

ENHANCEMENT OF SOFTWARE PROJECT
PERFORMANCE BY OVERCOMING WASTE,
VOLATILITY AND CONSTRAINTS
SIMULTANEOUSLY

SITI AISSAH BINTI MAD ALI

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR SHAHRYAR SOROOSHIAN

Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Date :

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR CHENG JACK KIE

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : SITI AISSAH BINTI MAD ALI

ID Number : MPE16001

Date :

ENHANCEMENT OF SOFTWARE PROJECT PERFORMANCE
BY OVERCOMING WASTE, VOLATILITY AND CONSTRAINT
SIMULTANEOUSLY

SITI AISSAH BINTI MAD ALI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Industrial Management
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

APRIL 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of God, the most Beneficent and the most Merciful.

Praises to the Almighty for His Blessings and grace in giving me the capability and strength to successfully complete my research study, 'Enhancement of Software Project Performance by Overcoming Waste, Volatility and Constraints Simultaneously'.

Everyone needs a mentor or motivator. I've had a complete package with my supervisor who is not only a great motivator but also a great friend. I would definitely want to express my sincere gratitude to Ass. Prof. Dr, Shahryar Sorooshian for every wise words, motivation and guidance he had shone in my path of completing this study. Throughout the journey, he did not only motivate my ideas and views but also advised on ways to make betterment to the study based on his experience and expertise. I would also like to thank his tolerance and patience for understanding my time constraint as I have also been working through the study.

I would like to sincerely thank all those who have been involved in this study, especially the 15 experts that have been closely engaged in this study from the conception till concluding the study. Their willingness to participate and give insight despite no personal benefit was overwhelming.

I must acknowledge my indebtedness to my mother for the love, sacrifices, motivation and emotional support that she had never ran out of for me. I would have been nowhere without her love and support. All praises are to the Lord and all credits are to my mother. I'd also like to thank my man whom I've gotten married to during the journey of this study, for his tolerance, love and support.

My siblings, although did not help with the content of the study, they helped a lot with motivation and releasing stress in the healthy way. They might be loud but they're the best clique to make me feel energized and better about anything I've been demotivated about. I'd like to thank my siblings, Priya, Thivya, Hafiz, Kirtika and Keshvan for being there with me throughout the hardships, especially Priya and Kirtika.

ABSTRAK

Terdapat banyak projek dan penyelidikan yang telah dijalankan untuk mengenal pasti faktor – faktor kegagalan dan kejayaan projek perisian komputer. Lazimnya, faktor – faktor kejayaan dikekalkan kerana ia memberikan hasil yang diinginkan manakala faktor – faktor kegagalan dielakkan kerana memberikan hasil yang tidak diinginkan. Mengelak daripada faktor kegagalan tidak bermakna kejayaan serta merta, tetapi ianya boleh meningkatkan risiko kegagalan dalam bentuk yang lain. Dalam kajian ini, beberapa persamaan and perulangan telah dilihat dalam kajian – kajian berkenaan yang dijalankan selama dua dekad. Persamaan faktor – faktor ini telah difahamkan dan dikategorikan berdasarkan kajian – kajian lepas dan pandangan pakar – pakar dengan berlandaskan tiga teori terkemuka iaitu Lean, Requirement Volatility dan juga Theory of Constraint. Sembilan jenis kerugian, tiga jenis ralat yang menyebabkan volatiliti keperluan dan lapan jenis kekangan telah dikenalpasti dalam kajian ini. Melalui perbincangan dengan 15 pakar dan kefahaman tentang kajian lepas, terdapat kebergantungan antara 20 faktor yang tergolong dalam tiga kategori tersebut. Kaedah DEMATEL digunakan untuk mengenal pasti hubungan antara faktor – faktor tersebut. ‘Impact Relation Map’ kemudiannya dibentuk untuk melihat hubungan antara faktor – faktor tersebut dan membantu dalam membuat keputusan yang berkenaan dengan faktor – faktor tersebut. Sebuah rangka kerja juga telah dibentuk untuk membantu dan memudahkan kerja – kerja perancangan yang melibatkan factor – factor yang dikenalpasti. Volatiliti keperluan telah ditekankan dalam kajian ini bukan sahaja kerana ia mempunyai kesan langsung terhadap kejayaan sesuatu project perisian komputer, tetapi juga mempunyai kesan terhadap factor – factor lain yang dikenalpasti.

