

**INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT OF MIG
WELDING PARAMETERS ON CARBON
STEEL USING RSM OPTIMIZATION**

JUNITA BINTI MOHD SAID

MASTER OF SCIENCE

**UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG
AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH**



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis, and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science in Manufacturing System & Optimization.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : Ir. Dr. Faiz bin Mohd Turan

Position : Associate Professor

Date : 31 October 2023

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :

Position :

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : Junita Binti Mohd Said

ID Number : MFO21001

Date : 31 October 2023

**INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT OF MIG WELDING PARAMETERS ON
CARBON STEEL USING RSM OPTIMIZATION**

JUNITA BINTI MOHD SAID

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Manufacturing and Mechatronic Engineering Technology

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH

OCTOBER 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my utmost gratitude to the Almighty Allah, whose boundless knowledge and compassion encompass all His creations. Without His infinite grace and kindness, this work would not have reached completion.

I dedicate this work to the memory of my late father, Almarhum Hj. Mohd Said Pikir, and my mother, Hjh. Naima Binti Yahya, who has been a constant source of love, inspiration, and support in my life. Their dreams and sacrifices have motivated me to pursue my goals with determination. I am deeply grateful for their unwavering belief in my abilities.

I would also like to express my heartfelt appreciation to my beloved husband, Mohd Zaki Mohamed, and my sons for their unwavering support, sacrifices, patience, and understanding throughout this journey. Their constant encouragement and belief in me have been instrumental in my success. I am truly grateful for their presence in my life.

Words cannot fully convey the extent of my gratitude towards my supervisor, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Faiz bin Mohd Turan, for his invaluable guidance, seminal ideas, continuous encouragement, and unwavering support throughout this research endeavor. From the initial stages of my graduate program application to the final moments of this study, his mentorship has been instrumental in shaping this work. I am deeply grateful for his dedication and patience.

Lastly, I would like to express my appreciation to all my friends and colleagues at UniKL MFI for their contributions and support throughout this study. Their collaboration, discussions, and camaraderie have been invaluable in enriching my research experience.

To my late father, my mother, my husband, my children, and my supervisor, I offer my heartfelt gratitude and acknowledge their immeasurable impact on my personal and academic journey.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini memfokuskan kepada pengaruh pengoptimuman parameter kimpalan MIG terhadap sifat bahan dan kualiti kimpalan melalui kekuatan lentur dan geometri kimpalan melalui reka bentuk eksperimen. Ujian struktur menunjukkan bahawa kegagalan logam selalunya disebabkan oleh ketidaksempurnaan dalam kolam kimpalan, terutamanya dalam sambungan yang dikimpal. Oleh kerana pemilihan parameter kimpalan yang tidak betul, kebolehkimpalan bahan dan pembentukan logam, ia menyumbang kepada kecacatan dan memerlukan proses pasca rawatan. Jesteru, objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk memminimumkan kecacatan pada kimpalan dan memaksimumkan kekuatan bahan bahagian yang dikimpal dengan menggunakan model regresi tertib kedua kuadratik sepenuhnya untuk meramal parameter proses optimum yang dipilih. Bahan keluli karbon gelek panas JIS G3131 yang dipilih untuk kajian ini adalah berdasarkan penggunaannya yang meluas sebagai bahagian komponen kereta serta mempunyai nilai ekonomi dan mudah dikimpal. Manakala, jenis kimpalan yang digunakan dalam experimen adalah logam gas lengai kimpal temu dengan merujuk kepada piawaian AWS 1.1. Tahap reka bentuk ujikaji yang dijalankan adalah 3×3 menggunakan kaedah metodologi maklum balas permukaan dan parameter proses adalah arus kimpalan, voltan arka dan kelajuan kimpalan. Ujikaji ini adalah untuk merekod nilai ketinggian manik kimpal, lebar manik kimpal dan penusukan kimpalan. Ujian tanpa musnah radiografi sinar-X dilakukan untuk melihat kecacatan pada kolam kimpalan. Ketahanan sambungan yang dikimpal kemudiannya ditentukan menggunakan ujian lenturan tiga mata. Eksperimen reka bentuk dilaksanakan untuk mendapatkan gabungan optimum parameter input dengan tahap keyakinan 95%. Reka bentuk eksperimen berdasarkan tatasusunan ortogon dan analisis varians (ANOVA) juga digunakan dalam kajian ini. Sumbangannya kepada setiap faktor dan analisis regresi. Kekuatan lentur yang diramalkan adalah 903 MPa dengan ralat 0.66% berbanding nilai eksperimen 897 MPa. Nilai ramalan tambahan untuk penembusan kimpalan, lebar manik, dan ketinggian manik masing-masing 3.1, 9.45 dan 2.04. Selain itu, dalam ujian pemeriksaan RT, didapati sampel ujian mengikut parameter optimum tidak mempunyai kecacatan pada sambungan kimpalan. Untuk analisis regresi gabungan RSM, nilai parameter optimum ialah 115, 20, dan 18 untuk arus, kelajuan dan voltan, masing-masing. Ujian pengesahan untuk DT dan NDT, juga dilakukan selepas penentuan parameter optimum untuk mengesahkan tetapan parameter optimum. Penyelidikan ini menyimpulkan bahawa penggunaan optimum parameter proses untuk produk yang dikimpal telah meningkatkan geometri kimpalan dan kekuatan lentur, yang mana kaedah pengoptimuman ini digunakan secara meluas dan bernilai dalam fabrikasi logam.

