

THE IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION  
CAPABILITIES ON COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE  
AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: AN EMPIRICAL  
STUDY IN THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY  
IN MALAYSIA

FARIDAH BINTI TAJU RAHIM

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
(TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT)

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## **SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Technology Management).

---

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DATO' TS. DR YUSERRIE BIN ZAINUDDIN

Position : PROFESSOR

Date :



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citation which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : FARIDAH BINTI TAJU RAHIM

ID Number : PPT13010

Date :

THE IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION CAPABILITIES ON  
COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE AND FIRM PERFORMANCE:AN EMPIRICAL  
STUDY IN THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY IN MALAYSIA

FARIDAH BINTI TAJU RAHIM

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Technology Management)

Faculty of Industrial Management  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

NOVEMBER 2020

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Alhamdulillah, thank you to Allah for all His blessings in making this endeavour a successful one. The completion of this thesis is a dream come true with the encouragement and support from many parties and individuals.

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to convey the deepest appreciation to my principal supervisor, Professor Dato' Dr Yusserie Zainuddin. I regard him as a very understanding and caring supervisor. His thoughts, advice, comments, and critique have strongly motivated me to overcome the obstacles in this PhD journey. His confidence and belief in my capabilities have helped me improve tremendously as a scholar and researcher.

My appreciation goes to the Public Administration Department, Malaysia, for awarding the scholarship and my employer, Malaysia Productivity Corporation by giving me opportunities to further my study, and Universiti Malaysia Pahang for awarding me the postgraduate research Grant Scheme. Without the financial support from these reputable parties, this PhD endeavour would not have been possible.

Special thanks go to all the respondents who took time off their busy schedules to fill the questionnaires and avail themselves for the interviews. Without their cooperation, there would have been no study. Thank you again.

I dedicate this work to my parents, especially my late father, Haji Taju Rahim bin Nihat, and my late mother, Hajjah Shamsiah binti Noran. I extend my gratitude to all my family members for their continuous prayers and support. I would like to thank all my friends who have always been kind enough to spare their time listening to my grumbling in tough times, sharing the laughter during good times, and lending a helping hand when it is most needed; thank you from the bottom of my heart. Last but not least, all thanks to Allah for listening to and answering my prayers; Alhamdulillah.

## ABSTRAK

Inovasi memainkan peranan penting dalam menentukan kejayaan dan jangka hayat sesebuah firma serta mengekalkan daya saing global dalam jangka panjang. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian mengenai keupayaan inovasi teknologi (TIC) adalah terhad, terutamanya dalam industri automotif di Malaysia. Oleh itu, kajian ini cuba untuk mengisi jurang dalam analisis semasa, berkaitan keupayaan inovasi teknologi (TIC) dari segi industri automotif di Malaysia. Kajian ini mengkaji kesan keupayaan inovasi teknologi (keupayaan R&D [RDC]), keupayaan pembuatan (MC), keupayaan rangkaian (NC), dan keupayaan sumber manusia (HRC)) terhadap daya saing (CA) dari segi kelebihan kos (COA), kelebihan pembezaan (DA), inovasi produk (PT), dan inovasi proses (PS), dan prestasi firma dalam industri automotif di Malaysia. Kajian ini menggunakan Teori Berasaskan Sumber (*Resourced-Based View*) dan teori Keupayaan Dinamik (*Dynamic Capabilities View*) untuk membangunkan rangka konsep kajian. Pendekatan penyelidikan kuantitatif telah digunakan untuk menjalankan kajian ini, di mana 136 responden dari industri automotif telah ditinjau melalui Prosedur persampelan kemudahan (*convenience*) dan persampelan bertujuan (*purposive*). *Partial Least Squares-Structural Equation Modelling* (PLS-SEM) telah digunakan untuk menguji hipotesis kajian menggunakan perisian WarpPLS 6.0. Hasil Kajian mendedahkan bahawa RDC, MC, NC, dan HRC sebagai dimensi TIC dan COA, DA, PT, dan PS sebagai dimensi CA adalah faktor penting bagi prestasi firma dalam industri automotif di Malaysia. Empat penemuan diperolehi daripada kajian ini. Pertama, berkenaan dengan kesan langsung dimensi TIC terhadap dimensi CA, kajian mendedahkan bahawa semua dimensi TIC (RDC, MC, NC, dan HRC) memberi kesan signifikan kepada COA. Manakala RDC, NC, dan HRC memberi kesan positif dan signifikan ke atas DA, kecuali MC yang memberi kesan negatif kepada DA. Hanya HRC memberi kesan signifikan ke atas PT. Walau bagaimanapun, hanya MC dan NC memberi kesan signifikan kepada PS. Kedua, mengenai kesan langsung dimensi TIC terhadap prestasi firma, kajian ini mengesahkan RDC, MC, dan NC memberi kesan positif dan ketara kepada prestasi firma, kecuali HRC yang tidak memberi kesan ke atas prestasi firma. Ketiga, dimensi CA seperti DA, PT, dan PS mempunyai kesan signifikan terhadap prestasi firma. Keempat, hasil kajian menunjukkan DA pengantara sepenuhnya hubungan antara HRC dan prestasi firma, manakala DA juga sebahagian pengantara hubungan antara NC dan prestasi firma. Ini menunjukkan bahawa meningkatkannya CA, terutama dari segi DA, adalah penting untuk mencapai prestasi firma yang tinggi. Sehubungan itu, kajian ini menunjukkan pentingnya dimensi TIC dan dimensi CA dalam meningkatkan prestasi firma dalam industri automotif. Penemuan ini mempunyai sumbangan teori serta implikasi praktikal dan polisi. Sumbangan teori serta implikasi praktikal dan polisi merupakan penemuan yang signifikan kepada firma-firma dalam industri automotif di Malaysia. Kajian ini memberi sumbangan pertama, kepada pengetahuan baru dengan memeriksa peranan pengantara CA. Kedua, ia melengkapkan teori pandangan berdasarkan sumber (RBV) dan Pandangan Keupayaan Dinamik mengenai hubungan antara dimensi TIC dan prestasi syarikat. Ketiga, ini sangat memberi manfaat kepada pengamal industri, di mana kajian ini memberikan maklumat praktikal berkaitan industri automotif. Keempat, para pengamal juga mempunyai kelebihan apabila mereka mengetahui strategi inovasi teknologi, yang disorot dalam kajian ini, untuk mengatasi cabaran yang dihadapi dalam perniagaan. Akhir sekali, beberapa batasan kajian yang dikenalpasti turut memberi ruang dan arah kepada penyelidikan pada masa hadapan.

## ABSTRACT

Innovation plays an important role in determining a firm's success and survival in sustaining its global competitiveness in the long-term. Nevertheless, there is a limited number of studies on technological innovation capabilities (TIC), especially in the automotive industry in Malaysia. Accordingly, this study attempts to fill the gap in the current analysis on the technological innovation capabilities (TIC) in the automotive industry in Malaysia. This study examines the impact of technological innovation capabilities, i.e. R&D capability (RDC), manufacturing capability (MC), networking capability (NC), and human resource capability (HRC) on competitive advantage (CA) in terms of cost advantage (COA), differentiation advantage (DA), product innovation (PT) and process innovation (PS), and firm performance in the automotive industry in Malaysia. Drawing upon the Resource-Based View (RBV) of the firm and the Dynamic Capabilities View (DCV), a conceptual framework is developed. A quantitative research approach was used to conduct this study, in which 136 respondents from the automotive industry were surveyed through convenience and purposive sampling procedures. Partial Least Squares-Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) was used to test the study's hypotheses using WarpPLS 6.0 software. The findings revealed that RDC, MC, NC, and HRC as TICs dimensions, and COA, DA, PT, and PS as CA dimensions, are important factors for the performance of firms in the automotive industry in Malaysia. Four conclusive findings are derived from the study. First, with respect to the direct effect of TICs dimensions on CA dimensions, the study reveals that all TICs dimensions (RDC, MC, NC, and HRC) have an influence on COA. Whereas RDC, NC, and HRC positively and significantly influence DA, but MC negatively influence DA. Only HRC has an influence on PT. However, for PS, only MC and NC significantly impact PS. Second, regarding direct impact of TICs dimensions on firm performance, the study confirms that RDC, MC, and NC positively and significantly impact firm performance, except for HRC, which has no significant effect on firm performance. Third, competitive advantage dimensions, such as DA, PT, and PS have a significant impact on firm performance. Fourth, the results also show that DA fully mediated the relationship between HRC and firm performance, while DA also partially mediated the relationship between NC and firm performance. This indicates that improving CA, especially in terms of DA, is crucial in achieving high firm performance. Therefore, this research shows the importance of TICs dimensions and CA dimensions in improving firm performance in the automotive industry. These findings have theoretical contributions as well as practical and policy implications. These contributions and implications are also significant findings for firms in the automotive industry in Malaysia. The study contributes firstly, to the body of knowledge by examining the mediating roles of CA. Secondly, it complements the resource-based view (RBV) theory and Dynamic Capability View regarding the interconnection between TIC dimensions and firm performance. Thirdly, it particularly benefits the industrial practitioners, where the study provides the practical information of the automotive industry. Fourthly, the practitioners are also at an advantage when they are aware of the technological innovation strategies, highlighted in this study, of overcoming the anticipated challenges in the business. Finally, some limitations are also acknowledged, that indicate future research directions.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the Research	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Objectives	10
1.4 Research Questions	11
1.5 Scope of Study	11
1.6 Significance of the Study	13
1.7 Definition of terms	17
1.8 Thesis Structure	20
1.9 Chapter Summary	21
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>23</b>
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 National Automotive Policy (NAP)	23



2.3	Background of Automotive Industry in Malaysia	26
2.3.1	National Automotive Industry in Malaysia	27
2.3.2	Structure and History of Automotive Industry	27
2.3.3	Contributions of Automotive Industry in the Malaysian Economy	31
2.3.4	Challenges Facing the Automotive Industry in Malaysia	33
2.4	Firm performance	37
2.5	Competitive Advantage	41
2.5.1	The Definition of Competitive Advantage	41
2.5.2	Competitive Advantage Dimensions	44
2.6	Technological Innovation Capabilities (TICs)	47
2.6.1	Conceptualisation of Technological Innovation Capabilities	50
2.6.1.1	Definition of Technological Innovation Capabilities (TICs)	52
2.6.2	Technological Innovation Capabilities (TICs) and its Dimensions	54
2.6.2.1	R&D Capability	60
2.6.2.2	Manufacturing Capability	62
2.6.2.3	Networking Capability	65
2.6.2.4	Human resource capability	66
2.7	Underlying Theories of Study	69
2.7.1	Resource-Based View (RBV)	70
2.7.1.1	Overview of RBV	70
2.7.1.2	Resource Classifications	72
2.7.1.3	VRIN Model	74
2.7.1.4	Criticisms of RBV	76
2.7.2	Dynamic Capabilities View (DCV)	78
2.7.2.1	Overview of Dynamic Capabilities View (DCV)	78
2.7.2.2	Classification of Dynamic Capabilities View	80
2.7.2.3	Criticisms of Dynamic Capabilities View	82
2.7.2.4	Innovation Capability and Dynamic Capability View	83
2.8	Factors Influencing Competitive Advantage with regard to the RBV and DCV	84

2.9	Research Gap	86
2.10	Summary	87
<b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>89</b>
3.1	Introduction	89
3.2	Conceptual Framework and Development of Hypotheses	89
3.2.1	Conceptual Framework	90
3.2.2	Relationship between Technological Innovation Capabilities, Competitive Advantage Dimensions	91
3.2.3	Relationship between Technological Innovation Capabilities and Firm performance	99
3.2.4	Competitive Advantage Dimensions and Firm performance Relationship	102
3.2.5	Firm's Competitive Advantage Dimensions mediate the Relationship between Technological Innovation Capabilities and Firm performance.	105
3.2.6	Control Variables	110
3.3	Research Design	110
3.3.1	Research Process	111
3.3.2	Research Philosophies	112
3.3.3	Research Strategies	114
3.3.4	Research Methods	117
3.4	Sampling Design	118
3.4.1	Target population	118
3.4.2	Select sampling frame	118
3.4.3	Determination of choice probability and non-probability sampling method	119
3.4.4	Plan procedure for selecting sampling units	120

3.4.5	Conducted fieldwork and data collection	120
3.5	Survey Questionnaire	121
3.5.1	Survey Questionnaire Development	122
3.6	Data analysis procedures	131
3.6.1	Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)	132
3.6.2	Reflective and Formative Construct Specification	135
3.6.3	Model evaluation	141
3.7	Evaluation of Mediating Effects	144
3.7.1	Criteria for Evaluating Mediating Effects	147
3.7.2	Size of Mediating Effects	150
3.8	Summary	150
 <b>CHAPTER 4 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS</b>		<b>151</b>
4.1	Introduction	151
4.2	Sampling Size Requirement	151
4.3	Participants Characteristics	152
4.4	Preliminary Evaluation	154
4.4.1	Data Screening	155
4.4.2	Response Rate	156
4.4.3	Common Method Bias	158
4.5	Operationalisation of Constructs	159
4.6	Assessment of the Measurement Model (Outer Model)	160
4.6.1	Assessment of the First-Order Measurement Model	165
4.6.2	Assessment of Second-Order Measurement Model	172
4.7	Assessment of the Structural Model (Inner Model)	174
4.7.1	Model Fit and Quality Indices	177

4.7.2	Assessment Procedure	178
4.7.3	Results for Mediating Effects	191
4.7.4	Results of All Hypothesised Relationships	196
4.8	Summary	199
<b>CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION</b>		<b>201</b>
5.1	Introduction	201
5.2	The Research Gaps, Model, and Research Questions	201
5.3	Relationship of TICs dimensions, Competitive Advantage Dimensions	204
5.3.1	The Impact of R&D Capability on Competitive Advantage Dimensions	204
5.3.2	The Impact of Manufacturing Capability on Competitive Advantage Dimensions	210
5.3.3	The Impact of Networking Capability on Competitive Advantage Dimensions	214
5.3.4	The Impact of Human Resource Capability on Competitive Advantage Dimensions	218
5.4	Relationship of TICs dimensions and Firm performance	220
5.4.1	The Impact of R&D Capability on Firm performance	220
5.4.2	The Impact of Manufacturing Capability on Firm performance	221
5.4.3	The Impact of Networking Capability on Firm performance	224
5.4.4	The Impact of Human Resource Capability on Firm performance	225
5.5	The Impact of Competitive Advantage Dimensions on Firm performance	226
5.6	The Mediating Role of Competitive Advantage Dimensions	228
5.6.1	The Mediating Role of Competitive Advantage Dimensions in the Relationship between R&D capability and Firm performance	229

5.6.2	The Mediating Role of Competitive Advantage Dimensions in the Relationship between Manufacturing Capability and Firm performance	230
5.6.3	The Mediating Role of Competitive Advantage Dimensions in the Relationship between Networking Capability and Firm performance	231
5.6.4	The Mediating Role of Competitive Advantage Dimensions in the Relationship between Human Resource Capability and Firm performance	232
5.7	Control Variables	233
5.8	Summary	234
<b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION &amp; RECOMMENDATION</b>		<b>235</b>
6.1	Introduction	235
6.2	Discussion of the Findings	236
6.3	Theoretical Contributions of the Study	240
6.3.1	Contribution to Resource Based View Theory & Dynamic Capability View	240
6.3.2	TICs dimensions are central for competitive advantage and firm performance	241
6.3.3	Competitive Advantage Dimensions as a predictor of firm performance in the Automotive Industry	244
6.3.4	Competitive Advantage mediates the relationship between TICs Dimensions and firm performance	245
6.3.5	Advancing the model of TICs dimensions on competitive advantage and firm performance for automotive industry	246
6.4	Implication of the Study	247
6.4.1	Managerial Implications	247
6.4.2	Policy Implications	251

