

CLASSIFICATION OF BREAST CANCER
DISEASE USING BAGGING FUZZY-ID3
ALGORITHM BASED ON FUZZYDBD

NUR FARAHAINA BINTI IDRIS

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'M. Arfian Bin Ismail', is written over a horizontal line.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : MOHD ARFIAN BIN ISMAIL

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 8 FEBRUARY 2022



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

farahaina
(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NUR FARAHAINA BINTI IDRIS

ID Number : MCP20001

Date : 8 FEBRUARY 2022

CLASSIFICATION OF BREAST CANCER DISEASE USING BAGGING FUZZY-
ID3 ALGORITHM BASED ON FUZZYDBD

NUR FARAHAINA BINTI IDRIS

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Computing
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

FEBRUARY 2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to my parents, Idris bin Ismail and Rahinah Wan Hassan, for their endless support throughout my journey to finish my master programme. I want to thank my family members, especially my sister, Nur Farida, for always staying with me and giving me advice. I also want to thank my friends, Aina Umairah, Amirul Ashraf and Syafiqah, who always listen to me when I have a hard time. Last but not least, I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr Mohd Arfian Ismail, that gave much insightful feedback and guided work to a higher level. Thanks for all the endless support. This study was supported by Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) with Vot No. FRGS/1/2018/ICT02/UMP/02/2: RDU190113 from Ministry of Education and managed by Universiti Malaysia Pahang.

ABSTRAK

Klasifikasi adalah teknik perlombongan data yang digunakan untuk mengklasifikasikan pelbagai jenis data mengikut kriteria tertentu. Salah satu kaedah pembelajaran mesin yang paling baik untuk menangani masalah klasifikasi adalah pokok keputusan. Terdapat pelbagai algoritma pokok keputusan, tetapi yang paling biasa digunakan adalah Iterative Dichotomiser 3 (ID3), CART, dan C4.5. ID3 mempunyai kelebihan paling banyak di antara ketiga algoritma, terutamanya dalam masa pemrosesan, kerana ia membina pokok terpantas dengan kedalaman pendek. Namun, walaupun kelaziman pokok keputusan dalam mengendalikan masalah klasifikasi, ia mengalami masalah seperti varians yang tinggi dan overfitting yang membawa kepada generalisasi yang lemah. Gabungan kaedah kabur dan algoritma ID3 menguruskan data dengan lebih cekap kerana menggabungkan kedua-dua kelebihan kaedah kabur dan pokok keputusan. Untuk teknik yang dicadangkan dari algoritma FID3-DBD, atribut berterusan dan diskrit (bilangan bulat) akan ditentukan dalam nilai linguistik set kabur, dan kaedah FUZZYDBD digunakan untuk mengatur parameter set kabur. Penggantian dengan label linguistik set kabur dengan keserasian nilai input tertinggi juga telah dilakukan sebelum aruhan pokok berlaku. Teknik yang dicadangkan menyelesaikan batasan algoritma ID3 tradisional yang tidak dapat mengklasifikasikan atribut bernilai berterusan dan, pada masa yang sama, meningkatkan ketepatan klasifikasi. Kaedah bagging kemudiannya diterapkan pada algoritma FID3-DBD untuk mengatasi masalah overfitting dan varians yang tinggi pada pokok keputusan. Empat set data kanser payudara yang akan digunakan untuk menilai ketepatan klasifikasi: set data Kanser Payudara Wisconsin (Asal), set data WDBC (Diagnostik), set data Kanser Payudara Coimbra dan set data Mammographic Mass. Semua set data tersebut diperoleh dari repositori pembelajaran mesin UCI. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menyelesaikan batasan algoritma ID3 tradisional yang tidak dapat mengklasifikasikan data berterusan dengan baik dan juga mengatasi masalah varians tinggi dan overfitting. Metodologi penyelidikan ini terdiri daripada empat langkah asas iaitu tinjauan literatur, pengumpulan data, pelaksanaan eksperimen dan penulisan laporan. Algoritma FID3-DBD memperoleh ketepatan klasifikasi 94.362% untuk set data Kanser Payudara Wisconsin (Asal), 94.358% untuk set data WDBC (Diagnostik), 81.119% untuk set data Mammographic Mass dan 64.224% untuk set data Coimbra. Algoritma BFID3-DBD memperoleh ketepatan klasifikasi 96.003% untuk set data Kanser Payudara Wisconsin (Asal), 95.273% untuk set data WDBC (Diagnostik), 81.590% untuk set data Mammographic Mass dan 68.966% untuk set data Coimbra. Kajian ini mengesahkan bahawa algoritma FID3-DBD mampu mengklasifikasikan data berterusan dan algoritma BFID3-DBD mengatasi overfitting, mengurangkan varians tinggi, dan meningkatkan ketepatan klasifikasi data ujian.

ABSTRACT

Classification is a data mining technique used to classify varied data types according to a specific criterion. One of the most powerful machine learning methods to handle classification problems is the decision tree. There are various decision tree algorithms, but the most commonly used are Iterative Dichotomiser 3 (ID3), CART, and C4.5. ID3 has the most advantages among the three algorithms, especially in processing time, as it builds the fastest tree with short depth. However, despite the decision tree's commonness in handling classification problems, it suffers problems like high variance and overfitting, leading to poor generalisation. The combination of fuzzy and ID3 algorithm manages the data more efficiently as it combines both the advantages of fuzzy and decision tree. For the proposed technique of the FID3-DBD algorithm, the continuous and discrete (integer) attributes would be defined in the linguistic values of the fuzzy sets, and the FUZZYDBD method is being used to set up the fuzzy sets' parameters. Replacement with the linguistic labels of fuzzy sets with the highest compatibility of input values has also been done before the tree induction occurs. The proposed technique solves the limitation of the classic ID3 algorithm that cannot classify the continuous-valued attributes and, at the same time, increase the classification accuracy. The bagging method was then applied to the FID3-DBD algorithm to overcome overfitting problems and high variance in decision trees. Four breast cancer datasets were used to evaluate the classification accuracy: Wisconsin Breast Cancer (Original) dataset, WDBC (Diagnostic) dataset, Breast Cancer Coimbra dataset, and Mammographic Mass dataset. All those datasets were acquired from the UCI machine learning repository. This study aims to solve the limitation of the classic ID3 algorithm that is unable to classify continuous data well and overcome the high variance and overfitting issues. This research methodology consists of four fundamental steps: literature review, data collection, experiment implementation, and report writing. The FID3-DBD algorithm acquired the classification accuracy of 94.362% for the Wisconsin Breast Cancer (Original) dataset, 94.358% for the WDBC (Diagnostic) dataset, 81.119% for the Mammographic Mass dataset and 64.224% for the Coimbra dataset. The BFID3-DBD algorithm obtained the classification accuracy of 96.003% for the Wisconsin Breast Cancer (Original) dataset, 95.273% for the WDBC (Diagnostic) dataset, 81.590% for the Mammographic Mass dataset and 68.966% for the Coimbra dataset. The study verified that the FID3-DBD algorithm could classify the continuous data, and the BFID3-DBD algorithm overcame the overfitting issue, reduced high variance, and increased test data classification accuracy.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Aim and Objectives	4
1.4 Scope	5
1.5 Structure of the Thesis	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Breast Cancer	7
2.3 Classification	9
2.3.1 Fuzzy System	9
2.3.2 Decision tree	10
2.3.3 Artificial Neural Network	11
2.3.4 Comparative analysis between the classification methods	12
2.4 Fuzzy System	14
2.5 Decision tree	17
2.5.1 ID3 Algorithm	18
2.5.2 C4.5 Algorithm	20
2.5.3 CART Algorithm	21
2.5.4 Comparative analysis between decision tree learning algorithms	21
2.6 Hybrid Techniques of Fuzzy System and ID3 algorithm	23

