

HAZARD ANALYSIS FOR THE
REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION OF
SAFETY-CRITICAL SYSTEMS USING THE
COMBINATION OF FHA AND FTA
TECHNIQUES

KIRIYADHATSHINI A/P GUNARATNAM

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis, and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Azma', is written above a horizontal line.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : TS. AZMA BINTI ABDULLAH

Position : LECTURER

Date : 30/8/2023



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kiriyadhathini', is written over a horizontal line.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : KIRIYADHATSHINI A/P GUNARATNAM

ID Number : MCS19001

Date : 30/8/2023

HAZARD ANALYSIS FOR THE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION OF SAFETY-
CRITICAL SYSTEMS USING THE COMBINATION OF FHA AND FTA
TECHNIQUES

KIRIYADHATHINI A/P GUNARATNAM

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Computing
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

AUGUST 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

As a master's student, completing this thesis has been made possible with the help of The Almighty, who has given me wisdom, health, strength, and patience, and through the encouragement, support, and guidance of many individuals.

First and foremost, truly and honestly, this journey would not have been achieved without two individuals who have patiently supported and continuously encouraged me to finish this work. From the bottom of my heart, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my main supervisor, Ts. Azma Binti Abdullah, for her wonderful guidance, insights, and words of motivation. Also, I thank my senior lecturers, Associate Professor Dr. Rohani Binti Abu Bakar, Dr. Zalili, Dr. Noorlin, and Dr. Fadhl Hujainah, for their generous and patient reviews, comments, and thoughtful suggestions to improve this thesis. I am forever grateful to have met all of them and been allowed to work with them.

I would like to dedicate these years of hard work to my family members: my mother, Thanalatchmi Kanthan; my aunt, Puspavalli Apparsamy; my grandmother, Thanalatchmy Munusamy; my sister, Mirashini Gunaratnam; and my brother-in-law, Johnathan Yap, for their endless support, patience, and prayers.

My sincere gratitude to my mentors, Dr. Mohd Khairul Nizam bin Zainan Nazri and Dr. Mardiana Binti Mat Ishak, for guiding me to love this master's journey and the process of thesis management and for guiding me to go further in my life. I would like to extend my special thanks to Ms. Izzati Husna, who has helped me greatly in applying formal method elements to my thesis.

My heartfelt gratitude goes to my friend, Jayabharthy and her family, for taking care of me by providing me accommodation when needed and the support I received throughout my thesis preparation phase. A huge thanks also to my wonderful master's and Ph.D. friends, Cik. Nik Zuliani, Pn. Maznah Ibrahim, Pn. Mayamin Yuhaniz, Cik. Norliana, Pn. Shimo Osman, and Dato' Dania Irfan, who never failed to keep supporting and offering hands throughout my thesis journey. It has been a great pleasure to receive help from wonderful guides on this journey: Dr. Zati Yusnira, Dr. Shuhaida Hanim, Ustaz Fahmi, and Dr. Hidayah Ahmad Azam.

I would like to acknowledge the Institute of Postgraduate Studies (IPS) of UMP and the Faculty of Computing and Software Engineering, the dean of the faculty, the deputy deans, and all the supporting and technical staff for their assistance and for making the procedures clear and smooth to complete this work.

ABSTRAK

Analisis Bahaya (HA) adalah proses penting untuk mengenal pasti dan mengurangkan risiko yang berkaitan dengan pembangunan sistem. Walau bagaimanapun, teknik HA semasa mempunyai beberapa kekurangan, termasuk kurangnya pengenalpastian bahaya awal dan dokumen bahaya yang tidak mencukupi, yang boleh menyebabkan keruntuhan sistem. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk meningkatkan teknik HA dengan menangani kekurangan ini dengan menjalankan HA pada peringkat keperluan dan menghasilkan log bahaya yang lebih komprehensif. Untuk mencapai tujuan ini, metodologi penyelidikan yang terdiri daripada tiga fasa telah direka. Fasa 1 melibatkan analisis teknik HA sedia ada dan mengenalpasti kesenjangan dalam analisis bahaya. Fasa 2 melibatkan pembangunan teknik analisis bahaya gabungan yang menangani kekurangan utama ini dengan menggabungkan teknik analisis bahaya fungsional (FHA) dan analisis pepohonan kegagalan (FTA). Teknik yang dicadangkan dimaksudkan untuk digunakan semasa peringkat keperluan pembangunan sistem untuk menghasilkan log bahaya yang lebih komprehensif. Dalam Fasa 3, teknik yang dicadangkan dinilai melalui kajian kes model pam analgesia pesakit generik yang dikawal. Prestasi teknik yang dicadangkan dinilai menggunakan ukuran F1-score, ketepatan, dan ketepatan yang tepat. Empat kaedah penilaian digunakan untuk membandingkan hasil FHA tunggal, FTA tunggal, menggunakan FHA dan FTA, dan menggabungkan teknik FHA dan FTA. Hasil menunjukkan bahawa teknik FHA dan FTA yang digabungkan mencapai nilai prestasi tertinggi 0.96 untuk ketepatan dan 0.98 untuk ketepatan, pengingatan, dan ukuran F1-score. Ini menyimpulkan bahawa walaupun secara individu FHA menghasilkan data keluaran yang besar sementara FTA bukan teknik awal tetapi kedua-duanya melengkapkan satu sama lain untuk mencapai tujuan menjalankan HA pada peringkat keperluan dan menghasilkan log bahaya yang minimal dan komprehensif. Berdasarkan hasil ini, teknik FHA dan FTA yang digabungkan disarankan untuk dilaksanakan semasa peringkat keperluan pembangunan sistem untuk mengenal pasti bahaya dan menghasilkan log bahaya yang komprehensif. Arahan masa depan untuk penyelidikan boleh merangkumi mengautomatiskan teknik untuk mengenal pasti bahaya dengan menganalisis fungsi sistem menggunakan faktor kausal dalam bentuk pemboleh ubah.