6.5	Limitations and Directions for Future Research	253
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>256</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>306</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Exports and imports of automotive products of Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand, 1990–2017 (million US\$)	5
Table 1.2	Production of Total Vehicles from selected Countries	7
Table 2.1	Productivity Performance Transport Equipment compared to Manufacturing Sector 2016	33
Table 2.2	Exports and imports of automotive products of Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand, 1980–2017 (million US\$)	35
Table 2.3	Definitions of Technological Innovation Capabilities	53
Table 3.1	Comparison of four research philosophies in management research	115
Table 3.2	R&D Capability Scale Items	124
Table 3.3	Manufacturing Capability Scale Items	125
Table 3.4	Networking Capability Scale Items	127
Table 3.5	Human resource capability Scale Items	128
Table 3.6	Competitive Advantage Dimensions Scale Items	129
Table 3.7	Firm performance Scale Items	131
Table 4.1	Participants Profile	153
Table 4.2	Company Characteristics	153
Table 4.3	Normality Tests	157
Table 4.4	Response rate of questionnaire	158
Table 4.5	Full collinearity VIFs	159
Table 4.6	Operationalisation of Main Constructs	162
Table 4.7	Measurement model assessment of first-order constructs	166
Table 4.8	Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio of First-Order Constructs	175
Table 4.9	Results for Second-Order Constructs Indicator Validity - Measurement model assessment after generating second-order constructs	176
Table 4.10	The Model Fit and Quality Indices	177
Table 4.11	Collinearity Values among Exogenous Constructs	180
Table 4.12	Results of Structural Model Evaluation	184
Table 4.13	Summary of Results-Path Coefficient and Effect Size	189
Table 4.14	Mediating Effect of Competitive Advantage Dimensions on Firm performance	193
Table 4.15	Summary of Results for Hypothesised Mediating Effect	194
Table 4.16	Summary of Results for All Hypothesised Relationships	196

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Malaysia-Production and Sales of Total Vehicles (Passenger and Commercial Vehicles) from 2007 to 2018	32
Figure 2.2	Barney's (1991) Conceptual Framework of the RBV	75
Figure 3.1	Proposed Conceptual Framework	91
Figure 3.2	Outline of research process	111
Figure 3.3	Sampling design process	118
Figure 3.4	Reflective versus Formative Measurement Models	136
Figure 3.5	Hierarchical Component Models	139
Figure 3.6	Model relating latent variables to indicators	142
Figure 3.7	Saving latent variables scores	142
Figure 3.8	Structural Model	143
Figure 3.9	WarpPLS model in a mediating effect	146
Figure 3.10	Mediator analysis procedure in PLS	148
Figure 4.1	Output software G*Power 3.1.9.4 - minimum sample size required	152
Figure 4.2	Screenshot shows the Results of Data Pre-Processing from WarpPLS 5.0 output.	154
Figure 4.3	Model relating latent variables to indicators	161
Figure 4.4	Model relating second-order constructs and first-order constructs	161
Figure 4.5	Two-Stage Approach	179
Figure 4.6	Structural Model Result	181
Figure 5.1	Structural Model	203
Figure 6.1	Relationships between Technological Innovation Capabilities Dimensions, Competitive Advantage Dimensions and Firm performance and Control Variables	239



## **LIST OF ABBREVIATION**

TICs	Technological Innovation Capabilities
MPC	Malaysia Productivity Corporation
MAI	Malaysia Automotive Institute
MITI	Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Malaysia
MARii	Malaysia Automotive and Robotics Institute
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OICA	International Organization of Motor Vehicle Manufacturers

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	A SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS EMPIRICAL STUDIES IN TIC	306
APPENDIX B	SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE	330
APPENDIX C	COVER LETTER	337
APPENDIX D	NOTIFICATION OF PROPOSAL DEFENSE RESULT	338
APPENDIX E	ITEMS REMOVED	339
APPENDIX F	LIST OF PUBLICATION AND CONFERENCE PRESENTATION	340

## REFERENCES

- Abdi, K., Mardani, A., Senin, A. A., Tupenaite, L., Naimaviciene, J., Kanapeckiene, L., & Kutut, V. (2018). The effect of knowledge management, organizational culture and organizational learning on innovation in automotive industry. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 19(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3846/jbem.2018.1477>
- Abdukhoshimov, K., & Özdemir, E. D. (2016). Non -technological Innovation on Firm Performance: Empirical Study at Turk Telekom Group Antalya Office. *Journal of Global Strategic Management*, 10(1), 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.20460/JGSM.20161022383>
- Abdul Shukor, A. N. A. (2017). The Determinants Supply Chain Management Practices and Firm's Operational Performance in Malaysia. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2988437>
- Abdullah, H. H., Mohamed, Z. A., Othman, R., & Uli, J. (2009). The Effect of Sourcing Strategies on the Relationship Between Competitive Strategy and Firm Performance. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 5(3), 346–361.
- Abdullah, M. F., Ismail, R., Sulaiman, N., & Abdul Talib, B. (2017). Technical Efficiency in Transport Manufacturing Firms: Evidence from Malaysia. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 22(1), 57–77. <https://doi.org/10.21315/aamj2017.22.1.3>
- Abdullah, R. (2006). Business Response to the Regional Demands and Opportunity: A Study of Malaysian Automobile Industry. *Global Issues and Challenges in Business and Economics Organized by Department of Management and Marketing at Corus Paradise Resort, Port Dickson*, 13(12), 2006–15.
- Abdullah, R., & Maharjan, K. L. (2003). Critical elements of supplier development in the Malaysian automobile industry: parts and components procurement and supplier development practice at Proton. *Journal of International Development and Cooperation*, 9(2), 65–87.
- Abdullah, Z. (2009). The Effect of Human Resource Management Practices on Business Performance among Private Companies in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 4(6), 65–72.
- Abdullah, M., Mohd Tobi, S. U., & Masrom, M. (2017). a Critical Review and an Assessment of University Industry Collaboration From the Readiness Perspective. *Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, 26(1), 77–86.
- AbdulQadir Rahomee Ahmed Aljanabi. (2017). The mediating role of absorptive capacity on the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation, and technological innovation capabilities. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n5p219>

- Abidin, A. S. Z., Muslimen, R., Mohamaddan, S., Yusuff, R. M., & Daud, N. A. Q. M. (2015). Design capabilities development in a Malaysian automotive vendor company. *IEOM 2015 - 5th International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, Proceeding*, 599–606. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEOM.2015.7093827>
- Abu Bakar, L. J., & Ahmad, H. (2010). Assessing the relationship between firm resources and product innovation performance: A resource-based view. *Business Process Management Journal*, 16(3), 420–435. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14637151011049430>
- Abu Rahim, M. H. I. bin. (2017). *The Determinants of Technological Innovation Capabilities Influence on Product Competitiveness in Malaysia*. . . Available at SSRN: <https://Ssrn.Com/Abstract=2988278> or <https://Dx.Doi.Org/10.2139/Ssrn.2988278>.
- Acquaah, M., Amoako-Gyampah, K., & Jayaram, J. (2011). Resilience in family and nonfamily firms: An examination of the relationships between manufacturing strategy, competitive strategy and firm performance. *International Journal of Production Research*, 49(18), 5527–5544. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2011.563834>
- Adeniran, T. V., & Johnston, K. A. (2012). Investigating the dynamic capabilities and competitive advantage of South African SMEs. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6(11). <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajbm11.1673>
- Adeyeye, A. D., Jegede, O. O., & Akinwale, Y. O. (2013). The impact of technology innovation and R&D on firms' performance: An empirical analysis of Nigeria's service sector. *International Journal of Technological Learning, Innovation and Development*, 6(4), 374. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTLID.2013.060873>
- Aguirre-Urreta, M. I., & Hu, J. (2019). Detecting common method bias: Performance of the Harman's single-factor test. *Data Base for Advances in Information Systems*, 50(2), 45–70. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3330472.3330477>
- Ahad, N. A., Yin, T. S., Othman, A. R., & Yaacob, C. R. (2011). Sensitivity of normality tests to non-normal data. *Sains Malaysiana*, 40(6), 637–641.
- Ahmad, @Mohamad Md. Fauzi. (2017). *Application of Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) in Quantitative Research*. Penerbit UTHM.
- Ahmad, M. A., Asaad, M. N., Saad, R., Iteng, R., & Rahim, M. K. I. A. (2016). Mediating effect of sustainable product development on relationship between quality management practices and organizational performance: Empirical study of Malaysian automotive industry. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1761(1), 020010. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4960850>
- Ahmad, M. F., Zakuan, N., Jusoh, A., Yusof, S. M., & Takala, J. (2014). Moderating Effect of Asean Free Trade Agreement between Total Quality Management and Business Performance. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 129, 244–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.673>

- Ahmed, Z. U., & Humphreys, J. H. (2008). A conceptual framework for developing-country transnationals: PROTON Malaysia. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 50(1), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.20175>
- Akgul, A. K., Gozlu, S., & Tatoglu, E. (2015). Linking operations strategy, environmental dynamism and firm performance. *Kybernetes*, 44(3), 406–422. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-03-2014-0053>
- Akgün, A. E., Keskin, H., & Byrne, J. (2009). Organizational emotional capability, product and process innovation, and firm performance: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 26(3), 103–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2009.06.008>
- Akter, S., D'Ambra, J., & Ray, P. (2010). Service quality of mHealth platforms: development and validation of a hierarchical model using PLS. *Electronic Markets*, 20(3–4), 209–227.
- Al-Sa'di, A. F., Abdallah, A. B., & Dahiyat, S. E. (2017). The mediating role of product and process innovations on the relationship between knowledge management and operational performance in manufacturing companies in Jordan. *Business Process Management Journal*, 23(2), 349–376. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-03-2016-0047>
- Alanis, R. R., de la Rosa, L. M. V., González, F. G. A., & Garza, M. T. V. (2017). The effects of innovation on manufacturing competitiveness of the leading countries in the automotive industry. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, 2017(OCT), 367–373.
- Ali, F., Rasoolimanesh, S. M., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C., & Ryu, K. (2018). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) in hospitality research. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 30(1), 514–538. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-10-2016-0568>
- Ali, M., & Park, K. (2016). The mediating role of an innovative culture in the relationship between absorptive capacity and technical and non-technical innovation ☆. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(5), 1669–1675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.10.036>
- Allani, N., Arcand, M., & Bayad, M. (2003). Impact of Strategic Human Resources Management on Innovation. *12th International Conference on Management of Technology*, 13-15 May, 235–243.
- Amatucci, M., & Mariotto, F. L. (2012). The internationalisation of the automobile industry and the roles of foreign subsidiaries. *International Journal of Automotive Technology and Management*, 12(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJATM.2012.046004>
- Ambrosini, V., & Bowman, C. (2009). What are dynamic capabilities and are they a useful construct in strategic management? *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 11(1), 29–49.

- Amin, M., Khairuzzaman Wan Ismail, W., Zaleha Abdul Rasid, S., & Daverson Andrew Selemani, R. (2014). The impact of human resource management practices on performance. *The TQM Journal*, 26(2), 125–142. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-10-2011-0062>
- Amit, R., & Schoemaker, P. J. H. (1993). Strategic Assets and Organizational Rent. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(1), 33–46.
- Amoako-Gyampah, K., & Acquah, M. (2008). Manufacturing strategy, competitive strategy and firm performance: An empirical study in developing economy environment. *International Journal of Production Economy*, 111, 575–592. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2007.02.030>
- Anatolievna Molodchik, M., Anatolievna Shakina, E., & Barajas, A. (2014). Metrics for the elements of intellectual capital in an economy driven by knowledge. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 15(2), 206–226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIC-08-2013-0091>
- Andersén, J. (2011). Strategic resources and firm performance. *Management Decision*, 49(1), 87–98. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741111094455>
- Andreev, P., Heart, T., Maoz, H., & Pliskin, N. (2009). Validating formative partial least squares (PLS) models: methodological review and empirical illustration. *ICIS 2009 Proceedings*, 193.
- Annavarjula, M. (2009). Impact of Technological Innovation Capabilities on the Market Value of Firms. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*, 8(3), 241–250.
- Annavarjula, M., Nandialath, A. M., & Mohan, R. (2012). Innovation capabilities and international performance of firms : a quantile regression approach. *Int. J. Business Innovation and Research*, 6(6), 615–635.
- Anwar, M., Rehman, D. A. U., & Shah, S. Z. A. (2017). Networking and New Venture 's Performance : Mediating Role of Competitive Advantage. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 1. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/IJoEM-07-2017-0263>
- Arend, R. J., & Bromiley, P. (2009). Assessing the dynamic capabilities view: spare change, everyone? *Strategic Organization*, 7(1), 75–90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127008100132>
- Ariffin, A. S., & Sahid, M. L. I. (2017). Competitiveness Analysis of ASEAN Automotive Industry : A Comparison between Malaysia and Thailand. *Journal Of Science, Technology And Innovation Policy*, 3(2), 23–32.
- Ariffin, A. S., Sahid, M. L. I., & Maavak, M. (2016). Factors Potentially Enhancing National Automotive Policy Goals and Industry Innovation. *JoSTIP*, 2(1), 10–17.
- Armalyte, R., & Subramanian, N. (2013). Quality issues in outsourcing to China : Is it still a sustainable competitive advantage ? *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2013.07.003>

- Armstrong, C. E., & Shimizu, K. (2007). A Review of Approaches to Empirical Research on the Resource-Based View of the Firm†. *Journal of Management*, 33(6), 959–986. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307307645>
- Arshad, M. Z., & Arshad, D. (2019). Internal capabilities and SMEs performance: A case of textile industry in Pakistan. *Management Science Letters*, 9(4), 621–628. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.1.001>
- Aryanto, R., Fontana, A., & Afiff, A. Z. (2015). Strategic Human Resource Management, Innovation Capability and Performance: An Empirical Study in Indonesia Software Industry. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 211(September), 874–879. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.115>
- Astrachan, C. B., Patel, V. K., & Wanzenried, G. (2014). A comparative study of CB-SEM and PLS-SEM for theory development in family firm research. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 5(1), 116–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfbs.2013.12.002>
- Asyraf, W. M. (2014). Hierarchical Component Using Reflective- Formative Measurement Model In Partial Least Square Structural Equation ... *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention (IJMSI)*, 2(2), 55–71.
- Atalay, M., Anafarta, N., & Sarvan, F. (2013). The relationship between innovation and firm performance: An empirical evidence from Turkish automotive supplier industry. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 75, 226–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.04.026>
- Atkin, T., Jr, A. G., & Newton, S. K. (2012). Environmental strategy: does it lead to competitive advantage in the US wine industry? *International Journal of Wine Business Research*, 24(2), 115–133. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17511061211238911>
- Avkiran, N. K. (2018). Rise of the Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling: An Application in Banking. In C.M. Ringle (Ed.), *International Series in Operations Research & Management Science* (pp. 1–29). Springer International Publishing AG 2018. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71691-6\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71691-6_1)
- Awang, Z., Wan Afthanorhan, W. M. A., & Asri, M. A. M. (2015). Parametric and Non Parametric Approach in Structural Equation Modeling (SEM): The Application of Bootstrapping. *Modern Applied Science*, 9(9), 58–67. <https://doi.org/10.5539/mas.v9n9p58>
- Azhdar Karami, Samira Sahebalzamani, & Babak Sarab. (2015). The Influence of HR Practices on Business Strategy and Firm Performance: Th...: EKUAL Keşif. *IUP Journal of Management Research*, XIV(1). <http://eds.b.ebscohost.com/eds/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=0&sid=40eea4f8-afaa-4f4d-8bf9-26feaef554e1%40pdc-v-sessmgr02>
- Azlina, N., Salleh, M., Kasolang, S., & Jaafar, H. A. (2012). Review study of developing an integrated TQM with LM framework model in Malaysian automotive industry. *The TQM Journal*, 24(5), 399–417. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17542731211261566>

- Azlina, N., Salleh, M., Kasolang, S., & Jaffar, A. (2012). Green Lean Total Quality Information Management in Malaysian Automotive Companies. *Procedia Engineering*, 41(Iris), 1708–1713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.07.372>
- Azubuiké, V. M. U. (2013). Technological Innovation Capability and Firm ' s Performance in New Product Development. *Communications of the IIMA*, 13(1), 43–56.
- Babbie, E. R. (2013). *The Practice of Social Research* (13th ed.). Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Banker, R. D., Mashruwala, R., & Tripathy, A. (2014). Does a differentiation strategy lead to more sustainable financial performance than a cost leadership strategy? *Management Decision*, 52(5), 872–896. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-05-2013-0282>
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
- Barney, J. B. (1986). Strategic Factor Markets: Expectations, Luck and Business Strategy. *Management Science*, 32(10), 1231–1241.
- Barney, J. B., & Arikan, A. M. (2001). The resource-based view: Origins and implications. *Handbook of Strategic Management*, 124188.
- Barney, J. B., & Hesterly, W. S. (2012). *Strategic Management and Competitive Advantage: Concepts* (Fourth Edi). Pearson Education, Inc., publishing as Prentice Hall.
- Barney, J. B., Ketchen, D. J., & Wright, M. (2011). The Future of Resource-Based Theory: Revitalization or Decline? *Journal of Management*, 37(5), 1299–1315. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310391805>
- Barney, J., Wright, M., & Ketchen Jr, D. J. (2001). The resource-based view of the firm: Ten years after 1991. *Journal of Management*, 27(6), 625–641.
- Barney, J., & Wright, P. M. (1998). On becoming a strategic partner: The Role of Human Resources in Gaining Competitive Advantage. *Center for Advanced Human Resource Studies*, 37(1), 1–25. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-050X\(199821\)37:1<31::AID-HRM4>3.0.CO;2-W](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-050X(199821)37:1<31::AID-HRM4>3.0.CO;2-W)
- Barreto, I. (2010). Dynamic Capabilities: A Review of Past Research and an Agenda for the Future. *Journal of Management*, 36(1), 256–280. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309350776>
- Bates, K. A., & Flynn, E. J. (1995). Innovation History and Competitive Advantage: A Resource-Based View Analysis of Manufacturing Technology Innovations. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 1995, 235–239.
- Baxter, R. (2009). Reflective and formative metrics of relationship value: A commentary essay. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(12), 1370–1377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.12.004>