ABSTRACT

There have been many projects and researches conducted to identify software projects' failure and success factors. The success factors were always remained as best practices as it favours desired results while the failure factors are always avoided as it yields undesired factors. However, it is least understood that focusing on avoiding a failure factor could actually influence other forms of failure risk(s). In this study, it has been understood through literature review that most of the findings on software projects failure factors have been repetitive for more than two decades. These failure factors commonality was then understood and categorized by bridging few studies and experts' opinions based on three well-known theories; Lean, Requirement Volatility and Theory of Constraints. There are nine types of wastes, three types errors that leads to requirement volatility and eight types of constraints in relevance to software projects. Through literature and discussion with 15 experts it was apparent that there could be interdependency and interrelationship amongst these 20 variables. The relationship amongst the variables were studied by employing the DEMATEL methodology. DEMATEL was opted to show the Impact Relation Map which could serve as a good source of reference to help decision makers formulate their decisions pertaining these 20 variables. A Software Project Success Framework was then developed to assist the foundation for planning and prioritizing relevant mitigations and corrective measures about software projects failure factors. Requirement volatility has been emphasized as the main cause of software project failure. It does not only cause direct impact towards software projects failure but also influences other identified factors to affect the software project performance adversely.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Background	2
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Research Objectives	5
1.4.1 General Objectives	5
1.4.2 Specific Objectives	5
1.5 Research Questions	5
1.6 Scope of Study	5
1.7 Significance of Study	7
1.7.1 To the Researcher	7
1.7.2 To the Organization and Decision Makers	7

1.8	Operational Definition	8
1.8.1	Waste	8
1.8.2	Constraints	8
1.8.3	Requirement Volatility	8
1.9	Summary	8
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Operations and Processes	9
2.3	Software Development	10
2.4	Software Project Success/ Failure	12
2.4.1	Definition	12
2.4.2	Software Project Failures	14
2.5	Categories of Failure Factors	31
2.5.1	Waste	33
2.5.2	Volatility	45
2.5.3	Constraints	50
2.6	Conceptual Framework	56
2.7	Gap Analysis	57
2.8	PETRONAS ICT Sdn Bhd	58
2.9	Summary	59
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		60
3.1	Introduction	60
3.2	Variables	61
3.3	Research Design	61

3.4	Data Collection	63
	3.4.1 Literature Review	63
	3.4.2 Matrix	64
	3.4.3 Unstructured Interview	66
3.5	Analysis Method	66
3.6	Population and Sample	72
	3.6.1 Population	72
	3.6.2 Sample	73
	3.6.3 Sampling Size	73
	3.6.4 Judgement Sampling	74
3.7	Measurement and Instrumentation	74
	3.7.1 Matrix Design	74
	3.7.2 Validity	74
3.8	Sources of Data	75
	3.8.1 Primary Data	75
	3.8.2 Secondary Data	76
3.9	Summary	76
CHAPTER 4 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS		77
4.1	Introduction	77
4.2	Evaluation	78
	4.2.1 Direct and Normalized Relation Matrix	78
	4.2.2 Total Relation Matrix, T	81
	4.2.3 Sums of row and columns of Matrix, T	83
	4.2.4 Impact-Relation Map	89
4.3	Interrelation Among Waste, Volatility and Constraint	92

