

An Information System Design Product Theory for Student Academic Self-Service University Management System

Muhamad Idaham Umar Ong¹, Mohamed Ariff Ameen^{1,2}

¹Faculty of Computing, College of Computing and Applied Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, 26300 Pahang, Malaysia.

²Faculty IBM Centre of Excellence, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Cybercentre, Pahang Tech Park Gambang, 26300 Pahang, Malaysia.

ABSTRACT – The role of Information System Design Theory in software development is enormous. With the help of design theory, developers could estimate how the system will be and reasons why the system react that way. The focus of the article is to revised and re-establish the design theory of a self-service university management system. The objectives of this publication are to identify the most relevant publication that will act as a guideline to generate the design theory artefacts and to establish the artefacts which will consists of three components named kernel theory, meta-requirements and meta-design for the stated system. The system scope will be focused on enabling self-service functions. Validation will be done through FEDS framework with the inclusion of traceability matrix between the meta-requirement against the real-world user requirement. The significant of this article is to provide an initial set of design theory artefacts to be utilization software developer in development or evaluation of a University Management System.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Revised: xxxx

Accepted: xxxx

KEYWORDS

Software Engineering, Requirement Engineering, Information System Design Theory, University Management System

INTRODUCTION

In the tender process, it is common for development team to invest less time on User Requirement Specification (URS) validation. In the case of a newly proposed development project with the inclusion of URS in the competition phase for the tender, this problem arises. This is due to the time and staffing constraints faced by the team and being handed to the sales department, and for most open tenders, this is normal. And the URS itself is also presented in the use of natural language in a non-formal manner. Most common USR are being presented in the form of natural language such as use case descriptions, user stories, etc. [1]–[5].

Recent author's work [6]–[10] has shown that there are benefits in the introducing of meta-requirement into the world of software development. The use of Information System Design Theory (ISDT) in the evaluation of specifications is advocated in order to address this. The ISDT concept is an attempts to establishment the relationships of the proposed that is more or less similar to a previous success deployed project. Design theory must address the question of how to combine components and relationships to make subsystem and how to combine subsystems and relationships to make a system [11].

The first objective of this publication is to identify the most relevant publication that will be used a guide to the establishment of the revised ISDT component for the University Management System (UMS), in the context of enabling self-service functionality to the main impacted user role “Student”. The second objective of this publication is to establish the three revised components of ISDT for the UMS which will be focusing on the stated context. The component that will be establish includes the Kernel Theory(s) (KT), meta-requirement(s) (MR) and meta-design(s) (MD) of the ISDT design product. The scope that the ISDT will covers is the academic aspect of the student pre-university life until the end of their study and they are conferred.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly presents the related literatures that assist in the creation of meta-requirements. Section 3 explains the research methodology that was conducted and detailing the involved kernel theories. Section 4 and 5 details out the meta-requirements and meta-designs that was generated based of the defined kernel theories. Section 5 describing validation that will be done in future publication. And finally section 6 concludes the publication and future that need to be executed in this research.

RELATED LITERATURE

This section will describe the literatures that are related to this article. Depending on the context itself, Design Theory (DT) is being define differently by other researchers. The situation of analyzing perspective in pre-project for vendors who has minimum track record of developing the themed tender project will only be able to rely on predictive input.

Focusing more detail in the knowledge of MR for the use of developing and information system, we have established an understanding that the work of [11] in the topic of ISDT is the area of interest in our research. The next action, a

systematic literature review (SLR) was conducted. The relevant publication shows that authors' publication related to ISDT repeatedly show interest in establishing the MR, MD and KT of the ISDT. Less interest is being shown for Testable Design Product or Process Hypotheses.

A few potential publications [12]–[14] that is consistent with the scope of this research where; (1) ISDT artefacts are in the most meaningful and comprehensive with in mind of the author commitment towards the knowledge of ISDT and (2) traceability between MR and MD are being define by their respective author. Appendix 2 (which will be publish in a separate article) listed the details of the existing publication with publication [15]–[18] show great commitment by the main author towards the knowledge of ISDT. Their works has become the authors main inspiration and reference in the development of DT for the UMS (refer to Figure 1).




