

**OVERCOMING CAUSES OF CLAIM
DISPUTES IN CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS: A
DEMATEL BASED MODEL**

SITI NUR FARHAIN BINTI MOHD YUSRI

MASTER OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

THESIS DECLARATION LETTER (OPTIONAL)

Librarian,
Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Pahang,
Universiti Malaysia Pahang,
Lebuhraya Tun Razak,
26300, Gambang, Kuantan.

Dear Sir,

CLASSIFICATION OF THESIS AS RESTRICTED

Please be informed that the following thesis is classified as RESTRICTED for a period of three (3) years from the date of this letter. The reasons for this classification are as listed below.

Author's Name
Thesis Title

- Reasons
 - (i)
 - (ii)
 - (iii)

Thank you.

Yours faithfully,

(Supervisor's Signature)

Date:

Stamp:

Note: This letter should be written by the supervisor, addressed to the Librarian, *Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Pahang* with its copy attached to the thesis.



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I/We* hereby declare that I/We* have checked this thesis/project* and in my/our* opinion, this thesis/project* is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Project Management.

Chia Kuang

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : **Assoc. Prof. Ts. Dr. Lee Chia Kuang**

Position : **Associate Professor**

Date : **22 February 2023**

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :

Position :

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Siti Nur Farhain Binti Mohd Yusri".

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : SITI NUR FARHAIN BINTI MOHD YUSRI

ID Number : KPM21010

Date : 31/01/2023

**OVERCOMING CAUSES OF CLAIM DISPUTES IN CONSTRUCTION
PROJECTS: A DEMATEL BASED MODEL**

SITI NUR FARHAIN BINTI MOHD YUSRI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Master of Project Management

Faculty of Industrial Management
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JANUARY 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most gracious and the most merciful, I would like to manifest my heartfelt appreciation to my dearest husband; Muhamad Muiz bin Ariffin, both my parents; Jamilah binti Deraman and Mohd Yusri bin Kadir, my beloved children; Adeeb Muhsin and baby, my siblings, and family members, with whom, I gained unconditional love, emotional support, tolerance, and perseverance in pursuing a challenging and exciting phase of my life.

I am also pleased to express my utmost gratitude to my research supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lee Chia Kuang, for his sincerest support, prompt, and useful advice during my research. His presence in this meaningful journey of my life had indeed left a beautiful memory of having a patient, diligent, insightful, and fun mentor that I would cherish forever.

Last, but definitely not the least, I am eternally grateful to my MPM friends; Bella, Faiqah, Akmal, Fatihah, Yurri, Naim and Dodi that had made my study experience enjoyable and unforgettable with their wholehearted emotional and informational support.

ABSTRAK

Pertikaian tuntutan tidak dapat dielakkan kerana projek pembinaan terkenal dengan kerumitan dan sarat dengan risiko serta memerlukan kerjasama jangka panjang dalam kalangan pelbagai pihak. Kajian terdahulu hanya menyiasat punca dan kesan pertikaian tuntutan dalam sektor pembinaan; sedikit yang telah menyiasat hubungan antara faktor-faktor berkenaan punca berlakunya pertikaian tuntutan, bahkan kurang kajian yang mencadangkan strategi untuk mengatasi masalah pertikaian tuntutan. Matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk menganalisis punca utama pertikaian tuntutan dalam projek pembinaan, dan mencadangkan strategi untuk mengelakkan pertikaian tuntutan dalam projek pembinaan, dengan menggunakan kaedah kajian campuran yang menggabungkan data kualitatif dan kuantitatif yang dianalisa dengan kaedah DEMATEL, serta meneroka hubungan antara faktor tersebut. Senarai punca dan strategi kajian telah dihasilkan daripada kajian literatur yang berkaitan, dengan enam belas (16) punca dan sembilan (9) strategi telah diambil kira. Pendekatan yang dikenali sebagai Makmal Percubaan dan Penilaian Membuat Keputusan, atau DEMATEL, digunakan untuk membina hubungan sebab-akibat antara faktor-faktor berhubung punca dan strategi dengan matlamat untuk memahami dinamik ini dengan lebih baik dan mencari cara yang berkesan untuk menanganinya. Menurut data yang diperoleh daripada tiga belas (13) responden, punca utama yang menyumbang kepada pertikaian tuntutan dalam projek pembinaan adalah ketidakstepatan anggaran kos projek (C7), komunikasi yang lemah antara semua pihak (C15), pelanggaran kontrak (C4), salah tafsir kontrak (C5), masalah kewangan pihak kontraktor (C3), pesanan variasi disebabkan keperluan baharu pelanggan (C8), lukisan dan spesifikasi yang bercanggah (C14), dan kesilapan dan kecuaian dalam reka bentuk (C13). Sebaliknya, lima (5) strategi paling penting untuk mengatasi masalah pertikaian tuntutan dalam projek pembinaan ialah prosedur RFI (S6), kejelasan bahasa kontrak (S2), komunikasi berkesan (S3), Kaedah Laluan Kritikal (CPM) (S8), dan pengiktirafan bersama perubahan (S5). Penemuan kajian ini boleh memberikan bantuan penting dalam membangunkan pelan untuk menangani isu pertikaian tuntutan yang wujud dalam industri secara berkesan untuk pihak berkepentingan dalam sektor pembinaan dan juga kerajaan.

