/min		

Results and Discussion

Mode of failures

The dominant mode of failure in the control samples occurred near the top emery end tab with brush-like failure characterized by the long splitting of the fibres as shown in Fig. 4(a). This failure mode typically takes place in unaged or damaged material [24]. The transverse failure with clean cut of both matrix and woven glass fibre mats was also noticed in two samples (see Fig. 4(b)).





In the atmospheric exposure samples, the tensile failure for four samples were occurred within the gauge length as multiple interlaminar cracks took place in the same direction of the longitudinal fibres causing splitting failure without completely fracture of these fibres as seen in Fig. 5(a). This failure might be caused due to the possibility of the water penetration during the exposure period that weakens the fibre/matrix interface bond and with the less resistance of the transvers fibres that can eventually result in an inadequacy in the integral load transfer capacity. On the other hand, transverse failure mode with clean cut of the fibres was observed in one sample as shown in Fig. 5(b).



a) Longitudinal splitting of fibers with partial breaking out



b) Transverse fracture of the laminate



Surface colour changes

The surface colour of the samples in this study changed from yellow and shiny to a noticeably brown after the 4 months exposure as shown in Fig. 5. The same appearance changes was also noticed in some studies exposed field conditions [20,22]. Sousa et al. [20] observed that significant changes occurred in the gloss and colour of the exposed samples. Similarly, Heinrick et al [22] noticed that after 9 months of exposure, the samples endured some physical changes involving discoloration, cloudy appearance within the resin matrix. Both studies have linked the surface colour changes to the impact of UV radiation. In further explanation, the photodegradation of polymer matrix is initiated by interaction between the UV photons and polymer molecule chains resulting in surface discoloration, surface oxidization and bond disassociation [25,26]. Furthermore, UV impact is more intensified when compounded by other climatic quantities such as temperature and moisture [27]. Therefore, the longer exposure to these climatic quantities, the more colure and lustre of GFRP surfaces will be changed.

Tensile properties results

The results of tensile properties for control and atmospheric exposure samples are summarized in Table 2 including ultimate strength, modulus of elasticity and strain at failure for each sample in controlled and exposed condition. Further, the average magnitude for the above-mentioned properties was determined along with calculated standard deviation and coefficient of variation for each as given in summary portion in Table 2. The standard deviation is preceded by a plus-minus symbol (\pm) indicating the data variability around the average value of the entire samples. The tensile strength for control or exposure samples was taken as the average value of their respective ultimate tensile strengths. Further, the Young's modulus was calculated as slope of stress-strain curve on the incremental basis.

Sample Type/Label		Ultimate Tensile	Young's Modulus,	Strain at Failure	
		Strength (Map)	E (GPa)	(mm/mm)	
mples	CS1	307.39	23.37	0.00920	
	CS2	304.76	18.60	0.01191	
	CS3	297.80	20.84	0.00999	
	CS4	328.63	20.63	0.01183	
	CS5	271.50	19.88	0.00892	
ol Sa	CS6	335.51	20.12	0.01114	
ontro	Average	307.60	20.57	0.01050	
Ŭ	Standard Deviation	22.03	1.58	0.00131	
		22.95	1.56	0.00131	
	Coefficient of Variance	/.45	/.68	12.48	
samples	ES1	253.96	19.88	0.00868	
	ES2	249.14	24.39	0.00767	
	ES3	300.86	23.69	0.00944	
	ES4	245.03	19.40	0.00899	
sed	ES5	262.37	19.53	0.01029	
xbc					
Ë	Average	262.27	21.38	0.00901	
	Standard Deviation	22.51	2.45	0.00097	
	Coefficient of Variance	8.58	11.46	10.77	
nmary	Control Samples	307.60±22.93	20.57±1.58	0.01050 ± 0.00131	
	Exposed Samples	262.3 ± 22.51	21.38 ± 2.45	0.00901 ± 0.00131	
Sui	Reduction (%)	15%	- 4%	14%	

Table 2. Tensile properties of control and exposure GFRP material

The stress-strain curve for the control and four months atmospheric exposure samples are shown in Fig. 6. Basically, both stress-strain curves exhibited almost linear behaviour until the failure which indicates the GFRP composites are brittle in their behaviour. Furthermore, the impact of the atmospheric exposure on the tensile strength behaviour of the GFRP samples in four-month period can be seen clearly in their stress-strain curve which slightly displays a lower tensile stress than control samples until the failure.