2.7	Ensemble Methods	26
2.7.1	Bootstrap Aggregation	26
2.7.2	Adaptive Boosting	27
2.7.3	Stacking	28
2.7.4	Comparative analysis between the Ensemble Methods	28
2.8	Conclusion	30
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		31
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Methodology	31
3.3	Conclusion	39
CHAPTER 4 FUZZY-ID3 ALGORITHM BASED ON FUZZYDBD		40
4.1	Introduction	40
4.2	Proposed Method	40
4.3	Experimental Results	47
4.4	Discussion	52
4.5	Conclusion	54
CHAPTER 5 BAGGING FID3-DBD		56
5.1	Introduction	56
5.2	Proposed Method	56
5.3	Experimental Results	61
5.4	Discussion	74
5.5	Conclusion	75
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION		76
6.1	Concluding Remarks	76
6.2	Contributions	77
6.3	Future Works	78
6.4	Dissemination	78
6.5	Summary	79
REFERENCES		80

REFERENCES

- Adede, C., Oboko, R., Wagacha, P. W., & Atzberger, C. (2019). Model ensembles of artificial neural networks and support vector regression for improved accuracy in the prediction of vegetation conditions and droughts in four northern Kenya counties. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 8(12).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi8120562>
- Agatonovic-Kustrin, S., & Beresford, R. (2000). Basic concepts of artificial neural network (ANN) modeling and its application in pharmaceutical research. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 22(5), 717–727.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-7085\(99\)00272-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-7085(99)00272-1)
- Al-Ibrahim, A. (2011). Discretization of Continuous Attributes in Supervised Learning Algorithms. *The Research Bulletin of Jordan ACM, Vol II (IV(Iv))*, 1158.
- Al-Salihi, N. K., & Ibrikci, T. (2017). Classifying breast cancer by using decision tree algorithms. *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Software and Computer Applications - ICSCA '17*, 144–148.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3056662.3056716>
- Ali, S. K., & Mutlag, W. K. (2018). Early detection for breast cancer by using fuzzy logic. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 96(17), 5717–5728.
- Aliev, R. A., Pedrycz, W., Guirimov, B. G., Aliev, R. R., Ilhan, U., Babagil, M., & Mammadli, S. (2011). Type-2 fuzzy neural networks with fuzzy clustering and differential evolution optimization. *Information Sciences*, 181(9), 1591–1608.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2010.12.014>
- Alshraideh, M. (2017). Automated Detection of Breast Cancer Using Artificial Neural Networks and Fuzzy Logic. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)*, June.
- Angayarkanni, A. S. P., & Kamal, N. B. (2012). MRI mammogram image classification using ID3 algorithm. *IET Conference Publications*, 2012(600 CP), 1–5.
<https://doi.org/10.1049/cp.2012.0464>
- Anyanwu, M. N., & Shiva, S. G. (2009). Comparative Analysis of Serial Decision Tree Classification Algorithms. *International Journal of Computer Science and Security*, 3(3), 230–240.
<http://www.cscjournals.org/csc/manuscriptinfo.php?ManuscriptCode=72.73.66.82.82.44.55.49.99>
- Assiri, A. S., Nazir, S., & Velastin, S. A. (2020). Breast Tumor Classification Using an Ensemble Machine Learning Method. *Journal of Imaging*, 6(6).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/JIMAGING6060039>
- Austria, Y. D., Goh, M. L., Sta. Maria Jr., L., Lalata, J.-A., Goh, J. E., & Vicente, H. (2019). Comparison of Machine Learning Algorithms in Breast Cancer Prediction Using the Coimbra Dataset. *International Journal of Simulation- Systems, Science*

- and Technology- IJSSST*, 20, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.5013/ijssst.a.20.s2.23>
- Avdeenko, T., Makarova, E., & Begenova, S. (2018). Combination of case-based reasoning and data mining through integration with the domain ontology. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 10943 LNCS, 159–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93803-5_15
- Badiang, R. O., Gerardo, B. D., & Medina, R. P. (2019). Relocating local outliers produced by K-means and K-medoids using local outlier rectifier V.2.0. *2019 International Conference on Advanced Computer Science and Information Systems, ICACISIS 2019*, 89–94. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACISIS47736.2019.8979741>
- Baldwin, J., & Dong, X. (2004). *Simple Fuzzy Logic Rules Based on Fuzzy Decision Tree for Classification and And Prediction Problem. November 2014*.
- Baldwin, J., & Karale, S. B. (2003). *New Concepts for Fuzzy Partitioning, Defuzzification and Derivation of Probabilistic Fuzzy Decision Trees*. 484–487.
- Bataineh, A. Al. (2019). A comparative analysis of nonlinear machine learning algorithms for breast cancer detection. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing*, 9(3), 248–254. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijmlc.2019.9.3.794>
- Begenova, S. B., & Avdeenko, T. V. (2018a). Building of fuzzy decision trees using ID3 algorithm. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1015(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1015/2/022002>
- Begenova, S. B., & Avdeenko, T. V. (2018b). The research of fuzzy decision trees building based on entropy and the theory of fuzzy sets. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, 2212, 296–303. <https://doi.org/10.18287/1613-0073-2018-2212-296-303>
- Benyoucef, L., & Grabot, B. (2013). *Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Networked Manufacturing Enterprises Management*. Springer London.
- Bertelsen, R., & Martinez, T. R. (1994). Extending ID3 Through Discretization of Continuous Inputs. *In Proceedings of the 7th Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Symposium*, 122–125.
- Bethapudi, P., Reddy, E. S., & Varma, K. V. (2015). Classification of Breast Cancer using Gini Index based Fuzzy Supervised Learning in Quest Decision Tree Algorithm. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 111(14), 50–57. <https://doi.org/10.5120/19610-1494>
- Bhadgale, P. A. M., & Natu, M. S. (2017). Implementation of Improved ID3 Algorithm Based on Association Function. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 114(10), 1–9.
- Bilbao, I., & Bilbao, J. (2017). Overfitting problem and the over-training in the era of data: Particularly for Artificial Neural Networks. *2017 Eighth International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Information Systems (ICICIS)*, 173–177.