ABSTRACT

Hazard Analysis (HA) is a crucial process for identifying and mitigating risks associated with systems development. However, current HA techniques suffer from several limitations, including a lack of preliminary hazard identification and inadequate hazard documentation, which can lead to system breakdowns. Therefore, this research aims to enhance HA techniques by addressing these limitations by conducting HA in requirement specification and producing a more comprehensive hazard log. To achieve this aim, a research methodology consisting of three phases was designed. Phase 1 involved analyzing existing HA techniques and identifying gaps in hazard analysis. Phase 2 involved developing a combined hazard analysis technique that addresses these key limitations by integrating functional hazard analysis (FHA) and fault tree analysis (FTA) techniques. The proposed technique is intended for use during the requirement specification of system development to produce a comprehensive hazard log. In Phase 3, the proposed technique was evaluated through a case study of a generic patient-controlled analgesia pump model. The performance of the proposed technique was evaluated using the F1-score measure, precision, and accuracy. Four evaluation methods were used to compare the results of single FHA, single FTA, using both FHA and FTA, and combining FHA and FTA techniques. The results showed that the combined FHA and FTA technique achieved the highest performance value of 0.96 for accuracy and 0.98 for precision, recall, and F1-score measure. This concludes that though individually FHA produces a large output data while FTA is not a preliminary technique yet both of them complements each other to achieve the aim of conducting HA in requirement specification and produce a minimalized and comprehensive hazard log. Based on these findings, the combined FHA and FTA technique is recommended for implementation during the requirement specification of systems development to identify hazards and produce a comprehensive hazard log. Future directions for research could include automating the technique to identify hazards by analyzing system functions using the causal factors in terms of variables.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Questions	6
1.4 Research Objective	6
1.5 Research Scope	7
1.6 Thesis Organisation	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Safety-Critical System (SCS) and Requirement Engineering (RE)	12
2.2.1 Definition of Terms	14
2.2.2 Hazard Analysis (HA) Literature Mapping	16

2.3	The Significance of Hazard Analysis (HA) in SCS Development	18
2.4	Hazard Analysis (HA) Techniques	21
2.4.1	Hazard Analysis (HA) Available Techniques	21
2.4.2	Techniques' Inductive and Deductive Methods	25
2.5	HA Technique Related Work	36
2.6	HA Techniques Evaluation Methods	41
2.7	Gap Analysis	46
2.7.1	Basic Concept of FHA	48
2.7.2	History of FHA	49
2.7.3	Basic Concept of FTA	52
2.7.4	History of FTA	53
2.8	Chapter Summary	55
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		56
3.1	Introduction	56
3.2	Research Methodology	57
3.2.1	Phase 1: Analyse Problems and Identify Gaps	58
3.2.2	Phase 2: Design and Develop Technique	62
3.2.3	Phase 3: Evaluate the Technique	63
3.3	Case Study (The Generic Patient Controlled Analgesia Pump Model, GIIP)	64
3.4	Chapter Summary	66
CHAPTER 4 THE PROPOSED COMBINATION OF FUNCTIONAL HAZARD ANALYSIS AND FAULT TREE ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE		67
4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Proposed Technique: Combined FHA and FTA	68
4.2.1	Step 1: Scoping	70

4.2.2	Step 2: Develop Tree	71
4.2.3	Step 3: Documentation (Hazard Log)	72
4.3	Case Study Implementation	73
4.3.1	Step 1: Scoping	75
4.3.2	Step 2: Develop Tree	80
4.3.3	Step 3: Documentation (Hazard Log)	114
4.4	Chapter Summary	119
 CHAPTER 5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION		120
5.1	Introduction	120
5.2	Research Operational Framework	122
5.3	Result Discussion on RO1	123
5.4	Result Discussion on RO2	124
5.5	Result Discussion on RO3	127
5.5.1	Result of GIIP based on FHA Technique	128
5.5.2	Result of GIIP based on FTA Technique	129
5.5.3	Result of GIIP by Using FHA and FTA	129
5.5.4	Result of GIIP by Combining FHA and FTA	129
5.5.5	Ground Truth Result	130
5.6	Performance Analysis for RO3	131
5.6.1	Performance Analysis for FHA Technique	133
5.6.2	Performance Analysis for FTA Technique	133
5.6.3	Performance Analysis for Technique using FHA and FTA	134
5.6.4	Performance Analysis for Combination of FHA and FTA	135
5.6.5	Result Discussion	137
5.7	Threats to Validity	139

