



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dwi', is written above a horizontal line.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DWI PEBRIANTI
Position : SENIOR LECTURER
Date : 28 SEPTEMBER 2021



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Goh Ming Qian', is written above a horizontal line.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : GOH MING QIAN
ID Number : MEL17002
Date : 28 SEPTEMBER 2021

ADAPTIVE FUZZY PROPORTIONAL-INTEGRAL-DERIVATIVE
CONTROL FOR MICRO AERIAL VEHICLE CONSIDERING EXTERNAL
DISTURBANCES

GOH MING QIAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

College of Engineering

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

OCTOBER 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I am grateful and would like thank God for this research stint to be accomplished successfully. Then, I would like to express my truthful appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Dwi Pebrianti who had provided us advises and solutions for the trouble I had met. Furthermore, she gave me many convenient in completing the research such as purchasing components, suggestions of my research title, providing suitable tools for construction of hardware and so on.

Besides, my supervisor has always impressed me with her outstanding professional leadership, her strong conviction for science, and her belief that the research is only a starting of a life-long learning experience since this is the first time we attached to social and industries. She gave me mentally and physically support and encouragement when I faced problem in accomplishing my research. I appreciate her help, advice, guidance and continuous support in my journey to complete this research. I am truly grateful for her guidance and tolerance for my mistakes. I sincerely thanks for the valuable engineering knowledge, skills and positive criticisms that have helped the research to be completed professionally.

On the other hand, I would like to thank for my beloved family for providing full support during completing this research. Without their constant encouragement, I may not be motivated to complete my research on time successfully. Furthermore, I am so grateful for their trust, tolerance and understanding.

Lastly, this research stint would not have been accomplished without the help from all my friends. I appreciate them dearly for their kindness and willingness.

ABSTRAK

Beberapa industri telah menggunakan Micro Aerial Vehicles (MAV) untuk menyelesaikan pelbagai tugas seperti penyemburan pertanian, penghantaran bungkusan dan pemantauan bencana. Oleh itu, sistem MAV telah menarik para penyelidik untuk menyelesaikan masalah kestabilannya yang muncul dari gangguan luaran. Gangguan yang disebabkan oleh gangguan perubahan angin dan muatan telah berlaku sebagai kecelakaan semula jadi yang menurunkan prestasi system kenderaan quad-rotor MAV pada kedudukan mendarat dan menegak dalam aspek tembakan tersasar (OS), masa kenaikan (T_r), masa penyelesaian (T_s) dan ralat kemantapan (e_{ss}). Kemudian, kesulitan tersebut menyebabkan peningkatan ralat antara kehendak dan aktual posisi system dengan masa kenaikan dan masa penyelesaian yang lebih lama untuk mencapai kondisi tunaknya. Mengadopsi system quad-rotor MAV sayap putar dengan konfigurasi 'X' sebagai landasan, kajian semasa telah menetapkan untuk meneroka pendekatan baru bagi kawalan kedudukan system yang kuat dalam gangguan angin dan perubahan muatan secara serentak. Literatur sebelumnya telah menyarankan penggunaan pendekatan linier, nonlinier and hybrid untuk menangani cabaran kestabilan quad-rotor MAV. Khususnya, kebanyakan pendekatan hibrid tidak dapat memperhitungkan perubahan semasa dalam lingkungan sistem, sementara tidak mampu menangani pelbagai gangguan secara serentak. Sebagai contohnya ialah kaedah Fuzzy-PID (FPID) yang hanya menyesuaikan keuntungan Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) setelah berlaku ralat kedudukan yang dijumpai kemunculan tembakan tersasar sistem. Mengakui ketidakcekapan tersebut, penyelidikan ini akan selanjutnya mengusulkan pengawal Adaptive Fuzzy-PID (AFPID) sebagai pendekatan hibrid kontemporari yang menragkumi fungsi penyesuaian untuk mengatasi ketidaklinieran sistem quad-rotor MAV sementara mengekalkan prestasi sistem yang mantap menghadapi perubahan persekitaran semasa dari gangguan angin dan perubahan muatan serentak. Dengan cadangan kabur adaptif yang diadopsi diadopsi untuk menyesuaikan keuntungan PID sesuai dengan perubahan sekitarnya, peningkatan yang dilakukan dengan ini bertujuan untuk menghilangkan pengaruh gangguan perubahan angin dan muatan di tengah menstabilkan sistem yang digunakan. Sebagai balasannya, terdapat ralat pada kedua posisi mendarat dan menegak MAV quad-rotor yang diharapkan menurun walaupun pengeboman serentak dengan banyak gangguan luaran, berikutan tembakan tersasar (OS), masa kenaikan (T_r), masa penyelesaian (T_s) dan ralat kemantapan (e_{ss}). Dalam simulasi, prestasi pengawal AFPID yang dicadangkan pada kedudukan mendarat, y seperti yang dikaji dalam keadaan halaju angin masuk yang berbeza dan kadar aliran air berkenaan dengan OS , T_r , T_s and e_{ss} diletakkan dibandingkan dengan prestasi PID dan FPID kaedah. Peningkatan diperhatikan dalam e_{ss} sistem untuk pengawal AFPID pada kedudukan mendarat, y di tengah gangguan gabungan, dengan penurunan masing-masing $0.93 \times 10^{-3} \%$ dan $1.35 \times 10^{-3} \%$ berbanding prestasi Pengawal PID dan FPID. Hasil yang diperoleh kemudian mengesahkan penurunan yang sama sebanyak 27.5% dan 21.70 % dalam OS untuk pengawal AFPID berbanding pengawal PID dan FPID. Penurunan 137.50 s dan 13.40 s di T_s dicatatkan lagi untuk pengawal AFPID berbanding dengan pengawal PID dan FPID masing-masing. Konklusinya, keputusan simulasi terbukti bahawa pengawal AFPID yang dicadangkan adalah berkesan berbanding dengan pengawal PID dan FPID dalam penghasilan OS terkecil, e_{ss} terkecil, T_r dan T_s terpendek pada posisi mendarat dan menegak yang di bawah gangguan angin dan perubahan muatan secara serentak.