ABSTRACT

Claim disputes are inevitable as construction projects are renowned for being extremely complicated and loaded with risk and necessitate long-term collaboration among a variety of parties. Previous studies have only investigated the causes and effects of claim disputes in the construction sector; little have investigated the relationship between the different factors of causes and less on proposing strategies to overcome claim disputes. The aim of this study is to analyse the main causes of claim disputes in construction projects, and to propose strategies to avoid claim disputes in construction projects by adopting mixed method research that combines qualitative and quantitative data which utilizes DEMATEL method of data analysis by exploring the relationships between those factors. A list of causes and strategies for the study was produced from a review of the relevant literatures, with sixteen (16) causes and nine (9) strategies were retrieved. The approach known as Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory, or DEMATEL, was applied to construct a causal relationship between the factors of causes and strategies with the goal of better comprehending these dynamics and finding effective ways to address them. According to the data obtained from thirteen (13) respondents, the primary contributing causes of claim disputes in construction projects are project cost underestimation (C7), poor communication among the parties (C15), contract violation (C4), contract misinterpretation (C5), contractor's financial difficulties (C3), variation order due to client's new requirements (C8), conflicting drawings and specifications (C14), and omissions and errors in design (C13). On the other hand, five (5) most significant strategies to overcome claim disputes in construction projects are RFI Procedure (S6), clarity of contract language (S2), effective communication (S3), Critical Path Method (CPM) (S8), and joint recognition of changes (S5). The findings of this study can provide significant assistance in developing a plan to effectively handle the issue of claim disputes that exists within the industry for the stakeholders in the construction sector and also, the government.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
-------------------------	----

ABSTRAK	iii
----------------	-----

ABSTRACT	iv
-----------------	----

TABLE OF CONTENT	v
-------------------------	---

LIST OF TABLES	ix
-----------------------	----

LIST OF FIGURES	x
------------------------	---

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
------------------------------	----

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	12
-------------------------------	----

