

THE DEVELOPMENT OF EMBEDDED POSITIVE INPUT SHAPING FOR
VIBRATION CONTROL OF FLEXIBLE MANIPULATE USING PIC


YIP HUNG SUM

This thesis is submitted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the
Bachelor of Electrical Engineering (Hons.) (Control and Instrumentation)

Faculty of Electrical & Electronics Engineering
Universiti Malaysia Pahang

NOVEMBER, 2009


**“I hereby acknowledge that the scope and quality of this thesis is qualified for the
award of the Bachelor Degree of Electrical Engineering (Control and
Instrumentation)”**

Signature :  _____

Name : EN. MOHD ASHRAF BIN AHMAD

Date : 28 NOVEMBER 2009

“All the trademark and copyrights use herein are property of their respective owner. References of information from other sources are quoted accordingly; otherwise the information presented in this report is solely work of the author.”

Signature :  _____

Author : YIP HUNG SUM

Date : 20 NOVEMBER 2009

DEDICATION

*Specially dedicated to
My beloved parents, brother,
and all of my best friends.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to Lim Li Qeat. because of her willingness to give strenght and will for me to finish this project successfully. First and foremost, many warm thanks to my supervisor En mohd asraf for his constant help , support and guidance which has steered me to finish my project. His enthusiasm and professional works has motivated me whenever I feed down while doing my project. Whenever I really need help, he always provide me with his help and ideas which helped me overcome the difficulties in doing my project. I am very grateful for his willing helping me without asking for a repay.

My sincere thanks go to all my friends, the stuff of the mechanical Engineering Department, UMP, Who helped me in many ways and made my stay at UMP pleasant and unforgettable. Many speacial thanks go to my colleagues in E04LBD and E03LB ahd all facuties students in UMP for their excellent co-operation, inspiration and supports during this study.

I acknowledge my sincere indebtedness and gratitude to my parents for their love, dream and sacrifice, throughout my life. I cannot find the appropriate words that could properly describe my appreciation for their devotion, support and faith in my ability to attain my goals. Speacial thanks should be given to my committee members. I would like to acknowledge their comments and suggestions, which was crucial for the successful of this study.

ABSTRACT

This thesis presents investigation into the applications and performance of positive input shaping in command shaping techniques for the vibration control of a flexible robot manipulator. A constrained planar single-link flexible manipulator is considered and the dynamic model of the system is derived using the assume mode method and is explained. An unshaped bang-bang torque input is used to determine the characteristic parameters of the system for design and evaluation of the input shaping control techniques. The positive shaping technique is designed based on the properties of the system. Simulation result of the response of the manipulator to the shaped inputs from matlab simulation tools are presented in the time and frequency domains. Performances of the shapers are examined in terms of level of vibration reduction, time response specifications. The effects of derivations orders of the input shaper on the performance of the system are investigated. Next, the positive input shaping algorithm is embedded into PIC. Comparative of the PIC and simulation in matlab is presented. Finally, an analysis assessment of the impact amplitude polarities of the input shapers on the system performance is presented and discussed.

ABSTRAK

Tesis ini membicarakan penyelidikan dalam aplikasi and prestasi teknik pembentukan positif input dalam kawalan getaran terhadap pengolah lentur robot. Sebuah kendalan satu-link planar pengolah lentur robot ditimbangkan dan model dinamik diperolehi dengan menggunakan kaedah misalkan dan dijelaskan. Teknik kawalan bang-bang yang belum dibentuk digunakan untuk menentukan ciri-ciri daripada parameter system untuk merekabentuk dan penilaian teknik pembentuk positif input. Teknik pembentuk positif direka berdasarkan sistem ini. Keputusan simulasi daripada pengolah lentur robot kepada bentuk input daripada aplikasi matlab akan ditunjukkan dalam bentuk waktu dan frekuensi domain. Prestasi daripada pembentukan itu diuji dari segi kekurangan tahap getaran and masa tindak balas spesifikasi. Kesan daripada darjah derivatif pembentukan input dalam sistem prestasi akan diselidikan. Teknik pembentukan positif input akan ditanam dalam PIC. Berbandingan antara PIC dan simulasi dengan mengguna matlab akan dibentangkan. Akhirnya, laporan analisis dalam sistem prestasi dalam bentuk amplitud akan dibincang and dibentang.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	Page
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Objective	3
	1.3 Scope of project	4
	1.4 Problem statement	5
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introductions	7
	2.2 Review of dynamic modeling system	8