ABSTRACT

With multiple industries employing Micro Aerial Vehicles (MAV) to accomplish various tasks comprising agricultural spraying, package delivery and disaster monitoring, the MAV system has attracted researchers towards resolving its stability issue as emerged from external disturbances. Disruptions caused by both wind and payload change disturbances have prevailed as natural mishaps which degrade performance of the quad-rotor MAV system at the horizontal and vertical positions in the aspects of overshoot (OS), rise time (T_r), settling time (T_s) and steady-state error (e_{ss}). Such adversities then cause increased error between the system's desired and actual positions, with a longer rise time and settling time towards reaching its steady-state condition. Adopting the rotary wing quad-rotor MAV system with 'X' configuration as the groundwork, the current study has especially set to explore a new approach for the system's robust positional control in the concurrent presence of wind and payload change disturbances. Earlier literatures have simultaneously suggested the adoptions of linear, nonlinear and hybrid approaches towards handing stability challenge of the quad-rotor MAV. Notably, most hybrid approaches are unable to account for current changes in the system's environment, whilst incapable of concomitantly handle multiple disturbances. An instance being the Fuzzy-PID (FPID) method which merely adjusts the Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) gains ensuing discovered positional error from emergence of system's overshoot. Acknowledging such incompetency, this research further proposed Adaptive Fuzzy-PID (AFPID) controller as the contemporary hybrid approach that includes adaptability function for overcoming nonlinearity of the quad-rotor MAV system, while maintaining the system's robust performance facing current environmental changes from simultaneous wind and payload change disturbances. With the proposed adaptive fuzzy control being adopted to adjust the PID gains in accordance to surrounding changes, undertaken improvement is hereby targeted to eliminate the effect of wind and payload change disturbances amidst stabilizing the employed system. In return, encountered error on both the quad-rotor MAV's horizontal and vertical positions is expected to decline despite concurrent bombardment of multiple external disturbances, following a decrease to the system's overshoot (OS), rise time (T_r), settling time (T_s) and steady-state error (e_{ss}). In simulation, performance of the proposed AFPID controller on the horizontal, y position as studied under circumstances of different incoming wind velocities and water flow rates with respect to OS , T_r , T_s and e_{ss} is placed in comparison to the performance of the PID and FPID methods. Improvement is observed in the system's e_{ss} for the AFPID controller on the horizontal, y position amid disruption of combined disturbances, with respective reductions of $0.93 \times 10^{-3} \%$ and $1.35 \times 10^{-3} \%$ over the performances of PID and FPID controllers. Obtained results then confirm corresponding decline of 27.5 % and 21.70 % in OS for the AFPID controller over the PID and FPID controllers. A decline of 137.50 s and 13.40 s in T_s is further recorded for the AFPID controller as compared to the respective PID and FPID controllers. Accumulated findings, thus, validate AFPID as an effective controller for minimized positional error, smaller overshoot (OS) and steady-state error (e_{ss}), as well as shorter settling time (T_s) and rise time (T_r) as compared to the earlier PID and FPID controllers when faced with uncertain situations of wind and payload change disturbances.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Motivation and Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives	6
1.4 Scope & Limitation	6
1.5 Overview of Chapters	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Classification of Aerial Vehicles	8
2.2.1 Single Rotor Helicopter	9
2.2.2 Multi-rotor Aerial Vehicles	10

