

HYBRID MOTH FLAME OPTIMIZATION  
MPPT ALGORITHM FOR ACCURATE  
REAL-TIME TRACKING UNDER PARTIALLY  
SHADED PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM

TIONG MENG CHUNG

Doctor of Philosophy

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'H. Daniyal', written over a horizontal line.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. HAMDAN BIN DANİYAL

Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Date : 30 APRIL 2021

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'M. Herwan', written over a horizontal line.

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. MOHD HERWAN BIN SULAIMAN

Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Date : 30 APRIL 2021



## STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tiong', is shown within a light gray rectangular box. The signature is fluid and cursive.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : TIONG MENG CHUNG

ID Number : PEE17008

Date : 30 APRIL 2021

HYBRID MOTH FLAME OPTIMIZATION MPPT ALGORITHM FOR  
ACCURATE REAL-TIME TRACKING UNDER PARTIALLY SHADED  
PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM

TIONG MENG CHUNG

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Electrical & Electronics Engineering Technology  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

APRIL 2021

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I am very grateful and thankful to the God who give me the strength and wisdom to complete my graduate program. Under His guidance and blessing, I am able to complete my PhD study smoothly.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Hamdan Daniyal for giving me the opportunity and full support to complete my study under his supervision. His continuous guidance, encouragement and relentlessly giving support, idea and feebaks, makes this research to be successful. I am also very grateful to Dr. Mohd Herwan Sulaiman as my co-supervisor for his valuable lessons and encouragements.

I would also like to thank for everyone involved in this project, either directly or indirectly. My special gratitude to University College of Technology Sarawak, for the financial support; as without their continuous support, this work would not be happened. I would also like to thank Universiti Malaysia Pahang for granting me research funding to complete my research. I would also like to express my sincere gratitude towards the staff and lecturers for providing helps directly or indirectly to complete my studies.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my beloved family members for their continuous support to attain my goal during my toughest period of my PhD. I am also grateful for my friends who always giving me encouragement, determination and helps to complete my study.

## ABSTRAK

Modul fotovolta (PV) adalah kumpulan sel solar yang digunakan untuk menjana tenaga elektrik dari sinar matahari. Aplikasi fotovolta dalam penjanaan kuasa semakin popular disebabkan dengan pelaksanaannya yang mudah dan sumbernya yang tidak kunjung habis. Disebabkan ciri-ciri tidak linear, modul PV memerlukan pengesanan titik kuasa maksimum (MPPT). MPPT akan mengubah titik operasi kuasa agar sentiasa berada di titik kuasa maksimum (MPP) pada keluk arus-voltan (I-V). Tetapi dalam keadaan teduhan separa, sistem PV terdedah kepada masalah maksima tempatan yang seterusnya menjadi cabaran yang semakin tinggi bagi MPPT kerana algoritma MPPT biasa tidak berupaya mengesan MPP dengan cekap. Bagi mengatasi masalah ini, kaedah pengkomputeran lembut diadaptasi dalam MPPT oleh beberapa penyelidik, dengan Pengoptimuman Kawanan Zarah – *Particle Swarm Optimization* (PSO) adalah paling menyerlah. Namun, PSO memerlukan kuasa pengiraan yang tinggi. Ini merupakan satu masalah dalam aplikasi masa-nyata dan dalam keadaan sinaran yang terlalu dinamik. Dalam satu perkara lain, dengan penambahbaikan berterusan dan kemunculan algoritma baharu yang menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih unggul dalam menyelesaikan masalah semasa, satu algoritma MPPT berasaskan Pengoptimuman Api dan Kupu-kupu – *Moth Flame Optimization* (MFO) telah dilahirkan. Dalam kajian ini, satu sistem empat modul fotovolta berkapasiti 980 W bersama satu pengubah arus terus *Boost* dimodelkan dalam MATLAB-Simulink sebagai platform ujian. Satu strategi kawalan terus diadaptasi sebagai pengawal pengubah kuasa arus terus digunakan bagi menggantikan kaedah biasa yang menggunakan pengawal Perkadaran dan Kamiran (PI) tanpa perlu penalaan. Berdasarkan kajian daripada MFO, MFO didapati memiliki kemampuan dalam mengesan titik kuasa maksimum dengan efektif, walaupun terdapat masalah penumpuan pramatang berdekatan dengan MPP. Untuk menghilangkan had tersebut, satu model hibrid baru yang bernama *Hybrid MFO* (HMFO) telah diusulkan berdasarkan gabungan daripada ciri-ciri MFO dan *Perturb & Observe* (P&O), bersama dengan ciri tambahan dalam pengesanan keadaan teduhan separa. Prestasi kedua-dua MFO dan HMFO dibandingkan dengan dua kaedah MPPT yang biasa digunakan iaitu P&O dan PSO. Untuk penilaian prestasi algoritma MPPT masa-nyata dengan lebih terperinci, perkakasan-dalam-gelung – *Hardware-in-the-Loop* (HIL) telah digunakan bagi mencontohi tingkah laku sistem PV dan alat pengubah kuasa. Sementara itu, alat pemproses isyarat digital (DSP) telah digunakan untuk pelaksanaan algoritma dalam kajian. Keempat-empat kaedah diuji di bawah 10 kes ujian sinaran tetap, 30 kes ujian sinaran dinamik dan 100 kes ujian teduhan separa. HMFO telah menunjukkan kebolehan pengesanan MPP yang cepat dan paling cekap di antara kaedah-kaedah pengkomputeran lembut bagi keadaan sinaran tetap. Di bawah keadaan sinaran dinamik, HMFO mampu mencapai MPP lebih pantas dan lebih cekap berbanding PSO and MFO. Di bawah keadaan teduhan separa pula, HMFO menunjukkan kecekapan yang paling tinggi dan masa penumpuan yang paling laju di antara kaedah-kaedah pengkomputeran lembut. HMFO dapat mengesan titik sebenar MPP sebanyak tiga kali ganda berbanding dengan P&O di bawah keadaan teduhan separa dan ia dapat mencapai kecekapan dengan purata sehingga 99.35 %.