4.4	Software Projects Success Framework	94
4.5	Summary	97
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION		98
5.1	Introduction	98
5.2	Discussion	98
5.2.1	Waste - Building wrong feature/ product	98
5.2.2	Waste - Mismanaging backlogs	100
5.2.3	Waste - Rework	101
5.2.4	Waste - Unnecessary complex solutions	101
5.2.5	Waste - Extraneous cognitive load	102
5.2.6	Waste - Psychological distress	103
5.2.7	Waste - Waiting/ multitasking	104
5.2.8	Waste - Knowledge loss	105
5.2.9	Waste - Ineffective communication	105
5.2.10	Volatility - Requirement gathering process	106
5.2.11	Volatility - Requirement documentation	107
5.2.12	Volatility - Human error	108
5.2.13	Constraint - Timeline	109
5.2.14	Constraint - Financial	110
5.2.15	Constraint - Resource	111
5.2.16	Constraint - Skill/ competence	111
5.2.17	Constraint - Commitment	112
5.2.18	Constraint - Policy/ process	113
5.2.19	Constraint - Complexity	113
5.2.20	Constraint - Technology	113

5.2.21 Project Performance	114
5.3 Limitation and Recommendation for Future Study	115
REFERENCES	116
APPENDIX A EXPERT EVALUATION MATRIX TEMPLATE	126
APPENDIX B EXPERT EVALUATION RESULTS	128

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary of Review on Past Studies Pertaining Software Projects Failure	15
Table 2.2	Description of Manufacturing Waste	35
Table 2.3	Mapping of Waste from Manufacturing on Lean Software Development	36
Table 2.4	Observed Causes of Software Development Waste	44
Table 3.1	Numbered Influence Factors	65
Table 3.2	Influence Scale	66
Table 4.1	The Initial Direct Relation Matrix, Z	79
Table 4.2	Normalized Direct Relation Matrix, D	80
Table 4.3	Total Relation Matrix, T	82
Table 4.4	Ranking of Factors by Impact Exerted on Other Factors	83
Table 4.5	Ranking of Factors Based on Impact Received from Other Factors	84
Table 4.6	Degree of Importance	86
Table 4.7	Net Effect (Cause)	87
Table 4.8	Net Effect (Receiver)	87
Table 4.9	Top 10 Factors that Influences the Failure of Software Projects	90
Table 4.10	Interrelation Among Waste, Volatility and Constraint	93
Table 4.11	Summary of the Interrelationship among Waste, Volatility and Constraint	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Types of Requirement Errors	48
Figure 2.2	Conceptual Framework	57
Figure 3.1	Flow Chart of Research Design	63
Figure 3.2	DEMATEL Steps	68
Figure 4.1	Impact Relation Map	91
Figure 4.2	Software Project Success Framework	95

REFERENCES

- Aaker, D. A., Kumar, V., Day, G. S., & Lawley, M. A. (2007). Marketing research: The second Pacific rim edition. *Marketing Research: The Second Pacific Rim Edition*.
- Abd Elwahab, K., Abd El Latif, M., & Kholeif, S. (2016). Identify and manage the software requirements volatility proposed framework and case study. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 7(5), 64-71.
- Abdel-Hamid, T., & Madnick, S. E. (1991). Software project dynamics: an integrated approach. *Prentice-Hall, Inc.*
- Agarwal, N., & Rathod, U. (2006). Defining 'success' for software projects: An exploratory revelation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(4), 358-370.
- Al Neimat, T. (2005). Why IT projects fail. *The Project Perfect White Paper Collection*, 1, 1-8.
- Al-Ahmad, W., Al-Fagih, K., Khanfar, K., Alsamara, K., Abuleil, S., & Abu-Salem, H. (2009). A taxonomy of an IT project failure: root causes. *International Management Review*, 5(1), 93.
- Alami, A. (2016). Why do information technology projects fail? *Procedia Computer Science*, 100, 62-71.
- Ali, N. B., Petersen, K., & Schneider, K. (2016). FLOW-assisted value stream mapping in the early phases of large-scale software development. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 111, 213-227.
- AlSanad, A., & Chikh, A. (2015). The impact of software requirement change—a review. In *New Contributions in Information Systems and Technologies* (pp. 803-812). Springer, Cham.
- Alshazly, A. A., Elfatry, A. M., & Abougabal, M. S. (2014). Detecting defects in software requirements specification. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 53(3), 513-527.
- Alvertis, I., Koussouris, S., Papaspyros, D., Arvanitakis, E., Mouzakitis, S., Franken, S., ... & Prinz, W. (2016). user involvement in software development processes. *Procedia Computer Science*, 97, 73-83.