2.3	Flight Control Algorithms	13
2.3.1	Conventional algorithm	14
2.3.2	Adaptive algorithms	16
2.4	Disturbances	20
2.4.1	Wind disturbance	20
2.4.2	Payload change disturbance	22
2.5	Summary	23
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		25
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Quad-rotor MAV System	25
3.3	Problem Formulation	31
3.3.1	Wind velocity effect on quad-rotor MAV system	31
3.3.2	Payload change effect on quad-rotor MAV	34
3.4	Development of Controllers	36
3.4.1	Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) Controller	37
3.4.2	Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC)	40
3.4.3	Fuzzy-PID (FPID) Controller	50
3.4.4	Adaptive Fuzzy-PID (AFPID) Controller	51
3.5	Experimental setups of controllers under external disturbance	58
3.5.1	Setup of Quad-rotor MAV	59
3.5.2	Setup of PID gains on horizontal and vertical positions	60
3.5.3	Experimental setup for case study under wind disturbance only	62
3.5.4	Experimental setup for case study under payload disturbance only	66
3.5.5	Experimental setup for case study under multiple disturbances	71
3.6	Summary	73

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	74
4.1 Introduction	74
4.2 The Effect of PID Gains on Horizontal and Vertical Positions	74
4.2.1 The effects of PID gains on horizontal, x position	75
4.2.2 The effects of PID gain on horizontal, y position	77
4.2.3 The effects of PID gains on vertical position	80
4.3 The Performance of Controllers Without External Disturbances	84
4.4 The Performance of Controllers Considers Wind Disturbance Only	87
4.4.1 Static incoming wind velocity	87
4.4.2 Non-static incoming wind velocities variation	92
4.5 The Performance of Controllers Considers Payload Disturbance Only	96
4.5.1 Adding with 1 kg payload	96
4.5.2 Case of add & drop payload	100
4.5.3 Case with different of water flow rates	103
4.6 The Performance of Controllers Considers Wind and Payload Change Disturbances At The Same Time	106
4.7 Summary	111
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION	113
5.1 Introduction	113
5.2 Significant Contribution of The Research	114
5.3 Recommendations and Future works	115
REFERENCES	117
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	123

