

## CEFR-aligned language tests: A systematic scoping review

Wan Alisa Hanis binti Wan Abdul Halim<sup>1</sup>, Nik Aloesnita binti Nik Mohd Alwi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> SMA Al-Falah, Kemaman

<sup>2</sup> Center for Modern Languages, Universiti Malaysia Pahang

### ABSTRACT

The Common European Framework of Research (CEFR) is not geared to be a standard for test development. Even so, the framework is used as a reference in many language tests, resulting in these tests being identified as CEFR-aligned language tests. To date, there is an increasing number of CEFR-aligned language tests. Several studies have been conducted to determine the purposes of CEFR-aligned language tests and the relevance of CEFR in CEFR-aligned language tests, particularly writing tests. Therefore, the current paper conducted a systematic scoping review (SRR) on CEFR-aligned language tests with a focus on writing tests. A total of 31 papers from 2011 to 2021, sourced from ScienceDirect, were reviewed. The current SRR was guided by the Systematic Evidence-Based Approach (SEBA), with minor modifications. The current study discovered that the CEFR-aligned language tests served five purposes, with each type of test adopted different conditions of testing procedure and judging criteria. Despite that there is a limited clear correlation between the purpose of language tests and the condition of the testing procedure and judging criteria, the current SRR confirms the need for rater training in CEFR-aligned language tests, even when raters present a solid grasp of the CEFR.

### KEYWORDS

CEFR; CEFR-aligned language tests; Writing assessment; CEFR-aligned rating scales; SEBA

### REFERENCES

1. Banerjee, J., Yan, X., Chapman, M., & Elliott, H. (2015). Keeping up with the times: Revising and refreshing a rating scale. *Assessing Writing*, 26, 5–19.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2015.07.001>
2. Barrot, J. S., & Agdeppa, J. Y. (2021). Complexity, accuracy, and fluency as indices of college-level L2 writers' proficiency. *Assessing Writing*, 47.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2020.100510>

3. Bax, S., Nakatsuhara, F., & Waller, D. (2019). Researching L2 writers' use of metadiscourse markers at intermediate and advanced levels.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2019.02.010>
4. Bi, P., & Jiang, J. (2020). Syntactic complexity in assessing young adolescent EFL learners' writings: Syntactic elaboration and diversity. *System*, 91.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102248>
5. Bruce, E., & Hamp-Lyons, L. (2015). Opposing tensions of local and international standards for EAP writing programmes: Who are we assessing for? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 18, 64–77.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2015.03.003>