- Bayraktar, C. A., Hancerliogullari, G., Cetinguc, B., & Calisir, F. (2016). Competitive strategies, innovation, and firm performance: an empirical study in a developing economy environment. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 7325(June), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2016.1194973>
- Becker, J. M., Klein, K., & Wetzels, M. (2012). Hierarchical Latent Variable Models in PLS-SEM: Guidelines for Using Reflective-Formative Type Models. *Long Range Planning*, 45(5–6), 359–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.10.001>
- Bengesi, K. M. K., & Le Roux, I. (2014). The Influence of Dimensions of Networking Capability in Small and Medium Enterprise Performance. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 5(2), 189–200.
- Bergfors, M. E., & Larsson, A. (2009). Product and process innovation in process industry: a new perspective on development. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 2(3), 261–276. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17554250910982499>
- Betz, F. (2011). *Managing Technological Innovation Competitive Advantage from Change* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Bilbao-Osorio, B., & Rodriguez-Pose, A. (2004). From R&D to Innovation and Economic Growth in the EU. *Growth and Change*, 35(4), 434–455. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2257.2004.00256.x>
- Bizotto, B. L. S., Camargo, M. E., Motta, M. E. V. da, Priesnitz, M. C., & Galelli, A. (2018). Relationship between Competitive Priorities and Process Innovation in the Productive Chain of the Grape and Wine. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 149(4), 462–472.
- Bonjour, E., & Micaelli, J.-P. (2010). Design Core Competence Diagnosis: A Case From the Automotive Industry. *Ieee Transactions on Engineering Management, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers*, 57(2), 323–337. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2009.2036838>
- Börjesson, S., Elmquist, M., & Hooge, S. (2014). The challenges of innovation capability building: Learning from longitudinal studies of innovation efforts at Renault and Volvo Cars. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 31, 120–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2013.11.005>
- Breznik, L., & D. Hisrich, R. (2014). Dynamic capabilities vs. innovation capability: are they related? *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 21(3), 368–384. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-02-2014-0018>
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social Research Methods* (Internatio). Oxford University Press.
- Bueno, E., Aragon, J. A., Salmador, M. P., & Garcia, V. J. (2010). Tangible slack versus intangible resources: the influence of technology slack and tacit knowledge on the capability of organisational learning to generate innovation and performance. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 49(4), 314. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTM.2010.030161>

- Burgelman, R. A., Christensen, C. M., & Wheelwright, S. C. (2009). *Strategic Management of Technology and Innovation* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Calik, E., Calisir, F., & Cetinguc, B. (2017). A Scale Development for Innovation Capability Measurement. *Journal of Advance Management Science*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.18178/joams.5.2.69-76>
- Camisón, C., & López, A. V. (2010). An examination of the relationship between manufacturing flexibility and firm performance: The mediating role of innovation. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 30(8), 853–878. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571011068199>
- Camisón, C., & Villar-lópez, A. (2011). Non-technical innovation : Organizational memory and learning capabilities as antecedent factors with effects on sustained competitive advantage ☆. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 40(8), 1294–1304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2011.10.001>
- Camisón, C., & Villar-López, A. (2014). Organizational innovation as an enabler of technological innovation capabilities and firm performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(1), 2891–2902. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.06.004>
- Cardeal, N., & António, N. (2012). *Valuable , rare , inimitable resources and organization ( VRIO ) resources or valuable , rare , inimitable resources ( VRI ) capabilities : What leads to competitive advantage ?* 6(37), 10159–10170. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM12.295>
- Carmen, R. W. V., & Morgan, B. L. (2007). Understanding Power and Rules of Thumb for Determining Sample Sizes. *Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology*, 3(2), 43–50. <https://doi.org/10.20982/tqmp.03.2.p043>
- Carter, F. J., Jambulingam, T., Gupta, V. K., & Melone, N. (2001). Technological innovations : a framework for communicating diffusion effects. *Information & Management*, 38, 277–287.
- Castellucci, F., & Podolny, J. M. (2017). The dynamics of position, capability, and market competition. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 26(1), 21–39. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/dtw016>
- Cepeda, G., & Vera, D. (2007). Dynamic capabilities and operational capabilities: A knowledge management perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(5), 426–437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2007.01.013>
- Ceylan, C. (2013). Commitment-based HR practices, different types of innovation activities and firm innovation performance. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(1), 208–226. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2012.680601>
- Chae, B. (Kevin), Yang, C., Olson, D., & Sheu, C. (2014). The impact of advanced analytics and data accuracy on operational performance: A contingent resource based theory (RBT) perspective. *Decision Support Systems*, 59, 119–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2013.10.012>

- Chamsuk, W., Fongsuwan, W., & Takala, J. (2017). The Effects of R & D and Innovation Capabilities on the Thai Automotive Industry Part's Competitive Advantage: A SEM Approach. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, 8(1), 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1515/mper-2017-0011>
- Chan, L. L. M., Shaffer, M. A., & Snape, E. (2004). In search of sustained competitive advantage : the impact of organizational culture , competitive strategy and human resource management practices on firm performance In search of sustained competitive advantage : the impact of organizational culture , co. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 15(1), 17–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0958519032000157320>
- Chandler, G. N., & Hanks, S. H. (1993). Measuring the performance of emerging businesses: A validation study. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 8(5), 391–408. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-9026\(93\)90021-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-9026(93)90021-V)
- Chen, C.-J., & Huang, J.-W. (2009). Strategic human resource practices and innovation performance — The mediating role of knowledge management capacity. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(1), 104–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2007.11.016>
- Chen, J. I. N., & Geng, X. (2004). Auditing the management of technological innovation in chinese companies. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 1(2), 151–163.
- Chen, Ja-shen, & Tsou, H. (2012). Performance effects of IT capability , service process innovation , and the mediating role of customer service. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 29(1), 71–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2011.09.007>
- Chen, Jian-liang. (2012). The synergistic effects of IT-enabled resources on organizational capabilities and firm performance. *Information & Management*, 49(3–4), 142–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2012.01.005>
- Chen, Q., Wang, C. H., & Huang, S. Z. (2019). Effects of organizational innovation and technological innovation capabilities on firm performance: evidence from firms in China's Pearl River Delta. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 00(00), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602381.2019.1592339>
- Chen, X., & Zhao, S. (2012). Research on the evaluation model of Chinese enterprises ' technological innovation system From a perspective of complex system. *Chinese Management Studies*, 6(1), 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17506141211213735>
- Chen, Y.-S., James Lin, M.-J., Chang, C.-H., & Liu, F.-M. (2009). Technological innovations and industry clustering in the bicycle industry in Taiwan. *Technology in Society*, 31(3), 207–217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2009.06.001>
- Chen, Y., Yang, Z., Shu, F., Hu, Z., Meyer, M., & Bhattacharya, S. (2009). A patent based evaluation of technological innovation capability in eight economic regions in PR China. *World Patent Information*, 31(2), 104–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wpi.2008.06.010>

- Cheng, C., & Yang, M. (2017). Enhancing performance of cross-border mergers and acquisitions in developed markets: The role of business ties and technological innovation capability. *Journal of Business Research*, 81(January), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.08.019>
- Cheng, K., Chen, L., & Xu, Q. (2012). Strategic schema, strategic flexibility and technological innovation capability: A moderating role of environmental dynamism. *2012 International Symposium on Management of Technology (ISMOT)*, 38–42. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMOT.2012.6679424>
- Cheng, Y.-L., & Lin, Y.-H. (2012). Performance Evaluation of Technological Innovation Capabilities In Uncertainty. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 40, 287–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.193>
- Chi, T. (2010). Corporate competitive strategies in a transitional manufacturing industry: an empirical study. *Management Decision*, 48(6), 976–995. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741011053497>
- Chiadamrong, N., & Sophonsaritsook, P. (2015). Relationships between supply chain capabilities, competitive advantage and business performance: an exploratory study of the food industry in Thailand. *International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management*, 20(4), 447. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJLSM.2015.068489>
- Chiesa, V., Coughlan, P., & Voss, C. A. (1996). Development of a Technical Innovation Audit. *Journal Production Innovation Management*, 13(2), 105–136.
- Chin, W. W. (1998a). *Commentary: Issues and opinion on structural equation modeling*. JSTOR.
- Chin, W. W. (1998b). Issues and Opinion on Structural Equation Modeling. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 22(1), vii–xvi.
- Chin, W. W. (2010). How to Write Up and Report PLS Analyses. In *Handbook of Partial Least Squares Concepts, Methods and Applications*,. Springer.
- Christensen, J. F. (1995). Asset profiles for technological innovation. *Research Policy*, 24, 727–745.
- Chuang, H.-M., Liu, M.-J., & Chen, Y.-S. (2015). The Effects of Human Resource Capability and Internal Customer Satisfaction on Organizational Effectiveness. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 11(7), 835194. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/835194>
- Cinicioglu, E. N., Ulusoy, G., Ekici, Ş. Ö., & F. Ü., & Ülengin, B. (2017). Exploring the interaction between competitiveness of a country and innovation using Bayesian networks. *Innovation and Development*, 7(2), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2157930X.2017.1292617>
- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112(1), 155–159.

- Collins, C. J., & Clark, K. D. (2003). *Strategic Human Resource Practices , Top Management Team social Networks, and Firm Performance : The Role of Human Resource Practices in Creating in Creating Organizational Competitive Advantage*. 46(6), 740–751.
- Collins, C. J., & Smith, K. G. (2006). Knowledge exchange and combination: The role of human resource practices in the performance of high-technology firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(3), 544–560. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2006.21794671>
- Coltman, T., Devinney, T. M., Midgley, D. F., & Venaik, S. (2008). Formative versus reflective measurement models: Two applications of formative measurement. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(12), 1250–1262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.01.013>
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Croasmun, J. T., & Ostrom, L. (2011). Using Likert-Type Scales in the Social Sciences. *Journal of Adult Education*, 40(1), 19–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-011-9463-0>
- Cruz-gonzález, J., López-sáez, P., Navas-lópez, J. E., & Delgado-verde, M. (2014). Open search strategies and firm performance : The different moderating role of technological environmental dynamism. *Technovation*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2014.09.001>
- Curkovic, S., Vickery, S. K., & Droge, C. (2000). An empirical analysis of the competitive dimensions of quality performance in the automotive supply industry. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 20(3), 386–403. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570010308121>
- Damanpour, F. (1991). Organizational Innovation: a Meta-Analysis of Effects of Determinants and Moderators. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34(3), 555–590. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256406>
- Dawes, J. (1999). The Relationship between Subjective and Objective Company Performance Measures in Market Orientation Research: Further Empirical Evidence. *Marketing Bulletin*, 10(3), 65–75. <http://marketing-bulletin.massey.ac.nz>
- Day, G. S. (1994). The Capabilities of Market-Driven Organizations. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(4), 37. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251915>
- de Mozota, B. B., & Kim, B. Y. (2009). Managing Design as a Core Competency: Lessons from Korea. *Design Management Review*, 20(2), 66–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1948-7169.2009.00009.x>
- DeMarzo, P., Kaniel, R., & Kremer, I. (2007). Technological innovation and real investment booms and busts. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 85(3), 735–754. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.07.003>

- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (n.d.). *The Malaysia Standard Industrial Classification 2008 (MSIC 2008)* [Official Portal]. Codes & Classifications.
- Dewett, T., Whittier, N. C., & Williams, S. D. (2007). Internal diffusion: the conceptualizing innovation implementation. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 17(1/2), 8–25. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10595420710816579>
- Dhewanto, W., Prasetio, E. A., Ratnaningtyas, S., Herliana, S., Chaerudin, R., Aina, Q., Bayuningrat H., R., & Rachmawaty, E. (2012). Moderating Effect of Cluster on Firm's Innovation Capability and Business Performance: A Conceptual Framework. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 65(ICIBSoS), 867–872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.11.212>
- Di Stefano, G., Peteraf, M., & Verona, G. (2014). The organizational drivetrain: A road to integration of dynamic capabilities research. *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 28(4), 307–327.
- Diaconu, M. (2011). Technological Innovation: Concept, Process, Typology and Implications in the Economy. *Theoretical and Applied Economics*, XVIII(10), 127–144.
- Diamantopoulos, A., & Winklhofer, H. M. (2001). Index Construction with Formative Indicators: An Alternative to Scale Development. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38(2), 269–277. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.38.2.269.18845>
- Dierickx, I., & Cool, K. (1989). Asset Stock Accumulation and Sustainability of Competitive Advantage. *Management Science*, 35(12), 1504–1512.
- Dirisu, J. I., Iyiola, O., & Ibidunni, O. S. (2013). Product Differentiation: A tool of competitive advantage and optimal organizational performance (A study of Unilever Nigeria PLC). *European Scientific Journal*, 9(34), 258–281. <https://doi.org/C-ISSN 185-7431>
- do Valle, P. O., & Assaker, G. (2016). Using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling in Tourism Research: A Review of Past Research and Recommendations for Future Applications. *Journal of Travel Research*, 55(6), 695–708. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287515569779>
- Doh, S., & Kim, B. (2014). Government support for SME innovations in the regional industries: The case of government financial support program in South Korea &. *Research Policy*, 43(9), 1557–1569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2014.05.001>
- Doner, R. F., & Wad, P. (2014). Financial Crises and Automotive Industry Development in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 44(4), 664–687. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472336.2014.923635>
- Economic Planning Unit, & World Bank. (2009). *Malaysia Productivity and Investment Climate Assessment Update. 2007*, 620–621.

- Ehie, I. C., & Olibe, K. (2010). The effect of R&D investment on firm value: An examination of US manufacturing and service industries. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 128(1), 127–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2010.06.005>
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, A. J. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: what are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 1105–1121. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266\(200010/11\)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266(200010/11)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E)
- El Safty, S. B. (2011). Critical success factors of six-sigma implementation in automotive industry in Egypt. *SAE 2011 World Congress and Exhibition*. <https://doi.org/10.4271/2011-01-1270>
- El Safty, S. B. (2012). Critical success factors of lean manufacturing implementation in automotive industry in China. *SAE Technical Papers*. <https://doi.org/10.4271/2012-01-0516>
- Eldanfour, I., & Abushaiba, I. A. (2014). Benchmarking of Performance Measurement System to Support Cost Competitive Advantage and Financial Performance - A Conceptual Paper. *International Journal of Humanities and Management Sciences (IJHMS)*, 2(3), 115–119.
- Ellis, S. C., Henke, J. W., & Kull, T. J. (2012). The effect of buyer behaviors on preferred customer status and access to supplier technological innovation: An empirical study of supplier perceptions. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 41(8), 1259–1269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2012.10.010>
- Erik Baark, Antonio, Lau, K. W., Lob, W., & A, N. S. (2011). Innovation Sources, Capabilities and Competitiveness: Evidence from Hong Kong Firms. *DIME Final Conference, 6-8 April 2011, Maastricht*, 1–40.
- Eriksson, T. (2013). Methodological issues in dynamic capabilities research – a critical review. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 8(3), 306–327. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BJOM-Jul-2011-0072>
- Etikan, I. (2016). Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11>
- Fahy, J., & Smithee, A. (1999). Strategic Marketing and the Resource Based View of the Firm. *Academy of Marketing Science Review*, 10, 1–20.
- Fan, X., Liu, W., & Zhu, G. (2017). Scientific linkage and technological innovation capabilities: international comparisons of patenting in the solar energy industry. *Scientometrics*, 111(1), 117–138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-017-2274-5>
- Farooq, R. (2016). Role of structural equation modeling in scale development. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-07-2012-0027>

- Faroque, A. R., Morrish, S. C., & Ferdous, A. S. (2017). Networking, business process innovativeness and export performance: the case of South Asian low-tech industry. *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, 32(6), 864–875. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-06-2015-0113>
- Faugoo, D. (2009). Globalisation and Its Influence on Strategic Human Resource Management, Competitive Advantage and Organisational Success. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 5(4), 123–133.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A. G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G\*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41(4), 1149–1160. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149>
- Feng, T., Sun, L., Zhu, C., & Sohal, A. S. (2012). Customer orientation for decreasing time-to-market of new products: IT implementation as a complementary asset. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 41(6), 929–939. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2011.11.027>
- Ferreira, J., & Coelho, A. (2017). Dynamic capabilities, managerial and marketing capabilities and their impact on the competitive advantage and firm performance. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 30(4), 629. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJESB.2017.082925>
- Fhathyhah, N., Riza, N., Suradi, M., & Ahmad, F. A. (2013). Technological Innovation Capability in Malaysian-Owned Resource-Based Manufacturing Companies : Early Findings. *Proceedings of the 20th National Symposium on Mathematical Sciences, 1491*, 1483–1491. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4801304>
- Flor, M. ., & Oltra, M. . (2004). Identification of innovating firms through technological innovation indicators: an application to the Spanish ceramic tile industry. *Research Policy*, 33(2), 323–336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2003.09.009>
- Fongsuwan, W., Chamsuk, W., Tawinunt, K., Tiengtavaj, S., Dansomboon, S., & Takala, J. (2017). Cluster and R&D Affecting the Competitive Advantage of the Mould and Die Sector in the Thai Automotive Industry. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, 8(4), 3–12. <https://doi.org/10.1515/mper-2017-0032>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Frazier, P. A., Tix, A. P., & Barron, K. E. (2004). Testing moderator and mediator effects in counseling psychology research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 51(1), 115–134. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.51.1.115>
- Garcí'a-Muina, F. E., & Pez, J. E. N.-L. (2007). Explaining and measuring success in new business: The effect of technological capabilities on firm results. *Technovation*, 27, 30–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2006.04.004>
- Gareche, M., Hosseini, S. M., & Taheri, M. (2013). *A Comprehensive Literature Review in Competitive Advantages of Businesses*. 1(11), 2210–2225.