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	The performance of multi-rotors aerial vehicles	12
Table 3.1	The PID gains value on horizontal and vertical positions	40
Table 3.2	The fuzzy rule for control input variable, νt	43
Table 3.3	The parameters values of membeships function designed inputs and outputs	48
Table 3.4	Fuzzy rule of proportional gain, Kp_t for the fuzzy control of FPID	49
Table 3.5	Fuzzy rule of the proportional gain, $Kp_{\mu t}$ for the fuzzy control of AFPID	50
Table 3.6	Fuzzy rule of derivative gain, $Kd_{\mu t}$ for the fuzzy control of AFPID	50
Table 3.7	The relationship between design variable and actual variable for quad-rotor MAV parameters	56
Table 3.8	The relationship between design variable and actual variable for external disturbances	56
Table 3.9	Quad-rotor MAV parameters	59
Table 3.10	The PID gains value on horizontal and vertical positions	60
Table 4.1	The output response of controllers horizontal and vertical positions without wind and payload change disturbances	86
Table 4.2	The output responses of controllers on x position under wind disturbance with a static wind velocity of 2 m/s	90
Table 4.3	The output responses of controllers on y position under wind disturbance with a static wind velocity of 2 m/s	91
Table 4.4	The result of controllers on x position considers non-static incoming wind velocities	94
Table 4.5	The result of controllers on y position considers non-static incoming wind velocities	95
Table 4.6	The results of controllers on horizontal and vertical positions under adding with 1 kg payload	99
Table 4.7	The output responses for controllers on horizontal and vertical positions under case of add & drop payload from $t = 200$ s to $t = 500$ s of simulation time	102
Table 4.8	The results of controllers on vertical position under payload disturbance with water flow rate variations from $t = 200$ s to $t = 500$ s	105
Table 4.9	The result of controllers on horizontal, x position in presence of wind and payload change disturbances at the same time	109

Table 4.10	The result of controllers on horizontal, y position in presence of wind and payload change disturbances at the same time	110
Table 4.11	The result of controllers on vertical position in presence of wind and payload change disturbances the same time	109

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	The range of drone from UAV to SD	1
Figure 2.1	The classification of aerial vehicle	9
Figure 2.2	Mechanical system of helicopter	10
Figure 2.3	Quad-rotor configurations	11
Figure 2.4	Hexa-rotor configurations	11
Figure 2.5	Octo-rotor configurations	12
Figure 2.6	The overview of flight controllers applied on quad-rotor MAV under external disturbances	13
Figure 2.7	The general structure of conventional controller on quad-rotor MAV system under external disturbances	14
Figure 2.8	The structure of controller with information of environment changes on quad-rotor MAV under external disturbances	19
Figure 2.9	Classification of flight controls to cator for difwind disturbance	21
Figure 2.10	Classification of flight controls to cator for payload disturbance	22
Figure 2.11	The overview of flight controllers in presence of external disturbances	23
Figure 3.1	An “X” configuration quad-rotor MAV	26
Figure 3.2	The structure of linear and angular rotational axes on quad-rotor MAV	27
Figure 3.3	The illustration of total force vector form (Tamami <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	29
Figure 3.4	The structure of quad-rotor MAV considering wind disturbance	32
Figure 3.5	The inertial reference coordinate frame with wind velocity direction	32
Figure 3.6	The structure of quad-rotor MAV considering payload change disturbance	34
Figure 3.7	The illustration of payload force with x , y and z forces in vector form	35
Figure 3.8	The block diagram of PID controller on plant / system	37
Figure 3.9	The control structure of Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC) on a plant / system	40
Figure 3.10	Fuzzy Logic system	42
Figure 3.11	The block diagram of input membership function for et	42
Figure 3.12	The block diagram of output membership function for vt	43
Figure 3.13	The membership function designed for the input of proportional error, ept and derivative error, edt	45

Figure 3.14	The membership function designed for the input of wind velocity, V_{wt}	46
Figure 3.15	The membership function designed for the input of payload change, $m * t$	46
Figure 3.16	The membership function as designed for the outputs of proportional gain, K_{pt} and derivative gain, K_{dt} , proportional gain, $K_{p\mu t}$ and derivative gain, $K_{d\mu t}$	47
Figure 3.17	The control structure of FPID controller on a plant / system	51
Figure 3.18	The control structure of AFPID controller on a plant / system	52
Figure 3.19	The structure of AFPID controller for quad-rotor MAV system under external disturbances	55
Figure 3.20	The positive direction of wind velocity from x , y and z axes	62
Figure 3.21	The pattern of static incoming wind velocity with 2 m/s on x -axis and y -axis of quad-rotor MAV	64
Figure 3.22	Static incoming wind velocity with 0 m/s on z -axis of quad-rotor MAV	64
Figure 3.23	The pattern of non-static incoming wind with different of wind velocities on horizontal, x and y positions	66
Figure 3.24	The illustration of payload disturbance with a water flow rate	68
Figure 3.25	The pattern of payload disturbance with fast water flow rate, 0.1 l/s variation within 500 s of simulation time	70
Figure 3.26	The pattern of payload disturbance with medium water flow rate, 0.05 l/s variation within 500 s of simulation time	70
Figure 3.27	The pattern of payload disturbance slow water flow rate, 0.03 l/s variation within 500 s of simulation time	71
Figure 3.28	The pattern of non-static incoming wind velocities variation for quad-rotor MAV	72
Figure 3.29	The pattern of payload with water flow rates variation for quad-rotor MAV	73
Figure 4.1	The performance of proportional gain, K_p effect on horizontal, x position	75
Figure 4.2	The performance of integral gain, K_i effect on horizontal, x position	76
Figure 4.3	The performance of derivative gain, K_d effect on horizontal, x position	77
Figure 4.4	The performance of proportional gain, K_p effect on horizontal, y position	78
Figure 4.5	The performance of proportional gain, K_i effect on horizontal, y position	79