- Breiman, L. (1996a). Bagging predictors. *Machine Learning*, 24(2), 123–140.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018054314350>
- Breiman, L. (1996b). Bias, Variance, and Arcing Classifiers. In *Statistics Department, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA* (Vol. 53, Issue 1).
- Brito, P. M. De. (2018). *Predicting the occurrence of breast cancer using insulin-related biomarkers , independently of obesity*. Tilburg University.
- Campbell, M. J., & Swinscow, T. D. V. (2009). Statistics at Square One, 11th Edition. In *Wiley-Blackwell*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.2.6042.1008-b>
- Chaurasia, V., & Pal, S. (2020). Applications of Machine Learning Techniques to Predict Diagnostic Breast Cancer. *SN Computer Science*, 1(5).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-020-00296-8>
- Chen, Jie, Wang, X., & Zhai, J. (2009). Pruning Decision Tree Using Genetic Algorithms. *2009 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computational Intelligence*, 3, 244–248. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AICI.2009.351>
- Chen, Jin, Luo, D., & Mu, F. (2009). An Improved ID3 Decision Tree Algorithm. *Proceedings of 2009 4th International Conference on Computer Science & Education*, 127–130. <https://doi.org/10.1109/iccse.2009.5228509>
- Chen, M. S., & Wang, S. W. (1999). Fuzzy clustering analysis for optimizing fuzzy membership functions. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 103(2), 239–254.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(98\)00224-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00224-3)
- Chen, Y., Wang, T., Wang, B., & Li, Z. (2019). *A Survey of Fuzzy Decision Tree Classifier*. 8658(2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12543-009-0012-2>
- Chiang, Y. M., Chang, L. C., & Chang, F. J. (2004). Comparison of static-feedforward and dynamic-feedback neural networks for rainfall-runoff modeling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 290(3–4), 297–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.12.033>
- Choubin, B., Darabi, H., Rahmati, O., Sajedi-Hosseini, F., & Kløve, B. (2018). River suspended sediment modelling using the CART model: A comparative study of machine learning techniques. *Science of the Total Environment*, 615, 272–281.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.293>
- Cintra, Marcos E., Camargo, H. A., & Martin, T. (2009). Optimising the fuzzy granulation of attribute domains. *2009 International Fuzzy Systems Association World Congress and 2009 European Society for Fuzzy Logic and Technology Conference, IFSA-EUSFLAT 2009 - Proceedings, May 2014*, 742–747.
- Cintra, Marcos E., Monard, M. C., Cherman, E. A., & De Arruda Camargo, H. (2011). On the estimation of the number of fuzzy sets for fuzzy rule-based classification systems. *Proceedings of the 2011 11th International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems, HIS 2011, December*, 211–216.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/HIS.2011.6122107>

- Cintra, Marcos E, Meira, C. A. A., Monard, M. C., Camargo, H. A., & Rodrigues, L. H. A. (2011). The use of fuzzy decision trees for coffee rust warning in Brazilian crops. *2011 11th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications*, 1347–1352.
- Cintra, Marcos E, Monard, M. C., & Camargo, H. A. (2013). A Fuzzy Decision Tree Algorithm Based on C4.5. *Mathware & Soft Computing*, 20, 56–62.
- Cintra, Marcos E, & Neves, O. A. (2013). A Fuzzy Decision Tree for Bus Network Management. *Knowledge Discovery, Mining and Learning – KDMiLe*, 1–8.
- Cintra, Marcos Evandro. (2012). *Genetic generation of fuzzy knowledge bases: new perspectives*. <https://doi.org/10.11606/T.55.2012.TDE-16072012-144620>
- Cintra, Marcos Evandro, Francisco, J., Ribeiro, F., & Neves, O. A. (2014). A Fuzzy Decision Tree Model to Support the Task of Bus Reallocation in Public Transport Systems. *Journal of Information and Data Management*, 5(1), 104–113.
- Cintra, Marcos Evandro, Martin, T. P., Monard, M. C., & Camargo, H. de A. (2011). An Approach for the Extraction of Classification Rules from Fuzzy Formal Contexts. In *RELATÓRIOS TÉCNICOS DO ICMC TECHNICAL REPORTS*. https://web.icmc.usp.br/SCATUSU/RT/BIBLIOTECA_113_RT_368.pdf
- Dai, X., Gao, L., & Dong, C. (2010). SELF-ADAPTIVE FUZZIFICATION IN FUZZY DECISION TREE INDUCTION. *2010 International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics, 1*, 296–301. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMLC.2010.5581048>
- De Reus, N. M. (1994). Assessment of benefits and drawbacks of using fuzzy logic, especially in fire control systems. In *TNO Defense Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9112/38/3/008>
- Desuky, A. S. (2018). Severity of breast masses prediction in mammograms based on optimized naive bayes diagnostic system. *Advances in Systems Science and Applications*, 18(1), 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.25728/assa.2018.18.1.266>
- Domingo, M. J., Gerardo, B. D., & Medina, R. P. (2019). Fuzzy decision tree for breast cancer prediction. *AISS '19: Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Information Science and System*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3373477.3373489>
- Domingos, P. (2000). Bayesian Averaging of Classifiers and the Overfitting Problem. In *Icml*.
- Douangnoulack, P., & Boonjing, V. (2018). Building Minimal Classification Rules for Breast Cancer Diagnosis. *2018 10th International Conference on Knowledge and Smart Technology (KST)*, 278–281.
- Dreiseitl, S., & Ohno-Machado, L. (2002). Logistic regression and artificial neural network classification models: A methodology review. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 35(5–6), 352–359. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1532-0464\(03\)00034-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1532-0464(03)00034-0)