- Amiri, M., Sadaghiyani, J., Payani, N., & Shafieezadeh, M. (2011). Developing a DEMATEL method to prioritize distribution centers in supply chain. *Management Science Letters*, 1(3), 279-288.
- Anderson, D. J. (2010). Kanban: successful evolutionary change for your technology business. *Blue Hole Press*.
- Anthony Jr, R. (2008). Cognitive load theory and the role of learner experience: an abbreviated review for educational practitioners. *Aace Journal*, 16(4), 425-439.
- Askarinejadamiri, Z., Zulzallil, H., Ghani, A. A. A., & Wei, K. T. (2017). Impact propagation of human errors on software requirements volatility. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 8(2), 227-237.
- Bezak, S., & Nahod, M. M. (2011). Project manager's role analysis as a project management concept. *Tehnički vjesnik*, 18(1), 33-40.
- Bleier, D. T., & Leopold, D. H. (2011). An analysis of ICT influence factors on critical infrastructure security. Retrieved from http://www.bleier.at/fileadmin/_migrated/content_uploads/MasterThesis_ThomasBelier_v1.1.pdf
- Boehm, B. (2000). Project termination doesn't equal project failure. *Computer*, 33(9), 94-96.
- Bryce, T. (2006). Why does project management fail? Retrieved June, 13, 2007. Retrieved from: http://www.campus.ecvsa.com.ar/capacitarte/contEsp/project_management.zip_070910440903/clase01_scorm/archivos/why-does-project-management-fail.pdf
- Carney, D., & Leng, F. (2000). What do you mean by COTS? Finally, a useful answer. *IEEE software*, 17(2), 83-86.
- Chuan, C. L., & Penyelidikan, J. (2006). Sample size estimation using Krejcie and Morgan and Cohen statistical power analysis: A comparison. *Jurnal Penyelidikan IPBL*, 7, 78-86.
- Chun Wu, Y. (2003). Lean manufacturing: a perspective of lean suppliers. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 23(11), 1349-1376.
- Cockburn, A. (2007). What engineering has in common with manufacturing and why it matters. *Crosstalk*, 20(4), 4-7.

- Cooke-Davies, T. (2002). The “real” success factors on projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(3), 185-190.
- Curcio, K., Malucelli, A., Reinehr, S., & Paludo, M. A. (2016). An analysis of the factors determining software product quality: A comparative study. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 48, 10-18.
- Cusing, K. (2002). Why projects fail. *Computer Weekly*, 21. Retrieved from: <https://www.computerweekly.com/feature/Why-projects-fail>
- Davis, A., Overmyer, S., Jordan, K., Caruso, J., Dandashi, F., Dinh, A., ... & Ta, A. (1993, May). Identifying and measuring quality in a software requirements specification. *In Software Metrics Symposium, 1993. Proceedings., First International* (pp. 141-152). IEEE.
- De Vaus, D. A., & de Vaus, D. (2001). Research design in social research. Sage.
- De Wit, A. (1988). Measurement of project success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 6(3), 164-170.
- Dettmer, H. W. (1997). Goldratt's theory of constraints: a systems approach to continuous improvement. *ASQ Quality Press*.
- do Nascimento, R. L. S., de Oliveira, S. B., Caldas, C. R. S., da Costa, E. G., & Olivares, G. L. (2016). Octogono: Support for improvement in processes and decision making in a Brazilian University. *Procedia Computer Science*, 100, 526-531.
- El Emam, K., & Koru, A. G. (2008). A replicated survey of IT software project failures. *IEEE software*, 25(5).
- El-Namrouty, K. A., & Abushaaban, M. S. (2013). Seven wastes elimination targeted by lean manufacturing case study “Gaza strip manufacturing firms”. *International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences*, 1(2), 68-80.
- Fabriek, M., van den Brand, M., Brinkkemper, S., Harmsen, F., & Helms, R. (2008, June). Reasons for success and failure in offshore software development projects. *In ECIS* (pp. 446-457).
- Falatoonitoosi, E., Ahmed, S., & Sorooshian, S. (2014). Expanded DEMATEL for determining cause and effect group in bidirectional relations. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014.