ABSTRACT

This study focused on the influence of parameter optimization of MIG welding on material properties and weld quality through flexural strength and weld bead geometry through experimental design. Structural testing shows that metal failure is often caused by imperfections in the weld pool, particularly in welded joints. Due to incorrect selection of the chosen welding parameters, weldability of the material and metal forming, they contribute to defects and require the post-treatment process. Therefore, the objective of this study is to minimize defects in welds and maximize the material strength of welded parts by using a fully quadratic second-order regression model to predict selected optimal process parameters. The JIS G3131 hot-rolled carbon steel material selected for this study is based on its extensive use as automotive parts, has economic value, and is suitable for welding. The experimental welding process is a MIG butt weld joint according to AWS 1.1 standards. The experimental tests correspond to the 3 x 3 orthogonal array of the RSM design; the process parameters are welding current, arc voltage and welding speed and their responses are bead height, bead width, penetration, and flexural strength. Non-destructive testing X-ray radiography is performed to see the flaw in the weld pool. The durability of the welded connection is then determined using a three-point bending test. Design experiments are implemented to obtain an optimal combination of input parameters with a 95% confidence level. An experimental design based on an orthogonal array and analysis of variance (ANOVA) is also used in this study. Its contribution to each factor and the regression analysis. The predicted flexural strength was determined to be 903.1 MPa with an error of 0.66% compared to the experimental value of 897 MPa. Additional predicted values for weld penetration, bead width, and bead height of 3.01, 9.45, and 2.04, respectively. In addition, in an RT inspection test, it was also found that the test sample by optimum parameter had no defect in the welded joint. For the regression analysis of the RSM combination, the optimal parameter values are 115, 20, and 18 for current, speed, and voltage. Confirmation tests for DT and NDT, were also performed after the optimal parameter determination to validate the optimal parameter settings. This research concludes that the optimal use of process parameters for welded products has improved weld geometry and flexural strength, which this optimization method is widely used and valuable in metal fabrication.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
-------------------------	----

ABSTRAK	iii
----------------	-----

ABSTRACT	iv
-----------------	----

TABLE OF CONTENT	v
-------------------------	---

LIST OF TABLES	viii
-----------------------	------

LIST OF FIGURES	ix
------------------------	----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
------------------------------	----

LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
---------------------------	-----

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
-------------------------------	---

1.1 Background of the Research	1
1.2 Problem statement	4
1.3 Objective	5
1.4 Scope	5
1.5 Thesis organisation	5

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
------------------------------------	---

2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Welding Overview	8
2.3 Metal Inert Gas Welding (MIG)	10
2.3.1 Welding Process Variable Parameter	11
2.3.2 Welding Defect	12
2.4 Design of Experiment and Optimization Application to MIG/GMAW	17
2.4.1 Response Surface Methodology Approach	19

2.4.2	Other optimization method approach	24
2.5	Critical Review	28
2.6	Summary of Literature Review	31
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		32
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Materials and Welding Equipment	35
3.3	Design of Experiments	37
3.3.1	Design of Experiments in Welding	38
3.3.2	Process parameters selection	39
3.3.3	Response Surface Methodology (RSM) and Orthogonal Array (OA) Design	42
3.3.4	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).	45
3.3.5	Data Validation and Parameter Optimization	47
3.3.6	Conformation Test.	48
3.4	MIG Welding Experimental Procedure	48
3.4.1	MIG Welding Operation	49
3.5	Non-Destructive Test (NDT)	51
3.6	Destructive Test (DT) Specimen Preparation	52
3.7	Sampling Preparation for Weld Bead Measurement	54
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		56
4.1	Introduction	56
4.2	Radiography testing (RT)	56
4.3	Experimental Design Response	60
4.4	Statistical analysis	62
4.5	Development of Mathematic Model using Regression analysis	65