- Durgalakshmi, B., & Vijayakumar, V. (2020). Feature selection and classification using support vector machine and decision tree. *Computational Intelligence, August*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/coin.12280>
- Einipour, A. (2011). A Fuzzy-ACO Method for Detect Breast Cancer. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 3(2), 195–199. <https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v3n2p195>
- El_Rahman, S. A. (2020). Predicting breast cancer survivability based on machine learning and features selection algorithms: a comparative study. In *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing* (Issue January 2016). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02590-y>
- Elaidi, H., Benabbou, Z., & Abbat, H. (2018). A comparative study of algorithms constructing decision trees : ID3. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning and Optimization Algorithms: Theory and Applications - LOPAL '18*, 3–7.
- Elyan, E., & Gaber, M. M. (2016). A fine-grained Random Forests using class decomposition: an application to medical diagnosis. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 27(8), 2279–2288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-015-2064-z>
- Fajfer, M., & Janikow, C. Z. (2000). Bottom-up fuzzy partitioning in fuzzy decision trees. *Annual Conference of the North American Fuzzy Information Processing Society - NAFIPS*, 326–330. <https://doi.org/10.1109/nafigs.2000.877446>
- Fox, E. W., Hill, R. A., Leibowitz, S. G., Olsen, A. R., Thornbrugh, D. J., & Weber, M. H. (2017). Assessing the accuracy and stability of variable selection methods for random forest modeling in ecology. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 189(7). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-6025-0>
- Gentle, J. E., Härdle, W. K., & Mori, Y. (2012). Handbook of computational statistics: Concepts and methods: Second Edition. In *Handbook of Computational Statistics: Concepts and Methods: Second Edition* (Issue January 2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-21551-3>
- Ghosh, A., Manwani, N., & Sastry, P. S. (2017). On the robustness of decision tree learning under label noise. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 10234 LNAI(May 2016), 685–697. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57454-7_53
- Greenland, S., Senn, S. J., Rothman, K. J., Carlin, J. B., Poole, C., Goodman, S. N., & Altman, D. G. (2016). Statistical tests, P values, confidence intervals, and power: a guide to misinterpretations. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 31(4), 337–350. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-016-0149-3>
- Harwati, & Sudiya, A. (2016). Application of Decision Tree Approach to Student Selection Model- A Case Study. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 105(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/105/1/012014>
- Hassan, F., Shaheen, M. E., & Sahal, R. (2020). Real-time healthcare monitoring system using online machine learning and spark streaming. *International Journal*

- of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 11(9), 650–658.
<https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2020.0110977>
- Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2009). *The Elements of Statistical Learning*. In *Springer Series in Statistics*.
<http://www.springerlink.com/index/D7X7KX6772HQ2135.pdf>
- Hazra, S., & Sanyal, S. (2016). Recruitment Prediction Using Id3 Decision Tree. *International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development*, 3(10), 48–52. <https://doi.org/10.21090/ijaerd.031010>
- Higa, A. (2018). Diagnosis of Breast Cancer using Decision Tree and Artificial Neural Network Algorithms. *International Journal of Computer Applications Technology and Research*, 7(1), 23–27. <https://doi.org/10.7753/ijcatr0701.1004>
- Hssina, B., Merbouha, A., Ezzikouri, H., & Erritali, M. (2014). A comparative study of decision tree ID3 and C4 . 5. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, Special Issue on Advances in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networking and Applications(2)*. <https://doi.org/10.14569/SpecialIssue.2014.040203>
- Hsu, K. W., & Srivastava, J. (2012). Improving bagging performance through multi-algorithm ensembles. *Frontiers of Computer Science in China*, 6(5), 498–512. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-012-1163-6>
- Islam, M. M., Yao, X., Nirjon, S. M. S., Islam, M. A., & Murase, K. (2008). Bagging and boosting negatively correlated neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*, 38(3), 771–784. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMCB.2008.922055>
- Jacob, S. G., & Geetha Ramani, R. (2012). Efficient classifier for classification of prognostic breast cancer data through data mining techniques. *Lecture Notes in Engineering and Computer Science*, 1, 493–498.
- Jain, A. K., & Mao, J. (1996). Artificial Neural Network: A Tutorial. *Communications*, 29, 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1109/2.485891>
- Janghel, R. R., Shukla, A., Tiwari, R., & Kala, R. (2010). Breast Cancer diagnosis using Artificial Neural Network models. *Proceedings - 3rd International Conference on Information Sciences and Interaction Sciences, ICIS 2010, CI*, 89–94. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICIS.2010.5534716>
- Janikow, C. Z. (1998). Fuzzy Decision Trees : Issues and Methods. *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SYSTEMS, MAN, AND CYBERNETICS, PART B (CYBERNETICS)*, 28(1), 1–14.
- Janitza, S., & Hornung, R. (2018). On the overestimation of random forest ' s out-of-bag error. *PLoS ONE*, 13(8), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201904>
 August
- Jearanaitanakij, K. (2005). Classifying continuous data set by ID3 algorithm. *2005 Fifth International Conference on Information, Communications and Signal Processing, 2005*, 1048–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icics.2005.1689212>

- Jensen, W. A. (2008). Decision Trees for Business Intelligence and Data Mining: Using SAS® Enterprise Miner™. *Technometrics*, 50(3), 409–410. <https://doi.org/10.1198/tech.2008.s904>
- Jeyasingh, S., & Veluchamy, M. (2017). Modified bat algorithm for feature selection with the Wisconsin Diagnosis Breast Cancer (WDBC) dataset. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 18(5), 1257–1264. <https://doi.org/10.22034/APJCP.2017.18.5.1257>
- Jiao, S. R., Song, J., & Liu, B. (2020). A Review of Decision Tree Classification Algorithms for Continuous Variables. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1651(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1651/1/012083>
- Jin, C., Li, F., & Li, Y. (2014). A generalized fuzzy ID3 algorithm using generalized information entropy. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 64, 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2014.03.014>
- Johra, F. T., & Shuvo, M. M. H. (2017). Detection of breast cancer from histopathology image and classifying benign and malignant state using fuzzy logic. *2016 3rd International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Information and Communication Technology, ICEEICT 2016*, 1, 2–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEEICT.2016.7873137>
- Jothikumar, R., & Siva Balan, R. (2016). C4 . 5 classification algorithm with back-track pruning for accurate prediction of heart disease . *Biomedical Research, Special Section: Computational Life Science and Smarter Technological Advancement*, 107–111.
- Juneja, K., & Rana, C. (2020). An improved weighted decision tree approach for breast cancer prediction. *International Journal of Information Technology (Singapore)*, 12(3), 797–804. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41870-018-0184-2>
- Kabiraj, S., Akter, L., Raihan, M., Diba, N. J., Podder, E., & Hassan, M. M. (2020). Prediction of Recurrence and Non-recurrence Events of Breast Cancer using Bagging Algorithm. *2020 11th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies, ICCCNT 2020*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCNT49239.2020.9225440>
- Kantarci-Savas, S., & Nasibov, E. (2017). Fuzzy ID3 algorithm on linguistic dataset by using WABL defuzzification method. *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2017.8015502>
- Kavitha, K. K., Kangaiammal, A., & Satheesh, K. (2015). *Analysis On Classification Techniques In Mammographic Mass Data Set*. 5(7), 32–35.
- Kayaalp, F., & Basarslan, M. S. (2019). Performance Analysis Of Filter Based Feature Selection Methods On Diagnosis Of Breast Cancer And Orthopedics. *6th International Congress on Fundamental and Applied Sciences 2019 (ICFAS2019)*, July, 1–11.
- Kerdprasop, N., & Kerdprasop, K. (2011). Discrete decision tree induction to avoid overfitting on categorical data. *Recent Researches in Computational Techniques*,