Figure 1. Component of Information System Design Theory [11].

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND KERNEL THEORIES

Focusing on the main role of student, their lifecycle started even before they started registering for the institute of their choice. A breakdown of the overall student lifecycle is being illustrate in Figure 2 and coverage of research is illustrated in Figure 3. In the Student Handbook, the relevant information which are align with the design theory of UMS are shown in Table 1.

This research uses a single qualitative case study to provide a whole, systematic understanding of the overall process student will be going through from promotion activities done by the university until they are graduated and consider themselves as proud alumni of the university.

Few rounds of engagement were done by the author to investigate the case organization. The case organization was one of public varsities in Malaysia with strong reputation and matured business process plus evolving it from time-to-time based on the need of future generations. It delivers a comprehensive feature in its management system with almost 100% integration between different departments. The investigation was being conducted on-site. During the investigation, and interviewing was being conducted with key user involving students, the faculty’s Deputy Dean of Academic and senior executive of the Academic Management Division to understanding the student’s lifecycle and needed feature in the defined scope of UMS.



Figure 2. Overall Lifecycle of Diploma/Degree Student




Figure 3. Coverage of ISDT for Sufficient Undergraduate Student Lifecycle

Open-ended discussions are being used with the intention of each session is to produce a feature chart that will be served as both source of KT and also as the MD. After session is completed, output of the discussion is summarized, and immediate verification and feedback is being provided by interviewees. Several feature charts are being produced on the Student, Academician, Faculty Office and University Office and all the charts are being verified again by the interviewees with addition of making sure that all feature charts are agreed by all parties.

Table 1. Brief description of Student Handbook [19]

Section Header	Description
Definition	Definition of key terms used in the Student Handbook
Academic calendar	The University academic year is divided into two common semesters: Semester I and Semester II. Each semester comprises 18 weeks (including lectures, examinations and preparations)
New student registration	All student candidates are required to register for the programme offered and pay the tuition fees on the date set by the University
Course registration	Students are required to register for every course that will be taken each semester within the duration set by the University
Credit scheme	Describing that every course has specific credit value and calculation of credit value is based on SLT or as stipulated by the University, definition of credit hours and matter pertaining towards maximum and minimum credit hour for the semester which is based on the students Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA)
Credit transfer and exemption	Detailing the guidelines of performing credit transfer and exemption for students with diplomas or certification-based programme
Grading scheme	Describing the grading system that is used by the university with detail explanation of different level of marks, common grades and point value. Also its implication towards the student academic study.
Assessment	Rules and regulation on different kind of assessment conducted in the university and disciplinary actions that will be taken based on student wrongdoing
Academic status	Definition of the academic standing of student that is based on their grade point average (GPA) and CGPA
Dean's List	Stating that student with GPA of more than 3.5 will be eligible to be awarded with Dean's List
Conferment of diploma and bachelor's degree	Detailing the rules that is applied to student in the process of conferment of diploma and bachelor's degree. And the different kind of conferment that is being given by the university
Study Deferment	Detailing the different situations that will enable student to apply for deferment, the guideline of deferring semester, maximum number of semesters of deferment and its implication to past and future semester
Re-registration	Describing the process of student's re-registration process
Change of programme	Stating that students are not permitted to apply for change of programme. Unless with the exemption from the university senate.
General Statute	Stating all the rule, regulation and guideline that are in the Student Handbook must be abide by all students

Based on the investigation, the instance of the system includes four main roles: Students, Academicians, Faculty Office and University Office, enabling the solution in managing the complete academic aspect of student's lifecycle. The students are the main stakeholders, by the offering of viewing on-demand academic achievement and overall performance. All input in term of student performance is being backed by academician with the basis of coursework and assessment that was done throughout the active semester period. Faculty Office will be responsible to control the basis definition of the university academic structure and administrative that involves each semester setup. Graduation of student is the responsibility of University Office through student's award.