## ABSTRACT

Photovoltaic (PV) module is a packed solar cell, used for generating electricity from the sun's energy. The application of PV power generation has gained its popularity with its easy implementation and inexhaustible energy resources. Due to the nonlinear characteristic of PV module, a maximum power point tracking (MPPT) is necessary to adjust the operating point based on the maximum power point (MPP) on the current-voltage ( $I$ - $V$ ) characteristic curve. However, under partial shaded conditions, the PV system is prone to local maxima problem and the challenge for MPPT increases, due to most of the commonly used MPPT algorithms were unable to track for the MPP effectively. To overcome the challenge, soft computing methods have been adapted in MPPT by researchers, with Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) being the most prominent. However, the high computational power of PSO becomes a disadvantage in real-time and highly dynamic MPPT application. In addition, with the continuous improvement effort and the possibility of a new-comer algorithm can show superior results on the current problem, a new MFO based MPPT algorithm was proposed. In this study, a four-module 980 W solar PV system together with a DC/DC Boost Converter model was developed in MATLAB-Simulink as the MPPT algorithm test platform. Direct control strategy was adapted as the regulator for the DC/DC converter to replace the conventional proportional-integral (PI) controller to eliminate the need to tune the PI controller. Based on the study from MFO, it is found that the MFO was having the capability to perform effective tracking, despite its limitation of premature convergence problem near the MPP. To lift the limitation off, a new hybrid model named Hybrid MFO (HMFO) was proposed based on the combination of feature from MFO and conventional P&O, together with an additional partial shading detection feature. The performance of MFO and HMFO was compared with two well-established MPPT methods, namely Perturb and Observe (P&O) and PSO. To further evaluate the real-time performance of the MPPT algorithms, hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) was utilized to emulate the behavior of the PV system and power converter while a digital signal processor (DSP) was used to implement the MPPT algorithms in study. All four MPPT methods were simulated and real-time evaluated under 10 constant irradiance test cases, 30 dynamic irradiance test cases and 100 partial shaded irradiance test cases. HMFO has shown fast tracking and achieved the highest average efficiency among the soft computing methods under constant irradiance conditions. Under dynamic irradiance condition, HMFO was able to reach the new MPP faster and more effective than both PSO and MFO. Under partial shaded conditions, the HMFO was able to show the highest average tracking efficiency and the fastest convergence time among the soft computing method. The HMFO was able to track for true MPP for about three times more than the P&O under partial shaded conditions and it was able to achieve the average tracking efficiency up to 99.35 %.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Scope and Limitations	5
1.5 Overview of the Thesis	7
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Photovoltaic	8
2.2.1 Photovoltaic Cell	9
2.2.2 Photovoltaic Module	9
2.2.3 The I-V Characteristic and Maximum Power Point Tracking	10



2.2.4	Partially Shaded PV Array	11
2.3	Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) Algorithm	12
2.3.1	Conventional MPPT	13
2.3.2	Soft Computing MPPT	17
2.3.3	Hybrid Algorithms for MPPT	32
2.4	Direct Control Strategy	34
2.5	Real-time Simulation	35
2.6	Summary	38
 <b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		 <b>45</b>
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Modelling of PV Array in MATLAB	48
3.3	MPPT Algorithm Simulation in MATLAB	53
3.3.1	Perturb and Observe	54
3.3.2	Particle Swarm Optimization	55
3.3.3	Moth Flame Optimization	57
3.3.4	Hybrid Moth Flame Optimization	60
3.4	Real-time HIL Evaluation	64
3.5	Computation of Output Efficiency	66
3.6	Convergence Time Analysis on MPPT Algorithms	67
3.7	Summary	68
 <b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>		 <b>69</b>
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Modelling of PV Module in MATLAB	69
4.3	Modelling of PV Array under Partial Shaded Conditions	73

4.4	Simulation of MPPT Algorithms under Different Irradiance Conditions	78
4.4.1	Constant Irradiance Condition	79
4.4.2	Step Changing Irradiance Condition	86
4.4.3	Partial Shaded Irradiance Condition	95
4.5	Real-time HIL Evaluation of Different MPPT Algorithms	113
4.5.1	Constant Irradiance Condition	113
4.5.2	Step Changing Irradiance Condition	123
4.5.3	Partial Shaded Irradiance Condition	134
4.6	Detection of Partial Shaded Conditions	148
4.7	Summary	153
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION</b>		<b>156</b>
5.1	Conclusion	156
5.2	Recommendations for Future Work	158
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>160</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		<b>171</b>