- Gareche, M., Hosseini, S. M., & Taheri, M. (2019). A Comprehensive Literature Review in Competitive Advantages of Businesses. *International Journal of Advanced Studies in Humanities and Social Science*, 8(3), 223–240. <https://doi.org/10.33945/sami/ijashss.2019.3.1>
- Gefen, D. (2000). Structural Equation Modelling and Regression: Guidelines for Research Practice. *Communications of Association for Information Systems*, 4(October), 1–77.
- Gefen, D. (2005). A Practical Guide To Factorial Validity Using PLS-Graph Tutorial and Annotated Example. *Communication of the Association for Information Systems*, 16, 91–109.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D., & Boudreau, M. C. (2000). Structural equation modeling and regression: Guidelines for research practice. Communications of the association for information systems. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 4(1), 7. <http://aisel.aisnet.org/cais%0Ahttp://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/vol4/iss1/7>
- Ghaffar, A., & Khan, W. A. (2014). Impact of Research and Development on Firm Performance. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 4(1), 357. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijafr.v4i1.6087>
- Gomezelj Omerzel, D., & Smolčić Jurdana, D. (2016). The influence of intellectual capital on innovativeness and growth in tourism SMEs: empirical evidence from Slovenia and Croatia. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 29(1), 1075–1090. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2016.1211946>
- Gonza, J. (2009). The intervening effect of business innovation capability on the relationship between Total Quality Management and technological innovation. *International Journal of Production Research*, 47(18), 5087–5107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207540802070934>
- González-Benito, J., & Suárez-González, I. (2010). A study of the role played by manufacturing strategic objectives and capabilities in understanding the relationship between porter's generic strategies and business performance. *British Journal of Management*, 21(4), 1027–1043. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2008.00626.x>
- González-Benito, Ó., & González-Benito, J. (2005). Cultural vs. operational market orientation and objective vs. subjective performance: Perspective of production and operations. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 34(8), 797–829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2005.01.002>
- Grant, R. M. (1991a). The Resource-Based Theory of Competitive Advantage : Implications for Strategy Formulation. *California Management Review*, 114–135.
- Grant, R. M. (1991b). The Resource Based Theory of Competitive Advantage: Implication for Strategy Formulation. *Calafornia Management Review*.

- Guan, J. C., Yam, R. C. M., Mok, C. K., & Ma, N. (2006). A study of the relationship between competitiveness and technological innovation capability based on DEA models. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 170(3), 971–986. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2004.07.054>
- Guan, J., & Ma, N. (2003). Innovative capability and export performance of Chinese firms. *Technovation*, 23, 737–747. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4972\(02\)00013-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4972(02)00013-5)
- Guan, Jiancheng, & Liu, J. (2007). Integrated innovation between technology and organization. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 4(4), 415–432.
- Guimarães, M. R. N. (2014). Competitive Priorities and Innovation in SMEs: A Brazil Multi-Case Study. *Journal of Technology Management and Innovation*, 9(3), 34–50. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27242014000300004>
- Habidin, N. F., Hashim, S., Zainol, Z., Mustaffa, W. S. W., Ong, S. Y. Y., & Hudin, N. S. (2015). *Measuring the innovation performance of Malaysian automotive industry*.
- Habidin, N. F., Hashim, S., Zainol, Z., Salmuni, W., & Mustaffa, W. (2015). *Measuring the innovation performance of Malaysian*. 11(11), 14–23.
- Habidin, N. F., Zubir, A. F. M., Fuzi, N. M., Latip, N. A. M., & Azman, M. N. A. (2015). Sustainable Performance Measures for Malaysian Automotive Industry. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 33(6), 1017–1024.
- Hadjimanolis, A. (2000). A Resource-based View of Innovativeness in Small Firms. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 12(2), 263–281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713698465>
- Haenlein, M., & Kaplan, A. M. (2004). A Beginner's Guide to Partial Least Squares Analysis. *Understanding Statistics*, 3(4), 283–297. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328031us0304\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328031us0304_4)
- Hagedoorn, J., & Cloudt, M. (2003). Measuring innovative performance: is there an advantage in using multiple indicators? *Research Policy*, 32, 1365–1379. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(02\)00137-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(02)00137-3)
- Hair, J., Hollingsworth, C. L., Randolph, A. B., & Chong, A. Y. L. (2017). An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 117(3), 442–458. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-04-2016-0130>
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & G. Kuppelwieser, V. (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). *European Business Review*, 26(2), 106–121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128>
- Hair, Joe F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202>

- Hair, Joe F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Mena, J. A. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(3), 414–433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-011-0261-6>
- Hair, Joseph F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (Pearson ne). Pearson Education Limited.
- Hair, Joseph F., Hult, G. T. M. H., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (Eds.). (2014). *A primer on partial least squares structural equations modeling (PLS-SEM)*. SAGE.
- Hair, Joseph F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling: Rigorous Applications, Better Results and Higher Acceptance. *Long Range Planning*, 46(1–2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2013.01.001>
- Hair, Joseph F., Sarstedt, M., Pieper, T. M., & Ringle, C. M. (2012). The Use of Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling in Strategic Management Research: A Review of Past Practices and Recommendations for Future Applications. *Long Range Planning*, 45(5–6), 320–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.09.008>
- Hair, Joseph F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Gudergan, S. P. (2017). *Advanced Issues in Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling*. SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Hall, R. (1992). The strategic analysis of intangible resources. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13, 135–144.
- Hall, R. (1993). A framework linking intangible resources and capabilities to sustainable competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(8), 607–618.
- Hamid, U. Z. A., Ishak, S. Z., & Imaduddin, F. (2019). Current Landscape of the Automotive Field in the ASEAN Region: Case Study of Singapore, Malaysia and Indonesia- A Brief Overview. *Asean Journal of Automotive Technology*, 1(1), 21–28.
- Hamzah, H. Z. (2015). *Liberalising the automotive industry*. Penerbit Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Hashim, S., Fadzlin, A., Zubir, M., Condong, J., & Seri, N. A. (2012). Kaizen Event and Innovation Performance in Malaysian Automotive Industry. *Business Management and Strategy*, 3(2), 11–22. <https://doi.org/10.5296/bms.v3i2.2029>
- Hassan, M. U., Shaukat, S., Nawaz, M. S., & Naz, S. (2013). Effects of Innovation Types on Firm Performance: an Empirical Study on Pakistan's Manufacturing Sector. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, 7(2), 243–262.
- Hatani, L. (2013). The Role of Competitiveness as Mediator for the Relation between Supply Chain Flexibility and Firm Performance. *Journal of Management Research*, 5(1), 269–290. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jmr.v5i1.2904>

- Hatani, L., & Mahrani, S. W. (2013). Strategic human resource management practices : mediator of total quality management and competitiveness ( a study on small and medium enterprises in kendari southeast sulawesi ). *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 2(1), 8–20.
- Hayes, A. F., & Preacher, K. J. (2010). Quantifying and testing indirect effects in simple mediation models when the constituent paths are nonlinear. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 45(4), 627–660. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2010.498290>
- He, Y., Keung Lai, K., Sun, H., & Chen, Y. (2014). The impact of supplier integration on customer integration and new product performance: The mediating role of manufacturing flexibility under trust theory. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 147, 260–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.04.044>
- Helfat, C. E., & Martin, J. A. (2015). Dynamic managerial capabilities: Review and assessment of managerial impact on strategic change. *Journal of Management*, 41(5), 1281–1312.
- Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2003). The Dynamic Resource-Based View: Capability lifecycles. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24, 997–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.332>
- Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2009). Understanding dynamic capabilities: progress along a developmental path. *Strategic Organization*, 7(1), 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127008100133>
- Henderson, R., & Cockburn, I. (1994). Measuring Competence? Exploring Firm Effects in Pharmaceutical Research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 1–36.
- Heng, T. (2011). The Empirical Analysis of enterprise Scientific and Technological Innovation Capability. *Energy Procedia*, 5, 1258–1263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.03.219>
- Henseler, Jörg. (2018). Partial least squares path modeling : Quo vadis ? *Quality & Quantity*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-018-0689-6>
- Henseler, Jörg. (2017). Bridging Design and Behavioral Research With Variance-Based Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Advertising*, 46(1), 178–192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2017.1281780>
- Henseler, Jörg, Hubno, G., & Ray, P. A. (2016). Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: updated guidelines. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 116(1), 2–20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570710734262>
- Henseler, Jörg, Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>

- Henseler, Jörg, Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (Eds.). (2009). The Use of Partial Least Squares Path Modeling in International Marketing. *New Challenges to International Marketing Advances in International Marketing*, 20(20), 277–319.
- Hewitt-Dundas, N. (2006). Resource and Capability Constraints to Innovation in Small and Large Plants. *Small Business Economics*, 26(3), 257–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-005-2140-3>
- Hibadullah, S. N., Habidin, N. F., Zamri, F. I. M., Fuzi, N. M., & Desa, A. F. N. C. (2014). Critical success factors of lean manufacturing practices for the Malaysian automotive manufacturers. *International Journal of Quality and Innovation*, 2(3/4), 256. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijqi.2014.066382>
- Hinterhuber, A. (2013). Can competitive advantage be predicted?: Towards a predictive definition of competitive advantage in the resource-based view of the firm. *Management Decision*, 51(4), 795–812. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741311326572>
- Ho, T. C. F., Ahmad, N. H., & Ramayah, T. (2016). Competitive Capabilities and Business Performance among Manufacturing SMEs: Evidence from an Emerging Economy, Malaysia. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Business*, 17(1), 37–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10599231.2016.1129263>
- Hobday, M., & Rush, H. (2007). Upgrading the technological capabilities of foreign transnational subsidiaries in developing countries: The case of electronics in Thailand. *Research Policy*, 36(9), 1335–1356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.05.004>
- Hsu, C., & Lin, C. (2017). The Influence of Learning Orientation and Human Resource Practices on Firm Innovativeness and Innovations : An Application of the Push and Pull Framework. *Journal of Economics and Management*, 13(1), 27–51.
- Hsu, Y., & Fang, W. (2009). Intellectual capital and new product development performance: The mediating role of organizational learning capability. *Technological Forecasting & Social Change*, 76(5), 664–677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2008.03.012>
- Huang, H. (2011). Technological innovation capability creation potential of open innovation: a cross-level analysis in the biotechnology industry Technological innovation capability creation potential of open innovation: a cross-level. *Technology Analysis & Strategic*, 23(1), 49–63.
- Humaidi, N., Shahrom, M., & Abdullah, Q. A. (2018). The Effect of Innovation Success Factors Towards Organizational Performance in Automotive Industry. *International Journal of Business and Administrative Studies*, 4(3), 129–136. <https://doi.org/10.20469/ijbas.4.10005-3>
- Ili, S., Albers, A., & Miller, S. (2010). Open innovation in the automotive industry. *R&D Management*, 40(3), 246–255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9310.2010.00595.x>

- Ince, H., Imamoglu, S. Z., & Turkcan, H. (2016). The Effect of Technological Innovation Capabilities and Absorptive Capacity on Firm Innovativeness: A Conceptual Framework. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 235(October), 764–770. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.11.078>
- Iskandar, M. L., & Ariffin, A. S. (2019). Relationship between National Automotive Policy (NAP), innovation and automotive vendors' performance in Malaysia. *Management Science Letters*, 9(8), 1181–1198. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.4.022>
- Ismail, A. I., Rose, R. C., Abdullah, H., & Uli, J. (2010). The relationship between organisational competitive advantage and performance moderated by the age and size of firms. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 15(2), 157–173.
- Ismail, A. I., Rose, R. C., Uli, J., & Abdullah, H. (2012). The Relationship Between Organisational Resources, Capabilities, Systems and Competitive Advantage. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 17(1), 151–173.
- Ismanu, S., & Kusmintarti, A. (2019). Innovation and Firm Performance of Small and Medium Enterprises. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 8(2), 312-.
- Jacobs, M., Vickery, S. K., & Droge, C. (2007). The effects of product modularity on competitive performance: Do integration strategies mediate the Relationship? *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 27(10), 1046–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570710820620>
- Jain, B., Adil, G. K., & Ananthakumar, U. (2014). Development of questionnaire to assess manufacturing capability along different decision areas. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 71(9–12), 2091–2105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-013-5589-2>
- Jannoo, Z., Yap, B. W., Auchoybur, N., & Lazim, M. A. (2014). The Effect of Nonnormality on CB-SEM and PLS-SEM Path Estimates. *International Journal of Mathematical, Computational, Physical, Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 8(2), 285–291.
- Jantunen, A., Puumalainen, K., Saarenketo, S., Tuppuru, A., & Kyla, K. (2011). Innovation and internationalization as growth strategies : The role of technological capabilities and appropriability. *International Business Review*, 20, 508–520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2010.09.004>
- Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A Critical Review of Construct Indicators and Measurement Model Misspecification in Marketing and Consumer Research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 30(2), 199–218. <https://doi.org/10.1086/376806>
- Jayasankaran, S. (1993). Made in Malaysia: The Proton Project. In *Industrializing Malaysia: Policy, Performance, Prospects*. (pp. 272–285). Routledge.