1.2 Background of the Study	12
-----------------------------	----

1.3 Problem Statement	14
-----------------------	----

1.4 Research Objective	16
------------------------	----

1.5 Research Question	16
-----------------------	----

1.6 Scope of Study	16
--------------------	----

1.7 Definition of Key Terms	17
-----------------------------	----

1.7.1 Construction Industry	17
-----------------------------	----

1.7.2 Claim	17
-------------	----

1.7.3 Dispute	17
---------------	----

1.7.4 Owner	17
-------------	----

1.7.5 Contractor	17
------------------	----

1.7.6 Consultant	18
------------------	----

1.8	Organization of Research Report	18
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		19
2.1	Disputes in Construction Industry	19
2.2	Claims in Construction Industry	20
2.2.1	Types of Claims	22
2.3	Causes of Claim Disputes	29
2.3.1	Poor Work Quality by the Contractor	30
2.3.2	Mismanagement by Main Contractor that Causes Subcontractor Problems	30
2.3.3	Contractor's Financial Difficulties	31
2.3.4	Contract Violation	32
2.3.5	Contract Misinterpretation	33
2.3.6	Work Mobilization Time Delay	33
2.3.7	Project Cost Underestimation	34
2.3.8	Variation Order due to Client's New Requirements	35
2.3.9	Client's Delay in Project Activities	35
2.3.10	Poor Contract Drafting	36
2.3.11	Payment Delays	37
2.3.12	Client's Attitude During Project Execution	37
2.3.13	Omissions and Errors in Design	38
2.3.14	Conflicting Drawings and Specifications	38
2.3.15	Poor Communication Among the Parties	39
2.3.16	Accidents due to Negligence	40
2.4	Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	48
2.4.1	Good Documentation Practices	48

2.4.2	Clarity of Contract Language	49
2.4.3	Effective Communication	50
2.4.4	Competent Site Staff	50
2.4.5	Joint Recognition of Changes	50
2.4.6	Request for Information (RFI) Procedure	51
2.4.7	Engaging Claim Consultant	51
2.4.8	Critical Path Method (CPM)	52
2.4.9	Establish Meticulous Design Clarification	52
2.5	Chapter Summary	53
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		54
3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Research Design	54
3.3	Population and Sampling	55
3.3.1	Non-Probability Sampling	56
3.4	Research Framework	57
3.4.1	Data Collection	57
3.4.2	Factors Validation	61
3.4.3	Data Reliability and Consistency	61
3.4.4	Data Analysis: DEMATEL Method	62
3.4.5	Chapter Summary	65
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		66
4.1	Introduction	66
4.2	Factors Validation	66
4.3	Demographic Profile for DEMATEL Analysis	68

4.4	DEMATEL Analysis	70
4.4.1	Data Reliability and Consistency	70
4.4.2	Applying DEMATEL Method to Sixteen (16) Causes of Claim Disputes in Construction Projects	71
4.4.3	Applying DEMATEL Method to Nine (9) Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes in Construction Projects	81
4.5	Proposed Resolution Based on the Results and Discussions	90
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		91
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	Conclusion	91
5.3	Limitations and Recommendations	93
REFERENCES		94
APPENDIX A EXPERT EVALUATION MATRIX TEMPLATE (CAUSES OF CLAIM DISPUTES)		105
APPENDIX B EXPERT EVALUATION MATRIX TEMPLATE (STRATEGIES TO OVERCOME CLAIM DISPUTES)		107

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Classification of Causes of Claim Disputes	42
Table 3.1	Previous DEMATEL Studies	56
Table 4.1	Results of Factors Validation for Causes of Claim Disputes in Construction Projects	66
Table 4.2	Results of Factors Validation for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes in Construction Projects	67
Table 4.3	Respondents' Demographic Profile	68
Table 4.4	Cronbach's Alpha value	70
Table 4.5	Acronyms for Causes of Claim Disputes	71
Table 4.6	Average Matrix Z for Causes of Claim Disputes	72
Table 4.7	Normalized Initial Direct-Relation Matrix D for Causes of Claim Disputes	73
Table 4.8	Total Relation Matrix T for Causes of Claim Disputes	74
Table 4.9	Sum of Influence Received for Causes of Claim Disputes	75
Table 4.10	Prominence Vector (R + C) for Causes of Claim Disputes	75
Table 4.11	Relative Vector (R - C) of Causal Group for Causes of Claim Disputes	76
Table 4.12	Relative Vector (R - C) of Effect Group for Causes of Claim Disputes	76
Table 4.13	Inner Dependency Matrix for Causes of Claim Disputes	78
Table 4.14	Acronyms for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	81
Table 4.15	Average Matrix Z for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	82
Table 4.16	Normalized Initial Direct-Relation Matrix D for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	83
Table 4.17	Total Relation Matrix T for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	84
Table 4.18	Sum of Influence Received for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	85
Table 4.19	Prominence Vector (R + C) for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	85
Table 4.20	Relative Vector (R - C) of Causal Group for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	86
Table 4.21	Relative Vector (R - C) of Effect Group for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	86
Table 4.22	Inner Dependency Matrix for Strategies to Overcome Claim Disputes	87