## REFERENCES

- Abdelmoula, M., Moughamir, S., & Robert, B. (2014). Design and modeling of a stand-alone photovoltaic system. *2014 15th International Conference on Sciences and Techniques of Automatic Control and Computer Engineering (STA)*, 825–834.
- Abdulkadir, M., & Yatim, A. H. M. (2014). Hybrid maximum power point tracking technique based on PSO and incremental conductance. *2014 IEEE Conference on Energy Conversion, CENCON 2014*, 271–276.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/CENCON.2014.6967514>
- Adzic, E., Grabic, S., Vekic, M., Porobic, V., & Celanovic, N. F. (2013). Hardware-in-the-Loop optimization of the 3-phase grid connected converter controller. *IECON 2013 - 39th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, 5392–5397.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2013.6700013>
- Aghaie, R., & Farshad, M. (2019). Maximum power point tracker for photovoltaic systems based on moth-flame optimization considering partial shading conditions. *Journal of Operation and Automation in Power Engineering*, 7(2), 176–186.  
<https://doi.org/10.22098/joape.2019.5360.1401>
- Ahmed, J., & Salam, Z. (2014). A Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) for PV system using Cuckoo Search with partial shading capability. *Applied Energy*, 119, 118–130.
- Ahmed, J., & Salam, Z. (2015). An improved perturb and observe (P&O) maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm for higher efficiency. *Applied Energy*, 150, 97–108.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.04.006>
- Ahmed, J., & Salam, Z. (2013). A soft computing MPPT for PV system based on Cuckoo Search algorithm. *2013 Fourth International Conference on Power Engineering, Energy and Electrical Drives (POWERENG)*, 558–562.
- Alabedin, A. M. Z., El-Saadany, E. F., & Salama, M. M. A. (2011). Maximum power point tracking for Photovoltaic systems using fuzzy logic and artificial neural networks. *2011 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, 1–9.
- Allam, D., Yousri, D. A., & Eteiba, M. B. (2016). Parameters extraction of the three diode model for the multi-crystalline solar cell/module using Moth-Flame Optimization Algorithm. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 123, 535–548.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2016.06.052>
- Amin, S., Khan, S., & Qayoom, A. (2018). Comparative analysis about the study of maximum power point tracking algorithms: A review. *2018 International Conference on Computing, Mathematics and Engineering Technologies: Invent, Innovate and Integrate for Socioeconomic Development, ICoMET 2018 - Proceedings*, 1–8.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICOMET.2018.8346451>
- Arazi, B. (1992). A Circular Binary Search. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 41(1), 109–112.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/12.123386>

- Bai, J., Cao, Y., Hao, Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, S., & Cao, F. (2015). Characteristic output of PV systems under partial shading or mismatch conditions. *Solar Energy*, *112*, 41–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2014.09.048>
- Bekker, B., & Beukes, H. J. (2004). Finding an optimal PV panel maximum power point tracking method. *2004 IEEE Africon. 7th Africon Conference in Africa (IEEE Cat. No.04CH37590)*, *2*, 1125–1129.
- Belhachat, F., & Larbes, C. (2015). Modeling, analysis and comparison of solar photovoltaic array configurations under partial shading conditions. *Solar Energy*, *120*, 399–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2015.07.039>
- Ben Said, S., Ben Saad, K., & Benrejeb, M. (2017). HIL simulation approach for a multicellular converter controlled by sliding mode. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *42*(17), 12790–12796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.01.198>
- Besheer, A. H., & Adly, M. (2012). Ant colony system based PI maximum power point tracking for stand alone photovoltaic system. *2012 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)*, 693–698.
- Bhatnagar, P., & Nema, R. K. (2013). Maximum power point tracking control techniques: State-of-the-art in photovoltaic applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *23*, 224–241. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.02.011>
- Bollipo, R. B., Mikkili, S., & Bonthagorla, P. K. (2020). Hybrid, optimization, intelligent and classical PV MPPT techniques: Review. *CSEE Journal of Power and Energy Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.17775/cseejpes.2019.02720>
- Bouselham, L., Hajji, M., Hajji, B., & Bouali, H. (2017). A New MPPT-based ANN for Photovoltaic System under Partial Shading Conditions. *Energy Procedia*, *111*(September 2016), 924–933. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.255>
- Bushby, S. T., Galler, M. A., Ferretti, N. M., & Park, C. (2010). The Virtual Cybernetic Building Testbed - A building emulator. *ASHRAE Transactions*, *116 PART 1*(1), 37–44.
- Chakraborty, S., Hasan, M. M., Worighi, I., Hegazy, O., & Razzak, M. A. (2018). Performance Evaluation of a PID-Controlled Synchronous Buck Converter Based Battery Charging Controller for Solar-Powered Lighting System in a Fishing Trawler. *Energies*, *11*(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11102722>
- Chiang, S. J., Shieh, H.-J., & Chen, M.-C. (2009). Modeling and control of PV charger system with SEPIC converter. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, *56*(11), 4344–4353.
- Daraban, S., Petreus, D., & Morel, C. (2014). A novel MPPT ( maximum power point tracking ) algorithm based on a modified genetic algorithm specialized on tracking the global maximum power point in photovoltaic systems affected by partial shading. *Energy*, *74*, 374–388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.07.001>
- Deng, S., Zhang, Z., Ju, C., Dong, J., Xia, Z., Yan, X., Xu, T., & Xing, G. (2017). Research on hot spot risk for high-efficiency solar module. *Energy Procedia*, *130*, 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.09.399>