- Non-Linear Systems and Control - Proc. of the 13th WSEAS Int. Conf. on MAMECTIS'11, NOLASC'11, CONTROL'11, WAMUS'11, July 2011, 247–252.*
- Khan, M. U., Choi, J. P., Shin, H., & Kim, M. (2008). Predicting breast cancer survivability using fuzzy decision trees for personalized healthcare. *Proceedings of the 30th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBS'08 - "Personalized Healthcare through Technology," October 2015, 5148–5151.* <https://doi.org/10.1109/iembs.2008.4650373>
- Khan, R. A., Suleman, T., Farooq, M. S., Rafiq, M. H., & Tariq, M. A. (2017). Data mining algorithms for classification of diagnostic cancer using genetic optimization algorithms. *Ijcsns, 17(12), 207.*
- Kharya, S., & Soni, S. (2016). Weighted Naive Bayes Classifier: A Predictive Model for Breast Cancer Detection. *International Journal of Computer Applications, 133(9), 32–37.* <https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2016908023>
- Khoshgoftaar, T. M., Hulse, J. Van, & Napolitano, A. (2011). Comparing Boosting and Bagging Techniques With Noisy and Imbalanced Data. *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SYSTEMS, MAN, AND CYBERNETICS—PART A: SYSTEMS AND HUMANS, 41(3), 552–568.*
- Kocsis, L., György, A., & Bán, A. N. (2013). BoostingTree: Parallel selection of weak learners in boosting, with application to ranking. *Machine Learning, 93(2–3), 293–320.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-013-5364-5>
- Kowsari, K., Bari, N., Vichr, R., & Goodarzi, F. A. (2018). *FSL-BM: Fuzzy Supervised Learning with Binary Meta-Feature for Classification. April.*
- Kukreja, H., Bharath, N., Siddesh, C. S., & Kuldeep, S. (2016). AN INTRODUCTION TO ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK. *International Journal Of Advance Research And Innovative Ideas In Education, 1(5).*
- Kumar, M., & Rath, S. K. (2014). *Classification of Microarray Data Using Kernel Fuzzy Inference System. 2014.* <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/769159>
- Kumar, S. S., & Nadu, T. (2013). Modified C4.5 Algorithm with Improved Information Entropy and Gain Ratio. *International Jurnal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), 2(9), 2768–2773.*
- Kumari, M., Singh, V., & Ahlawat, P. (2019). Decision Support System for Breast Cancer Prediction. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, 7(3), 816–821.* <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2019.3142>
- Kuo, S. J., Hsiao, Y. H., Huang, Y. L., & Chen, D. R. (2008). Classification of benign and malignant breast tumors using neural networks and three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 32(1), 97–102.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.4103>
- Kusuma, E. J., Shidik, G. F., & Pramunendar, R. A. (2020). Optimization of Neural Network using Nelder Mead in Breast Cancer Classification. *International Journal of Intelligent Engineering and Systems, 13(6), 330–337.*

<https://doi.org/10.22266/ijies2020.1231.29>

- Kwon, H., Park, J., & Lee, Y. (2019). Stacking ensemble technique for classifying breast cancer. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, 25(4), 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2019.25.4.283>
- Lavanya, D., & Rani, K. U. (2011). Performance Evaluation of Decision Tree Classifiers on Medical Datasets. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 26(4), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.5120/3095-4247>
- Lavanya, D., & Usha Rani, K. (2012a). Ensemble Decision Tree Classifier For Breast Cancer Data. *International Journal of Information Technology Convergence and Services*, 2(1), 17–24. <https://doi.org/10.5121/ijitcs.2012.2103>
- Lavanya, D., & Usha Rani, K. (2012b). ENSEMBLE DECISION TREE CLASSIFIER FOR BREAST CANCER DATA. *International Journal of Information Technology Convergence and Services (IJITCS)*, 2(1), 12–24. <https://doi.org/10.5121/ijitcs.2012.2103>
- Lee, H. B., & Han, W. (2014). Unique features of young age breast cancer and its management. *Journal of Breast Cancer*, 17(4), 301–307. <https://doi.org/10.4048/jbc.2014.17.4.301>
- Li, Y., Jiang, D., & Li, F. (2012). The application of generating fuzzy ID3 algorithm in performance evaluation. *Procedia Engineering*, 29, 229–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2011.12.699>
- Liao, T. W., Celmins, A. K., & Hammell, R. J. (2003). A fuzzy c-means variant for the generation of fuzzy term sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 135(2), 241–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(02\)00136-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(02)00136-7)
- Liew, X. Y., Hameed, N., & Clos, J. (2021). An investigation of XGBoost-based algorithm for breast cancer classification. *Machine Learning with Applications*, 6(August), 100154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mlwa.2021.100154>
- Ma, Z., Wang, P., Gao, Z., Wang, R., & Khalighi, K. (2018). Ensemble of machine learning algorithms using the stacked generalization approach to estimate the warfarin dose. *PLoS ONE*, 13(10), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205872>
- Majeed, W., Aslam, B., Javed, I., Khaliq, T., Muhammad, F., Ali, A., & Raza, A. (2014). Breast Cancer: Major Risk Factors and Recent Developments in Treatment. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 15, 3353–3358. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2014.15.8.3353>
- Marco, R., Herman, N. S., & Ahmad, S. S. S. (2019). Optimizing Software Effort Estimation Models Based On Metaheuristic Methods: A Proposed Framework. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*, 8(1.5), 294–304.
- Markechová, D., & Riečan, B. (2016). Entropy of Fuzzy Partitions and Entropy of Fuzzy Dynamical Systems. *Entropy*, 18(1), 1–10.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/e18010019>