- Falatoonitoosi, E., Leman, Z., & Sorooshian, S. (2013). Modeling for green supply chain evaluation. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013.
- Farid, A. B., Elghany, A. A., & Helmy, Y. M. (2016). Implementing project management category process areas of CMMI version 1.3 using scrum practices, and assets. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications (IJACSA)*, 7(2).
- Fazlollah, A. B., Rosnah, M. Y., Norzima, Z., Yusof, I., & Shahryar, S. (2013). Modeling approach to the elements of TQM practice. In *Advanced Materials Research* (Vol. 711, pp. 719-721). Trans Tech Publications.
- Fichter, D. (2003). Why web projects fail. Online, 27(4), 43-43. Retrieved from: <https://www.questia.com/magazine/1P3-358436411/why-web-projects-fail>
- Fontela, E. (1974). Structural analysis of the world problematique:(methods). *Battelle Geneva Research Centre*.
- Gewanlal, C., & Bekker, M. (2015). Project manager attributes influencing project success in the South African construction industry. *Acta Structilia: Journal for the Physical and Development Sciences*, 22(1), 33-47.
- Giuseppe Arcidiacono, P. M. P. (2017). Comparative research about high failure rate of IT projects and opportunities to improve. *PM World Journal*, 6(2).
- Glass, R. L. (2006). Looking into the challenges of complex IT projects. *Communications of the ACM*, 49(11), 15-17.
- Goatham, R. (2009). The story behind the high failure rates in the IT sector. *Callear Consulting Ltd*.
- Gudienė, N., Banaitis, A., Banaitienė, N., & Lopes, J. (2013). Development of a conceptual critical success factors model for construction projects: a case of Lithuania. *Procedia Engineering*, 57, 392-397.
- Gulla, J. (2011, August). Seven reasons why information technology projects fail. In *SHARE Conference*.
- Hall, T., Beecham, S., Verner, J., & Wilson, D. (2008, April). The impact of staff turnover on software projects: the importance of understanding what makes software practitioners tick. In *Proceedings of the 2008 ACM SIGMIS CPR Conference on Computer Personnel Doctoral Consortium and Research* (pp. 30-39). ACM.

- Heizer, J. (2016). Operations Management, 11/e. *Pearson Education India*.
- Hsu, C. W., Kuo, T. C., Chen, S. H., & Hu, A. H. (2013). Using DEMATEL to develop a carbon management model of supplier selection in green supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 56, 164-172.
- Huang, J. J. (2011). Multiple attribute decision making: Methods and applications. *Chapman and Hall/CRC*.
- Huh, W. T. (2001). Software process improvement: operations perspectives. *In Management of Engineering and Technology, 2001. PICMET'01. Portland International Conference on* (Vol. 1, pp. 428-429). IEEE.
- Ikonen, M., Kettunen, P., Oza, N., & Abrahamsson, P. (2010, September). Exploring the sources of waste in kanban software development projects. *In Software Engineering and Advanced Applications (SEAA), 2010 36th EUROMICRO Conference on* (pp. 376-381). IEEE.
- Isfahani, K. (2011). Why projects fail: Avoiding the classic pitfalls [*White paper*].
- Izmailov, A., Korneva, D., & Kozhemiakin, A. (2016). Effective project management with theory of constraints. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 229, 96-103.
- Jenster, P., & Hussey, D. (2005). Create a common culture between IT and business people to reduce project failures. *Computer Weekly*, 22.
- Johnson, J., Boucher, K. D., Connors, K., & Robinson, J. (2001). Collaborating on project success. *Software Magazine*, 7(2), 15.
- Jones, C. (1998). Project management tools and software failures and successes. *Crosstalk*, 13-17.
- Jones, C. (2000). Software assessments, benchmarks, and best practices. *Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc*.
- Jørgensen, M. (2014). Failure factors of small software projects at a global outsourcing marketplace. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 92, 157-169.