5.8	Chapter Summary	140
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION		141
6.1	Introduction	141
6.2	Thesis Synopsis	141
6.3	Research Contribution (Proposing a combination of FHA and FTA Technique)	144
6.4	Research Objectives Achievements	146
6.5	Future Work	147
REFERENCES		149
APPENDICES		158

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Requirement Engineering Activities	14
Table 2.2	Definition of Terms	15
Table 2.3	Methods of HA Techniques	25
Table 3.1	Quality Assessment Criteria	61
Table 4.1	Define the Intermediate Events	76
Table 4.2	Failure Modes of Intermediate Events	78
Table 4.3	Failure Modes Causes	81
Table 4.4	IE with CE Representation for Flow Rate Component	86
Table 4.5	IE with CE Representation for Flow Rate Accuracy Component	90
Table 4.6	IE with CE Representation for Bolus Dose Component	93
Table 4.7	IE with CE Representation for Drug Reservoir Component	95
Table 4.8	IE with CE Representation for Pump Suspend Component	97
Table 4.9	IE with CE Representation for Data Retention Component	99
Table 4.10	IE with CE Representation for Reverse Delivery Component	101
Table 4.11	IE with CE Representation for Air-in-line Component	103
Table 4.12	IE with CE Representation for Occlusion Alarm Component	105
Table 4.13	IE with CE Representation for Operating Conditions Component	109
Table 4.14	IE with CE Representation for Vibration Component	113
Table 4.15	Hazard Log (Flow Rate Component)	114
Table 4.16	Hazard Log (Flow Rate Accuracy Component)	115
Table 4.17	Hazard Log (Flow Rate Component)	115
Table 4.18	Hazard Log (Drug Reservoir Component)	116
Table 4.19	Hazard Log (Pump Speed Component)	116
Table 4.20	Hazard Log (Data Retention Component)	116
Table 4.21	Hazard Log (Reverse Delivery Component)	117
Table 4.22	Hazard Log (Air-in-line Component)	117
Table 4.23	Hazard Log (Occlusion Alarm Component)	118
Table 4.24	Hazard Log (Operating Condition Component)	118
Table 4.25	Hazard Log (Vibration Component)	119
Table 5.1	FHA and FTA Technique and Ground Truth Results Comparison	131
Table 5.2	Summary of Performance Analysis Result	138
Table 6.1	Research Objectives Achievements	147

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Problem Statement Summary	5
Figure 1.2	Research Summary	10
Figure 2.1	Section Structure of Chapter 2	12
Figure 2.2	HA Literature Mapping	17
Figure 2.3	Significance of Hazard Analysis	19
Figure 2.4	HA Existing Techniques	23
Figure 2.5	Classification of HA Technique	27
Figure 2.6	(Qualitative or Quantitative) and Inductive	29
Figure 2.7	(Qualitative or Quantitative) and Deductive	30
Figure 2.8	(Qualitative or Quantitative) and (Inductive or Deductive)	31
Figure 2.9	Qualitative and Deductive	32
Figure 2.10	Qualitative and Inductive	33
Figure 2.11	Qualitative and (Inductive or Deductive)	34
Figure 2.12	Quantitative and Inductive	34
Figure 2.13	Quantitative and Deductive	35
Figure 2.14	HA Technique Related Work	37
Figure 2.15	Limitation of the Early Stage of HA	38
Figure 2.16	Limitation of Input or Output Data	39
Figure 2.17	Limitation of Expert's Participation	39
Figure 2.18	Limitation of Multiple Components and Controllers	40
Figure 2.19	Limitation of Time-Consuming and Costly	40
Figure 2.20	Existing Evaluation Metrics for HA Technique	42
Figure 2.21	Existing Evaluation Methods and Metrics	44
Figure 2.22	Enhanced HA Technique Limitations	46
Figure 2.23	Usage History of FHA Technique	51
Figure 2.24	Usage History of FTA Technique	54
Figure 3.1	Section Structure of Chapter 3	56
Figure 3.2	Research Methodology	58
Figure 3.3	Phase 1: Research Methodology	59
Figure 3.4	Review Protocol of Literature Review	60
Figure 3.5	Phase 2: Research Methodology	63
Figure 3.6	Phase 3: Research Methodology	63
Figure 3.7	GIIP Model Architecture	64

