

POTENTIALS OF NON-IONIC SEMI-RIGID  
POLYMER ASSISTED BY CATIONIC  
SURFACTANT AS DRAG REDUCTION AGENT  
AND ITS APPLICATION ON FLOW  
ENHANCEMENT IN PIPELINES

AINOON BINTI SHABIRIN

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
(CHEMICAL ENGINEERING)

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis, and, in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Chemical Engineering).

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a long horizontal stroke with a vertical stroke crossing it, and several loops and flourishes extending upwards and to the right. The signature is positioned above a horizontal line.

---

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. HAYDER A ABDULBARI

Position : PROFESSOR

Date :



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : AINOON BINTI SHABIRIN

ID Number : PKC12005

Date :

POTENTIALS OF NON-IONIC SEMI-RIGID POLYMER ASSISTED BY  
CATIONIC SURFACTANT AS DRAG REDUCTION AGENT AND ITS  
APPLICATION ON FLOW ENHANCEMENT IN PIPELINES

AINOON BINTI SHABIRIN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Chemical Engineering)

College of Engineering  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

FEBRUARY 2021

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

### **ALHAMDULLILAH**

I thank both my parents for instilling the passion for learning in me. This thesis in one part represents my ongoing quest for knowledge as inspired by my late father Shabirin Yusof through years of including me in scientific discussions from an early age. This thesis in another part represents patience, persistence and hard work which I have learned from my mother Faridah Yusof. I also thank my husband Carroll Moreton Jr., my son Haqem Moreton and my daughter Kellan Nur Moreton who motivated me to keep going when the going was impossible. They have shown full support, healthy sense of humor and complete understanding during my times of emotional and physical struggles throughout this study. My love, admiration and respect for my family are beyond words.

I also wish to thank Professor Hayder A Abdulbari for introducing me to Fluid Dynamics, and his constant motivation to go against the flow and think beyond the pipeline. I am thankful to him for the research guidance and intellectual conversations on the chaotic world of fluid dynamics, as well as life itself.

Finally, I wish to thank all the faculty lecturers, administrative support and fellow post-graduate students for their continuous support and motivation throughout my entire study at UMP.

## ABSTRAK

Polimer separa tegar, Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC) dan kompleksnya dengan surfaktan kationik Benzyl-dimethyl-tridecyl-azanium Chloride (BZK) dicirikan dan dikaji sebagai agen pengurangan seretan berpotensi (DRA) dalam sistem peredaran gelung cecair tertutup (gelung paip). Pencirian dilakukan dengan menggunakan Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) untuk melihat kompleks HPC dan HPC-BZK dalam larutan, dan rheometer untuk menentukan ciri reologi. Prestasi pengurangan seretan bergelora dilakukan dalam gelung paip menggunakan air sebagai cecair pengangkut untuk mensimulasikan saluran paip komersial. Setiap kompleks HPC dan HPC-BZK mengalami derajat pergolakan yang berbeza ( $Re$ : 49540, 59448, 63694 dan 70771), kepekatan (200 ppm, 300 ppm, 500 ppm, 800 ppm, dan 1000 ppm), dan panjang paip (ujian bahagian: 1, 2, 3 dan 4 m). Gambar TEM menunjukkan bahawa zarah HPC tidak saling berinteraksi secara fizikal antara satu sama lain dan tidak ada penghubung antara zarah, sedangkan gambar TEM BZK mengesahkan adanya misel. Memandangkan HPC bukan ionik dan BZK bersifat kationik, interaksi fizikal tidak dijangka akan berlaku. Walau bagaimanapun, gambar TEM kompleks HPC-BZK menunjukkan gambar yang serupa dengan mikroemulsi yang menunjukkan interaksi fizikal yang bertentangan dengan jangkakan awal. Data reologi untuk kedua-dua HPC dan kompleks HPC-BZK menunjukkan bahawa kelikatan tertinggi diperoleh pada kadar ricih terendah dan kelikatan terendah diperoleh pada laju ricih tertinggi. Berdasarkan data reologi, oleh itu dijangkakan bahawa prestasi pengurangan seretan tertinggi dapat diperoleh pada kadar ricih terendah (darjah turbulensi minimum,  $Re$ ) dan pada kelikatan cecair tertinggi. Hasil kajian saluran paip adalah seperti berikut: Pertama, antara  $Re$  yang diuji,  $Re$  sederhana 59448 menghasilkan prestasi pengurangan seretan tertinggi untuk kedua-dua HPC dan kompleks HPC-BZK. Kedua, kepekatan tertinggi diperhatikan menghasilkan prestasi pengurangan seretan tertinggi (1000ppm HPC dan 1000-1000 ppm HPC-BZK complex). Dan ketiga, kedua-dua HPC dan kompleks HPC-BZK menunjukkan prestasi pengurangan seretan tertinggi dalam panjang paip terpendek (1m). Selanjutnya, diperhatikan bahawa semua hasil menunjukkan bahawa kompleks HPC-BZK menunjukkan prestasi pengurangan seretan yang lebih tinggi daripada HPC. 1000-1000ppm menunjukkan pengurangan seretan hingga 30.81% berbanding HPC 1000 ppm yang menunjukkan 27.24% penurunan seretan pada panjang paip 1 m apabila dikenakan  $Re$ : 59448. Perbezaan utama antara kompleks HPC-BZK dan HPC adalah kehadiran BZK, dan ketiadaan interaksi kimia menunjukkan bahawa BZK dikaitkan dengan peningkatan pengurangan seretan antara HPC dan kompleks HPC-BZK. Secara keseluruhan, HPC adalah agen pengurangan seretan yang berpotensi, dan prestasi pengurangan seretnya dapat ditingkatkan dengan adanya surfaktan walaupun dengan interaksi fizikal HPC-surfaktan yang minima.

