

FUZZY ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS  
BASED RISK ASSESSMENT FOR MALWARE  
DETECTION IN ANDROID MOBILE SYSTEM

JULIZA BINTI MOHAMAD ARIF

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

## SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.



**DR. MOHD. FAIZAL BIN AB RAZAK**  
SENIOR LECTURER  
FACULTY OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS & SOFTWARE ENGINEERING  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG  
LEBUHRAYA TUN RAZAK, 26300 GAMBANG, KUANTAN  
PAHANG DARUL MAKMUR  
TEL : 09-549 2217 FAX : 09-549 2144

---

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR MOHD FAIZAL BIN AB RAZAK

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 14 JUNE 2022



**DR. SURYANTI BINTI AWANG**  
SENIOR LECTURER  
FACULTY OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS  
& SOFTWARE ENGINEERING  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG  
LEBUHRAYA TUN RAZAK, 26300 GAMBANG KUANTAN  
TEL:09-549 2120 FAKS:09-549 2144

---

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR SURYANTI BINTI AWANG

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 14 JUNE 2022



### **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Juliza', is positioned above a horizontal line.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : JULIZA BINTI MOHAMAD ARIF

ID Number : MCN19002

Date : 14 JUNE 2022

FUZZY ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS BASED RISK ASSESSMENT  
FOR MALWARE DETECTION IN ANDROID MOBILE SYSTEM

JULIZA BINTI MOHAMAD ARIF

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science

Faculty of Computing  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2022

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to begin by expressing my thankfulness to Allah swt for bestowing upon me the wellness necessary to accomplish this proposal.

I take this opportunity to thank gratefulness to Ts. Dr. Mohd Faizal bin Ab Razak and his supervisors for providing invaluable guidance, supervision, and encouragement throughout this study. His direction, kindness, and encouragement have all contributed significantly to my motivation to complete this study. He taught me how to conduct research and present findings in the shortest amount of time possible. It is an extraordinary privilege and honour to work and study under his direction. I owe him gratitude for what he has given me. Additionally, I'd like to convey my gratefulness to Dr. Suryanti binti Awang, my co-supervisor, for assisting me with this study.

I owe a debt of gratitude to my parents for constantly encouraging me and praying for me to complete my studies. I owe gratitude to my husband (Tc. Mohd Shahrul Pidaus b Ab Razak), my father (Mohamad Arif b Bidin), my mother (Siti Rahmah binti Yahya), my siblings (Juliana binti Mohamad Arif, Junaidah binti Mohamad Arif, Aliff bin Mohamad Arif, Jasarina binti Mohamad Arif and Azrul bin Mohamad Arif), my daughters (Dhiya Nur aira and Adelia Nur Aafiya) and my son (Muhammad Yusuf Qarizh) for their diligence, prayers, and respect in assisting me in completing this research work. Not to be forgotten is a heartfelt thank you to my HLP colleagues (Sharfah Ratibah binti Tuan Mat, Nurfateha binti Mohd Yamikazam, Norita binti Ahmad, and Halimah binti Ab Rahim), who provided invaluable advice and shared their expertise throughout this study.

Finally, I'd like to convey my gratefulness to everyone who assisted me, directly or indirectly, in making this research a success.