- Dorigo, M., Birattari, M., & Stutzle, T. (2006). Ant colony optimization. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, 1(November), 28–39. <https://doi.org/10.4249/scholarpedia.1461>
- Durgadevi, A., Arulselvi, S., & Natarajan, S. P. (2011). Study and implementation of Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) algorithm for Photovoltaic systems. *2011 1st International Conference on Electrical Energy Systems (ICEES)*, 240–245.
- Eberhart, R. C., & Kennedy, J. (1995). A new optimizer using particle swarm theory. *Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Science*, 1, 39–43.
- Eltamaly, A. M., Al-Saud, M. S., & Abo-Khalil, A. G. (2020). Performance improvement of PV systems' maximum power point tracker based on a scanning PSO particle strategy. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(3), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12031185>
- Eltawil, M. A., & Zhao, Z. (2013). MPPT techniques for photovoltaic applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 25, 793–813. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.05.022>
- Esrām, T., & Chapman, P. L. (2007). Comparison of Photovoltaic Array Maximum Power Point Tracking Techniques. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 22(2), 439–449. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEC.2006.874230>
- Fahad, S., Mahdi, A. J., Tang, W. H., Huang, K., & Liu, Y. (2018). Particle Swarm Optimization Based DC-Link Voltage Control for Two Stage Grid Connected PV Inverter. *2018 International Conference on Power System Technology (POWERCON)*, 2233–2241. <https://doi.org/10.1109/POWERCON.2018.8602128>
- Faruque, M. O., Strasser, T., Lauss, G., Jalili-Marandi, V., Forsyth, P., Dufour, C., Dinavahi, V., Monti, A., Kotsampopoulos, P., Martinez, J. A., Strunz, K., Saedifard, M., Wang, X., Shearer, D., Paolone, M., Brandl, R., Matar, M., Davoudi, A., & Irvani, R. (2015). Real-Time Simulation Technologies for Power Systems Design, Testing, and Analysis. *IEEE Power and Energy Technology Systems Journal*, 2(2), 63–73. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JPETS.2015.2427370>
- Fathy, H. K., Filipi, Z. S., Hagen, J., & Stein, J. L. (2006). Review of hardware-in-the-loop simulation and its prospects in the automotive area. *Proceeding of SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering*, 6228, 62280E-6228–20. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.667794>
- Femia, N., Petrone, G., Spagnuolo, G., & Vitelli, M. (2005). Optimization of Perturb and Observe Maximum Power Point Tracking Method. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 20(4), 963–973. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPEL.2005.850975>
- Francis, A., & Ramachandran, R. (2011). Modulo Ten Search- An Alternative to Linear Search. *2011 International Conference on Process Automation, Control and Computing*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PACC.2011.5979034>
- Frank, K. D., Rich, C., & Longcore, T. (2006). Effects of artificial night lighting on moths. In *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting* (pp. 305–344).

- Fu, Q., & Tong, N. (2010). A complex-method-based PSO algorithm for the maximum power point tracking in photovoltaic system. *2010 Second International Conference on Information Technology and Computer Science (ITCS)*, 134–137.
- Gambino, G., Siano, G., Palmieri, G., Mauro, W., Vanoli, G., Criscuolo, F., Del Cogliano, D., De Rossi, F., & Glielmo, L. (2014). A low-cost HIL platform for testing professional refrigerators controllers. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes (IFAC-PapersOnline)*, 19(3), 3104–3109. <https://doi.org/10.3182/20140824-6-za-1003.02414>
- George, K. J. (2014). Direct control method applied for improved incremental conductance mppt using SEPIC converter. *Proceeding of the IEEE International Conference on Green Computing, Communication and Electrical Engineering, ICGCCEE 2014*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICGCCEE.2014.6922344>
- Ghasemi, M. A., Forushani, H. M., & Parniani, M. (2016). Partial Shading Detection and Smooth Maximum Power Point Tracking of PV Arrays under PSC. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 31(9), 6281–6292. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPEL.2015.2504515>
- Hanzaei, S. H., Gorji, S. A., & Ektesabi, M. (2020). A Scheme-based Review of MPPT Techniques with Respect to Input Variables Including Solar Irradiance and PV Arrays ' Temperature. *IEEE Access*, 8, 182229–182239. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3028580>
- Huang, S., Wang, W., Brambley, M. R., Goyal, S., & Zuo, W. (2018). An agent-based hardware-in-the-loop simulation framework for building controls. *Energy and Buildings*, 181, 26–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.09.038>
- Hussein, K. H., Muta, I., Hoshino, T., & Osakada, M. (1995). Maximum photovoltaic power tracking: an algorithm for rapidly changing atmospheric conditions. *IEE Proceedings-Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, 142(1), 59–64.
- Isermann, R., Schaffnit, J., & Sinsel, S. (1999). Hardware-in-the-loop simulation for the design and testing of engine-control systems. *Control Engineering Practice*, 7(5), 643–653. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0661\(98\)00205-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0661(98)00205-6)
- Ishaque, K., & Salam, Z. (2013). A review of maximum power point tracking techniques of PV system for uniform insolation and partial shading condition. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 19, 475–488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.032>
- Ishaque, K., Salam, Z., Amjad, M., & Mekhilef, S. (2012). An improved Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)-based MPPT for PV with reduced steady-state oscillation. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 27(8), 3627–3638.
- Ishaque, K., Salam, Z., Shamsudin, A., & Amjad, M. (2012). A direct control based maximum power point tracking method for photovoltaic system under partial shading conditions using particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Applied Energy*, 99, 414–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.05.026>
- Jangir, N., Pandya, M. H., Trivedi, I. N., Bhesdadiya, R. H., Jangir, P., & Kumar, A. (2016). Moth-Flame optimization Algorithm for solving real challenging constrained engineering optimization problems. *2016 IEEE Students' Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science, SCEECS 2016*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SCEECS.2016.7509293>