- Jerez-Gómez, P., Céspedes-Lorente, J., & Pérez-Valls, M. (2017). Do high-performance human resource practices work? The mediating role of organizational learning capability. *Journal of Management and Organization*, December, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2017.55>
- Jia, R., Li, R., & Hui, H. (2010). EVALUATION OF TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION CAPABILITY OF SMALL AND MEDIUM INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES IN HEBEI PROVINCE. *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics, Qindao, July*, 11–14.
- Jiang, L. L. Z. (2016). Influence of technological innovation capabilities on product competitiveness. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 116(5).
- Jiménez-Jiménez, D., & Sanz-Valle, R. (2008). Could HRM support organizational innovation? *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(7), 1208–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190802109952>
- Johansson, P. E. C., Lezama, T., Malmsköld, L., Sjögren, B., & Ahlström, L. M. (2013). Current State of Standardized Work in Automotive Industry in Sweden. *Procedia CIRP*, 7, 151–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2013.05.026>
- Joo, H.-Y., Seo, Y.-W., & Min, H. (2018). Examining the effects of government intervention on the firm's environmental and technological innovation capabilities and export performance. *International Journal of Production Research*, February, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1430902>
- Jordan, P. J., & Troth, A. C. (2019). Common method bias in applied settings: The dilemma of researching in organizations. *Australian Journal of Management*, August, 031289621987197. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0312896219871976>
- Joseph F. Hair, J., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A Primer On Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Joshi, A., Kale, S., Chandel, S., & Pal, D. (2015). Likert Scale: Explored and Explained. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, 7(4), 396–403. <https://doi.org/10.9734/BJAST/2015/14975>
- Joshi, D., Pal, A., Rathore, S., & Sharma, D. (2013). On supply chain competitiveness of Indian automotive component manufacturing industry. *Intern. Journal of Production Economics*, 143(1), 151–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.12.023>
- Ju, K.-J. J., Park, B., & Kim, T. (2016). Causal Relationship between Supply Chain Dynamic Capabilities, Technological Innovation, and Operational Performance. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, 7(4), 6–15. <https://doi.org/10.1515/mper-2016-0031>
- Kalkan, A., & Bozkurt, Ö. Ç. (2013). The Choice and Use of Strategic Planning Tools and Techniques in Turkish SMEs According to Attitudes of Executives. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 99, 1016–1025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.10.575>

- Kamukama, N., Ahiauzu, A., & Ntayi, J. M. (2011). Competitive advantage : mediator of intellectual capital and performance. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 12(1), 152–164. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14691931111097953>
- Karagouni, G., & Papadopoulos, I. (2007). The Impact of Technological Innovation Capabilities on the Competitiveness of a Mature Industry. *Management of International Business & Economic Systems*, 1(1), 17–34.
- Karagozoglu, N. (1993). Environmental uncertainty , strategic planning , and technological competitive advantage. *Technovation*, 13(6), 335–347.
- Karami, A., Jones, B. M., & Kakabadse, N. (2008). Does strategic human resource management matter in high-tech sector? Some learning points for SME managers. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 8(1), 7–17. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14720700810853365>
- Khalifah, N. A. (2013). Ownership and technical efficiency in Malaysia’s automotive industry: A stochastic frontier production function analysis. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*, 22(4), 509–535. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638199.2011.571702>
- Khamseh, A., & Sasani, S. (2014). Assessment of Technological Innovation Capabilities in Iran’s Rolling and Pipe Mills Industries: Case Study of Ahwaz Rolling and Pipe Mills Co. *Indian J.Sci.Res.*, 4(6), 363–372.
- Khan, S. Z., Yang, Q., & Waheed, A. (2019). Investment in intangible resources and capabilities spurs sustainable competitive advantage and firm performance. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(2), 285–295. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1678>
- Khandekar, A., & Sharma, A. (2005). Managing human resource capabilities for sustainable competitive advantage. *Education + Training*, 47(8/9), 628–639. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00400910510633161>
- Kharub, M., Mor, R. S., & Sharma, R. (2018). The relationship between cost leadership competitive strategy and firm performance: A mediating role of quality management. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-06-2017-0116>
- Kim, S. K., Lee, B. G., Park, B. S., & Oh, K. S. (2011). The effect of R & D , technology commercialization capabilities and innovation performance. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 17(4), 563–578. <https://doi.org/10.3846/20294913.2011.603481>
- Knott, P. J. (2015). Does VRIO help managers evaluate a firm’s resources? *Management Decision*, 53(8), 1806–1822. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-08-2014-0525>
- Kock, N. (2010). Using WarpPLS in E-collaboration Studies : An Overview of Five Main Analysis Steps. *International Journal of E-Collaboration*, 6(December), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jec.2010100101>



- Kock, N. (2011). Using WarpPLS in e-Collaboration Studies: Mediating Effects, Control and Second Order Variables, and Algorithm Choices. *International Journal of E-Collaboration*, 7(September), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jec.2011070101>
- Kock, N. (2012). Using WarpPLS in E-Collaboration Studies: Descriptive Statistics, Settings. *International Journal of E-Collaboration*, 7(2), 1–18.
- Kock, N. (2014a). Advanced mediating effects tests , multi-group analyses , and measurement model assessments in PLS-based SEM. *International Journal of E-Collaboration*, 10(1), 1–13.
- Kock, N. (2014b). Stable P value calculation methods in PLS-SEM. *Laredo, TX: ScriptWarp Systems. Abstract*, 1–15.
- Kock, N. (2015). Common method bias in PLS-SEM: A full collinearity assessment approach. *International Journal of E-Collaboration*, 11(4), 1–10.
- Kock, N. (2016). Hypothesis testing with confidence intervals and P values in PLS-SEM. *International Journal of E-Collaboration*, 12(3), 1–6.
- Kock, N. (2017). *WarpPLS 6.0 User Manual*.
- Kock, N., & Lynn, G. S. (2012). Lateral Collinearity and Misleading Results in Variance-Based SEM: An Illustration and Recommendations. *Journal of the Association for Information*, 13(7), 546–580.
- Kock, N., & Verville, J. (2012). Exploring Free Questionnaire Data with Anchor Variables: An Illustration Based on a Study of IT in Healthcare. *International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics*, 7(1), 46–63. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jhisi.2012010104>
- Kocoglu, I., Imamoglu, S. Z., Ince, H., & Keskin, H. (2012). Learning , R & D and Manufacturing Capabilities as Determinants of Technological Learning : Enhancing Innovation and Firm Performance. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral*, 58, 842–852. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1062>
- Komolavanij, S., Jeenanunta, C., & Ammarapala, V. (2011). Chapter 5 Innovation Capability of Thailand's Automotive Industrial Network. In *How to Enhance Innovation Capability with Internal and External Sources* (in Intraku, Issue June, pp. 219–272). ERIA Research Project Report 2010=9,.
- Kong, F., Zhang, Z., & Liu, Y. (2008). Study on the Evaluation of Technological Innovation Capability Under Uncertainty. *2008 4th International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WiCom.2008.2999>
- Kor, Y. Y., & Mahoney, J. T. (2004). Edith Penrose's (1959) contributions to the resource-based view of strategic management. *Journal of Management Studies*, 41(1), 183–191.

- Koska, A. (2013). Knowledge Sharing Process , Innovation Capability and Innovation Performance : An Empirical Study. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 75, 217–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.04.025>
- Koufteros, X. A., Vonderembse, M. A., & Doll, W. J. (2002). Examining the Competitive Capabilities of Manufacturing Firms. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 9(2), 233–255. <https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0902>
- Koufteros, X., Babbar, S., & Kaighobadi, M. (2009). A paradigm for examining second-order factor models employing structural equation modeling. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 120(2), 633–652. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2009.04.010>
- Kraaijenbrink, J., Spender, J.-C., & Groen, a. J. (2010). The Resource-Based View: A Review and Assessment of Its Critiques. *Journal of Management*, 36(1), 349–372. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309350775>
- Krasnikov, A., & Jayachandran, S. (2008). The Relative Impact of Marketing, Research-and-Development, and Operations Capabilities on Firm Performance. *Journal of Marketing*, 72(4), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.72.4.1>
- Krzakiewicz, K., & Cyfert, S. (2017). Dynamic capabilities in strategic choice processes within organisations. *Management*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1515/manment-2015-0077>
- Kumar, D. S., & Purani, K. (2018). Model specification issues in PLS-SEM: Illustrating linear and non-linear models in hospitality services context. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 9(3), 338–353. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTT-09-2017-0105>
- Kumar, U., Butt, I., & Kumar, V. (2018). The impact of strategic orientations on development of manufacturing strategy and firm's performance. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 77(4), 187–209. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTM.2018.10013868>
- Kuo, S. Y., Lin, P. C., & Lu, C. S. (2017). The effects of dynamic capabilities, service capabilities, competitive advantage, and organizational performance in container shipping. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 95, 356–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2016.11.015>
- L., J. H. C., & Chong, H. A. B. R. A. (2017). An updated and expanded assessment of PLS- SEM in information systems research. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 110(3), 111–133. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570710734262>
- Lahovnik, M., & Breznik, L. (2014). Technological Innovation Capabilities As a Source of Competitive Advantage: a Case Study From the Home Appliance Industry. *Transformations in Business & Economics*, 13(2), 144–160.
- Lähtinen, K. (2007). *Linking resource-based view with business economics of woodworking industry: earlier findings and future insights.*

- Lakhal, L. (2009). Impact of quality on competitive advantage and organizational performance. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 60(5), 637–645. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2602601>
- Lall, S. (1992). Technological Capabilities and Industrialization. *World Development*, 20(2), 165–186.
- Lampón, J. F., Cabanelas, P., & González-Benito, J. (2017). The impact of modular platforms on automobile manufacturing networks. *Production Planning and Control*, 28(4), 335–348. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2017.1287442>
- Lasagni, A. (2012). How Can External Relationships Enhance Innovation in SMEs? New Evidence for Europe\*. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 50(2), 310–339. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-627X.2012.00355.x>
- Lau, A. K. W., Baark, E., Lo, W. L. W., & Sharif, N. (2013). The effects of innovation sources and capabilities on product competitiveness in Hong Kong and the Pearl River Delta. *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, 21(2), 220–236. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19761597.2013.866313>
- Lau, A. K. W., Yam, R. C. M., & Tang, E. (2011). The impact of product modularity on new product performance: Mediation by product innovativeness. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 28(2), 270–284. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2011.00796.x>
- Lau, A. K. W., Yam, R. C. M., & Tang, E. P. Y. (2010). The impact of technological innovation capabilities on innovation performance: An empirical study in Hong Kong. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy in China*, 1(2), 163–186.
- Lavie, D. (2006). The competitive advantage of interconnected firms: An extension of the resource-based view. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(3), 638–658.
- Lee, F.-H., Lee, T.-Z., & Wu, W.-Y. (2010). The relationship between human resource management practices, business strategy and firm performance: evidence from steel industry in Taiwan. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(9), 1351–1372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2010.488428>
- Lee, J. (2017). A Review of Competitive Repertoire-Action-Based Competitive Advantage. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 12(11), 120. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v12n11p120>
- Lee, M. J., Cave, A. H., & Nw, S. (2018). Comprehensive competitiveness for auto companies from the USA , Germany , Japan , and Korea : empirical analysis through a diamond model perspective Seo Yun Paik Jin Sup Jung \*. *Int. J. Multinational Corporation Strategy*, 2(2), 95–132.
- Lee, N., & Cadogan, J. W. (2013). Problems with formative and higher-order reflective variables. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(2), 242–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.08.004>

- Leonidou, L. C., Leonidou, C. N., Fotiadis, T. A., & Zeriti, A. (2013). Resources and capabilities as drivers of hotel environmental marketing strategy: Implications for competitive advantage and performance. *Tourism Management*, 35, 94–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2012.06.003>
- Lestari, E. R., Thoyib, A., Zain, D., & Santoso, I. (2013). Innovation as a Mediating Variable of the Relationship between Technological Capability and Firm Performance : A Conceptual Approach. *International Journal of Business and Behavioral Sciences*, 3(12), 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n2p165>
- Li-Yun, S., Aryee, S., & Law, K. S. (2007). High-Performance Human Resource Practices, Citizenship Behavior, and Organizational Performance: a Relational Perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(3), 558–577. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2007.25525821>
- Li, C. (2012). Expert Systems with Applications Knowledge stickiness in the buyer – supplier knowledge transfer process : The moderating effects of learning capability and social embeddedness. *Expert Systems With Applications*, 39(5), 5396–5408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.11.045>
- Li, D., & Liu, J. (2014). Dynamic capabilities , environmental dynamism , and competitive advantage : Evidence from China. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(1), 2793–2799. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.08.007>
- Li, J. J., & Zhou, K. Z. (2010). How foreign firms achieve competitive advantage in the Chinese emerging economy : Managerial ties and market orientation. *Journal of Business Research*, 63(8), 856–862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.06.011>
- Li, Q., & Chen, Y. W. (2010). The effects of innovation capability on new product development performance: The evidence of Zhejiang province’ SMEs. *2010 International Conference on Management and Service Science, MASS 2010*, 4, 9–13. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMSS.2010.5577473>
- Li, S., Ragu-Nathan, B., Ragu-Nathan, T. S., & Subba Rao, S. (2006). The impact of supply chain management practices on competitive advantage and organizational performance. *Omega*, 34(2), 107–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2004.08.002>
- Li, Y., Zhao, Y., & Liu, Y. (2006). The relationship between HRM , technology innovation and performance in China. *International Journal of Manpower*, 27(7), 679–697. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437720610708284>
- Liang, Y., Liu, D., Zhang, L., & Zhang, Y. (2010). Modeling technological innovation capability as an engine of growth: Evidence from China’s SMEs. *2010 IEEE International Conference on Management of Innovation & Technology*, 841–846. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMIT.2010.5492798>
- Liao, M. (2006). A Firm-Level Study of the International competitiveness :Theoretical Analysis. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 3(1), 21–41.

- Lin, H. (2013). The impact of socialization mechanisms and technological innovation capabilities on partnership quality and supply chain integration. *Inf System E-Business Management*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-013-0226-z>
- Lin, Y., & Wu, L.-Y. (2014). Exploring the role of dynamic capabilities in firm performance under the resource-based view framework. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(3), 407–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.12.019>
- Liu, H., & Hsu, C. (2011). Antecedents and consequences of corporate diversification: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *Management Decision*, 49(9), 1510–1534. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741111173961>
- Liu, L., Jiang, Z., & Jiang, L. L. Z. (2016). Influence of technological innovation capabilities on product competitiveness. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 116(5), 883–902. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-05-2015-0189>
- Lo, D., Claver-corte, E., López-Gamero, M. D., Molina-Azorín, J. F., & Claver-Cortés, E. (2009). The whole relationship between environmental variables and firm performance: Competitive advantage and firm resources as mediator variables. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(10), 3110–3121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.05.007>
- Lockett, A., Thompson, S., & Morgenstern, U. (2009). The development of the resource-based view of the firm: A critical appraisal. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 11(1), 9–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2008.00252.x>
- Loke, W.-K., & Abu, N. H. B. (2017). Analyzing The Impact of Knowledge Management on Technological Innovation : An Empirical Study of Electrical and Electronics Industry in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 7(7), 640–648. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i7/3127>
- Lu, I. (2007). Fuzzy multiattribute analysis for evaluating firm technological innovation capability. *Int. J. Technology Management*, 40(1/2/3), 114–130.
- Lu, Y., Zhou, L., Bruton, G., & Li, W. (2010). Capabilities as a mediator linking resources and the international performance of entrepreneurial firms in an emerging economy. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41(3), 419–436. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2009.73>
- Lucheng, H., Yafei, L., Hongcai, Z., & Xiaoying, L. (2006). Technology Innovation Ability Analysis of Beijing ' s Manufacturing Industry. *2006 IEEE International Conference on Management of Innovation and Technology*, 195–201.
- Lukas, B. A., & Bell, S. J. (2000). Strategic Market Position and R & D Capability in Global Manufacturing Industries and Organizational Memory. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29, 565–574.
- Luo, Y. (2000). Dynamic Capabilities in International Expansion. *Journal of World Business*, 35(4), 355–378. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1090-9516\(00\)00043-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1090-9516(00)00043-2)

- Ma, H. (2000). Competitive Advantage and Firm Performance. *Competitiveness Review*, 10(2), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb046396>
- MAA, (Malaysia Automotive Association). (2019). *Summary of Sales & Production Data*.
- MacLean, D., MacIntosh, R., & Seidl, D. (2015). Rethinking dynamic capabilities from a creative action perspective. *Strategic Organization*, 13(4), 340–352.
- Madhavan, M. (2015). Supply chain strategy and firm performance. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 10(5), 13197–13210. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570510605090>
- Mahmood, R. (2013). Entrepreneurial Orientation and Business Performance of Women-Owned Small and Medium Enterprises in Malaysia: Competitive Advantage as a Mediator. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(1), 82–90.
- MAI, (Malaysia Automotive Institute). (2016). *MAI Annual Report 2015: The Malaysian Automotive Industry: New Era Of Global Competitiveness*.
- Maier, A., Brad, S., Nicoară, D., & Maier, D. (2014). Innovation by Developing Human Resources, Ensuring the Competitiveness and Success of the Organization. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 109, 645–648. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.521>
- Makadok, R. (2001). Toward a synthesis of the resource-based and dynamic-capability views of rent creation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(5), 387–401. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.158>
- Malaysia Automotive Institute. (2017). *History of Malaysia's Automotive Industry*.
- Malaysia Automotive Robotics and IoT Institute, Mar. (2018). *The Contribution of the Automotive Industry – Malaysia Automotive Institute (MAI)*. <http://mai.org.my/the-automotive-industry/>
- Malhotra, M. K., & Grover, V. (1998). An assessment of survey research in POM : from constructs to theory. *Journal of Operations Management*, 16, 407–425.
- Manafi, M., & Subramaniam, I. D. (2015). Relationship between human resources management practices, transformational leadership, and knowledge sharing on innovation in Iranian electronic industry. *Asian Social Science*, 11(10), 358–385. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n10p358>
- Mao, Q., & Cheng, W. (2010). Study on the Evaluation of Enterprise Self-Technological Innovation Capability. *2010 International Conference on Management and Service Science*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMSS.2010.5578623>
- March, J. G., & Sutton, R. (1997). Organizational Performance as a Dependent Variable. *Organization Science*, 8(6), 698–706. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.8.6.698>