2.3	Review of input shaping method	8
2.4	Summary	11
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	12
3.1	Overview	12
3.2	The flexible manipulator system	14
3.3	Modeling of the flexible manipulator	16
3.4	Positive input shaping	18
3.5	Hardware design	22
3.5.1	Microcontroller module	22
3.5.2	FTDI module	25
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	27
4.1	Implementation	27
4.2	Unshaped bang-bang torque input	28
4.3	Positive input shaper	32
4.4	PIC embedded with positive input shaper	39
4.5	Comparative assessment	41
4.5	Summary	48
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	49
5.1	Conclusion	49
5.2	Recommendation	50
REFERENCES		51
APPENDIX A		52
APPENDIX B		61
APPENDIX C		64
APPENDIX D		65

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Rise time and settling time of unshaped bang-bang torque input	36
4.2	Rise time and settling time of positive with different order derivative	36
4.3	Rise time, settling time and overshoot for hub-angle in embedded positive input shaping	45

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	The block diagram input shaping control configuration	13
3.2	Block diagram input shaping control configuration for next session	14
3.3	Description of flexible manipulator	15
3.4	PIC18 memory bus structure	23
3.5	Internal architecture of PIC18	24
3.6	Connection between FTDI and PIC microcontroller	25
3.7	The overview of FTDI RS232RL basic breakout board	26
3.8	FTDI schematic diagram	26
4.1	Input shaping control configuration block diagram	28
4.2	Illustration of input shaping technique	28
4.3	Unshaped bang-bang torque input for time domain	29
4.4	Unshaped bang-bang torque input for PSD of the end point acceleration	29
4.5	Response of the flexible manipulator to the unshaped bang-bang torque input	30
4.6	Unshaped bang-bang torque input and shaped bang-bang torque with positive ZV, ZVD, ZVDD shapers.	33
4.7	End-point displacement in time domain	34

4.8	PSD of unshaped and shaped bang-bang torque input	35
4.9	End-point residual in time domain	35
4.10	Response of the flexible manipulator to the shaped with PZV, PZVD, PZVDD	36
4.11	Rise and settling time of hub angle response using positive inputs shaping	38
4.12	Comparison graph of result in embedded positive input shaping and matlab simulation	39
4.13	PSD of embedded positive input shaping and matlab simulation with different order of derivation	42
4.14	End-point acceleration of embedded positive input shaping and matlab simulation in different order of derivation	44
4.15	Comparison in embedded positive input shaping and matlab simulation with different order of derivation for hub-angle	46
4.16	Rise and settling time of the hub-angle using matlab simulation and embedded positive input shaping	47

LIST OF SYMBOLS

E	-	Young Modulus
I	-	Area moment of inertia
ρ	-	Mass density per unit
τ	-	Torque
A	-	Cross sectional area
I_H	-	Hub inertia
r	-	Radius
M_p	-	Payload mass
T	-	Kinetic energy
U	-	Potential energy
D	-	Damping
K_n	-	Stiffness matrix
F	-	Vector of external force
Q	-	Nodal displacement vector
ω	-	Natural frequency
ζ	-	Damping ratio
θ	-	Angular displacement
x	-	Distance from hub
N_i	-	Number of impulse
A_i	-	Amplitude of impulse
t_i	-	Time of impulse
$*$	-	Convolution
s	-	Second

t	-	Time
ZV	-	Zero vibration
ZVD	-	Zero vibration derivative
ZVDD	-	Zero vibration derivative-derivative
PSD	-	Power spectral density

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Flexible manipulator is finding an increasing number of applications especially in automation and manufacturing industries. Robots that were once used to pick and place work pieces are now being used in more complex tasks such as assembling and working at unmanned places.