REFERENCES

- Abdellatif, H., & Alshibani, A. (2019). Major factors causing delay in the delivery of manufacturing and building projects in Saudi Arabia. *Buildings*, 9(4).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings9040093>
- Abdul-Malak, M. A. U., & El-Saadi, M. H. (2000). Claim-Avoidance Administrative Procedures for Construction Projects. *Construction Congress VI*, 33–42.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119207092.ch4>
- Abdullah, L., Ramli, R., Omar, H., & Othman, M. (2020). Developing a causal relationship among factors of e-commerce : A decision making approach. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, 32(10), 1194–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2019.01.002>
- Acharya, N. K., Young, D. L., & Hae, M. I. (2006). Conflicting factors in construction projects : Korean perspective. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 13(6), 543–566. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09699980610712364>
- Ajayi, A. A., Babalola, O., Morakinyo, A., & Anjonrin-Ohu, A. (2021). Factors Affecting Occurrence of Claims in Building Projects in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 25(8), 1471–1476.
<https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v25i8.29>
- Akensiku, O., Akintola, A., Ameh, O., & Ige, A. (2014). Contributions of Construction Project Team to Cost Overruns: The Contractors' Perspective. *Construction Research Congress 2014, 2008*, 140–149.
- Alaloul, Wesam S., Hasaniyah, M. W., & Tayeh, B. A. (2019). A comprehensive review of disputes prevention and resolution in construction projects. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 270, 05012. <https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201927005012>

Alaloul, Wesam Salah, Musarat, M. A., Babar, M., Rabbani, A., Iqbal, Q., Maqsoom, A., & Farooq, W. (2021). Construction Sector Contribution to Economic Stability : Malaysian GDP Distribution. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(9), 1–26.

Ameer-Ali, N. A. N. (2006). A “Construction Industry Payment And Adjudication Act”: Reducing Payment-Default and Increasing Dispute Resolution Efficiency in Construction. *Master Builders, 3rd Quarter*, 1–13.

Arsecularatne, B. P., & Sandanayake, Y. G. (2021). The choice of project governance modes to minimise contractors’ opportunistic behaviour. *World Construction Symposium, July*, 516–527. <https://doi.org/10.31705/WCS.2021.45>

Assaf, S., Hassanain, M. A., & Abdallah, A. (2017). Assessment of Deficiencies in Design Documents for Large Construction Projects. *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities*, 31(5), 1–7. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)cf.1943-5509.0001081](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)cf.1943-5509.0001081)

Assaf, S., Hassanain, M. A., Abdallah, A., Sayed, A. M. Z., & Alshahrani, A. (2019). Significant causes of claims and disputes in construction projects in Saudi Arabia. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 9(5), 597–615. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-09-2018-0113>

Bakhary, N. A., Adnan, H., & Ibrahim, A. (2015). A Study of Construction Claim Management Problems in Malaysia. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 23(October 2014), 63–70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671\(15\)00327-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)00327-5)

Caine, C. P., & Thomas, H. R. (2013). Negligent Tort Liability of the Design Professional. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 5(1), 45–52. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000098](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000098)

Cakmak, E., & Cakmak, P. I. (2014). An Analysis of Causes of Disputes in the Construction Industry Using Analytical Network Process. *Procedia - Social and*

Behavioral Sciences, 109, 183–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.441>

Cakmak, P. I. (2021). The stakeholders' perspective on the factors contributing to construction disputes. *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBPA-06-2020-0050>

Chester, M., & Hendrickson, C. (2005). Cost Impacts, Scheduling Impacts, and the Claims Process during Construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 131(1), 102–107. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)0733-9364\(2005\)131:1\(102\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0733-9364(2005)131:1(102))

Cheung, S. O., Ng, S. T., Lam, K.-C., & Sin, W.-S. (2001). A fuzzy sets model for construction dispute evaluation. *Construction Innovation*, 1(2), 117–127.