- Ji, Y.-H., Jung, D.-Y., Kim, J.-G., Kim, J.-H., Lee, T.-W., & Won, C.-Y. (2011). A Real Maximum Power Point Tracking Method for Mismatching Compensation in PV Array Under Partially Shaded Conditions. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 26(4), 1001–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPEL.2010.2089537>
- Jones, D. R., Perttunen, C. D., & Stuckman, B. E. (1993). Lipschitzian optimization without the Lipschitz constant. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 79(1), 157–181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00941892>
- Kale, A., Jain, S., & Kamali, C. (2013). Simulation, Modal Analysis and Parameter Estimation of Class-I MAV. *Technical Report, NAL*.
- Kamali, C., & Jain, S. (2016). Hardware in the Loop Simulation for a Mini UAV. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 49(1), 700–705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.03.138>
- Kamali, C., & Kale, A. (2013). Development of Six DOF model for Class-I MAV. *Technical Report, NAL*.
- Kandemir, E., Cetin, N. S., & Borekci, S. (2016). A Comparison of Perturb & Observe and Fuzzy-Logic Based MPPT Methods for Uniform Environment Conditions. *3rd International Symposium on Environment and Morality*, 5(1), 535–544. <https://doi.org/10.21533/PEN.V5I1.67>
- Karaboga, D. (2005). An Idea Based on Honey Bee Swarm for Numerical Optimization. *Technical Report-TR06*, 1–10.
- Kebede, A. B., & Worku, G. B. (2020). Comprehensive review and performance evaluation of maximum power point tracking algorithms for photovoltaic system. *Global Energy Interconnection*, 3(4). <https://doi.org/10.14171/j.2096-5117.gei.2020.04.010>
- Kermadi, M., & Berkouk, E. M. (2017). Artificial intelligence-based maximum power point tracking controllers for Photovoltaic systems: Comparative study. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 69(June 2015), 369–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.125>
- Khan, B. S., Khan, M. I., & Raja, M. A. Z. (2016). Novel Application of Moth Flame Optimization Algorithm for solving Economic Load Dispatch Problems with Emission and Valve Point Loading Effect. *4th International Conference on Energy, Environment and Sustainable Development 2016 (EESD 2016)*, 1–11.
- Kobayashi, K., Takano, I., & Sawada, Y. (2006). A study of a two stage maximum power point tracking control of a photovoltaic system under partially shaded insolation conditions. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 90(18), 2975–2988. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2006.06.050>
- Kumar, P., Jain, G., & Palwalia, D. K. (2015). Genetic algorithm based maximum power tracking in solar power generation. *Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE International Conference on Power and Advanced Control Engineering, ICPACE 2015*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPACE.2015.7274907>
- Kumari, J. S., & Babu, C. S. (2011). Mathematical modeling and simulation of photovoltaic cell using Matlab-Simulink environment. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, 2(1), 26–34.