## ABSTRACT

A semi-rigid polymer, non-ionic Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC) and its complex with cationic surfactant Benzyl-dimethyl-tridecyl-azanium Chloride (BZK) were characterized and investigated as a potential drag reduction agent (DRA) in a closed-loop liquid circulation system (pipe loop). The characterizations were carried out using Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) to view the HPC and HPC-BZK complex in solution, and a rheometer to determine its rheology characteristics. The turbulent drag reduction performances were carried out in the pipe loop using water as the transporting liquid to simulate a commercial pipeline. Each HPC and HPC-BZK complex was subjected to different degrees of turbulence ( $Re$ : 49540, 59448, 63694 and 70771), concentrations (200 ppm, 300 ppm, 500 ppm, 800 ppm, and 1000 ppm), and pipe lengths (testing sections: 1, 2, 3 and 4 m). The TEM images showed that HPC particles were not physically interacting with each other and no inter-particle bridging was observed, whereas the TEM images of BZK confirmed the presence of micelles. Given HPC was non-ionic and BZK was cationic, not physical interaction was expected. However, the TEM images of HPC-BZK complex showed an image similar to a micro-emulsion which suggested a physical interaction that contradicted the initial expectation. The rheology data for both HPC and HPC-BZK complex showed that highest viscosity was obtained at the lowest shear rate and the lowest viscosity was obtained at the highest shear rate. Based on the rheology data, it was therefore expected that the highest drag reduction performances should be obtained at the lowest shear rate (minimal degree of turbulence,  $Re$ ) and at the highest liquid viscosity. The results of the pipeline study were as follows: First, among the tested  $Re$ , moderate  $Re$ : 59448 produced the highest drag reduction performance for both HPC and HPC-BZK complex. Second, the highest concentration was observed to produce the highest drag reduction performance (1000ppm HPC and 1000-1000 ppm HPC-BZK complex). And third, both HPC and HPC-BZK complex were shown to display the highest drag reduction performance in the shortest pipe length (1m). Furthermore, it was observed that all the results indicated that HPC-BZK complex showed higher drag reduction performance than HPC. 1000-1000ppm showed up to 30.81% of drag reduction compared to 1000 ppm HPC which showed 27.24% of drag reduction in the 1 m pipe length when subjected to  $Re$ : 59448. The major difference between HPC-BZK complex and HPC was the presence of BZK, and the absence of any chemical interaction suggested that BZK attributed to the drag reduction enhancement between HPC and HPC-BZK complex. Overall, it was concluded that HPC is a potential drag reduction agent for pipe flow enhancement, and its drag reduction performance could be enhanced in the presence of BZK.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Background of Study	1
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Objectives of Study	5
1.5 Scope of Study	6
1.6 Thesis Overview	6
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Turbulent Drag Reduction	7
2.2 Solids Drag Reduction	9
2.3 Polymer Drag Reduction	9
2.3.1 Polyethylene oxide (PEO)	11
2.3.2 Polyacrylamide (PAM)	15



2.3.3	Polyisobutylene (PIB)	18
2.3.4	Polystyrene (PS)	20
2.3.5	Guar Gum (GG)	21
2.3.6	Xanthan Gum (XG)	24
2.3.7	Aloe Vera and Okra plant mucilage	27
2.3.8	Chitosan	28
2.4	Surfactant's Drag Reduction	29
2.5	Drag Reduction Mechanism	32
2.6	Polymer Degradation	34
2.6.1	Polymer grafting and crosslinking	35
2.6.2	Polymer-surfactant complex	36
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>45</b>
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Materials	46
3.2.1	Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC)	46
3.2.2	Benzyltrimethylhexadecyl-ammonium chloride (BZK)	47
3.3	Experimental Phases	48
3.3.1	DRA Preparation	49
3.3.2	Solutions Morphology (Transmission Electronic Microscopy, TEM)	50
3.3.3	Rheology Study	51
3.3.4	Pipe-Flow Drag Reduction Performance Tests	53
3.3.5	Experimental Calculation	56
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>		<b>58</b>
4.1	Introduction	58