4.5.1	Interaction Effect and Response Surface Analysis of MIG Welding Process Parameter.	67
4.6	Optimization Result	75
4.7	Conformation Test Results	77
4.8	Summary	79
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		81
5.1	Conclusion	81
5.2	Propose for Future works.	82
REFERENCES		84
LIST OF PUBLICATION		89
APPENDICES		90

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Studies on Welding Process Parameters Optimization	30
Table 3.1	Nominal Composition of Carbon Steel G3131 And Filler Wire Er70s-6 (Weight In %)	36
Table 3.2	Welding Parameter and Factor levels.	42
Table 3.3	Goal of Experimental Measured Responses.	43
Table 3.4	Design Matrix with Actual Independent Process Variables	44
Table 4.1	List of defects detected by RT method in twenty DOE samples	57
Table 4.2	Independent process variables and experimental design levels of weld bead geometry	60
Table 4.3	Independent process variables and experimental design levels of flexural strength	61
Table 4.4	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) level of significant for Flexural Strength.	63
Table 4.5	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) level of significant for Weld Bead Width (WW).	63
Table 4.6	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) level of significant for Weld Bead Height (WH).	64
Table 4.7	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) level of significant for Weld Bead Panetration (WP).	64
Table 4.8	Flexural Strength value from Experiment Test and Quadratic Model for 20 experiments test sample.	66
Table 4.9	Flexural Strength value from Experiment Test and Quadratic Model for 20 experiments test sample.	67
Table 4.10	Conformation experimental and validation of optimized welding condition for WP, WW, WH responses	77

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Schematic presentation of the arc welding method	10
Figure 3.1	Flow chart	34
Figure 3.2	Material Composition Tested Machine	35
Figure 3.3	Arc Welding Robot	36
Figure 3.4	Experimental weld bead geometry and illustration of measured responses.	43
Figure 3.5	The geometry of the tested specimen	49
Figure 3.6	The specimen preparation for welding joint – from start to end.	50
Figure 3.7	(i) and (ii) Example of defect by X-Ray inspection.	51
Figure 3.8	Sample preparation for Flexural Bending	52
Figure 3.9	Specimen testing process of Flexural Bending	53
Figure 3.10	(i), (ii) and (iii); Sample Preparation for Weld Bead Measurement	54
Figure 4.1	(i), (ii) and (iii), LOP defect along the weld in test sample no 3, 7 and 9	58
Figure 4.2	(i) and (ii) show LOP defects associate with porosity in test sample no 2, 6	59
Figure 4.3	(a) Surface plots depicting the impact of voltage (V) and current (C) on flexural strength. (b) Contour plot illustrating the relationship between voltage (V), current (C), and flexural strength.	68
Figure 4.4	(a) Surface plots illustrating the effect of voltage (V) and speed (S) on flexural strength. (b) Contour plot displaying the relationship between voltage (V), speed (S), and flexural strength.	68
Figure 4.5 (a)	Surface plots illustrating the impact of current (C) and speed (S) on flexural strength. (b) Contour plot displaying the relationship between current (C), speed (S), and flexural strength.	69
Figure 4.6	(a) Surface plots depicting the influence of voltage (V) and current (C) on weld bead width (WW). (b) Contour plot illustrating the correlation between voltage (V), current (C), and weld bead width (WW).	70
Figure 4.7	(a) Surface plots demonstrating the impact of voltage (V) and current (C) on weld penetration (WP). (b) Contour plot illustrating the relationship between voltage (V), current (C), and weld penetration (WP).	70
Figure 4.8	(a) Surface plots illustrating the effect of voltage (V) and current (C) on weld bead height (WH). (b) Contour plot depicting the relationship between voltage (V), current (C), and weld bead height (WH).	71