- Marcoulides, G. A., & Saunders, C. (2006). Editor ' s comments : PLS : A silver bullet ? *MIS Quarterly*, 30(2 (June, 2006)), iii–ix. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148727>
- Markard, J., Stadelmann, M., & Truffer, B. (2009). Prospective analysis of technological innovation systems: Identifying technological and organizational development options for biogas in Switzerland. *Research Policy*, 38, 655–667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2009.01.013>
- Martinuzzi, A., Kudlak, R., Faber, C., & Wiman, A. (2011). *CSR Activities and Impacts of the Automotive Sector* (Issue 3).
- Marti, A. (2005). Supply chain flexibility and firm performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(7), 681–700. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570510605090>
- Maru, S. C., Lagat, L. C., & Charles, K. (2015). Moderating Effects of Networking Capabilities on Marketing Capabilities and Performance of Small Firms in Kenya. *Journal of Marketing and Consumer Research*, 15, 196–208.
- Matsuo, T. (2011). Network Resources as a Source of Competitive Advantage. *2011 International Conference on Innovation, Management and Service*, 14, 172–176.
- Mazzanti, M., Pini, P., & Tortia, E. (2006). Organizational innovations , human resources and firm performance The Emilia-Romagna food sector. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, 35, 123–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2005.12.007>
- McIvor, R. (2001). Lean supply: The design and cost reduction dimensions. *European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 7(4), 227–242. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012\(01\)00004-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012(01)00004-1)
- Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI). (2016). *MITI REPORT 2015*.
- Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI). (2020). National Automotive Policy 2020. In *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* (Vol. 53, Issue 9). Ministry of International Trade and Industry Malaysia. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Ministry of International Trade and Industry Malaysia. (2014). *Media Statement-National Automotive Policy (NAP) 2014*.
- MITI, (Ministry of International Trade and Industry). (2020). National Automotive Policy (Nap) 2020. In *Ministry of International Trade and Industry*. [https://www.miti.gov.my/miti/resources/NAP 2020/NAP2020\\_Booklet.pdf](https://www.miti.gov.my/miti/resources/NAP%2020/NAP2020_Booklet.pdf)
- MITI, (Ministry of International Trade and Industry). (2015). *Background of ASEAN Free Trade Agreements*. Malaysia's Free Trade Agreements.
- MITI, (Ministry of International Trade and Industry). (2016). *MITI REPORT 2016*. Ministry of International Trade and Industry Malaysia.

- Mitrega, M., Forkmann, S., Zaefarian, G., & Henneberg, S. C. (2017). Networking Capability in Supplier Relationships and its Impact on Product Innovation and Firm Performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(5). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-2015-0216>
- Mohammad, S., Razavi, H., Nargesi, G. R., & Akbari, M. (2016). The impact of technological innovation capabilities on competitive performance of Iranian ICT firms. *Iranian Journal of Management Studies (IJMS)*, 9(4), 855–882.
- Mohammadi, M., Elyasi, M., & Kiasari, M. M. (2014). *Developing a model for technological capability assessment / case of automotive parts manufacturers in iran*. 11(2), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021987701450014X>
- Mohamud, M., & Sarpong, D. (2016). Dynamic capabilities: towards an organizing framework. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 9(4), 511–526. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-11-2015-0088>
- Mohan, A. V. (2011a). Internal and External Resources for Enhancing Innovation Capabilities-An Exploratory Study based on Cases from Malaysian Automotive Sector. In *How to Enhance Innovation Capability with Internal and External Sources* (pp. 105–149). ERIA.
- Mohan, A. V. (2011b). ‘Internal and External Resources for Enhancing Innovation Capabilities – An Exploratory Study based on Cases from Malaysian Automotive Sector. In *How to Enhance Innovation Capability with Internal and External Sources*. ERIA Research Project Report 2010-9.
- More, R. Z., & Jain, K. (2013). Innovation and competitiveness among the firms in the Indian automobile cluster. *Innovation and Development*, 3(2), 187–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2157930X.2013.828886>
- MPC, M. P. C. (2017). *Productivity Report 2016/2017*. Malaysia Productivity Corporation.
- Mu, J., Peng, G., & Love, E. (2008). Interfirm networks, social capital, and knowledge flow. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 12(4), 86–100. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13673270810884273>
- Mukerji, B., Fantazy, K., Kumar, U., & Kumar, V. (2010). The Impact of Various Dimensions of Manufacturing Capability on Commercialization Performance : Evidence from Canadian Manufacturing Sector. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 11(3), 1–10.
- Mumtaz, A. M., Hiram, T., Ramayah, T. ., Francis, C., & Jun-Hwa, C. (2017). A review of the methodological misconceptions and guidelines related to the application of structural equation modeling: A Malaysian scenario. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling*, 1(1), 1–13.
- Na, K., & Kang, Y. H. (2019). Relations between innovation and firm performance of manufacturing firms in Southeast Asian emerging markets: Empirical evidence from Indonesia, Malaysia, and Vietnam. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc5040098>



- Najafi-Tavani, S., Najafi-Tavani, Z., Naudé, P., Oghazi, P., & Zeynaloo, E. (2018). How collaborative innovation networks affect new product performance: Product innovation capability, process innovation capability, and absorptive capacity. *Industrial Marketing Management*, February, 0–1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2018.02.009>
- Nand, A. A., & Singh, P. J. (2014). Do Innovative Organisations Compete on Single or Multiple Operational Capabilities? *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 18(03), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919614400015>
- Nath, P., Nachiappan, S., & Ramanathan, R. (2010). The impact of marketing capability, operations capability and diversification strategy on performance: A resource-based view. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(2), 317–329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2008.09.001>
- Natsuda, K., Segawa, N., & Thoburn, J. (2013). Liberalization, Industrial Nationalism, and the Malaysian Automotive Industry. *Global Economic Review: Perspectives on East Asian Economies and Industries*, 42(2), 113–134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1226508X.2013.791475>
- Natsuda, K., & Thoburn, J. (2014). How much policy space still exists under the WTO? A comparative study of the automotive industry in Thailand and Malaysia. *Review of International Political Economy*, 21(6), 1346–1377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2013.878741>
- Nauhria, Y., Pandey, S., & Kulkani, M. S. (2011). Competitive priorities for indian car manufacturing industry (2011-2020) for global competitiveness. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 12(3–4), 9–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03396603>
- Nazir, N. M., & Shavarebi, K. (2018). *A review of global automotive industry 's competitive strategies*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/WJSTSD-10-2018-0060>
- Newbert, S. L. (2007). Empirical Research on Resource-Based View of the Firm: An Assessment and Suggestions for Future Research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 146(28), 121–146. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj>
- Newbert, S. L. (2008). Value, Rareness, Competitive Advantage and Performance: A Conceptual - Level Empirical Investigation of the Resource-Based View of the Firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 768(May 2005), 745–768. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj>
- Ng, K.-S., Ahmad, A. R., Chan Wei, K., & Hairul Rizad Md, S. (2017). SMES Are Embracing Innovation for Business Performance. *Journal of Innovation Management in Small and Medium Enterprises*, March, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2017.824512>
- Ngo, H.-Y., Lau, C.-M., & Foley, S. (2008). Strategic human resource management, firm performance and employee relations climate in China. *Human Resource Management*, 47(1), 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm>

- Nitzl, C, & Roldán, J. (2016). Mediation Analysis in Partial Least Squares Path Modeling: Helping Researchers Discuss More Sophisticated Models. *Industrial Management &*, 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-07-2015-0302>
- Nitzl, Christian. (2018). Management Accounting and Partial Least Squares-Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM): Some Illustrative Examples. *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling: Recent Advances in Banking and Finance, January*, 211–229. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71691-6\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71691-6_7)
- Nor Aziati Abdul Hamid, R. T. (2013). The Relationship of Business Innovation Capabilities and Technology Innovation Capabilities on SME Organization Performance: A Conceptual Framework. *Proceedings the 2nd International Conference on Global Optimization and Its Applications 2013, 2013*(August), 110–117.
- Norzuliana, N., M., & Shavarebi, K. (2019). Challenges and Strategies of Malaysian Automotive: A Literature Review 2016-2018. *Journal of Technology Management and Business*, 6(2), 49–59. <https://doi.org/10.30880/jtmb.2019.06.02.005>
- Nurchahyo, R., & Wibowo, A. D. (2015). Manufacturing capability, manufacturing strategy and performance of Indonesia automotive component manufacturer. *Procedia CIRP*, 26, 653–657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2014.07.046>
- Nyoman, N., Yasa, K., & Sukaatmadja, P. G. (2017). The role of innovation strategy in increasing competitiveness and the its effect on business performance. *European Journal of Business and Social Sciences*, 6(08), 23–38.
- OECD. (2016). *OECD Reviews of Innovation Policy: Malaysia 2016*,. OECD ILibrary.
- OECD, European Commission, & European Union. (1997). *Oslo Manual :The Measurement of Scientific and Technological Activities Proposed guidelines for Collecting and Interpreting Technological Innovation Data*.
- Oerlemans, L. A. G., Knobens, J., & Pretorius, M. W. (2013). Alliance portfolio diversity , radical and incremental innovation : The moderating role of technology management. *Technovation*, 33(6–7), 234–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2013.02.004>
- Oh, J., & Rhee, S.-K. (2008). The influence of supplier capabilities and technology uncertainty on manufacturer-supplier collaboration: A study of the Korean automotive industry. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 28(6), 490–517. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570810875331>
- Oh, J., & Rhee, S.-K. (2010). Influences of supplier capabilities and collaboration in new car development on competitive advantage of carmakers. *Management Decision*, 48(5), 756–774. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741011043911>
- OICA, I. O. of M. V. M. (2019). *2017 Statistics | OICA*. <http://www.oica.net/category/production-statistics/2017-statistics/>

- Oke, A. (2013). Linking manufacturing flexibility to innovation performance in manufacturing plants. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 143(2), 242–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.09.014>
- Olson, E. M., Slater, S. F., Hult, G. T. M., & Olson, K. M. (2018). The application of human resource management policies within the marketing organization: The impact on business and marketing strategy implementation. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 69(xxxx), 62–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2018.01.029>
- Olsson, A., Wadell, C., Odenrick, P., & Bergendahl, M. N. (2010). An action learning method for increased innovation capability in organizations. *Action Learning: Research and Practice*, 7(2), 167–179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767333.2010.488328>
- Oluwale, B. A., Ilori, M. O., & Oyeibisi, T. O. (2013). An Assessment of Technological Capability Building in the Informal Nigerian Automobile Sector. *Journal of Business and Management Sciences*, 1(4), 55–62. <https://doi.org/10.12691/jbms-1-4-3>
- Ong, J. W., & Ismail, H. Bin. (2012). Competitive advantage and firm performance: evidence from small and medium enterprises. *International Journal of Business and Globalisation*, 9(2), 195. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBG.2012.048960>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, & Statistical Office of European Communities. (2005). *The Measurement of Scientific and Technological Activities: Oslo Manual: Guidelines for Collecting and Interpreting Innovation Data*.
- Osman, I., Ho, T. C. F., & Carmen Galang, M. (2011). The relationship between human resource practices and firm performance: an empirical assessment of firms in Malaysia. *Business Strategy Series*, 12(1), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17515631111100412>
- Othman, A. A., Kaliani Sundram, V. P., Mohamed Sayuti, N., & Shamsul Bahrin, A. (2016). The Relationship between Supply Chain Integration, Just-In-Time and Logistics Performance: A Supplier's Perspective on the Automotive Industry in Malaysia. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 5(1), 44–51.
- Otsuka, K., & Natsuda, K. (2015). The determinants of Total Factor Productivity in The Malaysian Automotive Industry: Are government policies upgrading technological capacity? *The Singapore Economic Review*, 60(3), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217590815500460>
- Ozbag, G. K., Esen, M., & Esen, D. (2013). The Impact of HRM Capabilities on Innovation Mediated by Knowledge Management Capability. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 99, 784–793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.10.550>
- P, J. S., Bhasin, H. V., Verma, R., & Joshi, S. V. (2012). Supplier Development Practices and Current Trends: A Review of Literature. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (IJMET)*, 3(3), 158–179.

- Palandeng, I. D., Kindangen, P., Tumbel, A., & Massie, J. (2018). Influence Analysis of Supply Chain Management and Supply Chain Flexibility to Competitive Advantage and Impact on Company Performance of Fish Processing in Bitung City. *Journal of Research in Business, Economics and Management*, 10(1), 1783–1802. <http://scitecresearch.com/journals/index.php/jrbem/article/view/1356/990>
- Palvia, P., & Kakhki, M. D. (2016). Methodological and topic trends in JGITM: A 10-year retrospect. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, 19(3), 149–153. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1097198X.2016.1230419>
- Panayides, P. (2006). Enhancing innovation capability through relationship management and implications for performance. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 9(4), 466–483. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14601060610707876>
- Panda, H., & Ramanathan, K. (1996). Technological capability assessment of a firm in the electricity sector. *Technovation*, 16(10), 561–588.
- Panwar, A. (2012). Implementation of benchmarking concepts in Indian automobile industry – an empirical study. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 20(6), 777–804. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-03-2012-0015>
- Parida, V., Pemartín, M., & Frishammar, J. (2009). The impact of networking practices on small firm innovativeness and performance: a multivariate approach. *International Journal Technoentrepreneurship*, 2(2), 115–133.
- Parida, V., Pesämaa, O., Wincent, J., & Westerberg, M. (2017). Network capability, innovativeness, and performance: a multidimensional extension for entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 29(1–2), 94–115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08985626.2016.1255434>
- Park, J.-H. (2018). Open innovation of small and medium-sized enterprises and innovation efficiency. *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, 0(0), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19761597.2018.1496796>
- Park, N. K., Park, U. D., & Lee, J. (2012). Do the Performances of Innovative Firms Differ Depending on Market-oriented or Technology-oriented Strategies? *Industry and Innovation*, 19(5), 391–414. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13662716.2012.711024>
- Pavlou, P. A., & El Sawy, O. A. (2011). Understanding the elusive black box of dynamic capabilities. *Decision Sciences*, 42(1), 239–273.
- Pekovic, S., & Galia, F. (2009). From quality to innovation: Evidence from two French Employer Surveys. *Technovation*, 29(12), 829–842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2009.08.002>
- Peng, D., Schroeder, R., & Shah, R. (2008). Linking routines to operations capabilities: A new perspective. *Journal of Operations Management*, 26(6), 730–748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2007.11.001>

- Peng, D. X., & Lai, F. (2012). Using partial least squares in operations management research: A practical guideline and summary of past research. *Journal of Operations Management*, 30(6), 467–480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2012.06.002>
- Pertusa-Ortega, E. M., Molina-Azorín, J. F., & Claver-Cortés, E. (2010). Competitive strategy, structure and firm performance: A comparison of the resource-based view and the contingency approach. *Management Decision*, 48(8), 1282–1303. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741011076799>
- Peteraf, M. A. (1993). The Cornerstones of Competitive Advantage: A resource-based view. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(3), 179–191.
- Peteraf, M. A., & Bergen, M. E. (2003). Scanning dynamic competitive landscapes: A market-based and resource-based framework. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24, 1027–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.325>
- Petter, S., Straub, D. W., & Rai, A. (2007). Specifying Formative Constructs in Information Systems Research. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(4), 657–679. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148814>
- Phoosawad, P., Fongsuwan, W., Chamsuk, W., & Takala, J. (2019). Impacts of collaboration networks, operational performance and reverse logistics determinants on the performance outcomes of the auto parts industry. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, 10(3), 61–72. <https://doi.org/10.24425/mper.2019.129599>
- Phusavat, K., & Kanchana, R. (2007). Competitive priorities of manufacturing firms in Thailand. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 107(7), 979–996. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570710816702>
- Pil, F. K., & Cohen, S. K. (2006). Modularity: Implications for imitation, innovation, and sustained advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(4), 995–1011. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2006.22528166>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Polites, G. L., Roberts, N., & Thatcher, J. (2012). Conceptualizing models using multidimensional constructs: A review and guidelines for their use. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 21(1), 22–48. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2011.10>
- Pološki Vokić, N., & Vidović, M. (2008). HRM as a significant factor for achieving competitiveness through people: The croatian case. *International Advances in Economic Research*, 14(3), 303–315. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11294-008-9156-9>
- Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. Free Press.