4.2	Solutions Characterization via Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	58
4.2.1	TEM Images of Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC)	58
4.2.2	TEM Images of Benzyldimethylhexadecyl-Ammonium Chloride (BZK)	61
4.2.3	TEM Images of HPC-BZK Complex	63
4.3	Rheology	68
4.3.1	Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC)	69
4.3.2	Benzyldimethylhexadecyl-ammonium chloride (BZK)	71
4.3.3	HPC-BZK Complex	73
4.4	Pipe Flow Drag Reduction Performance Tests in Varied Reynold Number (Re)	77
4.4.1	Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC)	77
4.4.2	Benzyldimethylhexadecyl-ammonium chloride (BZK)	80
4.4.3	HPC-BZK Complex	82
4.5	Pipe Flow Drag Reduction Performance in Varied Concentration (ppm)	86
4.5.1	Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC)	86
4.5.2	Benzyldimethylhexadecyl-ammonium chloride (BZK)	89
4.5.3	HPC-BZK Complex	91
4.6	Pipe Flow Drag Reduction Performance in Varied Pipe Length (m)	94
4.6.1	Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC)	94
4.6.2	Benzyldimethylhexadecyl-Ammonium Chloride (BZK)	96
4.6.3	HPC-BZK Complex	97
4.6.4	Drag reduction performance between HPC and HPC-BZK complex	100
4.7	Additives Stability in Pipe Flow	101
4.8	Proposed Controlling Mechanism	102

<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION</b>	<b>112</b>
5.1 Introduction	112
5.2 Recommendations	113
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: AMOUNT OF ADDITIVE IN LIQUIDS</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: CALCULATION OF FLOW RATE, VELOCITY AND REYNOLD NUMBER</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>125</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Examples of flexible polymers which have been tested as DRA	10
Table 2.2	Examples of rigid polymers which have been tested as DRA	10
Table 2.3	Examples of surfactants as DRA	31
Table 2.4	Examples of polymer-surfactant complex	39
Table 3.1	Amount of additives in transporting water	49
Table 3.2	Tested flowrate and $Re$	57

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Pipe flow illustration	2
Figure 2.1	Turbulent drag reduction	8
Figure 2.2	PEO molecular structure	11
Figure 2.3	Cryo-TEM image of PEO	12
Figure 2.4	PAM molecular structure	15
Figure 2.5	TEM image of PAM	16
Figure 2.6	PIB molecular structure	18
Figure 2.7	Drag reduction performance of PIB	19
Figure 2.8	PS molecular structure	20
Figure 2.9	SEM image of PS	21
Figure 2.10	GG's molecular structure	22
Figure 2.11	SEM image of GG	22
Figure 2.12	Drag reduction effects of different forms of GG	23
Figure 2.13	XG's molecular structure	25
Figure 2.14	SEM image of XG	25
Figure 2.15	Drag reduction effects of XG	26
Figure 2.16	Aloe Vera mucilage's drag reduction performance	27
Figure 2.17	Molecular structure of Chitosan	28
Figure 2.18	Cryo-TEM of Chitosan	28
Figure 2.19	Surfactant micelles	30
Figure 2.20	Polymer-surfactant interaction	37
Figure 2.21	Free polymer without interaction with surfactant	37
Figure 2.22	Oppositely charged polymer-surfactants complex	38
Figure 2.23	Polymer-micelle aggregate: a) in the shape of spherical structures, b) elongated during shear stress action	40
Figure 2.24	Plot of the surface tension as a function of the logarithm of the cr concentrations ( $\blacktriangle$ ), Pure CTAB ( $\circ$ ), and CTAB in the presence of 0.05mm CR ( $\bullet$ ) at 25°C	42
Figure 2.25	PVP-surfactant interaction	43
Figure 3.1	HPC molecular structure	47
Figure 3.2	BZK's molecular structure	47
Figure 3.3	Flowchart of the present experimental work	48
Figure 3.4	Transmission Electronic Microscopy (TEM) at Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM)	51