Figure 4. Four UMS User Roles Inter-relationship

The four roles (refer to Figure 4) are inter-related and strongly need to be supporting each other on different degree of communication. Students and Academicians of colleges are interconnected from the promotional until pre-graduation stages of student’s lifecycle. Where else University Office are involves with student’s lifecycle majorly during registration and graduation stages. But all three must be able co-exist with each other ensure student’s lifecycle can be completed. Table 2 describes on high level the different functionalities of each key roles of the UMS.

The design product theory for Student Academic Self-service University Management System based on the defined scope has been generated with reference to the previous work of systematic literature review, documentation related to the organization and student named Academic handbook and the analysis of the features needed of the UMS of the case organization. Based on the three stated sources, the KT that will be used for the generation of other design theory artefacts will be the outcome of the SLR, feature chart based of the interview analysis and academic handbook of the organization, so its processes are likely to create a baseline for theory creation.

Table 2. Responsibilities of Key UMS Roles

Role	Responsibilities
Student	Responsible in completing their studies with reference to the academic study plan that was plotted by the university and respective schools. Eligibility of different channel of funding for student application. Completing pre-planned assessments and coursework that was prepared by their respective school’s subject coordinators of each registered subject. To self-aware of their performance and progress throughout their study life. Achieving passing result and also awards along the way in progressing with their study period. Graduate in the field of study. Attending all classes that they registered. Following the rules and regulation that was stated in the Student Handbook. Penalize based on academic performance and monetary matter.
Academician	Setting up teaching and assessment plan for the upcoming semester. Constant input and update of student’s coursework and assessments result. Preparation of multiple type of student’s academic performance report for office use. Allowing and banning of student from assessments and coursework based on reasons that are academic or disciplinary.
Faculty Office	Create a systematical mapping of Programme Educational Objectives (PEO), Programme Outcome (PO), Course Outcome (CO) and Learning Outcome (LO). Composing course catalog, course timetable and course instructor and coordinator. Preparation of Pre-BOE and BOE reports session at the end of each semester.
University Office	Creating and maintaining student profile throughout the semester. Ability to change student current status from “active” to “graduate”

The abstract and generic nature of the design product theory for Student Academic Self-service University Management System so that software vendors that fits the research problem space can use it to improve their project proposal and gain new insights on the system. It is also possible for the organization that will required to use a UMS in the future to set their earliest expected of the outcome of the development through the help of the design product theory.

META-REQUIREMENTS OF THE DESIGN PRODUCT THEORY FOR UNIVERSITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

This section presents the MRs for the design product theory for the class of UMS, which was based on the define KT. Based on the university student handbook, domain experts’ interviews and existing relevant publication in the area of ISDT, we are able to formulate a set of MR that describe the UMS in the focused context and will satisfy the defined KT. MR are defined to suit the need of the students, but at the same time enabling other users such as academician to provide

meaningful input towards the system. The following paragraphs detailed the MR for an UMS system. Further explanation and description of the defined MR are being made available as per previous publication.

MR1: UMS shall support the users by provide highly accessible and round the clock self-directed with authorization control and policies and data privacy

It is a necessary feature in modern system. Systems are needed to be able to serve their function towards its user throughout the day and throughout the night. An online should be able to cater for its user need by providing a connected and on-demand access to the system at all time with an acceptable amount of performance. This is also being considered as a function that is being taken for granted by most users.

MR2: UMS shall support the users in enabling user empowerment, self-knowledge, self-awareness and self-accountability through self-management of common responsibilities of a system user

As an organization that will be new to the system that will enable self-service towards its main stakeholders, it is necessary to shift their mind set that all the daily task and necessity will be at their fingertip. No longer to be relying totally on manual face to face routine of results, users are encouraged to be proactive and self-accountable towards the needed result at the end of the day.