- Matteo, R., & Giorgio, V. (2001). Ensemble methods: a review. In *Data Mining and Machine Learning for Astronomical Applications*.
- Minivishapavithrathi, V., & Rani, K. S. (2019). Prediction of breast cancer using machine learning. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 28(7), 150–153. <https://doi.org/10.2174/2213275912666190617160834>
- Modi, N., & Ghanchi, K. (2016). A comparative analysis of feature selection methods and associated machine learning algorithms on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset (WBCD). *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 408, 215–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0129-1_23
- Mohammed, M., Mwambi, H., Omolo, B., & Elbashir, M. K. (2018). Using stacking ensemble for microarray-based cancer classification. *2018 International Conference on Computer, Control, Electrical, and Electronics Engineering, ICCCEE 2018, December*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCEE.2018.8515872>
- Mostafa, M. G., Perkins, T. C., & Farag, A. A. (2000). *SUPERVISED FUZZY AND BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION OF HIGH DIMENSIONAL DATA : A COMPARATIVE STUDY*. 772–775.
- Munther, A., Othman, R. R., Alalousi, A., Anbar, M., & Nizam, S. (2014). Network Traffic Classification - A Comparative Study of Two Common Decision Tree Methods : C4 . 5 and Random Forest. *2nd International Conference on Electronic Design (ICED)*.
- Murty, M. R., Raju, S. K., Rao, M. V., & Satapaty, S. C. (2018). Support Vector Machine with K-fold Cross Validation Model for Software Fault Prediction. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 118(July), 321–334.
- Mushtaq, Z., Yaqub, A., Sani, S., & Khalid, A. (2020). Effective K-nearest neighbor classifications for Wisconsin breast cancer data sets. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Engineers, Transactions of the Chinese Institute of Engineers, Series A*, 43(1), 80–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02533839.2019.1676658>
- Nai-Arun, N., & Punnee, S. (2014). Ensemble learning model for diabetes classification. *Advanced Materials Research*, 931–932(May 2014), 1427–1431. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.931-932.1427>
- Naji, M. A., Filali, S. El, Aarika, K., Benlahmar, E. H., Abdelouhahid, R. A., & Debauche, O. (2021). Machine Learning Algorithms for Breast Cancer Prediction and Diagnosis. *Procedia Computer Science*, 191, 487–492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.07.062>
- Nguyen, T., Hettiarachchi, I., Khosravi, A., Salaken, S. M., & Bhatti, A. (2017). *Multiclass EEG Data Classification using Fuzzy Systems*. 0–5.
- Nijhawan, V. K., Madan, M., & Dave, M. (2017). The Analytical Comparison of ID3 and C4 . 5 using WEKA. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 167(11), 1–4.

- Nilashi, M., Ibrahim, O., Ahmadi, H., & Shahmoradi, L. (2017). A knowledge-based system for breast cancer classification using fuzzy logic method. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(4), 133–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.01.007>
- Nseerullah. (2018). THE PROS AND CONS OF PRUNING IN CLASSIFICATION. *Proceedings of Academicsera 32nd International Conference, London, United Kingdom*, 24–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/asj/sjx265>
- Odegua, R. O. (2020). An Empirical Study of Ensemble Techniques (Bagging, Boosting and Stacking). *Deep Learning IndabaX*, 12(10), 1683.
- Olaru, C., & Wehenkel, L. (2003). A complete fuzzy decision tree technique. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 138(2), 221–254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(03\)00089-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(03)00089-7)
- Omondiaqbe, D. A., Veeramani, S., & Sidhu, A. S. (2019). Machine Learning Classification Techniques for Breast Cancer Diagnosis. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 495(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/495/1/012033>
- Ortiz-Rodriguez, J. M., Guerrero-Mendez, C., Martinez-Blanco, M. del R., Castro-Tapia, S., Moreno-Lucio, M., Jaramillo-Martinez, R., Solis-Sanchez, L. O., Martinez-Fierro, M. de la L., Garza-Veloz, I., Galvan, J. C. M., & Garcia, J. A. B. (2018). Breast Cancer Detection by Means of Artificial Neural Networks. In *Advanced Applications for Artificial Neural Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.71256>
- Osisanwo, F. ., Akinsola, J. E. ., Awodele, O., Hinmikaiye, J. O., Olakanmi, O., & Akinjobi, J. (2017). Supervised Machine Learning Algorithms: Classification and Comparison. *International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology*, 48(3), 128–138. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22312803/ijctt-v48p126>
- Oyelade, O. N., Obiniyi, A. A., Junaidu, S. B., & Adewuyi, S. A. (2018). ST-ONCODIAG: A semantic rule-base approach to diagnosing breast cancer base on Wisconsin datasets. *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, 10(December 2017), 117–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imu.2017.12.008>
- Padhi, T., & Kumar, P. (2019). Breast cancer analysis using WEKA. *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference On Cloud Computing, Data Science and Engineering, Confluence 2019*, 229–233. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CONFLUENCE.2019.8776911>
- Patel, B. N., Prajapati, S. G., & Lakhtaria, K. I. (2012). Efficient Classification of Data Using Decision Tree. *Bonfring International Journal of Data Mining*, 2(1), 06–12. <https://doi.org/10.9756/bijdm.1098>
- Patil., M. S., Agrawal, M. . M., & Baviskar, M. V. R. (2015). Efficient Processing of Decision Tree Using ID3 & improved C4.5 Algorithm. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies*, 6(2), 1956–1961.
- Patrício, M., Pereira, J., Crisóstomo, J., Matafome, P., Gomes, M., Seíça, R., & Caramelo, F. (2018). Using Resistin, glucose, age and BMI to predict the presence of breast cancer. *BMC Cancer*, 18(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-017->