Figure 4.9	(a) Surface plots illustrating the effect of voltage (V) and speed (S) on weld bead width (WW). (b) Contour plot depicting the relationship between voltage (V), speed (S), and weld bead width (WW).	71
Figure 4.10	(a) Surface plots displaying the impact of voltage (V) and speed (S) on weld penetration (WP). (b) Contour plot illustrating the relationship between voltage (V), speed (S), and weld penetration (WP).	72
Figure 4.11	(a) Surface plots showcasing the influence of voltage (V) and speed (S) on weld bead height (WH). (b) Contour plot illustrating the correlation between voltage (V), speed (S), and weld bead height (WH).	73
Figure 4.12	(a) Surface plots illustrating the impact of speed (S) and current (C) on weld bead width (WW). (b) Contour plot displaying the relationship between speed (S), current (C), and weld bead width (WW).	73
Figure 4.13	(a) Surface plots depicting the effect of speed (S) and current (C) on weld penetration (WP). (b) Contour plot illustrating the relationship between speed (S), current (C), and weld penetration (WP).	74
Figure 4.14	(a) Surface plots depicting the effect of speed (S) and current (C) on weld bead height (WH). (b) Contour plot illustrating the relationship between speed (S), current (C), and weld bead height (WH).	74
Figure 4.15	Result of response optimizer for experimental responses of Flexural Strength and weld bead geometry	76
Figure 4.16	RT results of conformation test sample shows no defect.	78

REFERENCES

- Acherjee, B. (2021). Modern optimization techniques for performance enhancement in welding. In *Advanced Welding and Deforming*. Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822049-8.00010-4>
- Arunkumar, S. P., Prabha, C., Saminathan, R., Khamaj, J. A., Viswanath, M., Paul Ivan, C. K., Subbiah, R., & Kumar, P. M. (2022). Taguchi optimization of metal inert gas (MIG) welding parameters to withstand high impact load for dissimilar weld joints. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 56, 1411–1417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.11.619>
- Aydar, A. Y. (2018). Utilization of Response Surface Methodology in Optimization of Extraction of Plant Materials. *Statistical Approaches With Emphasis on Design of Experiments Applied to Chemical Processes*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.73690>
- Behredin, K. B., Ramulu, P. J., Habtamu, B., Besufekad, N., & Tesfaye, N. (2022). Characterization and Parametric Optimization of EN-10149-2 Steel Welded Joints Made by MIG Welding. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8276496>
- Cacace, S., & Semeraro, Q. (2022). On the Lack of fusion porosity in L-PBF processes. *Procedia CIRP*, 112, 352–357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2022.09.008>
- Chaudhari, P. G., Patel, P. B., & Patel, J. D. (2018). Evaluation of MIG welding process parameter using Activated Flux on SS316L by AHP-MOORA method. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 5(2), 5208–5220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.12.103>
- Chen, F. F., Xiang, J., Thomas, D. G., & Murphy, A. B. (2020). Model-based parameter optimization for arc welding process simulation. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 81, 386–400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2019.12.014>
- Deshpande, M. U., Kshirsagar, J. M., & Dharmadhikari, D. H. M. (2017). Optimization of GMAW Process Parameters to Improve the Length of Penetration in EN 10025 S 235 Grade. *Journal of Welding and Joining*, 35(1), 74–78. <https://doi.org/10.5781/jwj.2017.35.1.74>
- Dr. S V Anil Kumar, D. R. G. (2020). Process Parameters for Metal Inert Gas Welding of Mild Steel by Using Taguchi Technique-A Review. *International Journal of Material Sciences and Technology*, 10(1), 1–14. <http://www.ripublication.com>
- Ghosh, N., Pal, P. K., & Nandi, G. (2017). GMAW dissimilar welding of AISI 409 ferritic stainless steel to AISI 316L austenitic stainless steel by using AISI 308 filler wire. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, 20(4), 1334–1341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2017.08.002>
- Ghosh, N., Rudrapati, R., Pal, P. K., & Nandi, G. (2017). Parametric Optimization of Gas Metal Arc Welding Process by using Taguchi method on Ferritic Stainless Steel AISI409. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 4(2), 2213–2221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.02.068>
- Hameed Majeed, N., Esmaeel Radhi, H., & J. Abid, H. (2021). Optimization of MIG welding parameters. *University of Thi-Qar Journal for Engineering Sciences*, 5(3), 1–5.