Figure 3.8	GIIP Model Architecture	65
Figure 4.1	Section Structure of Chapter 4	67
Figure 4.2	Proposed Technique (FHA+FTA)	69
Figure 4.3	Step 1: Scoping	70
Figure 4.4	Step 2: Develop Tree	71
Figure 4.5	Step 3: Documentation	72
Figure 4.6	Insulin Pump Components and Respective Requirements	74
Figure 4.7	FTA Tree Flow Rate Component	85
Figure 4.8	FTA Tree Flow Rate Accuracy Component	89
Figure 4.9	FTA Tree Bolus Dose Component	92
Figure 4.10	FTA Tree Drug Reservoir Component	95
Figure 4.11	FTA Tree Pump Suspend Component	97
Figure 4.12	FTA Tree Data Retention Component	99
Figure 4.13	FTA Tree Reverse Delivery Component	101
Figure 4.14	FTA Tree Air-in-line Component	103
Figure 4.15	FTA Tree Occlusion Alarm Component	106
Figure 4.16	FTA Tree Operating Conditions Component	109
Figure 4.17	FTA Tree Vibration Component	112
Figure 5.1	Section Structure of Chapter 5	121
Figure 5.2	Research Operational Framework	122
Figure 5.3	Descriptions of limitation 1 and 2	123
Figure 5.4	Top 10 Frequently used HA Techniques	125
Figure 5.5	Limitation of Preliminary and Hazard Specification Techniques	126
Figure 5.6	Combination of FHA and FTA	126
Figure 5.7	Result of FHA Technique	128
Figure 5.8	Result of Combined FHA and FTA Technique	130
Figure 5.9	Ground Truth Result	130
Figure 5.10	Performance Analysis Metrics Samples Calculation	132
Figure 5.11	Performance Analysis of Result by Using FHA and FTA	134
Figure 5.12	Performance Analysis of Result by Combined FHA and FTA	136
Figure 5.13	Comparison of Performance Analysis Results	138
Figure 6.1	Section Structure of Chapter 6	141
Figure 6.2	Thesis Synopsis	143
Figure 6.3	Overall Flow of Combined FHA and FTA Technique	145

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A. B., & Liu, S. (2013). Hazard analysis for safety-critical systems using SOFL. *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence for Engineering Solutions, CIES 2013 - 2013 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence, SSCI 2013*, 133–140. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIES.2013.6611740>
- Aleixo, O., & Rocha, C. (2022). Adapting a system-theoretic hazard analysis method for interoperability of information systems in health care. Retrieved from <https://dspace.library.uvic.ca/handle/1828/13871>
- Alexander, R., & Kelly, T. (2013). Supporting systems of systems hazard analysis using multi-agent simulation. *Safety Science*, 51(1), 302–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2012.07.006>
- Asare, P., Lach, J., & Stankovic, J. A. (2013). FSTPA-I: A Formal Approach to Hazard Identification via System Theoretic Process Analysis. *2013 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Cyber-Physical Systems (ICCPS)*, 150. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2502524.2502545>
- Averett, M. W. (1988). Fault Tree Analysis. *Risk Analysis*, 8(3), 463–464. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1988.tb00510.x>
- B, P. M., Zhang, Y., & Jones, P. (2017). A Hazard Analysis Method for Systematic Identification of Safety Requirements for User, 1, 284–299. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66197-1>
- Baig, A. A., Ruzli, R., & Buang, A. B. (2013). Reliability Analysis Using Fault Tree Analysis: A Review. *International Journal of Chemical Engineering and Applications*, 4(3), 169–173. <https://doi.org/10.7763/ijcea.2013.v4.287>
- Banghart, M., & Fuller, K. (2014). Utilizing confidence bounds in Failure Mode Effects Analysis (FMEA) Hazard Risk Assessment. *IEEE Aerospace Conference Proceedings*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AERO.2014.6836222>
- Baybutt, P. (2014). Requirements for improved process hazard analysis (PHA) methods. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 32, 182–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2014.08.004>
- Baybutt, P. (2015). Competency requirements for process hazard analysis (PHA) teams. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 33, 151–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2014.11.023>
- Burney, S. M., & Saleem, H. (2008). INDUCTIVE & DEDUCTIVE RESEARCH APPROACH Hussain Saleem Assistant Professor. *Lecture Delivered On*, (March), 6–9.
- Burns, D. J., & Pitblado, R. M. (1993). A Modified Hazop Methodology For Safety Critical System Assessment. *Directions in Safety-Critical Systems*, 232–245. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-2037-7_15