Figure 4.6	The performance of proportional gain, Kd effect on horizontal, y position	80
Figure 4.7	The performance of proportional gain, Kp effect on vertical position	81
Figure 4.8	The performance of proportional gain, Ki effect on vertical position	82
Figure 4.9	The performance of proportional gain, Kd effect on vertical position	83
Figure 4.10	The result of controllers on horizontal position control without disturbances within 300 s of simulation time	84
Figure 4.11	The result of controllers on horizontal position control without disturbances within 300 s of simulation time	85
Figure 4.12	The result of controllers on vertical position control without disturbances within 300 s of simulation time	85
Figure 4.13	The result of controllers on horizontal, x position when a static wind velocity is rising to 2 m/s	87
Figure 4.14	The result of controllers on horizontal, y position when a static wind velocity is rising to 2 m/s	88
Figure 4.15	The result of controllers on vertical position when a static wind velocity is rising to 2 m/s	88
Figure 4.16	The result of controllers on horizontal, x position when a static wind velocity is falling to 0 m/s	89
Figure 4.17	The result of controllers on horizontal, y position when a static wind velocity is falling to 0 m/s	89
Figure 4.18	The result of controllers on horizontal, x position in presence of non-static incoming wind with different of velocities	93
Figure 4.19	The result of controllers on horizontal, y position in presence of non-static incoming wind with different of velocities	93
Figure 4.20	The result of controllers on horizontal, x position under adding with 1 kg payload	97
Figure 4.21	The result of controllers on horizontal, y position under adding with 1 kg payload	97
Figure 4.22	The result of controllers on vertical position under adding with 1 kg payload	98
Figure 4.23	The result of controllers on horizontal, x position under case of add & drop payload	101
Figure 4.24	The result of controllers on horizontal, y position under case of add & drop payload	101
Figure 4.25	The result of controllers on vertical position under case of add & drop payload during 500 s of simulation time	102

Figure 4.26	The result of controllers on vertical position under payload disturbance with 0.1 <i>l/s</i> of water flow rate	104
Figure 4.27	The result of controllers on vertical position under payload disturbance with 0.05 <i>l/s</i> of water flow rate	104
Figure 4.28	The result of controllers on vertical position under payload disturbance with 0.03 <i>l/s</i> of water flow rate	105
Figure 4.29	The result of controllers on horizontal, <i>x</i> position under wind and payload change disturbances at the same time	107
Figure 4.30	The result of controllers on <i>y</i> position considers wind and payload change at the same time	107
Figure 4.31	The result of controllers on vertical position considers wind and payload change disturbances at the same time	108