MR3: UMS shall support creation and maintenance of student's academic-based artefacts with high dependency and inter-connectivity throughout the system that was defined and standardized by body of governing

All the related artefacts such as student's profile, student's results, student's marks etc. will need to be created and able to be maintain by the staff of the organization. This is essential in making sure that all the necessary requirements for student to graduate from their study are meet. The setup and creation of those artefacts are based of the list that is define by the body of governing.

MR4: UMS shall support the students through enabling and granting access to a set of toolkits in supporting their university life

In the life of a university student, a handful of facilities are being provided in assisting them in their journey to complete their study. Facilities such as library, bursary, faculties and schools, counselling etc. are established by the university. These facilities will also be serving their main purpose of making all inter-related activities within the university are being run smoothly and efficiently.

MR5: UMS shall support notification, alerts and feedback on critical events in term of rewards, penalties, datelines or achievement related to the user

Time is a critical matter for the students. With their busy lifestyle and keeping up with all assessment that are being given based of the courses that they have registered, the system should be able to alert them of any upcoming and unforeseen events that are happening around the student. Matters that could be related to disciplinary issues which has financial implications towards the student will become a hindrance towards the end of their study life. For example, for them to be able to graduate from the university, they must ensure that all financial matters have been settled.

MR6: UMS shall support interpretation of data for decision makers based of report of single or multitude number of student's performance for pre and post-mortem analysis

This MR is being utilized by all users. With the vast amount of data that the system will accumulate, multiple innovative reports can be produced. The target of this MR is to empower developer to be creative and innovative in developing the different kind of report that the system will produce.

MR7: UMS shall support high level of informational authenticity, transparency, traceability, auditability and integrity

All the data that is inside the system must be correct. Each data must be able to be trace back to its origin source even with the evolution that being force upon the university. Integrity and transparency of the data must also be at its highest quality. And also must be able to subdue towards different kind of audit whether internal or external.

MR8: UMS shall support inter-dependencies between different users with different level of authorities in internal and external organization and its defined structure

Different parties are established in a university as to manage and endorse the student's course registration, end of semester result and conferment. This will be depending on the university itself. The matters related to the different level that is need and the positions that are required to endorse those results are being defined by the university themselves.

MR9: UMS shall support the definition, alignment and chain of communication of the basis organization structural establishment and its evolution in both academia and management

Based on the organization chart and establishment of the university, the system must be able to cater and align with the different kind of structure that the university is current using and future changes that it will go through.

MR10: UMS shall support work-around in handling issues that will potentially hinder the students from completing their study

There will be unforeseen issues that student must face in order for them to graduate from the university. It is stated in the student handbook that that they must pass all required courses, achieve CPA of more than 2.00, have applied for conferment and other related requirement. But in order for them to collect the transcript, letter of completion and scroll, they must also make sure that there are non-financial backlogs.

MR11: UMS shall support preliminary, present, progression and end-life of the student lifecycle in variety of status change

System should be able to change the student status from the start of their registration towards the end of their academic journey. Some of the different status that is tied to the student are Excellent Standing (KC), Good Standing (KB), Probation 1 (P1), Probation 2 (P2) and Fail Standing (KG).

INFORMATION MODEL FOR ACADEMIC SELF-SERVICE UNIVERSITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

This section will description in detail the MD in the most possible generic level for the purpose of fulfilling the declared MR with reference to the defined KT plus the description on each linkage related to each entity. Further detail explanation of each MD entities is also described.

Information Model for Academic Self-service University Management System

In order for us to design a Student Academic Self-service University Management (based of Appendixes 3, 4, 5 and 6, which will be publish in separate article) in the intention of process centric, the information model for the process must be defined. A model was generated based on the interview input, discussion and feedback the system. The domain experts of the organization have reviewed and accepted the model. It consists of six element that is used to integrate the different processes that was established in the organization and purposeful connection are defined between the elements (refer to Figure 6): Student Registration, University Evolution, Continues Evaluation, Results, Endorsing Achievement and Graduation.