Based on Table 3, the ultimate tensile strength of GFRPs samples in control condition was recorded with value of 307.6 MPa. However, with four months exposure in the atmospheric tropical condition, the tensile strength has been reduced by 15% reaching to 262 MPa. This reduction in strength can be related to the changes occurred in the fibre/matrix interface because of the synergistic damage by the moisture penetration and the temperature fluctuations. On the other hand, the modulus of elasticity for four-month exposure samples were recorded with the value of 21.38 GPa which is 4% higher than the one recorded for control samples. The reason according to Mourad et al.[28] is related to the

270

possible swelling in the samples during the exposure that leads to increase in the modulus and lower in strain at failure. Furthermore, the strain at failure for atmospheric exposed samples was recorded with value of 0.00901which is 14 % lower than samples tested in control condition.



Fig. 6. Stress-strain curves for control and 4 months atmospheric exposure samples

To better understand the effect of the actual field environmental conditions on the tensile properties of GFRP, the present study was compared with some of the reported studies in the literature on the basis of the FRP type, in-situ environment, maximum duration of exposure, tensile strength reduction and modulus of elasticity reduction as shown in Table 3. The maximum reduction of the tensile strength of GFRP composite was 18% under effect of seasonal condition (winter) in Switzerland however, this reduction occurred after 8.5 years of exposure. Furthermore, the tensile strength reduction of GFRP composite after 3.5 years of exposure to urban environment in Lisbon, Portugal was 14%. Therefore, in the present study, 15% reduction of the GFRP tensile strength within only 4 months of exposure indicates how the significant impact of tropical atmospheric condition in Malaysia on the GFRP degradation. On the other hand, significant loss of modulus of elasticity was only noticed for the GFRPs exposed to the natural aging urban environment in Lisbon, Portugal with 33% of Young's Modulus reduction for E-glass/ polyester GFRP pultruded profile and 24% reduction for E-glass /vinylester GFRP pultruded profile. In contrary, no significant loss of modulus of elasticity was noticed for GFRP composite in seasonal condition in Switzerland (winter) and in hot weather in Saudi Arabia. Overall and based on the comparison being made that the different field environments on different locations around the globe can induce dissimilar degradation of the FRP tensile properties.

Reference	FRP type	In-situ environment	Maximum duration	Tensile strength reduction (%)	Young's Modulus reduction (%)
Present study	E-glass /epoxy GFRP coupons	Tropical atmospheric condition in Malaysia	4 months	15%	-4%
[19]	GFRP isophthalic polyester pultruded coupons (taken from truss pedestrian bridge)	Seasonal conditions in Switzerland (winter)	8.5 years	18 %	No significant loss
[20]	E-glass/ polyester GFRP pultruded profile	Urban environment in Lisbon, Portugal	42 months (3.5 years)	14%	33%
	E-glass /vinylester GFRP pultruded profile			3%	24%
[21]	E-glass/vinylester GFRP bars	Hot weather in Saudi Arabia	18 months	1-2%	No significant loss

Table 3. Comparison between the tensile test result of the present study and similar research

Conclusion

In this study, glass fibre-reinforced polymers (GFRPs) were exposed to a tropical atmospheric condition in Malaysia for a period of four months to understand their degradation mechanism in the longevity term. By exposure to climatic quantities in tropical locations (e.g., ultraviolet radiation, moisture, temperature fluctuations, humidity, and rain), the tensile properties of GFRPs are vulnerable to degradation over time. Based on the tensile test findings for the GFRPs under four months of exposure in tropical atmospheric conditions, the following conclusions are drawn:

- Fibre splitting and fibre/matrix fracture with clean cut were the dominant failure modes after 4 months of exposures.
- The GFRPs surface colure changed from yellow and shiny to a noticeably brown over the exposure period.
- Tensile test results of GFRPs recorded a 15% reduction in tensile strength after 4 months of exposure as compared to its original strength.
- The modulus of elasticity for four-month exposed samples was 4% higher than control samples.
- Degradation of GFRP composites varied according to environment type being exposed and the location of that environment.

Therefore, obtained findings in this study can help the engineers in the oil and gas industry to consider the degradation effect of the tropical atmospheric condition on the FRP composites application in repairing and retrofitting the deteriorated pipelines.

Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledges the financial and technical support provided by Universiti Malaysia Pahang (Grant No.: RDU192326) and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia through Collaborative Research Grant (CRG).

References

- [1] Zhu HG, Leung CK, Kim JK, Liu MY. Tensile properties degradation of GFRP composites containing nanoclay in three different environments. J Compos Mater 2012;46:2179–92. doi:10.1177/0021998311430424.
- [2] Rohem NRF, Pacheco LJ, Budhe S, Banea MD, Sampaio EM, de Barros S. Development and qualification of a new polymeric matrix laminated composite for pipe repair. Compos Struct 2016;152:737–45. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.05.091.
- [3] Sing LK, Azraai SNA, Yahaya N, Zardasti L, Md Noor N. Strength development of epoxy grouts for pipeline rehabilitation. J Teknol 2017;79:9–14. doi:10.11113/jt.v79.9339.
- [4] Rajak DK, Pagar DD, Menezes PL, Linul E. Fiber-reinforced polymer composites: Manufacturing, properties, and applications. Polymers (Basel) 2019;11. doi:10.3390/polym11101667.
- [5] Azraai SN, Lim KS, Yahaya N, Zardasti L, Husain MK, Noor NM. Effect of Silica Sand Filler on Mechanical Properties of Epoxy Grout for Composite Repair of Steel Pipelines. Mater Perform Charact 2020;9:1–8. doi:10.1520/MPC20190111.
- [6] Da Costa Mattos HS, Reis JML, Paim LM, Da Silva ML, Amorim FC, Perrut VA. Analysis of a glass fibre reinforced polyurethane composite repair system for corroded pipelines at elevated temperatures. Compos Struct 2014;114:117–23. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.04.015.
- [7] Zainal N, Arifin HH, Zardasti L, Yahaya N, Sing LK, Noor NM. Mechanical properties of graphene-modified epoxy grout for pipeline composite repair. Int J Integr Eng 2018;10:176-84. doi:10.30880/ijie.2018.10.04.028.