Chong, H. Y., & Zin, R. M. (2010). A case study into the language structure of construction standard form in Malaysia. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(6), 601–608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2009.09.008>

Choudhry, R. M., Fang, D., & Mohamed, S. (2007). Developing a Model of Construction Safety Culture. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 23(4), 207–212. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)0742-597x\(2007\)23:4\(207\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0742-597x(2007)23:4(207))

Do, S. T., Nguyen, V. T., Tran, C. N. N., & Aung, Z. M. (2022). Identifying and evaluating the key claim causes leading to construction delays. *International Journal of Construction Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2022.2030508>

El-adaway, I. H. (2017). Review of Construction Contract Claims, Changes, and Dispute Resolution, 3rd Edition edited by Paul Levin. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 9(4), 07517001.
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000244](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000244)

El-adaway, I. H., Vance, R. A., & Abotaleb, I. S. (2020). Understanding Extension of Time under Different Standard Design-Build Forms of Contract. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 12(1), 04519031. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000331](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000331)

Elhag, T., Eapen, S., & Ballal, T. (2020). Moderating claims and disputes through collaborative procurement. *Construction Innovation*, 20(1), 79–95. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-02-2019-0020>

Falatoonitoosi, E., Leman, Z., Sorooshian, S., & Salimi, M. (2013). Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory. *Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, November 2016. <https://doi.org/10.19026/rjaset.5.4475>

Fawzy, S. A., & El-adaway, I. H. (2012). Contract Administration Guidelines for Effectively and Efficiently Applying Different Delay Analysis Techniques under World Bank–Funded Projects. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 5(1), 35–44. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000104](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000104)

Goetsch, D. L., & Davis, S. (2013). *Quality Management for Organizational Excellence: Introduction to Total Quality*, 7th Edition (7th ed.). Pearson.

Griego, R., & Leite, F. (2017). Premature Construction Start Interruptions: How Awareness Could Prevent Disputes and Litigations. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 9(2), 04516016. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000210](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000210)

Hansen, S., Rostiyanti, S. F., & Rif'at, A. (2020). Causes, Effects, and Mitigations Framework of Contract Change Orders: Lessons Learned from GBK Aquatic Stadium Project. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 12(1), 05019008. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000341](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000341)

Hatamleh, M. T., Hiyassat, M., Sweis, G. J., & Sweis, R. J. (2018). Factors affecting the accuracy of cost estimate: Case of Jordan. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 25(1), 113–131. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-10-2016-0232>

Hoseini, E., Van veen, P., Bosch-rekveldt, M., & Hertogh, M. (2020). Cost Performance and Cost Contingency during Project Execution : Comparing Client and Contractor Perspectives. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 36(4), 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000772](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000772)

Iyer, K. C., Chaphalkar, N. B., & Joshi, G. A. (2008). Understanding time delay disputes in construction contracts. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(2), 174–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2007.05.002>

Jalal, M. P., & Moharreri, H. (2020). Model for Preparing Optimal Contracts to Prevent or Reduce Claims in Projects. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 12(2), 1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)LA.1943-4170.0000363](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)LA.1943-4170.0000363)

Jarkas, A. M. (2017). Contractors ' Perspective of Construction Project Complexity : Definitions , Principles , and Relevant Contributors. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*, 143(4), 1–9. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)EI.1943-5541.0000337.](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EI.1943-5541.0000337)

Johari, S., Jha, K. N., & Ph, D. (2020). How the Aptitude of Workers Affects Construction Labor Productivity. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 36(5), 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000826](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000826)

Johnson, R. M., & Babu, R. I. I. (2020). Time and cost overruns in the UAE construction industry: a critical analysis. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 20(5), 402–411. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2018.1484864>

Kartam, N. A., & Kartam, S. A. (2001). Risk and its management in the Kuwaiti construction industry: A contractors' perspective. *International Journal of Project Management*, 19(6), 325–335. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863\(00\)00014-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(00)00014-4)

Kikwasi, G. J. (2021). Claims in construction projects: how causes are linked to effects? *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEDT-06-2021-0312>

Koc, K., & Gurgun, A. P. (2021). Ambiguity factors in construction contracts entailing conflicts. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-04-2020-0254>

Lee, J.-S. (2016). Estimating Cumulative Damages due to Disruptions in Repetitive Construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 142(11), 04016064. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001184](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001184)

Loh, K. H. (June), Loo, Y. P., Leong, W. K., Foo, T. C., & Wan Mohd Fadzli, W. M. A. (2019). Construction Claims in Malaysia: Nature, Problems and Ways Moving Forward. *Malayan Law Journal Articles*, 6(March), 0–31.