Figure 5. Information Model of the Meta-design of the UMS ISDT

The relationships between entities are explained as follows; (1) Student Registration to University enables the student to be assign to the programme and course that the student need to complete, (2) University to evaluation will be setting up the different method and assessment that the student need to undertake based of the University’s programme and course offered, (3) Student Registration to Evaluation will enable the recording of student academic performance, (4) Evaluation to Achievement association will allow generation of student’s overall academic performance in preparation of conferment, (5) Endorsement to Achievement is to abide with the common practice of endorsing academic session results by the University, (6) Endorsement to University establish the chain of communication and participant of different level and department involved in the endorsement of results and (7) Achievement to Confer will end the student study lifecycle in the form of award which in transcript, scroll and letter of completion.

Generic Structure of Meta-design Entities

This section describes the inner content of each MD entities. A generic structure with define class based of the work of [16] is applied (definition is being define in Table 3), in which will describe each entity in full clarity. Based of the define KT and MR, the instance of UMS should at least include these defined structures and attributes (refer to Table 4-9) based of the defined MD entities in Figure 5.

Table 3. Description of Each Classes in Generic Structure

Class	Description
Description	Describes the attributes involves that will explain the intent of and justification for the artefact
Origin	Describes the attributes involves to origin of the artefact

Analysis	Describes the attributes involves that has implication towards the artefact
Workflow	Describes the attributes involves that show the subsequent action or effect towards the artefact
History	Attributes that keep track of changes towards the artefact

University is where the basis of the organization is being established. Commonly, this will be defined and standardized by body of governing. For example, in Malaysia the organization that is in the responsibility of standardizing, auditing and awarding qualifications for an Institution of Higher Learning is MQA. Schools providing a list of programmes that for the student to choose and a list of courses included.

Table 4. Generic Structure of University Artefact

Class	Question	Attributes
Description	What is the University about?	Name, ID, Description, Rationale, Version
Origin	Where does the University come from?	Owner, Source, Date of creation
Analysis	What are the implications of the University?	Status, ranking, organization educational objective, offered programmes and courses
Workflow	What should be done to this University next?	Change of organization and body of governance, evolving to offer better service, improvement toward knowledge offering, expanding programme, course and intake, maintain current operation
History	What has been done to the University? When?	Information regarding all previous edits, changes and person who edits

Student Registration is used for the creation of an artefact that will served as the starting point of the system. It is considered as an external source of information that the will system will rely on. Once registered, information will be labeled as internal source and owned by the organization. This will ensure all the related process within the system will work seamlessly.

Table 5. Generic Structure of Student Registration Artefact

Class	Question	Attributes
Description	What is the Student Registration?	Name, ID, Description, Rationale, Version
Origin	Where does the Student Registration come from?	Owner, Source, Date of creation
Analysis	What are the implications of the Student Registration?	Student personal information, academic background, parents or guardian, financial ledger
Workflow	What should be done to this Student Registration next?	Grant access to facilities, register and attend courses, completing coursework and final exam, apply for credit exemption
History	What has been done to the Registration? When?	Information regarding all previous edits, changes and person who edits

Evaluation handles in recording each of the different kind of assessment and its result that will be taken by the student. This will be required high level of interconnectivity, authenticity, traceability and transparency.

Table 6. Generic Structure of Evaluation Artefact

Class	Question	Attributes
Description	What is the Evaluation?	Name, ID, Description, Rationale, Version
Origin	Where does the Evaluation come from?	Owner, Source, Date of creation
Analysis	What are the implications of the Evaluation?	Coursework and final exam marks

Workflow	What should be done to this Evaluation next?	Pass or fail, overall study performance, organization teaching objective
History	What has been done to the Evaluation? When?	Information regarding all previous edits, changes and person who edits

Achievement of the student of individual semester and overall study will be used as the basis of continuing study, termination, conferment and other related process. Different decision-making action that will require insights based of evaluation and result will be utilizing this information to its fullest.