Figure 3.5	Malvern Kinexus Lab+ Series rheometer (located at Center Of Excellence For Advanced Research In Fluid Flow (CARIFF))	52
Figure 3.6	Schematic diagram of the pipe loop system	54
Figure 3.7	Picture of the pipe loop system	55
Figure 4.1	Molecular structure of HPC	59
Figure 4.2	TEM images of HPC at 500nm resolution and 50nm	59
Figure 4.3	TEM images of PAM and PAA	60
Figure 4.4	BZK micelles at 500nm	61
Figure 4.5	Multiple BZK micelles at 20nm	62
Figure 4.6	HPC and BZK micelles at 500 nm resolution	62
Figure 4.7	HPC-BZK complex at 200nm resolution	63
Figure 4.8	Cationic BZK micelle	64
Figure 4.9	TEM image of HPC-BZK complex at 200nm	65
Figure 4.10	TEM image of HPC-BZK complex at 20nm	65
Figure 4.11	Flexible-polymer interaction	66
Figure 4.12	TEM image comparison between (a) HPC and (b) HPC-BZK complex at 500 nm resolution	67
Figure 4.13	HPC's shear viscosity versus shear rate	70
Figure 4.14	HPC's shear stress versus shear rate	71
Figure 4.15	BZK's shear viscosity versus shear rate	72
Figure 4.16	BZK's shear stress versus shear rate	72
Figure 4.17	HPC-BZK complex's shear viscosity versus shear rate	74
Figure 4.18	HPC-BZK complex's shear stress versus shear rate	74
Figure 4.19	HPC's Drag reduction performance at different Re (3m)	79
Figure 4.20	HPC's Drag reduction performance at different Re (1m)	79
Figure 4.21	Aloe Vera mucilage's drag reduction performance	80
Figure 4.22	BZK's drag reduction performance at different Re (1m)	81
Figure 4.23	BZK's drag reduction performance at different Re (3m)	82
Figure 4.24	HPC-BZK complex's drag reduction performance at different Re (3m)	84
Figure 4.25	HPC-BZK complex's drag reduction performance at different Re (3m)	85
Figure 4.26	Comparison in drag reduction performances between 1000ppm HPC and 1000-1000ppm HPC-BZK complex at different Re (1m)	86
Figure 4.27	HPC's drag reduction performance in varied concentrations (1m)	88
Figure 4.28	HPC's Drag reduction performance in varied concentrations (3m)	88

Figure 4.29	Okra mucilage drag reduction performance in pipe flow of water in varied concentrations	89
Figure 4.30	BZK's drag reduction performance versus in varied concentrations (1m)	90
Figure 4.31	BZK's drag reduction performance versus in varied concentrations (3m)	91
Figure 4.32	HPC-BZK complex's drag reduction performance in varied concentrations (1m)	92
Figure 4.33	HPC-BZK complex's drag reduction performance in varied concentrations (3m)	93
Figure 4.34	HPC and HPC-BZK complex's drag reduction performance in varied concentrations (1m)	94
Figure 4.35	HPC's Drag reduction performance in varied pipe lengths (Re : 59448)	95
Figure 4.36	HPC's Drag reduction performance in varied pipe lengths (Re : 63694)	96
Figure 4.37	BZK's Drag reduction performance in different pipe length (Re: 59448)	97
Figure 4.38	BZK's Drag reduction performance in different pipe length (Re: 59448)	97
Figure 4.39	HPC-BZK Complex's drag reduction performance in different pipe length (Re : 59448)	99
Figure 4.40	HPC-BZK Complex's drag reduction performance in different pipe length (Re : 63694)	99
Figure 4.41	Comparison in drag reduction performances between HPC and HPC-BZK complex in varied pipe lengths (1000 ppm and Re:59448)	100
Figure 4.42	Drag reduction performance of 500ppm HPC and 500-500ppm HPC-BZK complex (Re:59448)	101
Figure 4.43	Variations in pressure drop with time for pure water and HPC (Re: 63694)	102
Figure 4.44	Variations in pressure drop with time for pure water and HPC-BZK Complex (Re : 63694)	102
Figure 4.45	Illustration of HPC nano-particle based on TEM image	103
Figure 4.46	Illustration of HPC-BZK complex nano-particles based on TEM image	103
Figure 4.47	Illustration of HPC nano-particles in pipe flow	104
Figure 4.48	Illustration of HPC-BZK complex nano-particles in pipe flow	105
Figure 4.49	Influence of Re on HPC's drag reduction performance in pipe flow	106
Figure 4.50	Influence of Re on HPC-BZK complex's drag reduction performance in pipe flow	107

Figure 4.51	Influence of concentration on HPC's drag reduction performance in pipe flow	108
Figure 4.52	Influence of concentration on HPC-BZK complex's drag reduction performance in pipe flow	109
Figure 4.53	Influence of pipe length on HPC's drag reduction performance in pipe flow	110
Figure 4.54	Influence of pipe length on HPC-BZK complex's drag reduction performance in pipe flow	111



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

m	Meter
ppm	Part per million
$\rho$	Fluid density
$\mu$	Dynamic viscosity of fluid
L	Pipe length
V	Average velocity
% DR	Percentage drag reduction
C	Concentration
L	Liter
$\Delta P$	Pressure loss
TEM	Transmission Electronic Microscopy

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Re	Reynolds Number
DR	Drag Reduction
DRA	Drag Reducing Agent
MW	Molecular weight
I.D	Internal diameter
$\Delta P$	Pressure Drop (Pressure Different)
RDA	Rotating disk apparatus
oC	Degree Celsius
%	Percent
L	Liter
wt	Weight