Lopez, R., Love, P. E. D., Edwards, D. J., & Davis, P. R. (2010). Design Error Classification, Causation, and Prevention in Construction Engineering. *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities*, 24(4), 399–408. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)cf.1943-5509.0000116](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)cf.1943-5509.0000116)

Loulakis, M. C., & McLaughlin, L. P. (2008). Design Professional Unable to Prove Fees under .Quantum Meruit. Theory. *Civil Engineering Magazine Archive*, 78(9), 96–96. <https://doi.org/10.1061/ciegag.0000861>

Loulakis, M. C., & McLaughlin, L. P. (2015). The Law: Court Finds Subcontractor Liable For Ambiguity in Contract Documents. In *Civil Engineering Magazine Archive* (Vol. 85, Issue 1, pp. 85–88).

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1061/ciegag.0000967>

Love, P. E. D., Davis, P. R., Edwards, D. J., & Baccarini, D. (2008). Uncertainty avoidance: Public sector clients and procurement selection. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 21(7), 753–776.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550810904550>

Lu, W., Zhang, L., & Zhang, L. (2016). Effect of Contract Completeness on Contractors' Opportunistic Behavior and the Moderating Role of Interdependence. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 142(6), 04016004.

[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001110](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001110)

Luo, Y. (2006). Opportunism in Inter-firm Exchanges. *Management and Organization Review*, 2(1), 121–147.

Martin, G. S., & Thompson, A. J. (2011). Effective Management of Construction Dispute Resolution. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 3(2), 67–70. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000064](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000064)

Mishmish, M., & El-Sayegh, S. M. (2018). Causes of claims in road construction projects in the UAE. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 18(1), 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2016.1230959>

Mohammed, S., Bashettiyavar, G., Esmaeili, B., & Gad, G. (2018). Claims and Project Performance between Traditional and Alternative Project Delivery Methods. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 10(3), 04518017. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000266](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000266)

Nasirzadeh, F., Carmichael, D. G., Jarban, M. J., & Rostamnezhad, M. (2019). Hybrid fuzzy-system dynamics approach for quantification of the impacts of construction claims. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 26(7), 1261–

1276. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-08-2017-0150>

Okeyo, M. P., Rambo, C. M., & Oundo, P. A. (2015). Effects of Delayed Mobilization of Resources on the Completion of Infrastructural Projects: A Case of Sondu-Miri Hydropower Project, Kisumu County, Kenya-Miri Hydropower Project, Kisumu County, Kenya. *China-USA Business Review*, 14(8), 405–416.
<https://doi.org/10.17265/1537-1514/2015.08.004>

Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful Sampling for Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis in Mixed Method Implementation Research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533–544.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y>

PMI (Project Management Institute). (2013). *A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK guide)* (5th ed.). PA: PMI.

Pun, K. F., & Hui, I. K. (2001). An analytical hierarchy process assessment of the ISO 14001 environmental management system. *Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, 12(5), 333–345. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000005711>

Purnus, A., & Bodea, C. N. (2017). A Predictive Model of Contractor Financial Effort in Transport Infrastructure Projects. *Procedia Engineering*, 196(June), 746–753.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.08.003>

Rahmat, N. E., & Rahim, N. A. (2020). *The Suitability of the Use of Mediation in the Settlement of Construction Disputes in Malaysia*. 118–124.
<https://doi.org/10.5220/0010054001180124>

Ramachandra, T., & Rotimi, J. O. B. (2015). Mitigating Payment Problems in the Construction Industry through Analysis of Construction Payment Disputes. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*,