## ABSTRAK

Peranti mudah alih Android merekodkan sejumlah besar pengguna dan boleh diakses melalui sumber terbuka. Keterbukaan peranti mudah alih Android sangat terdedah kepada serangan perisian hasad. Walaupun pelbagai antivirus atau peranti keselamatan dipasang dalam peranti mudah alih, pengguna masih terdedah kepada serangan perisian hasad. Penyerang sentiasa membuat perubahan mengikut trend semasa. Penyelesaian sebelumnya tidak mencukupi untuk mengurangkan serangan dengan ketara, kerana perisian hasad yang lebih baharu mahir mencari kelemahan Android. Kaedah pengesanan perisian hasad Google Play tidak mencukupi untuk mengimbas aplikasi pihak ketiga yang mungkin melanggar kerahsiaan pengguna. Mekanisme keselamatan Android, yang berdasarkan kebenaran, juga tidak mencukupi, mendedahkan pengguna mudah alih kepada persekitaran yang tidak selamat dan menjadikan mereka terdedah kepada serangan luaran. Pengguna mudah alih biasanya mengabaikan senarai kebenaran yang panjang kerana ketidakfahaman mereka. Oleh itu, aplikasi Android perlu dianalisis untuk memastikan aplikasi jinak atau perisian hasad dapat dibezakan serta risiko setiap permintaan kebenaran diketahui. Dalam pengesanan perisian hasad mudah alih, terdapat dua jenis analisis perisian hasad, yang termasuk analisis statik dan dinamik. Kajian ini memanfaatkan ciri kebenaran dan menekankan teknik analisis statik. Analisis statik meneliti program tanpa pelaksanaan aplikasi dan memberitahu kelakuannya. Kelebihan analisis statik ialah pengesanan pantas, keperluan sumber minimum dan ketepatan tinggi dalam mengesan perisian hasad. Matlamat penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mencadangkan penilaian risiko berasaskan proses hierarki analisis kabur untuk pengesanan perisian hasad dalam sistem mudah alih Android. Penilaian risiko digunakan untuk mendidik pengguna mudah alih tentang bahaya yang berkaitan dengan pemberian permintaan kebenaran. Bilangan permintaan kebenaran oleh setiap aplikasi Android diambil kira dalam menilai risiko serangan perisian hasad. Tiga teknik pengoptimuman seperti Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Information Gain dan Evolutionary Computational digunakan untuk memilih ciri kebenaran terbaik. Setiap kebenaran dibahagikan kepada kumpulan, dan skala perbandingan berpasangan kabur digunakan untuk menentukan wajaran setiap kumpulan kebenaran. Proses penilaian menggunakan 10,000 set data yang diperoleh daripada Drebin dan Androzoo. Selain itu, dapatan menunjukkan kadar ketepatan yang dicapai ialah 90.54% untuk pengesanan perisian hasad. Penilaian risiko secara berkesan mengkategorikan aplikasi Android kepada empat tahap risiko yang berbeza (sangat rendah, rendah, sederhana dan tinggi). Menurut analisis risiko, keluarga perisian hasad yang mempunyai tahap risiko tinggi ialah Plankton, ExploitLinuxLotoor dan SMSreg. Sifat dan kumpulan kebenaran mesej menunjukkan wajaran tertinggi dengan nilai 0.274 dan 0.273, masing-masing. Penemuan cemerlang kajian mengesahkan bahawa ciri kebenaran adalah penting untuk menilai perisian hasad serta analisis risiko pada aplikasi Android. Penilaian risiko dapat menemui pendedahan risiko kepada aplikasi Android dan memberikan pengetahuan kepada pengguna dengan menyediakan tahap risiko untuk meminimumkan serangan.

Kata kunci: Aplikasi Android, Analisis Statik, Sistem Pengesanan Perisian Hasad Mudah Alih, Penilaian Risiko.

## ABSTRACT

Android mobile devices record a large number of users and are accessible via open source. The openness of the Android mobile devices is extremely vulnerable to malware attacks. Even though various antivirus or security devices are installed in the mobile device, users are still exposed to malware attacks. Attackers are constantly making changes according to current trends. Previous solutions are insufficient to significantly reduce attacks, as newer malware is skillful at finding Android vulnerabilities. Google Play's malware detection method is insufficient to scan third-party applications that may violate user confidentiality. Android security mechanism, which is based on permissions, is also insufficient, exposing mobile users to non-secure environments and making them susceptible to external attacks. Mobile users typically disregard lengthy lists of permissions due to their incomprehensibility. Therefore, Android applications need to be analysed to ensure that benign or malware applications can be distinguished as well as the risk of each permission request being known. In mobile malware detection, there are two types of malware analysis, which include static and dynamic analysis. This study leverages permission features and emphasises static analysis techniques. Static analysis examines programs without execution of the application and notifies its behaviour. The advantages of static analysis are fast detection, minimal resource requirements, and high accuracy in detecting malware. The goal of this research is to propose a fuzzy analytical hierarchy process based risk assessment for malware detection in Android mobile systems. Risk assessment is applied to educate mobile users about the dangers associated with granting permission requests. The number of permission requests by each Android application is taken into account in assessing the risk of malware attacks. The three optimization techniques such as Particle Swarm Optimisation (PSO), Information Gain and Evolutionary Computational are applied to select the best permission features. Each permission was divided into groups, and fuzzy pairwise comparison scale was applied to determine each permission group's weightage. The assessment process applied 10,000 datasets retrieved from Drebin and Androzoo. In addition, the findings show the accuracy rate achieved was 90.54% for malware detection. Risk assessment effectively categorised the Android application into four distinct risk levels (very low, low, medium, and high). According to risk analysis, the malware families with the high risk level are Plankton, ExploitLinuxLotoor, and SMSreg. Properties and message permission group indicate the highest weightage with value 0.274 and 0.273, respectively. The study's excellent findings confirmed that permission features are important for evaluating malware as well as risk analysis on an Android application. Risk assessment able to discover risk exposure to Android applications and provide knowledge to users by providing risk levels to minimize the attacks.