## REFERENCES

- Abdul Bari, H. A., Kamarulizam, S. N., & Man, R. C. (2011). Investigating drag reduction characteristic using okra mucilage as new drag reduction agent. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, *11*(14), 2554–2561. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2011.2554.2561>
- Abdul Bari, H. A., Letchmanan, K., & Yunus, R. M. (2011). Drag reduction characteristics using aloe Vera natural mucilage: An experimental study. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, *11*(6), 1039–1043. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2011.1039.1043>
- Abdul Bari, H. A., Yunus, R. B. M., & Hadi, T. S. (2010). Aluminum powder and zwitterionic surfactants as drag reducing agents in pipe lines. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, *7*(10), 1310–1316. <https://doi.org/10.3844/ajassp.2010.1310.1316>
- Abdulbari, H. A., Nuraffini Bt Kamarulizam, S., Y, R. M., & Gupta, A. (2012). Introducing slag powder as drag reduction agent in pipeline: An experimental approach. *Scientific Research and Essays*, *7*(18), 1768–1776. <https://doi.org/10.5897/SRE11.1483>
- Abubakar, A., Al-Wahaibi, T., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Hashmi, A. R., & Al-Ajmi, A. (2014). Roles of drag reducing polymers in single- and multi-phase flows. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2014.02.031>
- Al-Sarkhi, A., & Hanratty, T. J. (2001). Effect of Pipe Diameter on the Performance of Drag-Reducing Polymers in Annular Gas-Liquid Flows. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, *79*(4), 402–408. <https://doi.org/10.1205/026387601750282328>
- Al-Sarkhi, A., & Hanratty, T. J. (2002). Effect of pipe diameter on the drop size in a horizontal annular gas-liquid flow. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, *28*(10), 1617–1629. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9322\(02\)00048-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9322(02)00048-4)
- Al-Wahaibi, T., Smith, M., & Angeli, P. (2007). Effect of drag-reducing polymers on horizontal oil-water flows. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *57*(3–4), 334–346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2006.11.002>
- Ali, M. S., Suhail, M., Ghosh, G., Kamil, M., & Kabir-ud-Din. (2009). Interactions between cationic gemini/conventional surfactants with polyvinylpyrrolidone: Specific conductivity and dynamic light scattering studies. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, *350*(1–3), 51–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2009.08.033>
- Bari, H.A.A., Mohamad, N. K., Mohd, N., & Nour, A. H. (2011). Effect of chitosan solution in turbulent drag reduction in aqueous media flow. *Scientific Research and Essays*, *6*(14).

- Bari, Hayder A. Abdul, Yousif, Z., Yaacob, Z. Bin, & Oluwasogaakindoyo, E. (2015). Effect of SDBS on the drag reduction characteristics of polyacrylamide in a rotating disk apparatus. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 4(3), 326–332. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijbas.v4i3.4883>
- Behari, K., Pandey, P. K., Kumar, R., & Taunk, K. (2001). Graft copolymerization of acrylamide onto Xanthan Gum. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 46(2), 185–189. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8617\(00\)00291-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8617(00)00291-5)
- Boffetta, G., Celani, A., & Mazzino, A. (2005). Drag reduction in the turbulent Kolmogorov flow. *Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*, 71(3). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.036307>
- Burger, E. D., Munk, W. R., & Wahl, H. A. (1982). Flow increase in the trans alaska pipeline through use of a polymeric drag-reducing additive. *Society of Petroleum Engineers of AIME*, (February 1982), 377–386. <https://doi.org/10.2118/9419-PA>
- Cadot, O., Bonn, D., & Douady, S. (1998). Turbulent drag reduction in a closed flow system: Boundary layer versus bulk effects. *Physics of Fluids*, 10(2), 426. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.869532>
- Chai, Y., Li, X., Geng, J., Pan, J., Huang, Y., & Jing, D. (2019). Mechanistic study of drag reduction in turbulent pipeline flow over anionic polymer and surfactant mixtures. *Colloid and Polymer Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00396-019-04525-2>
- Chang, S. A., & Gray, D. G. (1978). The surface tension of aqueous hydroxypropyl cellulose solutions. *Journal of Colloid And Interface Science*, 67(2), 255–265. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797\(78\)90010-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797(78)90010-3)
- Choi, H. J., & Jhon, M. S. (1996). Polymer-induced turbulent drag reduction. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 35(9), 2993–2998. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie9507484>
- de Gennes, P. G. (1988). de Gennes P. G. *Molecular Conformations and Dynamics of Macromolecules in Condensed Phases*, 315–331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13583149408628646>
- De Souza Filho, E. M., Bahiense, L., & Ferreira Filho, V. J. M. (2013). Scheduling a multi-product pipeline network. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2013.01.019>
- Deshmukh, S. R., & Singh, R. P. (1987). Drag reduction effectiveness, shear stability and biodegradation resistance of guar gum based graft copolymers. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 33(6), 1963–1975. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.1987.070330610>
- Devabaktuni Lavanya\*, P.K.Kulkarni, Mudit Dixit, Prudhvi Kanth Raavi, L. N. V. K. (2015). Sources of cellulose and their applications- A review. *International Journal of Drug Formulation and Research*, 2(November), 19–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.05.005>