- [8] Bere P, Dudescu M, Neamtu C, Nemes O, Moldovan C, Simion M. Fabrication and Mechanical Characterization of Short Fiber-Glass Epoxy Composites. Mater Perform Charact 2019; 8:163–74. doi:10.1520/MPC20180171.
- [9] Lim kar S, Zardasti L, Noor NM, Yahaya N. Mechanical properties of graphene nanoplatelets-reinforced epoxy grout in repairing damaged pipelines. Mater Sci Forum 2019; 962 MSF: 242–8. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.962.242.
- [10] Wang J, GangaRao H, Liang R, Zhou D, Liu W, Fang Y. Durability of glass fiber-reinforced polymer composites under the combined effects of moisture and sustained loads. J Reinf Plast Compos 2015; 34:1739–54. doi:10.1177/0731684415596846.
- [11] Frigione M, Lettieri M. Durability issues and challenges for material advancements in FRP employed in the construction industry. Polymers (Basel) 2018;10. doi:10.3390/polym10030247.
- [12] Arifina HH, Nurfarahin Z, Zardastia L, Yahayaa N, Lim Kar S, Noora NM. Jurnal Teknologi MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF NANOTUBES-MODIFIED EPOXY GROUT FOR. J Teknol 2019;3:169–76.
- [13] Bazli M, Jafari A, Ashrafi H, Zhao XL, Bai Y, Singh Raman RK. Effects of UV radiation, moisture and elevated temperature on mechanical properties of GFRP pultruded profiles. Constr Build Mater 2020;231:117137. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117137.
- [14] Hota G, Barker W, Manalo A. Degradation mechanism of glass fiber/vinylester-based composite materials under accelerated and natural aging. Constr Build Mater 2020; 256: 119462. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.119462.
- [15] Ray BC. Temperature effect during humid ageing on interfaces of glass and carbon fibers reinforced epoxy composites. J Colloid Interface Sci 2006;298:111–7. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2005.12.023.
- [16] Bagherpour S. Fibre Reinforced Polyester Composites. Polyester, 2012, p. 135-66. doi:10.5772/2748.
- [17] Kajorncheappunngam S, Gupta RK, Gangarao HVS. Effect of aging environment on degradation of glass-reinforced epoxy. J Compos Constr 2002;6:61–9. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0268(2002)6:1(61).
- [18] Sampath Rao P, Manzoor Husain M, V. Ravi Shankar D. An Investigation on Strength Degradation of Gfrp Laminates Under Environmental Impact. Int J Compos Mater 2012;2:48–52. doi:10.5923/j.cmaterials.20120204.02.
- [19] Keller T, Bai Y, Valle T. Long-term performance of a glass fiber-reinforced polymer truss bridge. J Compos Constr 2007;11:99–108. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0268(2007)11:1(99).
- [20] Sousa JM, Correia JR, Cabral-Fonseca S. Durability of Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer Pultruded Profiles: Comparison Between QUV Accelerated Exposure and Natural Weathering in a Mediterranean Climate. Exp Tech 2013:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/ext.12055.
- [21] Almusallam TH, Al-Salloum YA, Alsayed SH, El-Gamal S, Aqel M. Tensile properties degradation of glass fiber-reinforced polymer bars embedded in concrete under severe laboratory and field environmental conditions. J Compos Mater 2013;47:393–407. doi:10.1177/0021998312440473.
- [22] Heinrick M, Crawford B MA. Degradation of Fibreglass Composites under Natural Weathering Conditions. MOJ Polym Sci 2017;1:18–24. doi:10.15406/mojps.2017.01.00004.
- [23] ASTM International. Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials D3039. vol. 15. West Conshohocken, USA: American Society for Testing and Materials; 2014.

274

- [24] Helbling C, Karbhari VM. Durability Assessment of Combined Environmental Exposure and Bending. ACI Spec Publ 2005; 230: 1397–418.
- [25] Chennareddy R, Tuwair H, Kandil UF, ElGawady M, Reda Taha MM. UV-resistant GFRP composite using carbon nanotubes. Constr Build Mater 2019; 220: 679–89. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.05.167.
- [26] Lu T, Solis-Ramos E, Yi Y, Kumosa M. UV degradation model for polymers and polymer matrix composites. Polym Degrad Stab 2018;154:203–10. doi:10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2018.06.004.
- [27] Liu TQ, Liu X, Feng P. A comprehensive review on mechanical properties of pultruded FRP composites subjected to long-term environmental effects. Compos Part B Eng 2020; 191: 107958. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2020.107958.
- [28] Mourad AHI, Beckry Mohamed AM, El-Maaddawy T. Effect of seawater and warm environment on glass/epoxy and glass/polyurethane composites. Appl Compos Mater 2010;17:557–73. doi:10.1007/s10443-010-9143-1.