Keyword: Android applications, Static Analysis, Mobile Malware Detection System, Risk Assessment.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Research Motivation	3
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Aim and Objectives	7
1.5 Research Scope	8
1.6 Research Significance	8
1.7 Thesis Organisation	8
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Mobile Malware Trends	10
2.2 Mobile Malware Characteristics	12
2.3 Malware Detection System	13
2.3.1 Deployment Approach	14
2.3.2 Detection Approach	15
2.3.3 Analysis Technique	15



2.4	Android Malware Features Analysis	20
2.4.1	Feature Selection and Optimisation Method	25
2.4.2	Particle Swarm Optimisation (PSO)	25
2.4.3	Information Gain	26
2.4.4	Evolutionary Computation	26
2.4.5	Machine Learning	27
2.5	Risk Assessment Application and Methods	30
2.5.1	Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM).	32
2.5.2	Fuzzy	33
2.5.3	Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)	33
2.5.4	Fuzzy Analytical Hierarchy Process	36
2.6	Summary	39
 <b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>40</b>
3.1	Research Methodology	40
3.2	Android Mobile Malware Detection System	41
3.3	Data Collection & Analysis	42
3.4	Data Evaluation	46
3.5	Risk Assessment	48
3.6	Mobile Malware Analysis Tools	53
3.6.1	Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis (WEKA)	53
3.6.2	SPSS	55
3.6.3	VirusTotal	56
3.6.4	APK Tools	57
3.7	Summary	57

<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1 Data Evaluation	59
4.1.1 Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve	65
4.1.2 Area Under Curve (AUC)	66
4.1.3 Box Plot Analysis	66
4.2 Risk Assessment	68
4.2.1 Criteria Selection	68
4.2.2 Global Weight Computation	70
4.2.3 Risk Evaluation	75
4.3 Statistical Analysis	84
4.4 Summary	87
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION</b>	<b>88</b>
5.1 Research Revisited	88
5.2 Limitation of the Study	90
5.3 Summary – Suggestion for future works	90
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>101</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1:	List of mobile malware discovered in quarter 1, 2021	2
Table 1.2:	Summary of problem statement	7
Table 2.1:	Type of mobile malware with their behaviour	13
Table 2.2:	Comparison of analysis technique	16
Table 2.3:	Static analysis malware detection technique for a related study	19
Table 2.4:	Android malware features advantages and disadvantages	21
Table 2.5:	Android permission	23
Table 2.6:	Protection level of Android permission	24
Table 2.7:	Machine learning classifier for the related study	27
Table 2.8:	Description of machine learning classifier	29
Table 2.9:	Performance metric for detection performance evaluation applied previous study	30
Table 2.10:	Risk assessment for related study	31
Table 2.11:	AHP Saaty scale	34
Table 2.12:	AHP for a related study	35
Table 2.13:	Fuzzy pairwise comparison scale	37
Table 3.1:	Dataset summary	43
Table 3.2:	Top 10 permission request in malware application	44
Table 3.3:	Top 10 permission request in benign application	44
Table 3.4:	Description of top 10 permission request by malware	45
Table 3.5:	Parameter of optimisation method	47
Table 3.6:	Parameter of machine learning classifier	47
Table 3.7:	Fuzzy pairwise comparison scale	50
Table 3.8:	Fuzzy pairwise comparison of criteria	50
Table 3.9:	Fuzzy pairwise comparison of alternatives	50
Table 3.10:	Random index	52
Table 4.1:	20 features selection of PSO	60
Table 4.2:	20 features selection of information gain	61
Table 4.3:	20 features selection of evolutionary computation	62
Table 4.4:	Performance results of detection	63
Table 4.5:	Evaluation of AUC	66
Table 4.6:	List of criteria	69
Table 4.7:	Fuzzy judgment matrix's likelihood and impact criteria	71

Table 4.8:	Permission group (alternatives) to likelihood criteria of fuzzy judgment matrix	71
Table 4.9:	Permission group (alternatives) to impact criteria of fuzzy judgment matrix	73
Table 4.10:	Alternative global weight	75
Table 4.11:	High risk malware application samples	77
Table 4.12:	Medium risk malware application samples	78
Table 4.13:	Low risk malware application samples	79
Table 4.14:	Low and very low risk benign application samples	80
Table 4.15:	Malware and benign data analysis	81
Table 4.16:	Summary of benign and malware applications by risk level	82
Table 4.17:	Descriptive Statistics	84
Table 4.18:	Variables Entered/Removed	85
Table 4.19:	Model Summary	85
Table 4.20:	Correlations	85
Table 4.21:	Coefficients	86
Table 4.22:	ANOVA	86