- Dong, Z., Gao, R., Sun, D., Wu, T., & Li, Y. (2017). Combined effects of polymer/surfactant mixtures on dynamic interfacial properties. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering*, *12*(3), 489–501. <https://doi.org/10.1002/apj.2092>
- EL Aferni, A., Guettari, M., & Tajouri, T. (2016). Effect of polymer conformation on polymer-surfactant interaction in salt-free water. *Colloid and Polymer Science*, *294*(7), 1097–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00396-016-3869-8>
- Elworthy, P., & Mysels, K. (1966). The Surface Tension of SDS Solutions and the Phase Separation Model of Micelle Formation. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, *21*(3), 331–347. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8522\(66\)90017-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8522(66)90017-1)
- Escudier, M. P., Presti, F., & Smith, S. (1998). Drag reduction in the turbulent pipe flow of polymers. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, *81*(3), 197–213.
- Eshrati, M., Al-Wahaibi, T., Al-Hashmi, A. R., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Ajmi, A., & Abubakar, A. (2017). Experimental study of drag reduction of polymer-polymer mixtures in horizontal dispersed oil-water flow. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, *83*, 169–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2017.01.006>
- Fontaine, A. A., Deutsch, S., Brungart, T. A., Petrie, H. L., & Fenstermacker, M. (1999). Drag reduction by coupled systems: Microbubble injection with homogeneous polymer and surfactant solutions. *Experiments in Fluids*, *26*(5), 397–403. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003480050303>
- Ge, W., Shi, H., Talmon, Y., Hart, D. J., & Zakin, J. L. (2011). Synergistic effects of mixed aromatic counterions on nanostructures and drag reducing effectiveness of aqueous cationic surfactant solutions. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, *115*(19), 5939–5946. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp201386v>
- Gumus, T., Demirci, A. S., Mirik, M., Arici, M., & Aysan, Y. (2010). Xanthan Gum production of *Xanthomonas* spp. isolated from different plants. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, *19*(1), 201–206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-010-0027-9>
- Han, G., Wang, G., Zhu, X., Shao, H., Liu, F., Yang, P., ... Ling, P. (2012). Preparation of Xanthan Gum injection and its protective effect on articular cartilage in the development of osteoarthritis. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *87*(2), 1837–1842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.10.016>
- Hidema, R., Murao, I., Komoda, Y., & Suzuki, H. (2018). Effects of the extensional rheological properties of polymer solutions on vortex shedding and turbulence characteristics in a two-dimensional turbulent flow. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2018.02.001>
- Hinch, E. J. (1976). The deformation of a nearly straight thread in a shearing flow with weak Brownian motions. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112076000529>
- Hong, C. H., Zhang, K., Choi, H. J., & Yoon, S. M. (2010). Mechanical degradation of polysaccharide Guar Gum under turbulent flow. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, *16*(2), 178–180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2009.09.073>

- Hoyt, J. W. (1990). Drag Reduction by Polymers and Surfactants. *Viscous Drag Reduction in Boundary Layers*, 413–432. <https://doi.org/10.2514/5.9781600865978.0413.0432>
- Japper-Jaafar, A., Escudier, M. P., & Poole, R. J. (2009). Turbulent pipe flow of a drag-reducing rigid “rod-like” polymer solution. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 161(1–3), 86–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2009.04.008>
- Jones, D. (2004). *Pharmaceutical Applications of Polymers for Drug Delivery. Polysaccharides for Drug Delivery and Pharmaceutical Applications*. Retrieved from <http://books.google.co.uk/books?id=VQOZ0zrEB9IC>
- Joshi, T., Mata, J., & Bahadur, P. (2005). Micellization and interaction of anionic and nonionic mixed surfactant systems in water. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 260(1–3), 209–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2005.03.009>
- Kabir, M., & Reo, J. (2009). Hydroxypropyl Cellulose. *Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients*, 317–322.
- Kawaguchi, Y., Segawa, T., Feng, Z., & Li, P. (2002). Experimental study on drag-reducing channel flow with surfactant additives-Spatial structure of turbulence investigated by PIV system. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 23(5), 700–709. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-727X\(02\)00166-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-727X(02)00166-2)
- Kerekes, R. (2006). Rheology of fibre suspensions in papermaking: An overview of recent research. *Nordic Pulp and Paper Research Journal*, 21(05), 598–612. <https://doi.org/10.3183/NPPRJ-2006-21-05-p598-612>
- Kim, C. ., Kim, J. ., Lee, K., Choi, H. ., & Jhon, M. . (2000). Mechanical degradation of dilute polymer solutions under turbulent flow. *Polymer*, 41(21), 7611–7615. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861\(00\)00135-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(00)00135-X)
- Kim, C. A., Jo, D. S., Choi, H. J., Kim, C. B., & Jhon, M. S. (2000). A high-precision rotating disk apparatus for drag reduction characterization. *Polymer Testing*, 20(1), 43–48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9418\(99\)00077-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9418(99)00077-X)
- Kim, J. T., Kim, C. A., Zhang, K., Jang, C. H., & Choi, H. J. (2011). Effect of polymer-surfactant interaction on its turbulent drag reduction. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 391(1–3), 125–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2011.04.018>
- Kim, K., Li, C.-F., Sureshkumar, R., Balachandar, S., & Adrian, R. J. (2007). Effects of polymer stresses on eddy structures in drag-reduced turbulent channel flow. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 584, 281. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112007006611>
- Kim, N. J., Kim, S., Lim, S. H., Chen, K., & Chun, W. (2009). Measurement of drag reduction in polymer added turbulent flow. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 36(10), 1014–1019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2009.08.002>