- Lasheen, M., Rahman, A. K. A., Abdel-Salam, M., & Ookawara, S. (2016). Performance Enhancement of Constant Voltage Based MPPT for Photovoltaic Applications Using Genetic Algorithm. *Energy Procedia*, *100*, 217–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2016.10.168>
- Li, W., Joós, G., & Bélanger, J. (2010). Real-time Simulation of a Wind Turbine Generator Coupled With a Battery Supercapacitor Energy Storage System. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, *57*(4), 1137–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2009.2037103>
- Liu, Y. H., Huang, S. C., Huang, J. W., & Liang, W. C. (2012). A particle swarm optimization-based maximum power point tracking algorithm for PV systems operating under partially shaded conditions. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, *27*(4), 1027–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEC.2012.2219533>
- Lodhi, E., Lodhi, Z., Shafqat, R. N., & Chen, F. (2017). Performance analysis of “Perturb and Observe” and “Incremental Conductance” MPPT algorithms for PV system. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, *220*(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/220/1/012029>
- Marquez, A., Leon, J. I., Vazquez, S., Franquelo, L. G., Carrasco, J. M., & Galvan, E. (2016). Binary search based MPPT algorithm for high-power PV systems. *2016 10th International Conference on Compatibility, Power Electronics and Power Engineering (CPE-POWERENG)*, 168–173. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CPE.2016.7544179>
- Mayfield, R. (2010). Photovoltaic Design & Installation for Dummies. In *Wiley Publishing Inc.*
- Mei, R. N. S., Sulaiman, M. H., Daniyal, H., & Mustaffa, Z. (2018). Application of moth-flame optimizer and ant lion optimizer to solve optimal reactive power dispatch problems. *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering*, *10*(1–2), 105–110.
- Mellit, A., & Kalogirou, S. A. (2014). MPPT-based artificial intelligence techniques for photovoltaic systems and its implementation into field programmable gate array chips: Review of current status and future perspectives. *Energy*, *70*, 1–21.
- Merchaoui, M., Sakly, A., & Mimouni, M. F. F. (2018). Improved Fast Particle Swarm Optimization Based PV MPPT. *2018 9th International Renewable Energy Congress, IREC 2018, Irec*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IREC.2018.8362525>
- Mirjalili, S. (2015). Moth-flame optimization algorithm: A novel nature-inspired heuristic paradigm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, *89*, 228–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.07.006>
- Mirjalili, S., Gandomi, A. H., Mirjalili, S. Z., Saremi, S., Faris, H., & Mirjalili, S. M. (2017). Salp Swarm Algorithm: A bio-inspired optimizer for engineering design problems. *Advances in Engineering Software*, *114*, 163–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2017.07.002>
- Miyatake, M., Toriumi, F., Endo, T., & Fujii, N. (2007). A novel maximum power point tracker controlling several converters connected to photovoltaic arrays with particle swarm optimization technique. *2007 European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications, EPE*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EPE.2007.4417640>



- Miyatake, M., Veerachary, M., Toriumi, F., Fujii, N., & Ko, H. (2011). Maximum power point tracking of multiple photovoltaic arrays: a PSO approach. *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, 47(1), 367–380.
- Mohammad Bagher, A., Mahmoud Abadi Vahid, M., & Mohsen, M. (2015). Types of Solar Cells and Application. *American Journal of Optics and Photonics*, 3(5), 94. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajop.20150305.17>
- Montazeri-Gh, M., Nasiri, M., & Jafari, S. (2011). Real-time multi-rate HIL simulation platform for evaluation of a jet engine fuel controller. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 19(3), 996–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2010.12.011>
- Muhammad, W., Bin, F., & Sulaiman, W. (2013). Modeling And Simulation Of Photovoltaic Module With MPPT. *2nd Annual International Conference on Sustainable Energy and Environmental Sciences (SEES 2013)*, 1–6.
- Murtaza, A. F., Sher, H. A., Chiaberge, M., Boero, D., Giuseppe, M. D., & Addoweesh, K. E. (2012). A novel hybrid MPPT technique for solar PV applications using perturb & observe and Fractional Open Circuit Voltage techniques. *Proceedings of 15th International Conference MECHATRONIKA*, 1–8.
- Mustaffa, Z., Sulaiman, M. H., Mei, R. N. S., Daniyal, H., Rashid, M. I. M., & Ishak, N. (2018). Solving the optimal reactive power dispatch problem based on moth-flame optimizer for power system operation and planning. *Proceedings - 2018 IEEE/ACIS 19th International Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing, SNPD 2018*, 275–280. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SNPD.2018.8441146>
- Ng Shin Mei, R., Sulaiman, M. H., Mustaffa, Z., & Daniyal, H. (2017). Optimal reactive power dispatch solution by loss minimization using moth-flame optimization technique. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 59, 210–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2017.05.057>
- Nguyen, T. L., & Low, K. S. (2010). A Global Maximum Power Point Tracking Scheme Employing DIRECT Search Algorithm for Photovoltaic Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 57(10), 3456–3467. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2009.2039450>
- Nishihara, S., & Nishino, H. (1987). Binary Search Revisited: Another Advantage of Fibonacci Search. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, C-36(9), 1132–1135. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TC.1987.5009548>
- Oi, A. (2005). *Design and simulation of photovoltaic water pumping system*. Citeseer.
- Pang, B., Wu, S., Zhao, X., Jiao, Z., & Yang, T. (2018). A hardware-in-the-loop simulation for aircraft braking system. *CSAA/IET International Conference on Aircraft Utility Systems (AUS 2018)*, 1538–1543. <https://doi.org/10.1049/cp.2018.0123>
- Patel, H., & Agarwal, V. (2008). Maximum power point tracking scheme for PV systems operating under partially shaded conditions. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 55(4), 1689–1698. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2008.917118>