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Android malware samples from July 2012 – Jan 2019	4
Figure 1.2:	Thesis organization	9
Figure 2.1:	Statistics of Android malware installation packages	11
Figure 2.2:	Android malware evolution, 2010 - 2020	11
Figure 2.3:	The malware detection system's taxonomy	14
Figure 2.4:	Static analysis phases of malware detection in Android	17
Figure 2.5:	Taxonomy of Android malware features	21
Figure 2.6:	Example of permission code	22
Figure 2.7:	Classification of MCDM method	32
Figure 2.8:	Membership function of a triangular number	37
Figure 3.1:	Propose research methodology	40
Figure 3.2:	Android mobile malware detection system	41
Figure 3.3:	Data collection & analysis phase	43
Figure 3.4:	Comparative total permission request by malware and benign	45
Figure 3.5:	Data evaluation phase	46
Figure 3.6:	Fuzzy AHP process	49
Figure 3.7:	Fuzzy AHP decision hierarchy	49
Figure 3.8:	Sample of permission request	53
Figure 3.9:	WEKA GUI	54
Figure 3.10:	Example of Random Forest classifier results	54
Figure 3.11:	Linear regression in SPSS	55
Figure 3.12:	Graphic user interface (GUI) of VirusTotal website	56
Figure 3.13:	VirusTotal online scanning results	57
Figure 4.1:	ROC curve	65
Figure 4.2:	Precision	67
Figure 4.3:	Recall	67
Figure 4.4:	F-Measure	68
Figure 4.5:	Triangular fuzzy graph to likelihood	72
Figure 4.6:	Triangular fuzzy graph to impact	74
Figure 4.7:	Threshold of the risk level	82
Figure 4.8:	Benign and malware box plot analysis	82
Figure 4.9:	Comparative between benign and malware risk level	83

## REFERENCES

- Abawajy, J., Darem, A., & Alhashmi, A. A. (2021). Feature subset selection for malware detection in smart iot platforms. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *21*(4), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21041374>
- Abhijit Sarmah. (2019). *Intrusion Detection Systems: Definition, Need and Challenges*. <https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/detection/intrusion-detection-systems-definition-challenges-343>
- Abijah Roseline, S., & Geetha, S. (2021). A comprehensive survey of tools and techniques mitigating computer and mobile malware attacks. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, *92*(March), 107143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2021.107143>
- Adebayo, O. S., & Aziz, N. A. (2019). Improved Malware Detection Model with Apriori Association Rule and Particle Swarm Optimization. *Security and Communication Networks*, *2019*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2850932>
- Afifi, F., Anuar, N. B., Shamshirband, S., & Choo, K. K. R. (2016). DyHAP: Dynamic Hybrid ANFIS-PSO approach for predicting mobile malware. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(9), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162627>
- Alali, M., Almogren, A., Hassan, M. M., Rassan, I. A. L., & Bhuiyan, M. Z. A. (2018). Improving risk assessment model of cyber security using fuzzy logic inference system. *Computers and Security*, *74*, 323–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2017.09.011>
- Alam, S., Alharbi, S. A., & Yildirim, S. (2020). Mining nested flow of dominant APIs for detecting android malware. *Computer Networks*, *167*, 107026. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2019.107026>
- Alazab, M., Alazab, M., Shalaginov, A., Mesleh, A., & Awajan, A. (2020). Intelligent mobile malware detection using permission requests and API calls. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, *107*, 509–521. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.02.002>
- Allix, K., Bissyandé, T. F., Jérôme, Q., Klein, J., State, R., & Le Traon, Y. (2016). Empirical assessment of machine learning-based malware detectors for Android: Measuring the gap between in-the-lab and in-the-wild validation scenarios. *Empirical Software Engineering*, *21*(1), 183–211. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-014-9352-6>
- Altaher, A. (2017). An improved Android malware detection scheme based on an evolving hybrid neuro-fuzzy classifier (EHNFC) and permission-based features. *Neural Computing and Applications*, *28*(12), 4147–4157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-016-2708-7>
- Alzaylaee, M. K., Yerima, S. Y., & Sezer, S. (2020). DL-Droid: Deep learning based android malware detection using real devices. *Computers and Security*, *89*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2019.101663>