- Cha, S., Taylor, R. N., & Kang, K. (2019). *Handbook of software engineering. Handbook of Software Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00262-6>
- Chartres, N., Bero, L. A., & Norris, S. L. (2019). A review of methods used for hazard identification and risk assessment of environmental hazards. *Environment International*, 123, 231–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVINT.2018.11.060>
- Chazette, L., Brunotte, W., & Speith, T. (2021). Exploring Explainability: A Definition, a Model, and a Knowledge Catalogue. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Requirements Engineering*, 197–208. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RE51729.2021.00025>
- Cheng, H., Zhu, L., & Meng, J. (2022). Fuzzy evaluation of the ecological security of land resources in mainland China based on the Pressure-State-Response framework. *Science of The Total Environment*, 804, 150053. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.150053>
- Daramola, O., Stålhane, T., Sindre, G., & Omoronyia, I. (2011). Enabling hazard identification from requirements and reuse-oriented HAZOP analysis. *2011 4th International Workshop on Managing Requirements Knowledge, MaRK'11 - Part of the 19th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference, RE'11*, 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MARK.2011.6046555>
- Dokas, I. M., Feehan, J., & Imran, S. (2013). EWaSAP: An early warning sign identification approach based on a systemic hazard analysis. *Safety Science*, 58, 11–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2013.03.013>
- Du, J., Wang, J., & Feng, X. (2014). A safety requirement elicitation technique of safety-critical system based on scenario. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 8588 LNCS, 127–136. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09333-8_15
- Duan, J. (2022). Improved Systemic Hazard Analysis Integrating with Systems Engineering Approach for Vehicle Autonomous Emergency Braking System. *ASCE-ASME Journal of Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering Systems, Part B: Mechanical Engineering*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4051780/1114604>
- Dunjó, J., Fthenakis, V., Vílchez, J. A., & Arnaldos, J. (2010). Hazard and operability (HAZOP) analysis. A literature review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 173(1–3), 19–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.08.076>
- Ferris, I. M. (2022). 10. Hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP). *Applied Food Science*, 187–213. https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-933-6_10
- Foster, N., & Jacob, J. (2002). Hazard Analysis for Security Protocol Requirements, 75–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-46958-8_6
- Frank, S., Hakamian, A., Wagner, L., Kesim, D., Zorn, C., von Kistowski, J., & van Hoorn, A. (2022). Interactive Elicitation of Resilience Scenarios Based on Hazard Analysis Techniques. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in*

Bioinformatics), 13365 LNCS, 229–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-15116-3_11/COVER

- Gharib, M., & Bondavalli, A. (2019). On the evaluation measures for machine learning algorithms for safety-critical systems. *Proceedings - 2019 15th European Dependable Computing Conference, EDCC 2019*, (September), 141–144. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDCC.2019.00035>
- Gleirscher, M. (2013). Hazard analysis for technical systems. *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, 133 LNBIP*, 104–124. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35702-2_8
- Golabi, A., Erradi, A., & Tantawy, A. (2022). Towards automated hazard analysis for CPS security with application to CSTR system. *Journal of Process Control, 115*, 100–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROCONT.2022.04.008>
- Graubohm, R., Stolte, T., Bagschik, G., & Maurer, M. (2020). Towards Efficient Hazard Identification in the Concept Phase of Driverless Vehicle Development. *IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium, Proceedings*, 1297–1304. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IV47402.2020.9304780>
- Gray, A., Wimbush, A., de Angelis, M., Hristov, P. O., Calleja, D., Miralles-Dolz, E., & Rocchetta, R. (2022). From inference to design: A comprehensive framework for uncertainty quantification in engineering with limited information. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YMSSP.2021.108210>
- Grunske, L., Lindsay, P., Yatapanage, N., & Winter, K. (2005). An automated failure mode and effect analysis based on high-level design specification with behavior trees. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 3771 LNCS, 129–149. https://doi.org/10.1007/11589976_9
- Guiochet, J. (2016). Hazard analysis of human-robot interactions with HAZOP-UML. *Safety Science, 84*, 225–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.12.017>
- Guo, H., Su, G., Jia, Y., Feng, G., Zhou, R., & Wang, Y. (2019). A systemic approach to hazard analysis and control based on energy function. *Proceedings of 2018 IEEE International Conference on Safety Produce Informatization, IICSPI 2018*, 20–25. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IICSPI.2018.8690482>
- Han, X., & Zhang, J. (2013). A combined analysis method of FMEA and FTA for improving the safety analysis quality of safety-critical software. *Proceedings - 2013 IEEE International Conference on Granular Computing, GrC 2013*, 353–356. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GrC.2013.6740435>
- Häring, I. (2021). Introduction to System Analysis Methods. *Technical Safety, Reliability and Resilience*, 57–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4272-9_5
- Heimdahl, M. P. E. (2007). Safety and software intensive systems: Challenges old and new. *FoSE 2007: Future of Software Engineering*, 137–152. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FOSE.2007.18>