- Patil, R. R., & Ansari, M. M. (2020). A Review On Design & Analysis of MPPT Techniques for Grid Connected PV System . *Resincaap Journal of Science and Engineering*, 4(6), 1050–1055.
- Phan-Tan, C.-T., & Nguyen-Quang, N. (2016). A P & O MPPT Method for Photovoltaic Applications Based on Binary-Searching. *IEEE International Conference on Sustainable Energy Technologies(ICSET)*, 78–82.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.10.019>
- Priyadarshi, N., Azam, F., Sharma, A. K., & Vardia, M. (2020). An Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System-Based Intelligent Grid-Connected Photovoltaic Power Generation. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 988(1), 3–14.  
[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8222-2\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8222-2_1)
- Priyadarshi, N., Padmanaban, S., Kiran Maroti, P., & Sharma, A. (2019). An Extensive Practical Investigation of FPSO-Based MPPT for Grid Integrated PV System under Variable Operating Conditions with Anti-Islanding Protection. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 13(2), 1861–1871. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSYST.2018.2817584>
- Rajabioun, R. (2011). Cuckoo optimization algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing*, 11(8), 5508–5518.
- Ram, J. P., Babu, T. S., & Rajasekar, N. (2017). A comprehensive review on solar PV maximum power point tracking techniques. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 67, 826–847. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.076>
- Ramaprabha, R., Gothandaraman, V., Kanimozhi, K., Divya, R., & Mathur, B. L. (2011). Maximum power point tracking using GA-optimized artificial neural network for Solar PV system. *2011 1st International Conference on Electrical Energy Systems (ICEES)*, 264–268.
- Rao, K. S., & Mishra, R. (2014). *Comparative study of P, PI and PID controller for speed control of VSI-fed induction motor*. 2(2), 2740–2744.  
<http://www.ijedr.org/papers/IJEDR1402230.pdf>
- REN21. (2020). Renewables 2020 Global Status Report. In *Renewables Global Status Report*. <http://www.ren21.net/resources/publications/>
- Reynolds, A. M., & Frye, M. A. (2007). Free-flight odor tracking in *Drosophila* is consistent with an optimal intermittent scale-free search. *PloS One*, 2(4), e354.
- Reza Reisi, A., Hassan Moradi, M., & Jamasb, S. (2013). Classification and comparison of maximum power point tracking techniques for photovoltaic system: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 19, 433–443.  
<https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.052>
- Safari, A., & Mekhilef, S. (2011). Simulation and hardware implementation of incremental conductance MPPT with direct control method using cuk converter. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 58(4), 1154–1161. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2010.2048834>
- Salam, Z., Ahmed, J., & Merugu, B. S. (2013). The application of soft computing methods for MPPT of PV system: A technological and status review. *Applied Energy*, 107, 135–148. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.02.008>

- Salameh, Z. M., Dagher, F., & Lynch, W. A. (1991). Step-down maximum power point tracker for photovoltaic systems. *Solar Energy*, *46*(5), 279–282. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X\(91\)90095-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X(91)90095-E)
- Salem, F., Moteleb, M. S. A., & Dorrah, H. T. (2005). An enhanced fuzzy-PI controller applied to the MPPT problem. *Journal of Science and Engineering*, *8*(7).
- Sánchez Reinoso, C. R., Milone, D. H., & Buitrago, R. H. (2013). Simulation of photovoltaic centrals with dynamic shading. *Applied Energy*, *103*, 278–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.09.040>
- Saravanan, S., & Ramesh Babu, N. (2016). Maximum power point tracking algorithms for photovoltaic system - A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *57*, 192–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.105>
- Shi, J. Y., Zhang, D. Y., Xue, F., Li, Y. J., Qiao, W., Yang, W. J., Xu, Y. M., & Yan, T. (2019). Moth-flame optimization-based maximum power point tracking for photovoltaic systems under partial shading conditions. *Journal of Power Electronics*, *19*(5), 1248–1258. <https://doi.org/10.6113/JPE.2019.19.5.1248>
- Shiota, N., Phimmason, V., Abe, T., & Miyatake, M. (2013). A MPPT algorithm based on the binary-search technique with ripples from a converter. *2013 International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems, ICEMS 2013*, 1718–1721. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEMS.2013.6713315>
- Singh, O., & Gupta, S. K. (2018). A review on recent Mppt techniques for photovoltaic system. *2018 IEEMA Engineer Infinite Conference, ETechNxT 2018*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ETECHNXT.2018.8385315>
- soufyane Benyoucef, A., Chouder, A., Kara, K., & Silvestre, S. (2015). Artificial bee colony based algorithm for maximum power point tracking (MPPT) for PV systems operating under partial shaded conditions. *Applied Soft Computing*, *32*, 38–48.
- Subiyanto, Mohamed, A., & Shareef, H. (2012). Hopfield neural network optimized fuzzy logic controller for maximum power point tracking in a photovoltaic system. *International Journal of Photoenergy*, *2012*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/798361>
- Sudhakar Babu, T., Rajasekar, N., & Sangeetha, K. (2015). Modified Particle Swarm Optimization technique based Maximum Power Point Tracking for uniform and under partial shading condition. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, *34*, 613–624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2015.05.029>
- Sulaiman, M. H., Mustafa, Z., Rashid, M. I. M., & Daniyal, H. (2018). Economic Dispatch Solution Using Moth-Flame Optimization Algorithm. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, *214*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201821403007>
- Sundareswaran, K., & Palani, S. (2015). Application of a combined particle swarm optimization and perturb and observe method for MPPT in PV systems under partial shading conditions. *Renewable Energy*, *75*, 308–317.
- Sundareswaran, Kinattingal, Sankar, P., Nayak, P. S. R., Simon, S. P., & Palani, S. (2015). Enhanced energy output from a PV system under partial shaded conditions through artificial bee colony. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, *6*(1), 198–209.