Flexible robot manipulators exhibit many advantages over rigid robots; they required less material, are lighter in weight, consume less power, require smaller actuators, are more maneuverable and transportable, have less overall cost and higher payload to robot weight ratio. However, the control of flexible manipulator to achieve and maintain accurate positioning is challenging. Due to the flexible nature of the system, the dynamic are significantly more complex. Problem arises due to precise positioning requirements, system flexibility which leads to vibration, the difficulty in

obtaining an accurate model of the system and non-minimum phase characteristics of the system. In this respect, a control mechanism that accounts for both rigid body and elastic motion of the system is required. If the advantages associated with lightness are not to be sacrificed, accurate models and efficient controllers have to be developed.

Control of machines that exhibit flexibility becomes important when designers attempt to push the state of the art with faster and lighter machines. Many researchers have examined different controller configurations in order to control machines without exciting resonances. However, after designing a good controller, the input commands to the closed-loop system are “desired” trajectories that the controller treats as disturbances. Often these “desired” trajectories are step inputs or trajectories that the machine cannot rigidly follow. The considered vibration control schemes can be divided into two main categories: feed forward and feedback control technique.

Active vibration controls of slewing flexible structures, such as the flexible robotic manipulator system, have experienced rapid growth in recent years. Most of the attention has been focused on eliminating vibrations that result in the structure when control applied. The vibration of flexible manipulator or system often limits speed and accuracy. The vibration of such manipulator or system is usually caused by changes in the reference command or from external disturbance. If the system dynamics are known, Commands can be generated that will cancel the vibration form the system’s flexible modes. Accurate control of flexible structures is an important and difficult problem and has been an active are of research book.

This paper presents investigations into the application and performance of input shaping control schemes with positive input shapers for vibration control of a single-link flexible manipulator. Moreover this paper provides a comparative assessment of the performances of these schemes. The results of this work will be helpful in designing

efficient algorithms for vibration control of various systems. The Zero Vibration (ZV) shaper is the basic shaper, and constraint the vibration to zero at the modeling frequency. To increase robustness to parameter variations, the order of derivative or higher, of residual vibration constraints of ZV shaper is also constrained to zero to yield what are known as Zero Vibration Derivative (ZVD) shaper. In this work, input shaping with positive input shaping (ZV to ZVDD) is considered.. The dynamic model describing the motion of the flexible manipulator is derived using the assume mode method. Simulation exercises are performed within the flexible manipulator simulation environment. First, to obtain the characteristic parameter of the system, the flexible manipulator is excited with a single-switch bang-bang torque input. Then the input shapers are designed based on the properties of the manipulator and used for preprocessing the input, so that no energy is fed into the system at the natural frequencies. Performances of the developed controller are assessed in term of level of vibration reduction, time response specifications and robustness to errors in vibration frequency. Simulation results in time and frequency domains of the response of the flexible manipulator to the unshaped input and shaped inputs with positive are presented and discussed.

1.1 Objective

The objective of this study:

- i. To develop the research on positive input shaping for vibration control of a flexible robot manipulator.
- ii. To study the dynamic characteristic of the flexible manipulator in order to construct the controlling method to reduce the vibration.