- Henderson, M. C. (1989). A comparison of two approaches to empathy training. *Nurse Educator*, 14(1), 423–437. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006223-198901000-00007>
- Horn, D., Ali, N., & Hong, J. E. (2019). Towards Enhancement of Fault Traceability Among Multiple Hazard Analyses in Cyber-Physical Systems. *2019 IEEE 43rd Annual Computer Software and Applications Conference (COMPSAC)*, 2(July), 458–464. <https://doi.org/10.1109/compsac.2019.10249>
- Huang, Z., Zhang, D., Pitilakis, K., Tsinidis, G., Huang, H., Zhang, D., & Argyroudis, S. (2022). Resilience assessment of tunnels: Framework and application for tunnels in alluvial deposits exposed to seismic hazard. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 162, 107456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILDYN.2022.107456>
- Jain, P., Rogers, W. J., Pasman, H. J., Keim, K. K., & Mannan, M. S. (2018). A Resilience-based Integrated Process Systems Hazard Analysis (RIPSHA) approach: Part I plant system layer. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 116, 92–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2018.01.016>
- Jain, P., Rogers, W. J., Pasman, H. J., & Mannan, M. S. (2018). A resilience-based integrated process systems hazard analysis (RIPSHA) approach: Part II management system layer. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 118, 115–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2018.06.037>
- Kamaraj, A. V., Domeyer, J. E., & Lee, J. D. (2021). Hazard Analysis of Action Loops for Automated Vehicle Remote Operation. *Undefined*, 65(1), 732–736. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1071181321651022>
- Kariuki, S. G., & Löwe, K. (2007). Integrating human factors into process hazard analysis. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 92(12), 1764–1773. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2007.01.002>
- Kitchenham Barbara, and S. C. (2007). Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering. *Technical Report, Ver. 2.3 EBSE Technical Report. EBSE*.
- Knight, J. C. (2002a). Safety critical systems: Challenges and directions. *Proceedings - International Conference on Software Engineering*, 547–550. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icse.2002.1007998>
- Knight, J. C. (2002b). Safety critical systems: Challenges and directions. *Proceedings - International Conference on Software Engineering*, 547–550. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icse.2002.1007998>
- Krishnan, R., & Bhada, S. V. (2020). An Integrated System Design and Safety Framework for Model-Based Safety Analysis. *IEEE Access*, 8, 146483–146497. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3015151>
- Kritzinger, D. (2017a). *Fault tree analysis BS EN 61025. Aircraft System Safety* (Vol. 3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100889-8.00004-0>
- Kritzinger, D. (2017b). Functional Hazard Analysis. *Aircraft System Safety*, 37–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-100889-8.00003-9>

- Kubai, E. (2019). Reliability and Validity of Research Instruments Correspondence to kubaiedwin@yahoo.com. *Critical Analysis Ofpolicies on Special Education in Kenya*, 1–9.
- Kuo, D.-H., Hsu, D.-S., Chang, C.-T., & Chen, D.-H. (1997). Prototype for intergated hazard analysis. *AICHE Journal*, 43(6), 1494–1510. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690430613>
- Kural 435, குறள் 435, The Correction of Faults, குற்றங்கடிதல், Chapter: 44,பொருட்பால், Wealth,Thirukural,திருக்குறள்,திருவள்ளுவர்,thiruvalluvar,tamil,english translation,transliteration. (n.d.). Retrieved 17 November 2022, from <https://www.ytamizh.com/thirukural/kural-435/>
- Laufenberg, X. (1995). Modeling and Model-Based Analysis for Safety and Hazard Analysis. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 28(25), 263–268. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-6670\(17\)44854-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-6670(17)44854-3)
- Lawrence, J. D., & Gallagher, J. M. (1997). A proposal for performing software safety hazard analysis. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 55(3), 267–282. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0951-8320\(96\)00098-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0951-8320(96)00098-1)
- Li, W., & Zhang, H. (2011). A software hazard analysis method for automotive control system. *Proceedings - 2011 IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Automation Engineering, CSAE 2011*, 3, 744–748. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CSAE.2011.5952781>
- Liu, H. C., Chen, X. Q., Duan, C. Y., & Wang, Y. M. (2019). Failure mode and effect analysis using multi-criteria decision making methods: A systematic literature review. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 135(October 2018), 881–897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.06.055>
- Maier, T. (1997). FMEA and FTA to Support Safe Design of Embedded Software in Safety-Critical Systems. *Safety and Reliability of Software Based Systems*, (C), 351–367. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0921-1_22
- Marcus, A., Cardei, I., & Alsenas, G. (2013). Automation of the SHIELD methodology for system hazard analysis and resilient design. *SysCon 2013 - 7th Annual IEEE International Systems Conference, Proceedings*, 894–901. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SysCon.2013.6549990>
- Mauborgne, P., Deniaud, S., Levrat, E., Bonjour, E., Micaëlli, J. P., & Loise, D. (2016). Operational and System Hazard Analysis in a Safe Systems Requirement Engineering Process - Application to automotive industry. *Safety Science*, 87, 256–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.04.011>
- Medikonda, B. S., & Panchumarthy, S. R. (2008). A framework for software safety in safety-critical systems. *SoMeT_08 - The 7th International Conference on Software Methodologies, Tools and Techniques*, 34(2), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1507195.1507207>
- Mohseni, S., Block, J. E., & Ragan, E. (2021). Quantitative Evaluation of Machine