- Khurum, M., Petersen, K., & Gorschek, T. (2014). Extending value stream mapping through waste definition beyond customer perspective. *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, 26(12), 1074-1105.
- Kindler, N. B., Krishnakanthan, V., & Tinaikar, R. (2007). Applying lean to application development and maintenance. *In the McKinsey Quarterly* (Vol. 3, pp. 99-101).
- Krafcik, J. F. (1988). Triumph of the lean production system. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 30(1), 41.
- Kumar, M., Talib, S. A., & Ramayah, T. (2013). Business research methods. *Oxford Fajar/Oxford University Press*.
- Liker, J. K., & Morgan, J. M. (2006). The Toyota way in services: the case of lean product development. *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 20(2), 5-20.
- Lin, C. L., & Tzeng, G. H. (2009). A value-created system of science (technology) park by using DEMATEL. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(6), 9683-9697.
- Lin, K. M., & Lin, C. W. (2008, October). Cognition map of experiential marketing strategy for hot spring hotels in Taiwan using the DEMATEL method. *In Natural Computation, 2008. ICNC'08. Fourth International Conference on* (Vol. 1, pp. 438-442). IEEE.
- Linberg, K. R. (1999). Software developer perceptions about software project failure: a case study. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 49(2-3), 177-192.
- Liu, C. H., Tzeng, G. H., & Lee, M. H. (2012). Improving tourism policy implementation—The use of hybrid MCDM models. *Tourism Management*, 33(2), 413-426.
- Maleske, R. T. (1995). Foundations for gathering and interpreting behavioral data: An introduction to statistics. *Thomson Brooks/Cole*.
- McConnell, S. (2008). Managing technical debt. *Construx Software Builders, Inc*, 1-14.
- McDaniel, C., & Gates, R. (1999). Contemporary marketing research south. Cincinnati, OH: *Western College Publishing*.
- Monsell, S. (2003). Task switching. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(3), 134-140.

- Moore, S. (2015). IT projects need less complexity, not more governance. Retrieved from: <https://www.gartner.com/smarterwithgartner/it-projects-need-less-complexity-not-more-governance/>
- Morgenshtern, O., Raz, T., & Dvir, D. (2007). Factors affecting duration and effort estimation errors in software development projects. *Information and Software Technology*, 49(8), 827-837.
- Mujtaba, S., Feldt, R., & Petersen, K. (2010, April). Waste and lead time reduction in a software product customization process with value stream maps. *In Software Engineering Conference (ASWEC), 2010 21st Australian* (pp. 139-148). IEEE.
- Münch, J., Armbrust, O., Kowalczyk, M., & Soto, M. (2012). Software process definition and management. *Springer Science & Business Media*.
- Nemhauser, G. L., & Wolsey, L. A. (1989). Chapter vi integer programming. *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science*, 1, 447-527.
- Nikitina, N., & Kajko-Mattsson, M. (2012). Factors leading to the success and sustainability of software process improvement efforts. *International Journal On Advances in Software*, 5(3-4).
- Ohno, T. (1988). *Toyota production system: beyond large-scale production*. *crc Press*.
- Pankratz, O., Basten, D., Pansini, F., Terzieva, M., Morabito, V., & Anaya, L. A. (2014). Ladder to success—eliciting project managers' perceptions of IS project success criteria. *International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management*, 2(2), 5-24.
- Pinto, J. K., & Mantel, S. J. (1990). The causes of project failure. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 37(4), 269-276.
- Plenert, G. (2010). *Reinventing lean: introducing lean management into the supply chain*. *Elsevier*.
- Poppendieck, M., & Poppendieck, T. (2003). *Lean software development: an agile toolkit*. *Addison-Wesley*.
- Preis, A. (2012). Integration Evaluation of Scrum and CMMI. *Organizational Science*.