[https://doi.org/10.31663/tqujes.11.2.382\(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.31663/tqujes.11.2.382(2021))

- Hou, W., Wei, Y., Guo, J., Jin, Y., & Zhu, C. (2018). Automatic Detection of Welding Defects using Deep Neural Network. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 933(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/933/1/012006>
- Ji, C., Wang, H., & Li, H. (2023). NDT and E International Defects detection in weld joints based on visual attention and deep learning. *NDT and E International*, 133(November 2022), 102764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2022.102764>
- Jovičić, R., Sedmak, S., Cvetković, R. P., Popović, O., Bubalo, K. J., & Milošević, N. (2018). Effects of welding technology on the occurrence of fracture in welded joints. *Procedia Structural Integrity*, 13, 1682–1688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROSTR.2018.12.351>
- Kar, J., Chakrabarti, D., Roy, S. K., & Roy, G. G. (2019). Beam oscillation, porosity formation and fatigue properties of electron beam welded Ti-6Al-4V alloy. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 266(October 2018), 165–172.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2018.10.040>
- Karpagaraj, A., Parthiban, K., & Ponmani, S. (2019). Optimization techniques used in gas tungsten arc welding process-A review. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 27(xxxx), 2187–2190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.09.093>
- Kim, I., & Park, M. (2018). *A Review on Optimizations of Welding Parameters in GMA Welding Process*. 36(1), 65–75.
- Kipfer, B. A. (2021). Design and Analysis of Experiments. In *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Archaeology*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58292-0_130690
- Kumar Anil, S. R., & Inderjeet, S. (2017). A review of metal inert gas welding on aluminium alloys *. *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*, 6(5), 453–456.
- Kumar, V., & Goyal, N. (2018). Parametric Optimization of Metal Inert Gas Welding for Hot Die Steel by using Taguchi Approach. *Material Science Research India*, 15(1), 100–106. <https://doi.org/10.13005/msri/150112>
- Madavi, K. R., Jogi, B. F., & Lohar, G. S. (2021). Metal inert gas (MIG) welding process: A study of effect of welding parameters. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 51, 690–698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.06.206>
- Mahore, N., & Sharma, T. (2017). Study of MIG Welding Process with Different Type Technique: A Review. *IJSTE-International Journal of Science Technology & Engineering*, 4(6), 1–5. www.ijste.org
- Malarvel, M., & Singh, H. (2021). An autonomous technique for weld defects detection and classification using multi-class support vector machine in X-radiography image. *Optik*, 231(November 2020), 166342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2021.166342>
- Manickam, S., Pradeep, A., S.Vijayakumar, & Mosisa, E. (2022). Optimization of arc welding process parameters for joining dissimilar metals. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 69(2022), 662–664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.06.548>
- Martinez-Conesa, E. J., Egea, J. A., Miguel, V., Toledo, C., & Meseguer-Valdenebro, J. L.

- (2017). Optimization of geometric parameters in a welded joint through response surface methodology. *Construction and Building Materials*, 154, 105–114.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.07.163>
- Meena, S. L., Butola, R., Murtaza, Q., Jayantilal, H., & Niranjan, M. S. (2017). Metallurgical Investigations of Microstructure and Micro hardness across the various zones in Synergic MIG Welding of Stainless steel. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 4(8), 8240–8249.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.07.166>
- Mohamad Said, K. A., & Mohamed Amin, M. A. (2016). Overview on the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) in Extraction Processes. *Journal of Applied Science & Process Engineering*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.33736/jaspe.161.2015>
- Nikky, & S. Dhami, S. (2021). A Review On Investigation Of Process Parameters Of Mig Welding Machine. *Journal of University of Shanghai for Science and Technology*, 23(09), 1122–1126. <https://doi.org/10.51201/jusst/21/09668>
- Nobrega, G., Souza, M. S., Rodríguez-Martín, M., Rodríguez-Gonzálvez, P., & Ribeiro, J. (2021). Parametric optimization of the gmaw welding process in thin thickness of austenitic stainless steel by taguchi method. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 11(18). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11188742>
- Odiaka, T., & Akinlabi, S. (2019). *Improvement of Joint Integrity in MIG Welded Steel: A Review*. 1–10.
- Pondi, P., Achebo, J., & Ozigagun, A. (2021). Optimization of the Tungsten Inert Gas Process Parameters using Response Surface Methodology. *International Journal of Emerging Scientific Research*, 2, 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.37121/ijesr.vol2.150>
- Prajapati, V., Dinbandhu, Vora, J. J., Das, S., & Abhishek, K. (2020). Study of parametric influence and welding performance optimization during regulated metal deposition (RMDTM) using grey integrated with fuzzy taguchi approach. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 54(August 2019), 286–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2020.03.017>
- Pratap Singh, V., Dahiya, K., & Khanna, P. (2022). Mathematical analysis of effect of process parameters on weld bead geometry of MIG welded low carbon steel plates. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 56, 655–660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.01.022>
- Rahul Dixit Kuldeep Kaushik, P. M. (2018). Modeling, Analysis & Optimization of Parameters for Great WeldStrength of the Chassis for off-Road Vehicles. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 5(5).
https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/58255887/IRJET-V5I5365.pdf?1548395138=&response-content-disposition=inline;%0Afilename=IRJET_Modeling_Analysis_and_Optimization.pdf&Expires=1602734588&Signature=Gbgvh~DpW3niDjoWTT9A4WQusQ7GuYbXtTMFpfLUW-g10sFuF7kc43eb
- Ramu, P., Kumar, M. S., Selvadurai, S., Saravanan, P., & Venkadeswaran, P. (2017). Experimental Investigations and Analysis of Weld Characteristics of AISI 410 Using MIG Welding. 4280–4289. <https://doi.org/10.15680/IJRSET.2017.0603069>
- Reddy, M. B. S. S., Vigneshwar, P., Ram, M. S., Sekhar, D. R., & Harish, Y. S. (2017). Comparative Optimization Study on Vehicle Suspension Parameters for Rider Comfort Based on RSM and GA. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 4(2), 1794–1803. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.02.022>