- Learning Explanations: A Human-Grounded Benchmark. *International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, Proceedings IUI*, 22–31. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3397481.3450689>
- Muller, M., Roth, M., & Lindemann, U. (2016). The hazard analysis profile: Linking safety analysis and SysML. *10th Annual International Systems Conference, SysCon 2016 - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SYSCON.2016.7490532>
- Niu, H., Ma, C., Wang, C., & Han, P. (2019). Hazard Analysis of Traffic Collision Avoidance System Based on STAMP Model. *Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Progress in Informatics and Computing, PIC 2018*, 445–450. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PIC.2018.8706283>
- O’Connell, D., Thomas, D. H., Lewis, J. H., Hasse, K., Santhanam, A., Lamb, J. M., ... Low, D. A. (2019). Safety-oriented design of in-house software for new techniques: A case study using a model-based 4DCT protocol. *Medical Physics*, 46(4), 1523–1532. <https://doi.org/10.1002/MP.13386>
- Oh, H.-J., & Hong, J.-P. (2012). A Study of Software Hazard Analysis for Safety Critical Function in Military Aircraft. *Journal of IKEEE*, 16(2), 145–152. <https://doi.org/10.7471/ikeee.2012.16.2.145>
- Ortmeier, F. (2014). Deductive Cause-Consequence Analysis (DCCA), (January 2006).
- Paneerselvam, A., & Yamat, H. (2021). Validity and Reliability Testing of the Adapted Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale (FLCAS). *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(4). <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/V11-I4/9027>
- Pereira, D. P., Hirata, C., & Nadjm-Tehrani, S. (2019). A STAMP-based ontology approach to support safety and security analyses. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, 47, 302–319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2019.05.014>
- Pinto, C. A. (2022). Dynamic Modelling for Reliability Analysis of Power Supply Systems in a Large European Hospital by Petri Nets, Fuzzy Inference System, Stochastic or Markov Chains. Retrieved from <https://estudogeral.sib.uc.pt/handle/10316/101753>
- Popović, V., & Vasić, B. (2008). Review of hazard analysis methods and their basic characteristics. *FME Transactions*, 36(4), 181–187.
- Ribeiro, Q., Pereira, T., Melo, M., Castro, J., Alencar, F., & Lencastre, M. (2021). Toward Requirements for Embedded Systems. *Cadernos Do IME - Série Informática*, 46(0), 19–41. <https://doi.org/10.12957/CADINF.2021.68156>
- Rong, H., Dong, H., Xu, D., & Chen, Z. (2019). Model based interaction hazards analysis of integrated modular avionics system. *International Conference on Communication Technology Proceedings, ICCT, 2019–Octob*, 1440–1444. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCT.2018.8599946>
- Saad, A., Bal, M., & Khatib, J. (2022). The Need for a Proper Waste Management Plan for the Construction Industry: A Case Study in Lebanon. *Sustainability*

- (Switzerland), 14(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU141912783>
- Sechser, B. (2011). Functional safety - SPICE for professionals? *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 155 CCIS, 212–216. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-21233-8_24/COVER
- Simpson, A., & Stoker, J. (2002). Will it be Safe? — An Approach to Engineering Safety Requirements. *Components of System Safety*, 140–164. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0173-4_9
- Smith, S. P., & Harrison, M. D. (2005). Measuring reuse in hazard analysis. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 89(1), 93–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2004.08.010>
- Song, H., & Schnieder, E. (2018). Evaluating Fault Tree by means of Colored Petri nets to analyze the railway system dependability. *Safety Science*, 110(September), 313–323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2018.08.017>
- Subriadi, A. P., & Najwa, N. F. (2020). The consistency analysis of failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA) in information technology risk assessment. *Heliyon*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELIYON.2020.E03161>
- Sulaman, S. M., Abbas, T., Wnuk, K., & Höst, M. (2014). Hazard analysis of collision avoidance system using STPA. *ISCRAM 2014 Conference Proceedings - 11th International Conference on Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management*, 424–428.
- Sulaman, S. M., Beer, A., Felderer, M., & Höst, M. (2019). Comparison of the FMEA and STPA safety analysis methods—a case study. *Software Quality Journal*, 27(1), 349–387. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11219-017-9396-0>
- Sun, L., Li, Y. F., & Zio, E. (2022). Comparison of the HAZOP, FMEA, FRAM, and STPA Methods for the Hazard Analysis of Automatic Emergency Brake Systems. *ASCE-ASME Journal of Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering Systems, Part B: Mechanical Engineering*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4051940/1115198>
- Teufel, S. (2014). Lecture 5 : Evaluation.
- The Generic Infusion Pump (GIP). (n.d.). Retrieved 18 November 2022, from <https://rtg.cis.upenn.edu/gip/>
- Tian, J., Wu, Y., Wang, X., & Zhao, T. (2011). Hazard analysis based on human-machine-environment coupling. *Proceedings - Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RAMS.2011.5754444>
- Törner, F., Johannessen, P., & Öhman, P. (2006). Assessment of hazard identification methods for the automotive domain. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 4166 LNCS, 247–260. https://doi.org/10.1007/11875567_19
- Tran, V. N., Tran, L. V., & Tran, V. N. (2021). Functional hazard analysis for engineering safe software requirements. *Proceedings - 2021 4th International Conference on*