REFERENCES

- Abichandani, P., Lobo, D., Ford, G., Bucci, D., & Kam, M. (2020). Wind Measurement and Simulation Techniques in Multi-Rotor Small Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. *IEEE Access*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2977693>
- Ahmed, S. F., Kushsairy, K., Bakar, M. I. A., Hazry, D., & Joyo, M. K. (2015). Attitude stabilization of Quad-rotor (UAV) system using Fuzzy PID controller (an experimental test). *2015 2nd International Conference on Computing Technology and Information Management, ICCTIM 2015*, 99–104. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCTIM.2015.7224600>
- Ahsan, M., Shafique, K., Mansoor, A. Bin, & Mushtaq, M. (2013). Performance comparison of two altitude-control algorithms for a fixed-wing UAV. *2013 3rd IEEE International Conference on Computer, Control and Communication, IC4 2013*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IC4.2013.6653744>
- Alexis, K., Nikolakopoulos, G., & Tzes, A. (2012). Model predictive quadrotor control: Attitude, altitude and position experimental studies. *IET Control Theory and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta.2011.0348>
- Alothman, Y., Jasim, W., & Gu, D. (2015). Quad-rotor lifting-transporting cable-suspended payloads control. *2015 21st International Conference on Automation and Computing: Automation, Computing and Manufacturing for New Economic Growth, ICAC 2015*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAC.2015.7313996>
- Altuğ, E., Ostrowski, J. P., & Taylor, C. J. (2003). Quadrotor control using dual camera visual feedback. *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/robot.2003.1242264>
- Antonelli, G., Cataldi, E., Arrichiello, F., Giordano, P. R., Chiaverini, S., & Franchi, A. (2018). Adaptive Trajectory Tracking for Quadrotor MAVs in Presence of Parameter Uncertainties and External Disturbances. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 26(1), 248–254. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCST.2017.2650679>
- Bouabdallah, S., & Siegwart, R. (2007). Full control of a quadrotor. *2007 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 153–158. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2007.4399042>
- Boubertakh, H., Bencharef, S., & Labiod, S. (2013). PSO-based PID control design for the stabilization of a quadrotor. *2013 3rd International Conference on Systems and Control, ICSC 2013*, 514–517. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICoSC.2013.6750908>

- Cabecinhas, D., Cunha, R., & Silvestre, C. (2014). A nonlinear quadrotor trajectory tracking controller with disturbance rejection. *Control Engineering Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conengprac.2013.12.017>
- Cabecinhas, D., Cunha, R., & Silvestre, C. (2015). A globally stabilizing path following controller for rotorcraft with wind disturbance rejection. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCST.2014.2326820>
- Cai, G., Chen, B. M., & Lee, T. H. (2010). An overview on development of miniature unmanned rotorcraft systems. In *Frontiers of Electrical and Electronic Engineering in China* (Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp. 1–14). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11460-009-0065-3>
- Chen, Y. min, He, Y. ling, & Zhou, M. feng. (2015). Decentralized PID neural network control for a quadrotor helicopter subjected to wind disturbance. *Journal of Central South University*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11771-015-2507-9>
- Chen, Z. S., Yang, Y. M., & Hu, Z. (2012). A technical framework and roadmap of embedded diagnostics and prognostics for complex mechanical systems in prognostics and health management Ssystems. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 61(2), 314–322. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TR.2012.2196171>
- Coza, C., Nicol, C., Macnab, C. J. B., & Ramirez-Serrano, A. (2011). Adaptive fuzzy control for a quadrotor helicopter robust to wind buffeting. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 22(5–6), 267–283. <https://doi.org/10.3233/IFS-2011-0488>
- Dou, L., Chen, T., Lu, F., & Yan, L. (2017). Robust trajectory tracking control for quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle based on extended state observer. *2017 36th Chinese Control Conference (CCC)*, 3095–3100. <https://doi.org/10.23919/ChiCC.2017.8027833>
- Doukhi, O., Fayjie, A. R., & Lee, D. J. (2017). Intelligent Controller Design for Quad-Rotor Stabilization in Presence of Parameter Variations. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/4683912>
- Efe, M. Ö. (2011). Neural network assisted computationally simple PI λ D μ control of a quadrotor UAV. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 7(2), 354–361. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2011.2123906>
- Emran, B. J., Dias, J., Seneviratne, L., & Cai, G. (2015). Robust adaptive control design for quadcopter payload add and drop applications. *Chinese Control Conference, CCC, 2015-Sept*, 3252–3257. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ChiCC.2015.7260141>