- Amin, M., Tanveer, T. A., Tehseen, M., Khan, M., Khan, F. A., & Anwar, S. (2020). Static malware detection and attribution in android byte-code through an end-to-end deep system. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, *102*, 112–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.07.070>
- Ananya, A., Aswathy, A., Amal, T. R., Swathy, P. G., Vinod, P., & Mohammad, S. (2020). SysDroid: a dynamic ML-based android malware analyzer using system call traces. *Cluster Computing*, *23*(4), 2789–2808. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-019-03045-6>
- Arif, J. M., Faizal, M., Razak, A., Ratibah, S., Mat, T., Awang, S., Syahidatul, N., Ismail, N., & Firdaus, A. (2021). Android mobile malware detection using fuzzy AHP. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, *61*(July), 102929. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2021.102929>
- Arif, J. M., Razak, M. F. A., Awang, S., Tuan Mat, S. R., Ismail, N. S. N., & Firdaus, A. (2021). A static analysis approach for Android permission-based malware detection systems. *PLOS ONE*, *Idc*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257968>
- Arslan, R. S., Dogru, I. A., & Barisci, N. (2019). Permission-Based Malware Detection System for Android Using Machine Learning Techniques. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering*, *29*(1), 43–61. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218194019500037>
- Arzt, S., Rasthofer, S., Fritz, C., Bodden, E., Bartel, A., Klein, J., Le Traon, Y., Oceau, D., & McDaniel, P. (2014). FLOWDROID: Precise context, flow, field, object-sensitive and lifecycle-aware taint analysis for Android apps. *ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, *49*(6), 259–269. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2594291.2594299>
- Baraiya, D., & Diwanji, P. H. (2017). A Survey on Android Malware Detection Techniques. *DEStech Transactions on Computer Science and Engineering*, *3*(wcne), 143–147. <https://doi.org/10.12783/dtcse/wcne2016/5088>
- Bharathi, S. V. (2019). Forewarned is forearmed: Assessment of IoT information security risks using analytic hierarchy process. *Benchmarking*, *26*(8), 2443–2467. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-08-2018-0264>
- Blanco-Mesa, F., Merigó, J. M., & Gil-Lafuente, A. M. (2017). Fuzzy decision making: A bibliometric-based review. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, *32*(3), 2033–2050. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-161640>
- Burguera, I., Zurutuza, U., & Nadjm-Tehrani, S. (2011). Crowdroid: Behavior-based malware detection system for android. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, May, 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2046614.2046619>
- Chebyshev, V. (2021a). *IT threat evolution Q1 2021. Mobile statistics*. <https://securelist.com/it-threat-evolution-q1-2021-mobile-statistics/102547/>
- Chebyshev, V. (2021b). *Mobile malware evolution 2020*. <https://securelist.com/mobile-malware-evolution-2020/101029/>

- Chen, S., Xue, M., Fan, L., Hao, S., Xu, L., Zhu, H., & Li, B. (2018). Automated poisoning attacks and defenses in malware detection systems: An adversarial machine learning approach. *Computers and Security*, 73, 326–344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2017.11.007>
- Chouhan, R. R. (2017). A Preface on Android Malware : Taxonomy , Techniques and Tools. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, June, 1111–1117.
- D’Angelo, G., Ficco, M., & Palmieri, F. (2020). Malware detection in mobile environments based on Autoencoders and API-images. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 137, 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2019.11.001>
- De Lorenzo, A., Martinelli, F., Medvet, E., Mercaldo, F., & Santone, A. (2020). Visualizing the outcome of dynamic analysis of Android malware with VizMal. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, 50(2020), 102423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2019.102423>
- Dovom, E. M., Azmoodeh, A., Dehghantanha, A., Newton, D. E., Parizi, R. M., & Karimipour, H. (2019). Fuzzy pattern tree for edge malware detection and categorization in IoT. *Journal of Systems Architecture*, 97(December 2018), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysarc.2019.01.017>
- Edjossan-Sossou, A. M., Galvez, D., Deck, O., Al Heib, M., Verdel, T., Dupont, L., Chery, O., Camargo, M., & Morel, L. (2020). Sustainable risk management strategy selection using a fuzzy multi-criteria decision approach. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 45(January), 101474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2020.101474>
- Enck, W., Gilbert, P., Chun, B. G., Cox, L. P., Jung, J., McDaniel, P., & Sheth, A. N. (2010). Taint droid: An information flow tracking system for real-time privacy monitoring on smartphones. *Communications of the ACM*, 57(3), 99–106. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2494522>
- Enck, W., Ongtang, M., & McDaniel, P. (2009). On lightweight mobile phone application certification. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, May, 235–245. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1653662.1653691>
- Faruki, P., Ganmoor, V., Laxmi, V., Gaur, M. S., & Bharmal, A. (2013). AndroSimilar: Robust statistical feature signature for android malware detection. *SIN 2013 - Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Security of Information and Networks*, September 2015, 152–159. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2523514.2523539>
- Feizollah, A., Anuar, N. B., Salleh, R., Suarez-Tangil, G., & Furnell, S. (2017). AndroDialysis: Analysis of Android Intent Effectiveness in Malware Detection. *Computers and Security*, 65, 121–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2016.11.007>
- Felt, A. P., Chin, E., Hanna, S., Song, D., & Wagner, D. (2011). Android permissions demystified. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, October, 627–636.