- Information and Computer Technologies, ICICT 2021*, 142–148.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICT52872.2021.00031>
- Vilela, J., Castro, J., Martins, L. E. G., & Gorschek, T. (2017a). Integration between requirements engineering and safety analysis: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 125, 68–92.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2016.11.031>
- Vilela, J., Castro, J., Martins, L. E. G., & Gorschek, T. (2017b). Integration between requirements engineering and safety analysis: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 125, 68–92.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSS.2016.11.031>
- Vilela, J., Castro, J., Martins, L. E. G., & Gorschek, T. (2020). Safety Practices in Requirements Engineering: The Uni-REPM Safety Module. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 46(3), 222–250.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/TSE.2018.2846576>
- Wang, H., Zhong, D., Zhao, Y., & Sun, R. (2017). A system safety analysis method based on multiple category hazard factors. *Proceedings - 4th International Conference on Dependable Systems and Their Applications, DSA 2017, 2018-Janua*, 29–34.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/DSA.2017.14>
- Wang, R., & Zheng, W. (2013). Research and application of the BFM-STAMP hazard analysis method. *IEEE ICIRT 2013 - Proceedings: IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Rail Transportation*, 174–178.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIRT.2013.6696289>
- Wei, X., Dong, Y., Li, X., & Wong, W. E. (2018). Architecture-level hazard analysis using AADL. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 137, 580–604.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2017.06.018>
- Xiao, M. rui, Dong, Y. wei, Gou, Q. wen, Xue, F., & Chen, Y. hua. (2020). Architecture-level particular risk modeling and analysis for a cyber-physical system with AADL. *Frontiers of Information Technology and Electronic Engineering*, 21(11), 1607–1625. <https://doi.org/10.1631/FITEE.2000428>
- Yang, S., & Chung, P. W. H. (1998). Hazard analysis and support tool for computer controlled processes. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 11(5), 333–345. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-4230\(98\)00012-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-4230(98)00012-6)
- Yang, S. H., & Chung, P. W. H. (1998). Life cycle hazard analysis for computer controlled processes. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 22(SUPPL.1). [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0098-1354\(98\)00091-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0098-1354(98)00091-x)
- Yuan, C., Cui, H., Tao, B., & Wang, W. (2018). Fault Tree Analysis for Emergency Process of Fire Accident in Oil-Gas Storage and Transportation. *Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste*, 22(3), 04018011.
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)hz.2153-5515.0000402](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)hz.2153-5515.0000402)
- Zahabi, M., & Kaber, D. (2019). A fuzzy system hazard analysis approach for human-in-the-loop systems. *Safety Science*, 120(April), 922–931.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2019.08.029>

- Zhang, Y., Dong, C., Guo, W., Dai, J., & Zhao, Z. (2022). Systems theoretic accident model and process (STAMP): A literature review. *Safety Science*, *152*, 105596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SSCI.2021.105596>
- Zhang, Y., Jones, P. L., & Jetley, R. (2010). A Hazard Analysis for a Generic Insulin Infusion Pump. *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, *4*(2), 263. <https://doi.org/10.1177/193229681000400207>
- Zhao, L., Alhoshan, W., Ferrari, A., Letsholo, K. J., Ajagbe, M. A., Chioasca, E. V., & Batista-Navarro, R. T. (2021). Natural Language Processing for Requirements Engineering. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, *54*(3). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3444689>
- Zhou, J., Hanninen, K., Lundqvist, K., & Provenzano, L. (2017). An ontological approach to hazard identification for safety-critical systems. *2017 2nd International Conference on Reliability Systems Engineering, ICRSE 2017*, (Icrse). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRSE.2017.8030746>
- Zhou, J., Hänninen, K., Lundqvist, K., & Provenzano, L. (2018). An ontological approach to identify the causes of hazards for safety-critical systems. *2017 2nd International Conference on System Reliability and Safety, ICSRS 2017, 2018–Janua*, 405–413. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSRS.2017.8272856>
- Zhu, D., Tan, H., & Yao, S. (2018). Petri Nets-based method to elicit component-interaction related safety requirements in safety-critical systems. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, *71*(May), 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2018.07.019>
- Zhu, D., & Yao, S. (2019). A Hazard Analysis Method for Software-Controlled Systems Based on System-Theoretic Accident Modeling and Process. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Software Engineering and Service Sciences, ICSESS, 2018–Novem*, 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSESS.2018.8663927>
- Zikrullah, N. A., Kim, H., van der Meulen, M. J. P., Skofteland, G., & Lundteigen, M. A. (2021). A comparison of hazard analysis methods capability for safety requirements generation. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part O: Journal of Risk and Reliability*, *235*(6), 1132–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1748006X211003463>