- Escareño, J., Salazar, S., Romero, H., & Lozano, R. (2013). Trajectory control of a quadrotor subject to 2D wind disturbances: Robust-adaptive approach. *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems: Theory and Applications*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10846-012-9734-1>
- Ghaffar, A. A., & Richardson, T. (2015). Model Reference Adaptive Control and LQR Control for Quadrotor with Parametric Uncertainties. *International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and Manufacturing Engineering*.
- Guo, Y., Jiang, B., & Zhang, Y. (2018). A novel robust attitude control for quadrotor aircraft subject to actuator faults and wind gusts. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JAS.2017.7510679>
- Hassanalian, M., & Abdelkefi, A. (2017). Classifications, applications, and design challenges of drones: A review. In *Progress in Aerospace Sciences* (Vol. 91, pp. 99–131). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paerosci.2017.04.003>
- Hassanalian, Mostafa, Abdelkefi, A., Wei, M., & Ziaei-Rad, S. (2017). A novel methodology for wing sizing of bio-inspired flapping wing micro air vehicles: theory and prototype. *Acta Mechanica*, 228(3), 1097–1113.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00707-016-1757-4>
- Huang, H., Savkin, A. V., & Huang, C. (2020). Scheduling of a parcel delivery system consisting of an aerial drone interacting with public transportation vehicles. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 20(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20072045>
- Islam, S., Liu, P. X., & El Saddik, A. (2015). Robust control of four-rotor unmanned aerial vehicle with disturbance uncertainty. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 62(3), 1563–1571. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2014.2365441>
- Javidi Niroumand, F., Fakharian, A., & Seyedsajadi, M. S. (2013). Fuzzy integral backstepping control approach in attitude stabilization of a quadrotor UAV. *13th Iranian Conference on Fuzzy Systems, IFSC 2013*.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/IFSC.2013.6675609>
- Kerma, M., Mokhtari, A., Abdelaziz, B., & Orlov, Y. (2012). Nonlinear H_{∞} control of a Quadrotor (UAV), using high order sliding mode disturbance estimator. *International Journal of Control*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207179.2012.709656>
- Kurtulus, D. F. (2016). *INTRODUCTION TO MICRO AIR VEHICLES : CONCEPTS , DESIGN 2 . Design Properties of Micro Air Vehicles. April 2011, 1–2.*
- Lee, T., Sreenath, K., & Kumar, V. (2013). Geometric control of cooperating multiple

- quadrotor uavs with a suspended payload. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 5510–5515. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2013.6760757>
- Li, J., & Li, Y. (2011). Dynamic analysis and PID control for a quadrotor. *2011 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation*, 573–578. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMA.2011.5985724>
- Li, L., Sun, L., & Jin, J. (2016). Survey of advances in control algorithms of quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle. *International Conference on Communication Technology Proceedings, ICCT, 2016-Febru*, 107–111. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCT.2015.7399803>
- Luukkonen, T. (2011). Modelling and Control of Quadcopter. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*, 22(7), 1134–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-011-0148-2>
- Mairaj, A., Baba, A. I., & Javaid, A. Y. (2019). Application specific drone simulators: Recent advances and challenges. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 94(October 2018), 100–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2019.01.004>
- Meivel, S., Maguteeswaran, R., Gandhiraj, N., & Srinivasan, G. (2016). Quadcopter UAV Based Fertilizer and Pesticide Spraying System. ©*International Academic Research Journal of Engineering Sciences*, 1, 2414–6242. <http://acrpublish.com/article/publishedarticles/24102016IARJES343.pdf>
- Min, B., Hong, J., & Matson, E. (2011). Adaptive robust control (ARC) for an altitude control of a quadrotor type UAV carrying an unknown payloads. *International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems*, 1147–1151. http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs_all.jsp?arnumber=6106100
- Mogili, U. R., & Deepak, B. B. V. L. (2018). Review on Application of Drone Systems in Precision Agriculture. *Procedia Computer Science*, 133, 502–509. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.07.063>
- Moussid, M., Bensaid, A., Sayouti, A., Radoul, M., & Medromi, H. (2017). A Comparison of Closed-Loop Performance of Multirotor Configurations Using Nonlinear Dynamic Control. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*, 04(07), 578–588. <https://www.irjet.net/archives/V4/i7/IRJET-V4I7153.pdf>
- Muflikhun, M. A., Gue, I. H. V., Magsino, E. R., & Chua, A. Y. (2014). A PID Controller for Payload Drop of a Quadrotor UAV. *HUST, Hanoi, Vietnam*.