Table 7. Generic Structure of Achievement Artefact

Class	Question	Attributes
Description	What is the Achievement?	Name, ID, Description, Rationale, Version
Origin	Where does the Achievement come from?	Owner, Source, Date of creation
Analysis	What are the implications of the Achievement?	Academic standing, student grades, Dean’s List, CPA and GPA
Workflow	What should be done to this Achievement next?	Endorsement result and grade by the university
History	What has been done to the Achievement? When?	Information regarding all previous edits, changes and person who edits

Endorsement considered as a common procedure where the different departmental bodies in the university will endorse the achievement of the student for each semester and overall performance through an established chain of communication. The establish chain of communication will be differ for each organization and will be based on their own current practice.

Table 8. Generic Structure of Endorsement Artefact

Class	Question	Attributes
Description	What is the Endorsement?	Name, ID, Description, Rationale, Version
Origin	Where does the Endorsement come from?	Owner, Source, Date of Creation
Analysis	What are the implications of the Endorsement?	Endorsement chain of communication, endorsement list of participants, student CPA and GPA, academic standing, student conferment
Workflow	What should be done to this Endorsement next?	Student will be either be able to continue study, terminated, re-register, under probation, defer coming academic session, apply for change of programme, or conferment application and collect transcript and letter of completion
History	What has been done to the Endorsement? When?	Information regarding all previous edits, changes and person who edits

Confer will mark the end of the student journey and its information. It will also become a reference for future action that is initiated by the student. For example, organization that handle the student who are furthering their study on a different level will be able to make use of those information for ease of registration process.

Table 9. Generic Structure of Confer Artefact

Class	Question	Attributes
Description	What is the Confer?	Name, ID, Description, Rationale, Version
Origin	Where does the Confer come from?	Owner, Source, Date of Graduation

Analysis	What are the implications of the Confer?	Date of completion, overall study performance
Workflow	What should be done to this Confer next?	End of study, further study
History	What has been done to the Confer? When?	Information regarding all previous edits, changes and person who edits

VALIDATING AND SCOPING THE DESIGN PRODUCT THEORY

The method of FEDS Framework [20] has been chosen as the main guidance of the research evaluation based on the well clear define process. FEDS Framework is being designed to be used in a DSR project, certain calibration will be implemented to adapt the framework to the research purpose. Only the most relevant steps and process of the framework will be utilized for the purpose of evaluating the research Design Theory. Furthermore, in-depth work process that need to be executed will be referring to other researchers work. This will complement the main research purpose and also produce a novel method of executing the research evaluation. Further publication will explain the step by step of usage of FEDS Framework in decision making of the novel evaluation method that will accommodate the need of this research. This will be the contribution of the research, whereby the mention calibration is through the utilization of Software Traceability [21] knowledge area in the FEDS Framework for the purpose of making it more inline with the common practice software development project.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This publication main objective is presented based on the UMS design theory. The main contribution of this publication is (1) presenting the most relevant publication that is served as the main guideline of this research and (2) presenting the set of MR and a MD of a UMS, focusing on self-service enabling for students. It is an earliest indication of how the UMS should be, with the utilization of ISDT focusing on the aspect of enabling self-service. With this, it could help software houses to propose, implement or evaluate a management system for a university. Software houses could use the theory (1) to ensure the critical needs are established, (2) to evaluate on the correctness and consistency of future similar theme projects.

Limitation of this publication is the artefacts are does not represent the UMS as a whole, but only focused on the part of student's need of a self-service system and also the other parties that will impacted and impacting the different outcome of the student's study life. Also, the publication does not cover the Testable Design Product Hypotheses and the process component of the ISDT (Design Method and Testable Design Process Hypotheses).