- Kronberg, B., Holmberg, K., & Lindman, B. (2014). Surfactant-Polymer Systems. *Surface Chemistry of Surfactants and Polymers*, 271–293. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118695968.ch14>
- L'Vov, V. S., Pomyalov, A., Procaccia, I., & Tiberkevich, V. (2004). Drag reduction by polymers in wall bounded turbulence. *Physical Review Letters*, 92(24), 244503–1. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.244503>
- Lavanya, D., Kulkarni, P. K., Dixit, M., Raavi, P. K., & Krishna, L. N. V. (2011). Sources of cellulose and their applications—a review. *Int J Drug Formul Res*, 2(January 2011), 2.
- Lee, K., Kim, C. A., Lim, S. T., Kwon, D. H., Choi, H. J., & Jhon, M. S. (2002). Mechanical degradation of polyisobutylene under turbulent flow. *Colloid and Polymer Science*, 280(8), 779–782. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00396-002-0690-3>
- Li, F. C., Kawaguchi, Y., Yu, B., Wei, J. J., & Hishida, K. (2008). Experimental study of drag-reduction mechanism for a dilute surfactant solution flow. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 51(3–4), 835–843. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2007.04.048>
- Liu, D., Wang, Q., & Wei, J. (2018). Experimental study on drag reduction performance of mixed polymer and surfactant solutions. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2018.01.047>
- Lumley, J L. (1973). Drag reduction in turbulent flow by polymer additives. *Journal of Polymer Science: Macromolecular Reviews*, 7(1), 263–290. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pol.1973.230070104>
- Lumley, John L. (1969). Drag reduction by additives. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 1(1), 367–384. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fl.01.010169.002055>
- Magny, B., Iliopoulos, I., Zana, R., & Audebert, R. (1994). Mixed Micelles Formed by Cationic Surfactants and Anionic Hydrophobically Modified Polyelectrolytes. *Langmuir*, 10(9), 3180–3187. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la00021a047>
- Matras, Z., Malcher, T., & Gzyl-Malcher, B. (2008). The influence of polymer-surfactant aggregates on drag reduction. *Thin Solid Films*, 516(24), 8848–8851. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2007.11.057>
- Min, T., Yul Yoo, J., Choi, H., & Joseph, D. D. (2003). Drag reduction by polymer additives in a turbulent channel flow. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 486(2003), 213–238. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112003004610>
- Mohammadtabar, M., Sanders, R. S., & Ghaemi, S. (2017). Turbulent structures of non-Newtonian solutions containing rigid polymers. *Physics of Fluids*. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4989533>
- Mowla, D., & Naderi, A. (2006). Experimental study of drag reduction by a polymeric additive in slug two-phase flow of crude oil and air in horizontal pipes. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 61(5), 1549–1554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2005.09.006>