- Roland, D., Iliya, J., & Dodo, K. (2017). Enhancing IT Project Development and Implementation: Surveying the Trend of Success and Failure. *Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 3(9).
- Rosenfeld, E. Why we fail? [Online] Adaptive Consulting Partners, LLC, January 10, 2018. Retrieved from: <https://rosenfeldmedia.com/books/why-we-fail/>
- Salmeron, J. L., & Herrero, I. (2005). An AHP-based methodology to rank critical success factors of executive information systems. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 28(1), 1-12.
- Sauer, C., Gemino, A., & Reich, B. H. (2007). The impact of size and volatility on IT project performance. *Communications of the ACM*, 50(11), 79-84.
- Schmidt, R., Lyytinen, K., Keil, M., & Cule, P. (2001). Identifying software project risks: An international Delphi study. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 17(4), 5-36.
- Sebestyen, Z. (2017). Further considerations in project success. *Procedia Engineering*, 196, 571-577.
- Sedano, T., Ralph, P., & Péraire, C. (2017, May). Software development waste. In *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Software Engineering* (pp. 130-140). IEEE Press.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. *John Wiley & Sons*.
- Selye, H. (1976). Stress without distress. In *Psychopathology of human adaptation* (pp. 137-146). *Springer, Boston, MA*.
- Shahryar, S., Jambulingam, M., & Mousavi, M. (2013). Business green shift based on innovation concepts. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 6(9), 1632-34.
- Shieh, J. I., Wu, H. H., & Huang, K. K. (2010). A DEMATEL method in identifying key success factors of hospital service quality. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 23(3), 277-282.
- Shingo, S., & Dillon, A. P. (1989). A study of the Toyota production system: From an Industrial Engineering Viewpoint. *CRC Press*.

- Singh, M. P., & Vyas, R. (2012). Requirements volatility in software development process. *International Journal of Soft Computing*, 2(4), 259-264.
- Sommerville, I. (2004). Software Engineering. International computer science series. *ed: Addison Wesley*.
- Sorooshian, S. (2014). Study on unbalanceness of the balanced scorecard. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 8(84), 4163-4169.
- Sorooshian, S., & Dodangeh, J. (2013). Modeling on performance drivers of project management. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 3890-3895.
- Sorooshian, S., & Mat Dahan, S. (2014). analysis on factors of non-compliance of halal standard. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 8(9-12), 280-281
- Sorooshian, S., & Salimi, M. (2012). Interrelation study of entrepreneur's capability. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 17 (7), 818-820.
- Sproull, B. (2012). The ultimate improvement cycle: maximizing profits through the integration of lean, six sigma, and the theory of constraints. *CRC Press*.
- Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design. *Learning and instruction*, 4(4), 295-312.
- Sweller, J. (2010). Element interactivity and intrinsic, extraneous, and germane cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(2), 123-138.
- Taherdoost, H., & Keshavarzsaleh, A. (2015, August). A theoretical review on IT project success/failure factors and evaluating the associated risks. In 14th *International Conference on Telecommunications and Informatics, Sliema, Malta*.
- Tan, S. (2011). How to increase your IT project success rate. *Recuperado el*, 6.
- Tzeng, G. H., & Huang, J. J. (2011). Multiple attribute decision making: methods and applications. *CRC press*.
- Van Merriënboer, J. J., & Sweller, J. (2005). Cognitive load theory and complex learning: Recent developments and future directions. *Educational Psychology Review*, 17(2), 147-177.

- Walia, G. S., & Carver, J. C. (2009). A systematic literature review to identify and classify software requirement errors. *Information and Software Technology*, 51(7), 1087 -1109.
- Wang, W. C., Lin, Y. H., Lin, C. L., Chung, C. H., & Lee, M. T. (2012). DEMATEL based model to improve the performance in a matrix organization. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(5), 4978-4986.
- Westman, M., & Etzion, D. (2001). The impact of vacation and job stress on burnout and absenteeism. *Psychology & Health*, 16(5), 595-606.
- Wiklund, D., & Pucciarelli, J. (2009). Improving IT project outcomes by systematically managing and hedging risk. *An IDC Insights Research Document*.
- Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (1997). Lean thinking—banish waste and create wealth in your corporation. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 48(11), 1148-1148.