Future research will be to calibrate the established artefacts by performing a consistency analysis by referring to the real-requirement and scientifically proven through a comprehensive experimentation that will be establish as a means to proofing the effectiveness of our initiative.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported in part by Grant RDU191802-2.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Graham, *Requirements Engineering and Rapid Development*. Addison Wesley, 1998.
- [2] S. Lauesen, *Software Requirements: Style and Techniques*, no. January 2002. Addison-Wesley, 2002.
- [3] M. Luisa, F. Mariangela, and N. I. Pierluigi, "Market research for requirements analysis using linguistic tools," *Requir. Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 40–56, May 2004.
- [4] A. S. Lokman and M. A. Ameen, "Modern Chatbot Systems: A Technical Review," Springer, Cham, 2019, pp. 1012–1023.
- [5] Sutarman, M. B. A. Majid, J. B. M. Zain, and A. Hermawan, "Recognition of Malaysian Sign Language using skeleton data with Neural Network," in *2015 International Conference on Science in Information Technology (ICSITech)*, 2015, pp. 231–236.
- [6] M. I. U. Ong and M. A. Ameen, "Meta-Requirement Mapping Model," *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 769, 2020.
- [7] M. I. U. Ong, M. A. Ameen, and I. E. Kamarudin, "Meta-requirement method towards analyzing completeness of requirements specification," in *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 2019, vol. 881, pp. 444–454.
- [8] M. I. U. Ong and M. A. Ameen, "User Requirement Validation: Challenge Exploration in Pre-project Execution," *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 769, 2020.
- [9] M. I. U. Ong, M. A. Ameen, Z. R. Azmi, and I. E. Kamarudin, "Systematic Literature Review: 5 Years Trend in the Field of Software Engineering," *Adv. Sci. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 7278–7283, Jul. 2018.
- [10] M. I. U. Ong and M. A. Ameen, "Approaches in Creating Meta-requirement: A Systematic Literature Review," *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 769, 2020.
- [11] J. G. Walls, G. R. Widmeyer, and O. A. El Sawy, "Building an Information System Design Theory for Vigilant EIS," *Inf. Syst. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 36–59, 1992.
- [12] P. Meier, J. H. Beinke, C. Fitte, J. Schulte to Brinke, and F. Teuteberg, "Generating design knowledge for blockchain-based

- access control to personal health records,” *Inf. Syst. E-bus. Manag.*, pp. 1–29, Aug. 2020.
- [13] J. Schjerlund, M. R. P. Hansen, and J. G. Jensen, “Design principles for room-scale virtual reality: A design experiment in three dimensions,” in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, vol. 10844 LNCS, Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 3–17.
- [14] H. Guo, R. Brown, and R. Rasmussen, “A theoretical basis for using virtual worlds as a personalised process visualisation approach,” in *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, 2013, vol. 148 LNBIP, pp. 229–240.
- [15] Y. Lu and T. Käkölä, “An Information Systems Design Product Theory for integrated Requirements, Test and Defect Management Systems,” in *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 2012, pp. 5516–5525.
- [16] Y. Lu and T. Käkölä, “An Information System Design Product Theory for integrated Order, Transportation and Warehouse Management Systems,” *Proc. Annu. Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci.*, pp. 3717–3726, 2013.
- [17] P. Forselius and T. Käkölä, “An information systems design product theory for software project estimation and measurement systems,” in *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, HICSS*, 2009.
- [18] T. Käkölä, M. Koivulahti-Ojala, and J. Liimatainen, “An Information Systems Design Theory for Integrated Requirements and Release Management Systems,” *2009 42nd Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci.*, pp. 1–10, 2009.
- [19] UMP, *PERATURAN AKADEMIK PROGRAM DIPLOMA DAN SARJANA MUDA SEPENUH MASA UMP*. 2019.
- [20] J. Venable, J. Pries-Heje, and R. Baskerville, “FEDS: A Framework for Evaluation in Design Science Research,” *Eur. J. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 77–89, 2016.
- [21] G. Spanoudakis and A. Zisman, “SOFTWARE TRACEABILITY: A ROADMAP,” World Scientific Publishing Co, 2005.