- Peña-Reyes, C. A., & Sipper, M. (2001). Fuzzy CoCo: A cooperative-coevolutionary approach to fuzzy modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 9(5), 727–737. <https://doi.org/10.1109/91.963759>
- Petersen, M. L., Molinaro, A. M., Sinisi, S. E., & van der Laan, M. J. (2007). Cross-validated bagged learning. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 98(9), 1693–1704. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmva.2007.07.004>
- Petri, C. (2010). *Decision Trees*. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420090741.ch6>
- Piramuthu, S. (2008). Input data for decision trees. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 34(2), 1220–1226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2006.12.030>
- Prasetyo, C., Kardiana, A., & Yuliwulandari, R. (2014). Breast Cancer Diagnosis using Artificial Neural Networks with Extreme Learning Techniques. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Artificial Intelligence*, 3(7), 10–14. <https://doi.org/10.14569/ijarai.2014.030703>
- Prati, R. C., Charte, F., & Herrera, F. (2017). *A first approach towards a fuzzy decision tree for multilabel classification*. July. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2017.8015521>
- Pulkkinen, P., & Koivisto, H. (2010). A dynamically constrained multiobjective genetic fuzzy system for regression problems. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 18(1), 161–177. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2009.2038712>
- Rabcan, J., Levashenko, V., Zaitseva, E., Kvassay, M., & Subbotin, S. (2019). Application of Fuzzy Decision Tree for Signal Classification. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 15(10), 5425–5434. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2019.2904845>
- Rajesh, K. and S. A. (2012). Analysis of SEER Dataset for Breast Cancer Diagnosis using C4.5 Classification Algorithm. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, 1(2), 72–77. www.ijarce.com
- Ramanan, A., Suppharangsarn, S., & Niranjan, M. (2007). Unbalanced decision trees for multi-class classification. *ICIIS 2007 - 2nd International Conference on Industrial and Information Systems 2007, Conference Proceedings, September*, 291–294. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIINFS.2007.4579190>
- Razi, M. A., & Athappilly, K. (2005). A comparative predictive analysis of neural networks (NNs), nonlinear regression and classification and regression tree (CART) models. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 29(1), 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2005.01.006>
- Rishika, V., & Sowjanya, A. M. (2019). Prediction of breast cancer using ensemble learning. *International Journal of Management, Technology And Engineering*, IX(1), 1857–1867. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAEE48663.2019.8975544>
- Sahri, Z., Arif, F., Sakinah, S., Ahmad, S., Ahmad, R., & Yusof, R. (2017). *Imputing*

- Missing Values in Mammography Mass Dataset: Will it Increase Classification Performance of Machine Learning Algorithms?* 8–12.
<https://doi.org/10.17758/eirai.iae1017305>
- Sakib, S., Siddique, M. A. B., & Rahman, M. A. (2020). Performance Evaluation of t-SNE and MDS Dimensionality Reduction Techniques with KNN, ENN and SVM Classifiers. *2020 IEEE Region 10 Symposium (TENSYMP)*, June, 5–7.
- Salehi-Moghaddami, N., Yazdi, H. S., & Poostchi, H. (2011). Correlation based splitting criterion in multi branch decision tree. *Central European Journal of Computer Science*, 1(2), 205–220. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s13537-011-0017-x>
- Santoso, H. B. (2020). Fuzzy Decision Tree to Predict Student Success in Their Studies. *International Journal of Quantitative Research and Modeling*, 1(3), 135–144. <https://doi.org/10.46336/ijqrm.v1i3.59>
- Saoud, H., Ghadi, A., Ghailani, M., & Abdelhakim, B. A. (2019). *Using Feature Selection Techniques to Improve the Accuracy of Breast Cancer Classification* (Issue January). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11196-0_28
- Sardouk, F., Duru, A. D., & Bayat, O. (2019). Classification of breast cancer using data mining. *American Scientific Research Journal for Engineering, Technology, and Sciences (ASRJETS)*, 51(1), 38–46.
- Sathiyarayanan, P., Pavithra, S., Sai Saranya, M., & Makeswari, M. (2019). Identification of breast cancer using the decision tree algorithm. *2019 IEEE International Conference on System, Computation, Automation and Networking, ICSCAN 2019*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSCAN.2019.8878757>
- Schaefer, G., Nakashima, T., Závisek, M., Yokota, Y., Drastich, A., & Ishibuchi, H. (2007). Breast cancer classification using statistical features and fuzzy classification of thermograms. *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FUZZY.2007.4295520>
- Schapire, R. E. (1990). The Strength of Weak Learnability. *Machine Learning*, 5(2), 197–227. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022648800760>
- Schapire, R. E., & Freund, Y. (1995). A Decision-Theoretic Generalization of On-Line Learning and an Application to Boosting. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 55, 119–139. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2818346.2823306>
- Sehgal, G., Rawat, M., Gupta, B., Gupta, G., Sharma, G., & Shroff, G. (2018). Visual Predictive Analytics using iFuseML. *EuroVisWorkshop on Visual Analytics*. <https://doi.org/10.2312/eurova.20181106>
- Senkamalavalli, R., & Bhuvaneshwari, T. (2018). Improved Classification of Breast Cancer Data Using Hybrid Techniques. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science (IJAERS)*, 5(5), 77–81. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.5.5.11>
- Seymoens, T., Ongenaes, F., Jacobs, A., Verstichel, S., & Ackaert, A. (2019). A

- methodology to involve domain experts and machine learning techniques in the design of human-centered algorithms. In *IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology* (Vol. 544). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05297-3_14
- Shah, R., Rosso, K., & David Nathanson, S. (2014). Pathogenesis, prevention, diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer. *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 5(3), 283–298. <https://doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v5.i3.283>
- Sharifmoghadam, M., & Jazayeriy, H. (2019). Breast Cancer Classification Using AdaBoost- Extreme Learning Machine. *5th Iranian Conference on Signal Processing and Intelligent Systems, ICSPIS 2019, December*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSPIS48872.2019.9066088>
- Sharma, H., & Kumar, S. (2016). A Survey on Decision Tree Algorithms of Classification in Data Mining. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 5(4), 2094–2097. <https://doi.org/10.21275/v5i4.nov162954>
- Shimojima, K., Fukuda, T., & Hasegawa, Y. (1995). Self-tuning fuzzy modeling with adaptive membership function, rules, and hierarchical structure based on genetic algorithm. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 71(3), 295–309. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)00280-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)00280-K)
- Singh, S., & Manoj, G. (2014). Comparative Study Id3, Cart and C4.5 Decision Tree Algorithm: a Survey. *International Journal of Advanced Information Science and Technology*, 27(27), 97–103. <https://doi.org/10.15693/ijaist/2014.v3i7.47-52>
- Sizilio, G. R. M. A., Leite, C. R. M., Guerreiro, A. M. G., & Neto, A. D. D. (2012). Fuzzy method for pre-diagnosis of breast cancer from the Fine Needle Aspirate analysis. *BioMedical Engineering Online*, 11, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-925X-11-83>
- Song, Q., Shepperd, M., Chen, X., & Liu, J. (2008). Can k-NN imputation improve the performance of C4.5 with small software project data sets? A comparative evaluation. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 81(12), 2361–2370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2008.05.008>
- Sree Kumar, S., Radhakrishnan, A. ., & Cheong, S. . (2010). Rapid Metastasis of Breast Cancer Cells from Primary Tumour to Liver. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 13(7), 303–315.
- Suca, C., Córdova, A., Condori, A., Jordy, C., & Sulla, J. (2016). Fuzzy Model for Prediction Childhood Obesity Cases Using the Generalized GFID3. *XVII Conferencia de La Asociación Española Para La Inteligencia Artificial*, July.
- Suganya, X. J., & Balasubramanian, R. (2016). Enhanced ID3 algorithm based on the weightage of the Attribute. *International Journal of Innovatice Research in Advanced Engineering*, 3(03), 12–18. <http://www.ijirae.com/volumes/Vol3/iss3/03.MRAE10083.pdf>
- Sumbaly, R., Vishnusri, N., & Jeyalatha, S. (2014). Diagnosis of Breast Cancer using Decision Tree Data Mining Technique. *International Journal of Computer*