- Powell, T. C. (2001). Competitive advantage: Logical and philosophical considerations. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(9), 875–888. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.173>
- Prahalad, C., & Hamel, G. (1990). The core competence of the corporation. *Harvard Business Review*, 68(3), 79–91.
- Prahalad, C. K., & Hamel, G. (1990). The Core Competence of the Corporation. *Harvard Business Review*, 78–90.
- Prajogo, D. I. (2016). The strategic fit between innovation strategies and business environment in delivering business performance. *Intern. Journal of Production Economics*, 171, 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.07.037>
- Prašnikar, J., Lisjak, M., Buhovac, A. R., & Štemberger, M. (2008). Identifying and Exploiting the Inter relationships between Technological and Marketing Capabilities. *Long Range Planning*, 41(5), 530–554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2008.06.005>
- Pratali, P. (2003). Strategic management of technological innovations in the small to medium enterprise. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 6(1), 18–31. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14601060310456300>
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments & Computers*, 36(4), 717–731. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03206553>
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40(3), 879–891. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.40.3.879>
- Priem, R. L., & Butler, J. E. (2001). Is the Resource-Based “View” a Useful Perspective for Strategic Management Research? *The Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.2307/259392>
- Prieto Pastor, I. M., Pérez Santana, M. P., & Martín Sierra, C. (2010). Managing knowledge through human resource practices: empirical examination on the Spanish automotive industry. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(13), 2452–2467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2010.516596>
- Privitera, G. J. (2017). *Research Methods for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd Editio). SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Quershi, K. U., & Siddiqui, D. A. (2018). Impact of Supply Chain Flexibility and Supplier Development on Supply Chain Effectiveness in Automotive Industry of. *ABC Journal of Advanced Research*, 7(2), 79–93.
- Rahim, F. B. T., & Zainuddin, Y. Bin. (2019). The impact of technological innovation capabilities on competitive advantage and firm performance in the automotive industry in Malaysia. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2059. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085973>

- Rahim, F. T., & Zainuddin, Y. (2017). Moderating effect of environmental turbulence on firm's technological innovation capabilities (TIC) and business performance in the automotive industry in Malaysia: A conceptual framework. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 90(The 2nd International Conference on Automotive Innovation and Green Vehicle (AiGEV 2016)), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/20179001009>
- Rahman, H. A., Manager, G., Delloyd, R., Bhd, D. M. S., Kebun, J., & Jawa, K. (2019). Key Technologies Driving the Car of the Future. *Journal of Society of Automotive Engineers Malaysia*, 3(1), 2–4.
- Raj, R., & Srivastava, K. B. L. (2013). The Mediating Role of Organizational Learning on the Relationship among Organizational Culture, HRM Practices and Innovativeness. *Management and Labour Studies*, 38(3), 201–223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0258042X13509738>
- Rapiah Mohamed, Hui, W. S., Ibrahim Kamal Abdul Rahman, & Rozainun Abdul Aziz. (2010). The relationship between strategic performance measurement systems and organisational competitive advantage. In *Asian-Pacific Management Accounting Journal* (Vol. 5, Issue 1).
- Rasiah, R. (2009). Institutions and Public-Private Partnerships: Learning and Innovation in Electronics Firms in Penang, Johor and Batam-Karawang. *International Journal of Ins*, 1(2), 206–233.
- Rasiah, R. (2011). Foreign Equity and Technological Capabilities: A Comparison of Joint-venture and National Automotive Suppliers in India. *Transnational Corporations Review*, 3(2), 87–103. <https://doi.org/10.5148/tncr.2011.1117>
- Rasiah, R., & Myint, M. M. (2013). Ownership, technological capabilities and exports of garment firms in Myanmar. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 19, S22–S42. <https://doi.org/10.3846/20294913.2013.869513>
- Rasiah, R., & Vgr, C. G. (2009). University-Industry Collaboration in the Automotive, Biotechnology, and Electronics Firms in Malaysia. *Seoul Journal of Economics*, 22(4), 529–550.
- Ray, G., Barney, J. B., & Muhanna, W. a. (2004). Capabilities, business processes, and competitive advantage: choosing the dependent variable in empirical tests of the resource-based view. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(1), 23–37. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.366>
- Raymond, L., Bergeron, F., & Croteau, A. (2013). Innovation Capability and Performance Of Manufacturing SMEs: The Paradoxical Effect of IT Integration. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 23, 249–272. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2013.807714>
- Raymond, L., & St-Pierre, J. (2010). R & D as a determinant of innovation in manufacturing SMEs: An attempt at empirical clarification. *Technovation Journal*, 30, 48–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2009.05.005>

- Razavi, S. M. J., Talebpour, M., Azimzadeh, S. M., & Mohammadkazemi, R. (2019). Enhancing technological innovation capabilities: The role of human capital in Iranian sports manufacturing companies. *Annals of Applied Sport Science*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.29252/aassjournal.734>
- Richard, P. J., Devinney, T. M., Yip, G. S., & Johnson, G. (2009). Measuring organizational performance: Towards methodological best practice. *Journal of Management*, 35(3), 718–804. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308330560>
- Riel, A. C. R. van, Henseler, J., Kemény, I., & Sasovova, Z. (2017). Estimating hierarchical constructs using consistent partial least squares: The case of second-order composites of common factors. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 117(3), 459–477. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-07-2016-0286>
- Rigdon, E. E., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2017). On Comparing Results from CB-SEM and PLS-SEM: Five Perspectives and Five Recommendations. *Marketing ZFP*, 39(3), 4–16. <https://doi.org/10.15358/0344-1369-2017-3-4>
- Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2012). Editor's Comments: A Critical Look at the Use of PLS-SEM in MIS Quarterly. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1), iii–xiv.
- Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Mitchell, R., & Gudergan, S. P. (2018). Partial least squares structural equation modeling in HRM research. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, January 2018, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1416655>
- Rizan, M., Balfas, F., & Purwohedi, U. (2019). The influence of strategic orientation, organizational innovation capabilities and strategic planning on the performance of technology-based firms. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 18(3), 1–11.
- Romijn, H., & Albaladejo, M. (2002). Determinants of innovation capability in small electronics and software firms in southeast England. *Research Policy*, 31, 1053–1067.
- Rose, R. C., Abdullah, H., & Ismad, A. I. (2010). A review on the relationship between organizational resources, competitive advantage and performance. *The Journal of International Social Research*, 3, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ibm.2012.286.293>
- Rose, R. C., & Kumar, N. (2006). The Influence of Organizational and Human Resource Management Strategies on Performance. *Performance Improvement*, 45(4), 18–24.
- Rosli, M. M., & Mahmood, R. (2013). Moderating Effects of Human Resource Management Practices and Entrepreneur Training on Innovation and Small-Medium Firm Performance. *Journal of Management and Strategy*, 4(2), 60–69. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jms.v4n2p60>
- Rosli, Mohamad, & Kari, F. (2008). Malaysia's National Automotive Policy and the Performance of Proton's Foreign and Local Vendors. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 14(1), 103–118. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602380701661044>



- Rosli, Mohd. (2006). The Automobile Industry and Performance of Malaysian Auto Production. *Journal of Economic Cooperation*, 27(1), 89–114.
- Rush, C., & Roy, R. (2000). Analysis of cost estimating processes used within a concurrent engineering environment throughout a product life cycle. *7th ISPE International Conference on Concurrent Engineering: Research and Applications*, 44(0), 58–67.
- Rydehell, H., Isaksson, A., & Löfsten, H. (2019). Effects of internal and external resource dimensions on the business performance of new technology-based firms. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 23(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919619500014>
- S. Davcik, N. (2014). The use and misuse of structural equation modeling in management research. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 11(1), 47–81. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-07-2013-0043>
- Sachitra, V. (2016). Review of Competitive Advantage Measurements: Reference on Agribusiness Sector. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 12(6), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.9734/JSRR/2016/30850>
- Sadeghi, Z., & Mohtashami, R. (2011). Relationship of strategic human resource practices and organizational innovation in one of the military centers. *Iranian Journal of Military Medicine*, 13(2), 97–102.
- Sakura, A., Abidin, Z., & Muslimen, R. (2012). An exploratory study on the critical success factors for design capabilities development. *Operations and Supply Chain Management*, 5(1), 14–26.
- Salonitis, K. (2014). Modular design for increasing assembly automation. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, 63(1), 189–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2014.03.100>
- Samson, D., & Gloet, M. (2013). Innovation capability in Australian manufacturing organisations : an exploratory study. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2013.869368>
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., Thiele, K. O., & Gudergan, S. P. (2016). Estimation issues with PLS and CBSEM: Where the bias lies! *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 3998–4010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.06.007>
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2014). PLS-SEM : Looking Back and Moving Forward. *Long Range Planning*, 30, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2014.02.008>
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2017). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. In C. H. et Al. (Ed.), *Handbook of Market Research* (Issue September). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8>
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2007). Research Methods for Business Students. In *Research methods for business students* (4th ed.). Pearson Education Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2>

- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2016). *Research Methods for Business Students*. In *Pearson Education Limited* (7th ed.). Pearson Education Limited.
- Saunila, M., Pekkola, S., & Ukko, J. (2014). The relationship between innovation capability and performance: The moderating effect of measurement. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 63(2), 234–249. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-04-2013-0065>
- Saunila, M., & Ukko, J. (2014). Intangible aspects of innovation capability in SMEs : Impacts of size and industry. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 33, 32–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2014.02.002>
- Schilke, O. (2014). On the contingent value of dynamic capabilities for competitive advantage: The nonlinear moderating effect of environmental dynamism. *Strategic Management Journal*, 35(2), 179–203.
- Schilling, M. A. (2010). *Strategic Management of Technological Innovation* (B. Gordon (Ed.); 3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill/Irwin. [www.mhhe.com/schilling3e](http://www.mhhe.com/schilling3e)
- Segawa, N., Natsuda, K., & Thoburn, J. (2014). Affirmative Action and Economic Liberalisation: The Dilemmas of the Malaysian Automotive Industry. *Asian Studies Review*, 38(3), 422–441. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357823.2014.928847>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2010). *Research Methods for Business A skill Building Approach* (5th Ed.). John Wiley & sons Ltd.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Bussiness A Skill-Bulding Approach*. [www.wiley.com](http://www.wiley.com)
- Selvam, M., Gayathri, J., Vasanth, V., Lingaraja, K., & Marxiaoli, S. (2016). Determinants of Firm Performance: A Subjective Model. *International Journal of Social Science Studies*, 4(7), 90–100. <https://doi.org/10.11114/ijsss.v4i7.1662>
- Semuel, H., Siagian, H., & Octavia, S. (2017). The Effect of Leadership and Innovation on Differentiation Strategy and Company Performance. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 237(June 2016), 1152–1159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2017.02.171>
- Shad, M. K., Lai, F. W., Fatt, C. L., Klemeš, J. J., & Bokhari, A. (2019). Integrating sustainability reporting into enterprise risk management and its relationship with business performance: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 208, 415–425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.120>
- Shafia, M. A., Shavvalpour, S., Hosseini, M., & Hosseini, R. (2016). Mediating effect of technological innovation capabilities between dynamic capabilities and competitiveness of research and technology organisations. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 28(7), 811–826. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2016.1158404>

- Shan, J., & Jolly, D. R. (2012). Accumulation of technological innovation capability and competitive performance: a quantitative study in Chinese electronic information industry. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 9(5), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219877012500381>
- Shan, J., & Jolly, D. R. (2013). Technological innovation capabilities, product strategy, and firm performance: The electronics industry in China. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences / Revue Canadienne Des Sciences de l'Administration*, 30(3), 159–172. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cjas.1256>
- Sharma, G. (2017). Pros and cons of different sampling techniques. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 3(7), 749–752. [www.allresearchjournal.com](http://www.allresearchjournal.com)
- Siallagan, S., Silaban, R., & Ali, A. Y. (2019). Academic review on literatures pertaining to the definitions of technological innovation capabilities in the new millennium. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 505(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/505/1/012132>
- Sigalas, C. (2015). Competitive advantage: the known unknown concept. *Management Decision*, 53(9), 2004–2016. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-05-2015-0185>
- Sigalas, C., & Pekka Economou, V. (2013). Revisiting the concept of competitive advantage: Problems and fallacies arising from its conceptualization. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 6(1), 61–80. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17554251311296567>
- Sigalas, C., & Vassilis M, P. (2018). Empirical investigation of relationship patterns between competitive advantage and superior performance. *Journal of Strategy and Management*. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-2015-0216>
- Simon, A., Bartle, C., Stockport, G., Smith, B., & Klobas, J. E. (2015). Business leaders' views and dynamic capabilities for successful financial and non-financial business performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 64(7), 908–931. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFM-03-2013-0017>
- Singh, S., Darwish, T. K., & Potočnik, K. (2016). Measuring Organizational Performance: A Case for Subjective Measures. *British Journal of Management*, 27(1), 214–224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12126>
- Smith, W. L., Hillon, Y. C., & Liang, Y. (2019). Reassessing measures of sustainable firm performance: A consultant's guide to identifying hidden costs in corporate disclosures. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28(2), 353–365. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2254>
- STRAND, Ø., WIIG, M., TORHEIM, T., SOLLI-SÆTHER, H., & NESSET, E. (2017). Technological Innovation Capability and Interaction Effect in a Scandinavian Industry Cluster. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 21(05), 1740007. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919617400072>
- Su, Y. S., Tsang, E. W. K., & Peng, M. W. (2009). How do internal capabilities and external partnerships affect innovativeness? *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 26(2), 309–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-008-9114-3>

- Su, Z., Peng, J., Shen, H., & Xiao, T. (2013). Technological capability, marketing capability, and firm performance in turbulent conditions. *Management and Organization Review*, 9(1), 115–137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2011.00280.x>
- Subrahmanya, M. H. B. (2011). Technological Innovations and Firm Performance of Manufacturing SMEs: Determinants and Outcomes. *ASCI Journal of Management*, 41(1), 109–122.
- Sumrit, D., & Anuntavoranich, P. (2012). An Analytic Hierarchy Process Modeling for Technological Innovation Capability Appraisal for Thai Automotive Part firms. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 2(11), 1–8.
- Sung, S. Y., & Choi, J. N. (2014). Do organizations spend wisely on employees? Effects on training and development investments on learning and innovation in organizations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 35, 393–412. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job>
- Supranee, S., & Rotchanakitumnuai, S. (2017). The acceptance of the application of blockchain technology in the supply chain process of the Thai automotive industry. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Electronic Business (ICEB), 2017-Decem*, 252–257.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidel, L. S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics* (6th ed). Pearson Education.
- Tai, W.-P. (2016). The Political Economy of the Automobile Industry in ASEAN: A Cross-Country Comparison. *JAS (Journal of ASEAN Studies)*, 4(1), 34. <https://doi.org/10.21512/jas.v4i1.1536>
- Tai, W.-P., & Ku, S. (2013). State and Industrial Policy: Comparative Political Economic Analysis of Automotive Industrial Policies in Malaysia and Thailand. *Journal of ASEAN Studies*, 1(1), 52–82.
- Tai, W. P. (2016). The Political Economy of the Automobile Industry in ASEAN: A Cross-Country Comparison. *Journal of ASEAN Studies*, 4(1), 34–60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0951692893005003006>
- Tan, C. L., & Nasurdin, A. M. (2011). Human resource management practices and organizational innovation: Assessing the mediating role of knowledge management effectiveness. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 9(2), 155–167.
- Tan, K. C., Kannan, V. R., & Narasimhan, R. (2007). The impact of operations capability on firm performance. *International Journal of Production Research*, 45(21), 5135–5156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207540600871269>
- Tangthong, S., Trimetsoontorn, J., & Rojniruttikul, N. (2015). The effects of HRM practices on firm performance in Thailand's manufacturing industry. *Journal for Global Business Advancement*, 8(3), 250–282. <https://doi.org/10.1504/JGBA.2015.071353>