7(1). [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000156](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000156)

Serag, E., Oloufa, A., Malone, L., & Radwan, E. (2010). Model for Quantifying the Impact of Change Orders on Project Cost for U.S. Roadwork Construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 136(9), 1015–1027.
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0000206](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0000206)

Shaikh, H. H., Zainun, N. Y., & Khahro, S. H. (2020). Claims in Construction Projects: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 498(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/498/1/012095>

Singh, H. (2007). DEMYSTIFYING DIRECT LOSS AND / OR EXPENSE CLAIMS [2007] 4 MLJ xxix. *Malayan Law Journal Articles*, 4(1), 0–19.
<https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/pdfPrev&id=TFBpbLYyMlg4UVgyalUzOHJ5V1lhdz09>

Soh, M. F., Barbeau, D., Doré, S., & Forgues, D. (2020). Qualitative analysis of Request for Information to identify design flaws in steel construction projects. *Organization, Technology and Management in Construction*, 12(1), 2083–2094.
<https://doi.org/10.2478/otmcj-2020-0005>

Stamatiou, D. R. I., Kirytopoulos, K. A., Ponis, S. T., Gayialis, S., & Tatsiopoulos, I. (2019). A process reference model for claims management in construction supply chains: the contractors' perspective. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 19(5), 382–400. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2018.1452100>

Statistic, D. of. (2022). *Prime minister's department department of statistics malaysia press release quarterly construction statistics, fourth quarter 2021* (Issue February).
<https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/pdfPrev&id=TFBpbLYyMlg4UVgyalUzOHJ5V1lhdz09>

Sumrit, D., & Anuntavoranich, P. (2013). *Using DEMATEL Method to Analyze the Causal Relations on Technological Innovation Capability Evaluation Factors in Thai Technology-Based Firms*. 4(2), 81–103.

Tarei, P. K., Thakkar, J. J., & Nag, B. (2018). A hybrid approach for quantifying supply chain risk and prioritizing the risk drivers: A case of Indian petroleum supply chain. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 29(3), 533–569.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-10-2017-0218>

Tayeh, R., Awwad, R., & Abi Shdid, C. (2016). An Agent-Based Framework for Simulating Contractors' Safety Behavior. *Construction Research Congress 2016*, 2039–2049. <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784479827.203>

Vaske, J. J., Beaman, J., & Sponarski, C. C. (2017). Rethinking Internal Consistency in Cronbach's Alpha. *Leisure Sciences*, 39(2), 163–173.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01490400.2015.1127189>

Viswanathan, S. K., Ph, D., Panwar, A., Ph, D., Kar, S., Lavingiya, R., Jha, K. N., & Ph, D. (2020). Causal Modeling of Disputes in Construction Projects. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 12(4), 1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)LA.1943-4170.0000432](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)LA.1943-4170.0000432)

Vo, K. D., Nguyen, P. T., & Le Hoang Thuy To Nguyen, Q. (2020). Disputes in managing projects: A case study of construction industry in Vietnam. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(8), 635–644.
<https://doi.org/10.13106/JAFEB.2020.VOL7.NO8.635>

Walsh, K. P. (2017). Identifying and Mitigating the Risks Created by Problematic Clauses in Construction Contracts. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 9(3), 1–9.
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)LA.1943-4170.0000225](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)LA.1943-4170.0000225).

Xavier, G. (1994). VARIATIONS IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY [1994] 3

MLJ cxc. *Malayan Law Journal Articles*, 0–9.

Yasamis, F., Ardit, D., & Mohammadi, J. (2002). Assessing contractor quality

performance. *Construction Management and Economics*, 20(3), 211–223.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190110113693>

Zaneldin, E. (2006). Construction claims in the United Arab Emirates: Types, causes,

and frequency. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(No.5), 453–459.

Zhang, L., Fenn, P., & Fu, Y. (2019). To insist or to concede? Contractors' behavioural

strategies when handling disputed claims. *Engineering, Construction and*

Architectural Management, 26(3), 424–443. [https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-05-](https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-05-2018-0219)

2018-0219