- Tajuddin, M. F. N., Ayob, S. M., Salam, Z., & Saad, M. S. (2013). Evolutionary based maximum power point tracking technique using differential evolution algorithm. *Energy and Buildings*, 67, 245–252. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2013.07.085>
- Tereshko, V. (2000). Reaction-diffusion model of a honeybee colony's foraging behaviour. *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature PPSN VI*, 807–816.
- Tereshko, V., & Lee, T. (2002). How information-mapping patterns determine foraging behaviour of a honey bee colony. *Open Systems & Information Dynamics*, 9(02), 181–193.
- Tereshko, V., & Loengarov, A. (2005). Collective decision making in honey-bee foraging dynamics. *Computing and Information Systems*, 9(3), 1–7.
- Udhayavinodhini, A., Anbarasu, P., & Suresh, G. (2015). MPPT Design using Perturb & Observe Method Combined with Fire Fly Algorithm. *International Journal of Innovative Research In Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering*, 3(3), 68–71. <https://doi.org/10.17148/IJIREEICE.2015.3314>
- Veerachary, M., Senjyu, T., & Uezato, K. (2002). Voltage-based maximum power point tracking control of PV system. *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, 38(1), 262–270.
- Veerachary, M., & Yadaiah, N. (2000). ANN Based Peak Power Tracking for PV Supplied DC Motors. *Solar Energy*, 69(4), 343–350.
- Verma, D., Nema, S., Shandilya, A. M., & Dash, S. K. (2016). Maximum power point tracking (MPPT) techniques: Recapitulation in solar photovoltaic systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 54, 1018–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.10.068>
- Walker, G. (2001). Evaluating MPPT converter topologies using a MATLAB PV model. *Journal of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Australia*, 21(1).
- Weaver, W. W., & Parker, G. G. (2014). Real-time Hardware-in-the-Loop Simulation for Optimal Dc Microgrid Control Development. *2014 IEEE 15th Workshop on Control and Modeling for Power Electronics, COMPEL 2014*, 203, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMPEL.2014.6877189>
- Whitley, D. (1994). A genetic algorithm tutorial. *Statistics and Computing*, 4(2), 65–85. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00175354>
- Williams, L. F., & F., L. (1976). A modification to the half-interval search (binary search) method. *ACM-SE 14: Proceedings of the 14th Annual Southeast Regional Conference*, 95–101. <https://doi.org/10.1145/503561.503582>
- Williams, R. (1960). Becquerel photovoltaic effect in binary compounds. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 32(5), 1505–1514. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1730950>
- Wolpert, D. H., & Macready, W. G. (1997). No free lunch theorems for optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 1(1), 67–82. <https://doi.org/10.1109/4235.585893>

- Woyte, A., Nijs, J., & Belmans, R. (2003). Partial shadowing of photovoltaic arrays with different system configurations: Literature review and field test results. *Solar Energy*, 74(3), 217–233. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-092X\(03\)00155-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00155-5)
- Wu, C., Huang, T., Yang, N., & Shao, W. (2018). Maximum Power Point Tracking of Photovoltaic Based on Second-Order Predictive PI Control Strategy. *Chinese Control Conference, CCC, 2018-July*, 7433–7436. <https://doi.org/10.23919/ChiCC.2018.8483455>
- Wu, T.-F., Yang, C.-H., Chen, Y. K., & Liu, Z.-R. (1999). Photovoltaic inverter systems with self-tuning fuzzy control based on an experimental planning method. *Conference Record of the 1999 IEEE Industry Applications Conference. Thirty-Forth IAS Annual Meeting (Cat. No.99CH36370)*, 3, 1887–1894.
- Yang, B., Shu, H., Qiu, D., & Zhu, D. (2018). Optimal Passive PID Controller of PMSG for Maximum Power Point Tracking via Interactive Teaching-learning Optimizer. *Chinese Control Conference, CCC, 2018-July*, 7644–7649. <https://doi.org/10.23919/ChiCC.2018.8483454>
- Yang, X.-S., & Deb, S. (2009). Cuckoo search via Lévy flights. *World Congress on Nature & Biologically Inspired Computing, 2009, NaBIC 2009.*, 210–214.
- Yousefpoor, N., Azidehak, A., Bhattacharya, S., Parkhideh, B., Celanovic, I., & Genic, A. (2013). Real-time Hardware-in-the-Loop simulation of convertible static transmission controller for transmission grid management. *2013 IEEE 14th Workshop on Control and Modeling for Power Electronics (COMPEL)*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMPEL.2013.6626403>
- Yu-Yun, C., & Yong-Kui, M. (2009). Constant current-based maximum-power-point tracking for photovoltaic power systems. *Control and Decision Conference, 2009. CCDC'09. Chinese*, 3422–3425.
- Zainudin, H. N., & Mekhilef, S. (2010). Comparison study of maximum power point tracker techniques for PV systems. *Proceedings of the 14th International Middle East Power Systems Conference (MEPCON'10), Cairo University, Egypt*, 19–21.
- Zakzouk, N. E., Abdelsalam, A. K., Helal, A. A., & Williams, B. W. (2013). Modified variable-step incremental conductance maximum power point tracking technique for photovoltaic systems. *39th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, IECON 2013*, 1741–1748. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2013.6699395>