- Tarigan, Z. J. H. (2018). The impact of organization commitment to process and product innovation in improving operational performance. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 19(2), 335–346.
- Tay, H. K. (2003). Achieving competitive differentiation : the challenge for automakers. *Strategy & Leadership*, 31(4), 23–30. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10878570310483951>
- Techakanont, K., & Terdudomtham, T. (2004). Evolution of Inter-firm Technology Transfer and Technological Capability Formation of Local Parts Firms in the Thai Automobile Industry Evolution of Inter-firm Technology Transfer and Technological Capability Formation of Local Parts Firms in the Thai Aut. *Journal of Technology Innovation*, 12(2), 151–183.
- Teece, D. J. (1986). Profiting from technological innovation: Implications for integration , collaboration , licensing and public policy. *Research Policy*, 15(6), 285–305. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-7333\(86\)90027-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-7333(86)90027-2)
- Teece, D. J. (2007a). Explicating Dynamic Capabilities: The Nature and Microfoundations of (sustainable) Enterprise Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28, 1319–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj>
- Teece, D. J. (2007b). Explicating dynamic capabilities:The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) Enterprise Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28, 1319–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj> 640
- Teece, D. J. (2010). Technological innovation and the theory of the firm: The role of enterprise-level knowledge, complementarities, and (dynamic) capabilities. *Handbook of the Economics of Innovation*, 1, 679–730. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7218\(10\)01016-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7218(10)01016-6)
- Teece, D. J. (2017). Towards a capability theory of (innovating) firms: implications for management and policy. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 41(3), 693–720. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/bew063>
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
- Terziovski, M. (2010). Innovation practice and its performance implications in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in the manufacturing sector: a resource-based view. *Strategic Management Journal*, n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.841>
- Tham, S.-Y. (2004). AFTA and the Competitiveness of Malaysian Manufacturing. *Conference 4th International Malaysian Studies Conference; 3-5 August 2004, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi*.
- Thien, L. M., & Shafaei, A. (2017). *Specifying and Assessing a Multidimensional Friendship Quality Construct*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-017-9462-y>
- Thun, J.-H., & Hoenig, D. (2011). An empirical analysis of supply chain risk management in the German automotive industry. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 131(1), 242–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2009.10.010>

- Tiengtavaj, S., Phimonsathienand, T., & Fongsuwan, W. (2017). Ensuring Competitive Advantage through Innovation Capability and Clustering in the Thai Automotive Parts Molding Industry: A SEM Approach. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, 8(1), 89–100. <https://doi.org/10.1515/mper-2017-0010>
- Ting, H., Wang, H., & Wang, D. (2012). The moderating role of environmental dynamism on the influence of innovation strategy and firm performance. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology*, 3(5), 13–16. <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJIMT.2012.V3.288>
- Trivellas, P. (2012). Investigating the impact of Research and Development Strategy on firm performance. *Key Engineering Materials*, 495, 306–309. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.495.306>
- Tsai, K.-H. (2004). The impact of technological capability on firm performance in Taiwan's electronics industry. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 15(2), 183–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hitech.2004.03.002>
- Tseng, M. L., Lin, S. H., & Vy, T. N. T. (2012). Mediate effect of technology innovation capabilities investment capability and firm performance in Vietnam. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 40, 817–829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.267>
- Tseng, S.-M., & Lee, P.-S. (2014). The effect of knowledge management capability and dynamic capability on organizational performance. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 27(2), 158–179. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-05-2012-0025>
- Tuan, N. P., & Yoshi, T. (2010). Organisational Capabilities, Competitive Advantage and Performance in Supporting Industries in Vietnam. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 15(1), 1–21.
- Tuominen, M., & Hyvönen, S. (2004). Organizational Innovation Capability: A Driver for Competitive Superiority in Marketing Channels. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 14(3), 277–293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593960410001678417>
- Türker, M. V. (2012). A model proposal oriented to measure technological innovation capabilities of business firms – a research on automotive industry. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 41, 147–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.04.019>
- Urbach, N., & Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural equation modeling in information systems research using partial least squares. *JITTA: Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*, 11(2), 5.
- Uttley, J. (2019). Power Analysis, Sample Size, and Assessment of Statistical Assumptions—Improving the Evidential Value of Lighting Research. *LEUKOS - Journal of Illuminating Engineering Society of North America*, 15(2–3), 143–162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15502724.2018.1533851>

- Venkatraman, N. (1989). Strategic Orientation of Business Enterprises: The Construct, Dimensionality, and Measurement. *Management Science*, 35(8), 942–962.
- Venkatraman, N., & Ramanujam, V. (1986). Measurement of Business Performance in Strategy Research: A Comparison of Approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 11(4), 801–814. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1986.4283976>
- Verdu, A. J., Tamayo, I., & Ruiz-Moreno, A. (2012). The moderating effect of environmental uncertainty on the relationship between real options and technological innovation in high-tech firms. *Technovation*, 32(9–10), 579–590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2012.06.001>
- Verona, G., & Ravasi, (Davide. (2003). Unbuilding dynamic capabilities: an exploratory study of continuous product innovation. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 12(3), 577–606.
- Vij, S., & Bedi, H. S. (2016a). Are subjective business performance measures justified? *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 65(5), 603–621. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-12-2014-0196>
- Vij, S., & Bedi, H. S. (2016b). Effect of Organisational and Environmental Factors on Innovativeness and Business Performance Relationship. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 20(03), 1650037. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919616500377>
- Villalonga, B. (2004). Intangible resources, Tobin's q, and sustainability of performance differences. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 54(2), 205–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2003.07.001>
- Vogel, R., & Güttel, W. H. (2012). The Dynamic Capability View in Strategic Management: A Bibliometric Review: DCV in Strategic Management. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12000>
- Wad, P., & Chandran Govindaraju, V. G. R. (2011). Automotive industry in Malaysia: an assessment of its development. *Int. J. Automotive Technology and Management*, 11(2), 152–171. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJATM.2011.039542>
- Wad, P., Chandran Govindaraju, V. G. R., & Govindaraju, V. C. (2011). Automotive industry in Malaysia: an assessment of its development. *Int. J. Automotive Technology and Management*, 11(2), 152–171. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJATM.2011.039542>
- Wade, M., & Hulland, J. (2004). Review: The Resource-based View and Information Systems Research: Review, Extension, and Suggestions for Future Research. *MIS Quarterly*, 28(1), 107–142.
- Walker, R. M., Chen, J., & Aravind, D. (2015). Management innovation and firm performance: An integration of research findings. *European Management Journal*, 33(5), 407–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2015.07.001>

- Wang, C.-H., Lu, Y.-H., Huang, C.-W., & Lee, J.-Y. (2013). R&D, productivity, and market value: An empirical study from high-technology firms. *Omega*, *41*(1), 143–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2011.12.011>
- Wang, C. L., & Ahmed, P. K. (2004). The development and validation of the organisational innovativeness construct using confirmatory factor analysis. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, *7*(4), 303–313. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14601060410565056>
- Wang, C. L., & Ahmed, P. K. (2007). Dynamic capabilities: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, *9*(1), 31–51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2007.00201.x>
- Wang, C., Lu, I., & Chen, C. (2008). Evaluating firm technological innovation capability under uncertainty. *Technovation*, *28*(6), 349–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2007.10.007>
- Wang, G., Dou, W., Zhu, W., & Zhou, N. (2015). The effects of firm capabilities on external collaboration and performance: The moderating role of market turbulence. *Journal of Business Research*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.01.002>
- Wang, W., Lin, C.-H., & Chu, Y.-C. (2011). Types of Competitive Advantage and Analysis. *International Journal of Business and Management*, *6*(5), 100–104. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v6n5p100>
- Wanjiru, A. I., Muathe, S. M., & Kinyua-njuguna, J. W. (2019). The Mediating Effect of Competitive Advantage on the Relationship between Corporate Strategies and Performance of Manufacturing Firms in Nairobi City County, Kenya. *Journal of Business and Management*, *21*(4), 7–15. <https://doi.org/10.7176/ejbm/11-14-05>
- Wei, L.-Q., & Lau, C.-M. (2010). High performance work systems and performance: The role of adaptive capability. *Human Relations*, *63*(10), 1487–1511. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726709359720>
- Wei, Z., Hou, J., Wang, D., & Wang, L. (2011). How can SMEs leverage political ties and technological innovation capability to acquire government assistance in a transition economy? *Journal of General Management*, *36*(4), 3–22.
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A Resource-based View of the Firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, *5*, 171–180.
- Wernerfelt, B. (1995). The Resource-Based View of the Firm: Ten Years After. *Strategic Management Journal*, *16*(3), 171–174.
- Wetzels, M., Odekerken-Schröder, G., & Oppen, C. van. (2009). Using PLS Path Modeling For Assessing Hierarchical Construct Models : Guidelines and Empirical Illustration. *MIS Quarterly*, *33*(1), 177–195.



- Wilden, R., & Gudergan, S. P. (2014). The impact of dynamic capabilities on operational marketing and technological capabilities: investigating the role of environmental turbulence. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0380-y>
- Wilden, R., Gudergan, S. P., Nielsen, B. B., & Lings, I. (2013). Dynamic Capabilities and Performance: Strategy, Structure and Environment. *Long Range Planning*, 46(1–2), 72–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.12.001>
- Willaby, H. W., Costa, D. S. J., Burns, B. D., MacCann, C., & Roberts, R. D. (2015). Testing complex models with small sample sizes: A historical overview and empirical demonstration of what Partial Least Squares (PLS) can offer differential psychology. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 84, 73–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.09.008>
- Winter, S. G. (2003). Understanding dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 991–995. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.318>
- Wright, P. M., McMahan, G. C., & McWilliams, A. (1994). Human resources and sustained competitive advantage: a resource-based perspective. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 5(2), 301–326. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585199400000020>
- WTO, W. T. O. (2019). *Exports and imports of automotive products of indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand 1990–2017 (million US\$)*. [https://www.wto.org/english/res\\_e/statis\\_e/statis\\_bis\\_e.htm?solution=WTO&path=/Dashboards/MAPS&file=Map.wcdf&bookmarkState=%7B%22impl%22:%22client%22,%22params%22:%7B%22langParam%22:%22en%22%7D%7D](https://www.wto.org/english/res_e/statis_e/statis_bis_e.htm?solution=WTO&path=/Dashboards/MAPS&file=Map.wcdf&bookmarkState=%7B%22impl%22:%22client%22,%22params%22:%7B%22langParam%22:%22en%22%7D%7D)
- Wu, A., Su, J., & Wang, H. (2013). Internal innovation or external innovation? An organizational context-based analysis in China. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 24(2), 118–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hitech.2013.09.006>
- Wu, B., & Chen, J. (2010). Definition , Configuration and Evaluation of Technology Innovation Capability in Open Innovation paradigm. *Proceedings of 2010 IEEE ICMIT*, 731–736.
- Wu, D. D., Kefan, X., Hua, L., Shi, Z., & Olson, D. L. (2010). Modeling technological innovation risks of an entrepreneurial team using system dynamics: An agent-based perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 77(6), 857–869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2010.01.015>
- Wu, I.-L., & Chiu, M. (2015). Organizational applications of IT innovation and firm ' s competitive performance : A resource-based view and the innovation diffusion approach. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 35, 25–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2014.09.002>
- Wu, L.-Y. (2006). Resources, dynamic capabilities and performance in a dynamic environment: Perceptions in Taiwanese IT enterprises. *Information & Management*, 43(4), 447–454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2005.11.001>

- Wu, X., Gu, Z., & Zhang, W. E. I. (2008). The Construction of Innovation Networks and the Development of Technological Capabilities of Industrial Clusters in China. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 5(2), 179–199.
- XU, D. (2013). Research on Improving the Technological Innovation Capability of SMEs by University-Industry Collaboration. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology Review*, 6(2), 100–104.
- Xu, J., Shang, Y., Yu, W., & Liu, F. (2019). Intellectual capital, technological innovation and firm performance: Evidence from China's manufacturing sector. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(19), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11195328>
- Xu, Z., Lin, J., & Lin, D. (2008). Networking and innovation in SMEs: evidence from Guangdong Province, China. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 15(4), 788–801. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14626000810917861>
- Yam, R. C. M., Guan, J. C., Pun, K. F., & Tang, E. P. Y. (2004). An audit of technological innovation capabilities in chinese firms: some empirical findings in Beijing, China. *Research Policy*, 33(8), 1123–1140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2004.05.004>
- Yam, R. C. M., Lo, W., Tang, E. P. Y., & Lau, A. K. W. (2011). Analysis of sources of innovation , technological innovation capabilities , and performance : An empirical study of Hong Kong manufacturing industries. *Research Policy*, 40(3), 391–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.10.013>
- Yam, R. C. M., Lo, W., Tang, E. P. Y., & Lau, K. W. (2010). Technological Innovation Capabilities and Firm Performance. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 42, 1009–1017.
- Yang, C. (2012). Assessing the moderating effect of innovation capability on the relationship between logistics service capability and firm performance for ocean fr. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications : A Leading Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 15(1), 53–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2012.669469>
- Yang, J. (2012). Innovation capability and corporate growth: An empirical investigation in China. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 29(1), 34–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2011.09.004>
- Yang, L.-R. (2013). Key practices, manufacturing capability and attainment of manufacturing goals: The perspective of project/engineer-to-order manufacturing. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(1), 109–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2012.03.005>
- Yu, C., Zhang, Z., Lin, C., & Wu, Y. J. (2017). Knowledge Creation Process and Sustainable Competitive Advantage: the Role of Technological Innovation Capabilities. *Sustainability*, 9(12), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9122280>

- Yu, L. (2008). The Impact of Technological Innovation on Organizational Performance. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 49(4), 12–13. <http://www.redibw.de/db/ebsco.php/search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=34793201&site=ehost-live>
- Yu, W., Ramanathan, R., & Nath, P. (2014). The impacts of marketing and operations capabilities on financial performance in the UK retail sector: A resource-based perspective. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 43(1), 25–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2013.07.014>
- Yusr, M. M., Mokhtar, S. S. M., & Othman, A. R. (2014). The effect of TQM practices on technological innovation capabilities: Applying on Malaysian manufacturing sector. *International Journal for Quality Research*, 8(2), 197–216. <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84903835029&partnerID=40&md5=468555a08eba00ee65306ac6edb89418>
- Zahra, S. A., Sapienza, H. J., & Davidsson, P. (2006). Entrepreneurship and dynamic capabilities: A review, model and research agenda. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(4), 917–955.
- Zailani, S., Govindan, K., Shaharudin, M. R., & Kuan, E. E. L. (2017). Barriers to product return management in automotive manufacturing firms in Malaysia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 141, 22–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.08.160>
- Zainal-Abidin, N., Suradi, N. R. M., Shahabuddin, F. A., Mustafa, Z., & Ismail, W. R. (2016). Analysis of innovation capabilities and company performance: An empirical evidence of Malaysian large companies using PLS-SEM. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(4–4), 81–88. <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v78.8308>
- Zainal Abidin, A. S., Mohd Yusuff, R., & Muslimen, R. (2011). Exploratory Study : Design Capabilities Development for Malaysian Vendors in Automotive Industry. *Proceedings of the 2011, International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, May 2015*, 54–61.
- Zakaria, M., Dahalan, H., & Musaibah, A. S. (2012). Competitive Priorities , Competitive Advantage and Performance of Real Estate Firms in Dubai. *Knowledge Management International Conference, July*, 4–6.
- Zakaria, N., Abdullah, N. A. C., & Yusoff, R. Z. (2016). Empirical review on innovation-performance linkage in Malaysian manufacturing small and medium enterprises. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(7Special Issue), 101–106. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84991268618&partnerID=40&md5=faf880e2da95a0c9b87873c4fec11255>
- Zandhessami, H., Parvinchi, S., & Molaei, Z. (2012). Identification and Prioritization of Technology Innovation Capability on Technology Innovation Performance. *International Journal of Economics and Management Sciences*, 1(6), 13–20.
- Zapata, C., & Nieuwenhuis, P. (2010). Exploring innovation in the automotive industry: new technologies for cleaner cars. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(1), 14–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.09.009>

- Zhang, J. (2004). *The impact of innovation capabilities on firm performance: an empirical study on industrial firms in China's transitional economy*.
- Zhang, Y., & Gregory, M. (2011). Managing global network operations along the engineering value chain. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 31(7), 736–764. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571111144832>
- Zhang, Z., Wu, H., Zhang, X., & Zhou, G. (2009). A study of the relationship between R&D capability and innovation performance based on high-tech firms in optics valley of China. *IE and EM 2009 - Proceedings 2009 IEEE 16th International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management*, 1922–1926. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIEEM.2009.5344279>
- Zhao, X., Lynch, J. G., & Chen, Q. (2010). Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and Truths about Mediation Analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 37(2), 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1086/651257>
- Zhou, S. S., Zhou, A. J., Feng, J., & Jiang, S. (2017). Dynamic capabilities and organizational performance: The mediating role of innovation. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2017.20>
- Zikmund, W. G. (2013). *Business Research Methods* (9th ed.). Cengage.
- Zollo, M., & Winter, S. G. (2002). Deliberate learning and the evolution of dynamic capabilities. *Organization Science*, 13(3), 339–351.
- Zott, C. (2003). Dynamic capabilities and the emergence of intraindustry differential firm performance: insights from a simulation study. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(2), 97–125. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.288>
- Zulkiffli, S. N. (2014). Business Performance for SMEs: Subjective or Objective Measures? *Review of Integrative Business & Economics*, 3(1), 371–381.