<https://doi.org/10.1145/2046707.2046779>

- Firdaus, A., Anuar, N. B., Karim, A., & Razak, M. F. A. (2018). Discovering optimal features using static analysis and a genetic search based method for Android malware detection. *Frontiers of Information Technology and Electronic Engineering*, 19(6), 712–736. <https://doi.org/10.1631/FITEE.1601491>
- Firdaus, A., Anuar, N. B., Razak, M. F. A., & Sangaiah, A. K. (2018). Bio-inspired computational paradigm for feature investigation and malware detection: interactive analytics. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 77(14), 17519–17555. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-017-4586-0>
- Garg, S., & Baliyan, N. (2019). Data on Vulnerability Detection in Android. *Data in Brief*, 22, 1081–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.12.038>
- Garg, S., & Baliyan, N. (2021). Android security assessment: A review, taxonomy and research gap study. *Computers and Security*, 100, 102087. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2020.102087>
- Goyal, R. K., Kaushal, S., & Sangaiah, A. K. (2018). The utility based non-linear fuzzy AHP optimization model for network selection in heterogeneous wireless networks. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 67, 800–811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2017.05.026>
- Grace, M., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Q., Zou, S., & Jiang, X. (2012). RiskRanker: Scalable and accurate zero-day android malware detection. *MobiSys'12 - Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*, 281–293. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2307636.2307663>
- Gyamfi, N. K. (2018). *Survey of Mobile Malware Analysis, Detection Techniques and Tool*. 1101–1107.
- Hornyack, P., Han, S., Jung, J., Schechter, S., & Wetherall, D. (2011). “These aren’t the droids you’re looking for”: Retrofitting android to protect data from imperious applications. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, May, 639–651. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2046707.2046780>
- IDC. (2019). *Smartphone Market Share*. <https://www.idc.com/promo/smartphone-market-share/os>
- Imtiaz, S. I., Rehman, S. ur, Javed, A. R., Jalil, Z., Liu, X., & Alnumay, W. S. (2021). DeepAMD: Detection and identification of Android malware using high-efficient Deep Artificial Neural Network. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 115, 844–856. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.10.008>
- Irolla, P., & Dey, A. (2018). The duplication issue within the Drebin dataset. *Journal of Computer Virology and Hacking Techniques*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11416-018-0316-z>
- Jablonský, J. (2017). Mathematical Methods in Economics (MME 2017) international conference. *Statistika*, 97(4), 283–288.

- Jaiganesh, M., Sivakami, R., & Kumar, A. V. A. (2019). Secure isolation of cloud consumers legitimacy using fuzzy analytical hierarchy process (AHP). *The Journal of Analysis*, 27(2), 311–326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41478-018-0127-0>
- Jerlin, M. A., & Marimuthu, K. (2018). A New Malware Detection System Using Machine Learning Techniques for API Call Sequences. *Journal of Applied Security Research*, 13(1), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19361610.2018.1387734>
- Kaganski, S., Majak, J., & Karjust, K. (2018). Fuzzy AHP as a tool for prioritization of key performance indicators. *Procedia CIRP*, 72, 1227–1232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2018.03.097>
- Kakavand, M., Dabbagh, M., & Dehghantanha, A. (2018). Application of machine learning algorithms for android malware detection. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 32–36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3293475.3293489>
- Karbab, E. M. B., Debbabi, M., Derhab, A., & Mouheb, D. (2018). MalDozer: Automatic framework for android malware detection using deep learning. *DFRWS 2018 EU - Proceedings of the 5th Annual DFRWS Europe*, 24, S48–S59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2018.01.007>
- Karimi, H., Sadeghi-Dastaki, M., & Javan, M. (2020). A fully fuzzy best–worst multi attribute decision making method with triangular fuzzy number: A case study of maintenance assessment in the hospitals. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 86, 105882. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105882>
- Khan, A. A., Shameem, M., Kumar, R. R., Hussain, S., & Yan, X. (2019). Fuzzy AHP based prioritization and taxonomy of software process improvement success factors in global software development. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 83, 105648. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105648>
- Khariwal, K., Singh, J., & Arora, A. (2020). IPDroid: Android malware detection using intents and permissions. *Proceedings of the World Conference on Smart Trends in Systems, Security and Sustainability, WS4 2020*, 197–202. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WorldS450073.2020.9210414>
- Kim, H., Cho, T., Ahn, G. J., & Hyun Yi, J. (2018). Risk assessment of mobile applications based on machine learned malware dataset. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 77(4), 5027–5042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-017-4756-0>
- Kim, K., Kim, J., Ko, E., & Yi, J. H. (2020). Risk Assessment Scheme for Mobile Applications Based on Tree Boosting. *IEEE Access*, 8, 48503–48514. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2979477>
- Kouliaridis, V., Kambourakis, G., Geneiatakis, D., & Potha, N. (2020). Two anatomists are better than one–Dual-level android malware detection. *Symmetry*, 12(7), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12071128>
- Kumar, K. A., Raman, A., Gupta, C., & Pillai, R. R. (2020). The recent trends in malware evolution, detection and analysis for android devices. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology Review*, 13(4), 240–248. <https://doi.org/10.25103/jestr.134.25>