- Reddy Vempati, S., Brahma Raju, K., & Venkata Subbaiah, K. (2018). Optimization of Welding Parameters of Ti 6al 4v Cruciform shape Weld joint to Improve Weld Strength Based on Taguchi Method. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 5(2), 4948–4957. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.12.072>
- Rizvi, S. A., & Tewari, S. P. (2017). Effect of different welding parameters on the mechanical and microstructural properties of stainless steel 304H welded joints. *International Journal of Engineering, Transactions A: Basics*, 30(10), 1592–1598. <https://doi.org/10.5829/ije.2017.30.10a.21>
- Sankar, B. V., Lawrence, I. D., & Jayabal, S. (2018). Experimental Study and Analysis of Weld Parameters by GRA on MIG Welding. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 5(6), 14309–14316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2018.03.013>
- Sen, R., Choudhury, S. P., Kumar, R., & Panda, A. (2018). A comprehensive review on the feasibility study of metal inert gas welding. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 5(9), 17792–17801. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2018.06.104>
- Shinde, A. P., Deshpande, A. R., Chinchanikar, S. S., & Kulkarni, A. P. (2017). Evaluation of Tensile Strength of a Butt-Welded Joint Considering the Effect of Welding Parameters Using Response Surface Methodology. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 4(8), 7219–7227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.07.049>
- Shukla, B. A., E, M., & Wernke, R. (2018). Analysis of Shielded metal arc welding parameter on Depth of Analysis of Shielded metal arc welding parameter on Depth of Penetration on AISI plates Response surface Penetration on AISI plates using. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 20, 239–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.02.035>
- Singh, S., & Goyal, S. (2017). *A Review on Optimization of Welding Parameters in Arc Welding Process using Taguchi Parametric Optimization Technique*. 5(09), 902–907.
- Srivastava, S., & Garg, R. K. (2017). Process parameter optimization of gas metal arc welding on IS:2062 mild steel using response surface methodology. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 25, 296–305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2016.12.016>
- Tang, L., Ince, A., & Zheng, J. (2020). Numerical modeling of residual stresses and fatigue damage assessment of ultrasonic impact treated 304L stainless steel welded joints. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 108(April), 104277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2019.104277>
- Unni, A. K., & Vasudevan, M. (2023). International Journal of Thermal Sciences Computational fluid dynamics simulation of hybrid laser-MIG welding of 316 LN stainless steel using hybrid heat source. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 185(May 2022), 108042. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2022.108042>
- Wang, Y., Guo, Z., Bai, X., & Yuan, C. (2021). Effect of weld defects on the mechanical properties of stainless-steel weldments on large cruise ship. *Ocean Engineering*, 235(January), 109385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2021.109385>
- Weman, K. (2012). Introduction to welding. *Welding Processes Handbook*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857095183.1>
- Yang, W., Xiao, Y., Shen, H., & Wang, Z. (2023). An effective data enhancement method of deep learning for small weld data defect identification. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 206(June 2022), 112245.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.112245>

Yuguo, L., Yaping, L., & Weixin, G. (2021). *Research on Weld Defect Identification with X-ray Based on Convolutional Neural Network Research on Weld Defect Identification with X-ray Based on Convolutional Neural Network*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1894/1/012071>

Zhang, X., Zhang, X., Zhang, M., Sun, L., & Li, M. (2020). Optimization design and flexible detection method of wall-climbing robot system with multiple sensors integration for magnetic particle testing. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 20(16), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20164582>