- Applications*, 98(10), 16–24. <https://doi.org/10.5120/17219-7456>
- Sun, Y. S., Zhao, Z., Yang, Z. N., Xu, F., Lu, H. J., Zhu, Z. Y., Shi, W., Jiang, J., Yao, P. P., & Zhu, H. P. (2017). Risk factors and preventions of breast cancer. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 13(11), 1387–1397. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.21635>
- Surya Prasad, P., Tarun Varma, V., Sai, V., Harish, V., & Kumar, K. S. R. (2012). Classification of Different Textures Using SVM and Fuzzy logic. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Research*, 4, 4–7.
- Tahmasebi, P., & Hezarkhani, A. (2012). A hybrid neural networks-fuzzy logic-genetic algorithm for grade estimation. *Computers and Geosciences*, 42(April 2016), 18–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2012.02.004>
- Thaker, S., & Nagori, V. (2018). Analysis of Fuzzification Process in Fuzzy Expert System. *International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Data Science (ICCIDS 2018)*, 132, 1308–1316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.05.047>
- Trees, F. D., & Fdt, A. (2004). *Fuzzy decision trees 2.1*. 16–23.
- Tu, C., Liu, H., & Xu, B. (2017). AdaBoost typical Algorithm and its application research. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 139(00222). <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201713900222>
- Tu, M. C., Shin, D., & Shin, D. (2009). A Comparative Study of Medical Data Classification Methods Based on Decision Tree and Bagging Algorithms. *Eighth IEEE International Conference on Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing*, 183–187. <https://doi.org/10.1109/DASC.2009.40>
- Umanol, M., Okamoto, H., Hatono, I., & Tamura, H. (1994). Fuzzy Decision Trees by Fuzzy ID3 Algorithm and Its Application to Diagnosis Systems. *Proceedings of 1994 IEEE 3rd International Fuzzy Systems Conference*, 1, 2113–2118. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FUZZY.1994.343539>
- Venkatesan, E., & Velmurugan, T. (2015). Performance Analysis of Decision Tree Algorithms for Breast Cancer Classification. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 8(12), 83–89. <https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2015/v8i29/84646>
- Verma, A. K., & Pal, S. (2020). Prediction of Skin Disease with Three Different Feature Selection Techniques Using Stacking Ensemble Method. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 191(2), 637–656. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-019-03222-8>
- Vezhnevets, A., & Barinova, O. (2007). Avoiding boosting overfitting by removing confusing samples. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 4701 LNAI, 430–441. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74958-5_40
- Vrigazova, B. P. (2020). Detection of Malignant and Benign Breast Cancer Using the ANOVA-BOOTSTRAP-SVM. *Journal of Data and Information Science*, 5(2), 62–75. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jdis-2020-0012>

- Wang, L. X., & Mendel, J. M. (1992). Generating Fuzzy Rules by Learning from Examples. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 22(6), 1414–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1109/21.199466>
- Wang, X., & Wu, C. (2005). USING IMPROVED SVM DECISION TREE TO CLASSIFY HRRP. *August*, 18–21.
- Wang, Y., Li, Y., Song, Y., Rong, X., & Zhang, S. (2017). Improvement of ID3 algorithm based on simplified information entropy and coordination degree. *Algorithms*, 10(4), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/a10040124>
- Watkins, E. J. (2019). Overview of breast cancer. *Journal of the American Academy of PAs*, 32(10), 13–17. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.JAA.0000580524.95733.3d>
- Wu, J., & Hicks, C. (2021). Breast cancer type classification using machine learning. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 11(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm11020061>
- Xiaosheng, L., & Bo, L. (2015). Recognition of Power Quality Disturbances Based on T-S Fuzzy Logic. *2015 Fifth International Conference on Instrumentation and Measurement, Computer, Communication and Control*, 1, 302–305. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IMCCC.2015.70>
- Yang, S., Guo, J. Z., & Jin, J. W. (2018). An improved Id3 algorithm for medical data classification. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, 65, 474–487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2017.08.005>
- Yuan, Y., & Shaw, M. J. (1995). Induction of fuzzy decision trees. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 69(2), 125–139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)00229-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)00229-Z)
- Zadeh, L. A. (1973). Outline of a new approach to the analysis of complex systems and decision processes. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions On*, 1, 28–44. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1973.5408575>
- Zadeh, L. A. (2008). Is there a need for fuzzy logic? *Information Sciences*, 178(13), 2751–2779. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2008.02.012>
- Zaitseva, E., & Levashenko, V. (2016). Construction of a reliability structure function based on uncertain data. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 65(4), 1710–1723. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TR.2016.2578948>
- Zhai, J., Wang, X., Zhang, S., & Hou, S. (2018). Tolerance rough fuzzy decision tree. *Information Sciences*, 465, 425–438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2018.07.006>
- Zhao, L., Lee, S., & Jeong, S.-P. (2021). Decision Tree Application to Classification Problems with Boosting Algorithm. *Electronics*, 10(1903). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10161903>
- Zimmerman, R. K., Balasubramani, G. K., Nowalk, M. P., Eng, H., Urbanski, L., Jackson, M. L., Jackson, L. A., Mclean, H. Q., Belongia, E. A., Monto, A. S., Malosh, R. E., Gaglani, M., Clipper, L., Flannery, B., & Wisniewski, S. R. (2016). Classification and Regression Tree (CART) analysis to predict influenza in

primary care patients. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 1–11.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-016-1839-x>

Zupan, J. (1994). Introduction to Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Methods : What They Are and How to Use Them. *Acta Chimica Slovenica*, 41(3), 327–352.