- Li, H., Shen, L., Wang, Y., Feng, J., Tan, H., & Li, Z. (2021). Risk Measurement Method of Collusion Privilege Escalation Attacks for Android Apps Based on Feature Weight and Behavior Determination. *Security and Communication Networks*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8814844>
- Li, J., Sun, L., Yan, Q., Li, Z., Srisa-An, W., & Ye, H. (2018). Significant Permission Identification for Machine-Learning-Based Android Malware Detection. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 14(7), 3216–3225. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2017.2789219>
- Li, L., Bissyandé, T. F., Papadakis, M., Rasthofer, S., Bartel, A., Octeau, D., Klein, J., & Traon, L. (2017). Static analysis of android apps: A systematic literature review. *Information and Software Technology*, 88, 67–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2017.04.001>
- Liu, K., Xu, S., Xu, G., Zhang, M., Sun, D., & Liu, H. (2020). A Review of Android Malware Detection Approaches Based on Machine Learning. *IEEE Access*, 8, 124579–124607. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3006143>
- Liu, X., Lin, Y., Li, H., & Zhang, J. (2020). A novel method for malware detection on ML-based visualization technique. *Computers and Security*, 89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2019.101682>
- Lubuva, H., Huang, Q., & Msonde, G. C. (2019). A Review of Static Malware Detection for Android Apps Permission Based on Deep Learning. *International Journal of Computer Networks and Applications*, 6(5), 80. <https://doi.org/10.22247/ijcna/2019/187292>
- Mahbub, T. N., Hossain, S. S., Akash, R. A., Reza, S. M. S., & Tasnim, Z. (2021). Implementing Fuzzy Analytical Hierarchy Process (FAHP) to Measure Malicious Behaviour of Codes in Smart Meter. *International Conference on Robotics, Electrical and Signal Processing Techniques*, 90–94. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICREST51555.2021.9331027>
- Malleswari, D. N., Dhavalaya, A., Sai, V. D., & Srikanth, K. (2018). A detailed study on risk assessment of mobile app permissions. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology(UAE)*, 7(1.1 Special Issue 1), 297–300. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i1.1.9706>
- Mat, S. R. T., Razak, M. F. A., Kahar, M. N. M., Arif, J. M., Mohamad, S., & Firdaus, A. (2021). Towards a systematic description of the field using bibliometric analysis: malware evolution. In *Journal of Scientometrics* (Issue 0123456789). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03834-6>
- Mathur, A., Podila, L. M., Kulkarni, K., Niyaz, Q., & Javaid, A. Y. (2021). NATICUSdroid: A malware detection framework for Android using native and custom permissions. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, 58(January), 102696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2020.102696>
- Mehtab, A., Shahid, W. Bin, Yaqoob, T., Amjad, M. F., Abbas, H., Afzal, H., & Saqib, M. N. (2020). AdDroid: Rule-Based Machine Learning Framework for Android

- Malware Analysis. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 25(1), 180–192.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-019-01248-0>
- Muykens, E. (2019). Nokia Threat Intelligence Report – 2019. *Network Security*, 2019(12), 4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1353-4858\(18\)30122-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1353-4858(18)30122-3)
- Narudin, F. A., Feizollah, A., Anuar, N. B., & Gani, A. (2016). Evaluation of machine learning classifiers for mobile malware detection. *Soft Computing*, 20(1), 343–357.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-014-1511-6>
- Naway, A., & Li, Y. (2018). A Review on The Use of Deep Learning in Android Malware Detection. *International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing*, 7(12), 42–58.
- Pan, Y., Ge, X., Fang, C., & Fan, Y. (2020). A Systematic Literature Review of Android Malware Detection Using Static Analysis. *IEEE Access*, 8, 116363–116379. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3002842>
- Patade, A., & Shekolkar, N. (2019). An architecture for analysis of mobile botnet detection using machine learning. In *Communications in Computer and Information Science* (Vol. 1045). Springer Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9939-8\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9939-8_12)
- Peiravian, N., & Zhu, X. (2013). Machine learning for Android malware detection using permission and API calls. *Proceedings - International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence, ICTAI*, 300–305. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTAI.2013.53>
- Portokalidis, G., Homburg, P., Anagnostakis, K., & Bos, H. (2010). Paranoid android: Versatile protection for smartphones. *Proceedings - Annual Computer Security Applications Conference, ACSAC, May 2014*, 347–356.  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/1920261.1920313>
- Potha, N., Kouliaridis, V., & Kambourakis, G. (2020). An extrinsic random-based ensemble approach for android malware detection. *Connection Science*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09540091.2020.1853056>
- Qamar, A., Karim, A., & Chang, V. (2019). Mobile malware attacks: Review, taxonomy & future directions. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 97, 887–909.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.03.007>
- Rabbani, M., Wang, Y. L., Khoshkangini, R., Jelodar, H., Zhao, R., & Hu, P. (2020). A hybrid machine learning approach for malicious behaviour detection and recognition in cloud computing. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 151(May 2019), 102507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2019.102507>
- Rajak, M., & Shaw, K. (2019). Evaluation and selection of mobile health (mHealth) applications using AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS. *Technology in Society*, 59(August), 101186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.101186>
- Ramík, J., & Korviny, P. (2010). Inconsistency of pair-wise comparison matrix with fuzzy elements based on geometric mean. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161(11), 1604–1613. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2009.10.011>