- Orsag, M., & Bog, S. (2012). Influence of Forward and Descent Flight on Quadrotor Dynamics. *Recent Advances in Aircraft Technology, February*.
<https://doi.org/10.5772/37438>
- Perozzi, G., Efimov, D., Biannic, J. M., Planckaert, L., & Coton, P. (2018). On sliding mode control design for UAV using realistic aerodynamic coefficients. *2017 IEEE 56th Annual Conference on Decision and Control, CDC 2017*.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2017.8264459>
- Peter Goossens. (2008). *Main Rotors & Rotorhead*. HeliStart.
<http://www.helistart.com/RotorSystem.aspx>
- Qu, Y., Wu, X., Xiao, B., & Wang, K. (2019). Dynamic Modeling and Control of Small-size Quadrotor Considering Wind Field Disturbance. *Proceedings of the 31st Chinese Control and Decision Conference, CCDC 2019*.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/CCDC.2019.8833065>
- Roldán-Gómez, J. J., González-Gironda, E., & Barrientos, A. (2021). A survey on robotic technologies for forest firefighting: Applying drone swarms to improve firefighters' efficiency and safety. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 11(1), 1–18.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/app11010363>
- Sadollah, A. (2018). Introductory Chapter: Which Membership Function is Appropriate in Fuzzy System? In *Fuzzy Logic Based in Optimization Methods and Control Systems and its Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.79552>
- Sadr, S., Moosavian, S. A. A., & Zarafshan, P. (2014). Dynamics modeling and control of a quadrotor with swing load. *Journal of Robotics, 2014*.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/265897>
- Seo, J., Duque, L., & Wacker, J. (2018). Drone-enabled bridge inspection methodology and application. *Automation in Construction*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.06.006>
- Sierra, J. E., & Santos, M. (2019). Wind and Payload Disturbance Rejection Control Based on Adaptive Neural Estimators: Application on Quadrotors. *Complexity*.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6460156>
- Sikkel, L. N. C., De Croon, G. C. H. E., De Wagter, C., & Chu, Q. P. (2016). A novel online model-based wind estimation approach for quadrotor micro air vehicles using low cost MEMS IMUs. *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2016.7759336>

- Sreenath, K., Lee, T., & Kumar, V. (2013). Geometric control and differential flatness of a quadrotor UAV with a cable-suspended load. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 2269–2274. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2013.6760219>
- Tamami, N., Pitowarno, E., & Astawa, I. G. P. (2014). Proportional Derivative Active Force Control for “X” Configuration Quadcopter. *Journal of Mechatronics, Electrical Power, and Vehicular Technology*, 5(2), 67. <https://doi.org/10.14203/j.mev.2014.v5.67-74>
- Thu, K. M., & Gavrilov, A. I. (2017). Designing and Modeling of Quadcopter Control System Using L1 Adaptive Control. *Procedia Computer Science*, 103(October 2016), 528–535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.01.046>
- Van Broekhoven, E., & De Baets, B. (2006). Fast and accurate center of gravity defuzzification of fuzzy system outputs defined on trapezoidal fuzzy partitions. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2005.11.005>
- Wang, C., Song, B., Huang, P., & Tang, C. (2016). Trajectory Tracking Control for Quadrotor Robot Subject to Payload Variation and Wind Gust Disturbance. *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems: Theory and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10846-016-0333-4>
- Wang, Y., Cai, H., Zhang, J., & Li, X. (2020). Disturbance Attenuation Predictive Optimal Control for Quad-Rotor Transporting Unknown Varying Payload. *IEEE Access*, 8, 44671–44686. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2977681>
- Wu, X., & Liu, Y. (2018). Trajectory tracking control of quadrotor UAV. *Chinese Control Conference, CCC*. <https://doi.org/10.23919/ChiCC.2018.8482939>
- Zhang, C., Zhou, X., Zhao, H., Dai, A., & Zhou, H. (2017). Three-dimensional fuzzy control of mini quadrotor UAV trajectory tracking under impact of wind disturbance. *International Conference on Advanced Mechatronic Systems, ICAMechS*, 372–377. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAMechS.2016.7813477>
- Zhou, X., Zhang, X., Zhang, J., & Liu, R. (2020). *Stabilization and Trajectory Control of a Quadrotor with Uncertain Suspended Load*.