REFERENCES

- [1]. J.M. Martins, Z. Mohamed. and M.O.Tokhi, J.A. 2003. Approaches for dynamic modeling of flexible manipulator systems. *Journal of the university of Sheffield*,. 8(3): 411.
- [2]. David Wang, and M. Vidyasagar, J.A. 1989. Transfer functions for a single flexible link. *Journal of Structural Engineering ASCE*. 7(92): 1-6.
- [3]. Smith, O.J.M. (1957). Posicast Control of Damped Oscillatory Systems. *Proceedings of the IRE*. 45: 1249-1255
- [4]. Junkins, John, L., Tuner and James, D. (1986). Optimal Spacecraft Rotational Maneuvers. New York: *Elsevier Science Publisher*.
- [5]. Gupta and Narendra, K. (1980). Frequency-shaped Cost Functions: Extension of Linear-Quadratic-Gaussian Design Methods. Nov-Dec 1980. *Journal of Guidance and Control*. 3(6): 529-535
- [6]. Farrenkopf, R.L. (1979). Optimal open-Loop Maneuver Profiles for flexible spacecraft. *Journal of Guidance and Control*, Nov-Dec. 1979. 2(6): 491-498
- [7]. Swigert C.J. (1980). Shaped Torque Technique. *Journal of Guidance and Control*, 3(5): 460-467
- [8]. Sadettin Kapucu, Gursel Alici and Sedat Baysec. 2001. Residual Swing/Vibration Reduction using a hybrid Input Shaping Method. *Journal of Mechanism and Machine theory*. 125(36): 311-326.
- [9]. Fortgang, J. and Singhose, W.E. 2002. Concurrent Design of Input Shaping and Vibration Absorber. *Proceedings of the American Control conference*. May 8-10. Anchorage, AK: 1491-1496
- [10]. Kai Zoo. and David Wang, J.A. 1992. Closed loop shaper-input control of a class of manipulator with a single flexible link. *Proceedings of international*

- conference on Robotics and Automation*. May 1992. Nice, France, IEEE: 782-787
- [11]. Magee, David.P. Cannon, David W. And Book Wayne, J.. 1997. Combined command shaping and inertial damping for flexure control. *Proceedings of the American control conference* June 1007. Albuquerque, New Mexico: 1330-1334.
- [12]. Neil C. Singer and Warren P. Seering. January 1989. Preshaping Command Inputs to reduce system vibration. *Journal of Massachusetts Institute of Technology*. 125(92): 152-162.
- [13]. Singhose, W.E., Seering, W.P. and Singer, N.C. (1990). Shaping Inputs to Reduced Vibration: A Vector Diagram Approach. *IEEE conference on Robotics and Automations*. Cincinnati, Ohio. 992-927
- [14]. Singhose, W.E. and Lucy Y. Pao. 1997. A comparison of input shaping and time-optimal flexible-body control. *Control engineering practice*. 5(4): 459-467.
- [15]. Hyde, J. M. And Seering, W.P 1991. Inhibiting Multiple mode vibration in controlled flexible system. *Proceedings of American Control Conference*. Boston, MA
- [16]. Gurleyuk. SS., and Cinal, S., 2007. Three-Step input shaper for damping tubular step motor vibrations. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, Zonguldak, Turkey: 1-3
- [17]. Warren F.Philips,. 1991.Device and method for control of flexible link robot manipulators . *Journal of Utah state University Foundation*. 114(4): 142-155.
- [18]. L.Van den Broeck, G. Pipeleers, J De Caigny, B. Demeulenaere, J. Swevers, J. De Schutter 2008. A linear programming approach to design robust input shaping. *Journal of Department of mechanical engineering*. 789(36): 1003-1789.
- [19]. Lucy Y. Pao and Craig F. Cutfort, 1998. An analysis and comparison of frequency-domain and time-domain input shaping. *Journal of Electrical and computer engineering department*. 16(2): 18-58.

- [20]. M. A. Ahmad, Z. Mohamed, H. Ishak and A. N. K. Nasir. 2008. Vibration suppression technique in feedback control of a very flexible robot manipulator. *Journal of faculty of electrical and electronic engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang* . 6(3): 1-6