- Rashidi, B., Fung, C., & Bertino, E. (2017). Android resource usage risk assessment using hidden Markov model and online learning. *Computers and Security*, 65, 90–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2016.11.006>
- Razak, M. F. A., Anuar, N. B., Othman, F., Firdaus, A., Afifi, F., & Salleh, R. (2018). Bio-inspired for Features Optimization and Malware Detection. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 43(12), 6963–6979. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-017-2951-y>
- Razak, M. F. A., Anuar, N. B., Salleh, R., & Firdaus, A. (2016). The rise of “malware”: Bibliometric analysis of malware study. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 75, 58–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2016.08.022>
- Razak, M. F. A., Anuar, N. B., Salleh, R., Firdaus, A., Faiz, M., & Alamri, H. S. (2018). “Less Give More”: Evaluate and zoning Android applications. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 133, 396–411.
- Salah, A., Shalabi, E., & Khedr, W. (2020). A lightweight android malware classifier using novel feature selection methods. *Symmetry*, 12(5), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SYM12050858>
- Salah, Y., Hamed, I., Nabil, S., Abdulkader, A., & Mostafa, M. M. (2019). Mobile Malware Detection : A Survey. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security*, 17(1).
- Sharma, A., Gandotra, E., Bansal, D., & Gupta, D. (2019). Malware Capability Assessment using Fuzzy Logic. *Cybernetics and Systems*, 50(4), 323–338. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01969722.2018.1552906>
- Shiqi, L., Shengwei, T., Long, Y., Jiong, Y., & Hua, S. (2018). Android malicious code classification using deep belief network. *KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems*, 12(1), 454–475. <https://doi.org/10.3837/tiis.2018.01.022>
- Sihag, V., Vardhan, M., & Singh, P. (2021). A survey of android application and malware hardening. *Computer Science Review*, 39, 100365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosrev.2021.100365>
- Taheri, R., Ghahramani, M., Javidan, R., Shojafar, M., Pooranian, Z., & Conti, M. (2020). Similarity-based Android Malware Detection Using Hamming Distance of Static Binary Features. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 105, 230–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.11.034>
- Thapa, S. (2017). *Fuzzy Based DBSCAN Text Mining Technique for Malware Detection*. 8(5).
- Tuan Mat, S. R., Razak, M. F. A., Kahar, M. N. M., Arif, J. M., & Zabidi, A. (2021). Applying Bayesian probability for Android malware detection using permission features. *2021 International Conference on Software Engineering & Computer Systems and 4th International Conference on Computational Science and Information Management (ICSECS-ICOCSIM)*, 574–579. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icsecs52883.2021.00111>

- Wang, G., & De Baets, B. (2018). *Advances in Fuzzy Logic and Technology 2017*. 641. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66830-7>
- Xu, R., Saïdi, H., & Anderson, R. (2012). Aurasium: Practical policy enforcement for android applications. *Proceedings of the 21st USENIX Security Symposium, January 2012*, 539–552.
- Yan, L. K., & Yin, H. (2012). DroidScope: Seamlessly reconstructing the os and dalvik semantic views for dynamic android malware analysis. *Proceedings of the 21st USENIX Security Symposium, January 2012*, 569–584.
- Yan, P., & Yan, Z. (2018). A survey on dynamic mobile malware detection. *Software Qual J, May 2017*, 891–919. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11219-017-9368-4>
- Zaburko, J., & Szulzyk-Cieplak, J. (2019). Information security risk assessment using the AHP method. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 710(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/710/1/012036>