- Mowla, D., & Naderi, A. A. (2008). EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF DRAG REDUCTION IN ANNULAR TWO-PHASE FLOW OF OIL AND AIR \*. *Iranian Journal of Science & Technology, Transaction B, Engineering*, 32(B6), 601–609.
- Mukerjee, P., & Mysels, K. (1971). Critical micelle concentrations of aqueous surfactant systems. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.2600610254>
- Myska, J., & Mik, V. (2004). Degradation of surfactant solutions by age and by a flow singularity. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, 43(12), 1495–1501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2004.02.001>
- Owolabi, B. E., Dennis, D. J. C., & Poole, R. J. (2017). Turbulent drag reduction by polymer additives in parallel-shear flows. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2017.544>
- Pereira, A. S., Andrade, R. M., & Soares, E. J. (2013). Drag reduction induced by flexible and rigid molecules in a turbulent flow into a rotating cylindrical double gap device: Comparison between Poly (ethylene oxide), Polyacrylamide, and Xanthan Gum. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 202, 72–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2013.09.008>
- Pereira, A. S., & Soares, E. J. (2012). Polymer degradation of dilute solutions in turbulent drag reducing flows in a cylindrical double gap rheometer device. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2012.05.001>
- Petkova, R., Tcholakova, S., & Denkov, N. D. (2013). Role of polymer-surfactant interactions in foams: Effects of pH and surfactant head group for cationic polyvinylamine and anionic surfactants. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 438, 174–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2013.01.021>
- Phukan, S., Kumar, P., Panda, J., Nayak, B. R., Tiwari, K. N., & Singh, R. P. (2001). Application of drag reducing commercial and purified guar gum for reduction of energy requirement of sprinkler irrigation and percolation rate of the soil. *Agricultural Water Management*, 47(2), 101–118. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774\(00\)00103-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774(00)00103-7)
- Samanta, A., Ojha, K., Sarkar, A., & Mandal, A. (2011). Surfactant and Surfactant-Polymer Flooding for Enhanced Oil Recovery. *Advances in Petroleum Exploration and Development*, 2(1), 13–18. <https://doi.org/10.3968/>
- Savins, J. G. (1995). Drag reducing additives improve drilling fluid hydraulics. *Oil and Gas Journal*, 93(11), 79–86.
- Sellin, R. H. J., Hoyt, J. W., Poliert, J., & Scrivener, O. (1982). The Effect Of Drag Reducing Additives On Fluid Flows And Their Industrial Applications Part 2: Present Applications And Future Proposals\*. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 20(3), 235–292. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221688209499488>



- Shahir, A. A., Rashidi-Alavijeh, M., Javadian, S., Kakemam, J., & Yousefi, A. (2011). Molecular interaction of Congo Red with conventional and cationic gemini surfactants. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 305(2), 219–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2011.04.004>
- Singh, Ram P., Pal, S., Krishnamoorthy, S., Adhikary, P., & Ali, S. A. (2009). High-technology materials based on modified polysaccharides. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 81(3), 525–547. <https://doi.org/10.1351/PAC-CON-08-08-17>
- Singh, Ram Prakash, Karmakar, G. P., Rath, S. K., Karmakar, N. C., Pandey, S. R., Tripathy, T., ... Lan, N. T. (2000). Biodegradable drag reducing agents and flocculants based on polysaccharides: Materials and applications. *Polymer Engineering & Science*, 40(1), 46–60. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.11138>
- Sohn, J. I., Kim, C. A., Choi, H. J., & Jhon, M. S. (2001). Drag-reduction effectiveness of Xanthan Gum in a rotating disk apparatus. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 45(1), 61–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8617\(00\)00232-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8617(00)00232-0)
- Soleimani, A., Al-Sarkhi, A., & Hanratty, T. J. (2002). Effect of drag-reducing polymers on pseudo-slugs - Interfacial drag and transition to slug flow. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 28(12), 1911–1927. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9322\(02\)00110-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9322(02)00110-6)
- Sreenivasan, K. R., & White, C. M. (2000). The onset of drag reduction by dilute polymer additives, and the maximum drag reduction asymptote. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 409, 149–164. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112099007818>
- Suali, E., Abdul Bari, H. A., Hassan, Z., & Rahman, M. M. (2010). The study of glycolic acid ethoxylate 4-nonylphenyl ether on drag reduction. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(21), 2683–2687.
- Suksamranchit, S., & Sirivat, A. (2007). Influence of ionic strength on complex formation between poly(ethylene oxide) and cationic surfactant and turbulent wall shear stress in aqueous solution. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 128(1), 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2006.10.003>
- Toms, B. A. (1977). On the early experiments on drag reduction by polymers. *Physics of Fluids*, 20(10), S3. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.861757>
- Virk, P. S. (1971). Drag reduction in rough pipes. *J. Fluid Mech*, 45(2), 225–246. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112071000028>
- Virk, P. S. (1975). Drag reduction fundamentals. *AIChE Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690210402>
- Wyatt, N. B., Gunther, C. M., & Liberatore, M. W. (2011). Drag reduction effectiveness of dilute and entangled xanthan in turbulent pipe flow. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 166(1–2), 25–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2010.10.002>
- Yudhistira, I., & Skote, M. (2011). Direct numerical simulation of a turbulent boundary layer over an oscillating wall. *Journal of Turbulence*, 12(9), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14685248.2010.538397>

Zhang, X., Duan, X., Muzychka, Y., & Wang, Z. (2020). Experimental correlation for pipe flow drag reduction using relaxation time of linear flexible polymers in a dilute solution. *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.23649>