

**Optimisation Of Support Vector Machine
Hyperparameters Using Enhanced Artificial Bee
Colony Variant to Diagnose Breast Cancer**

RAVINDRAN A/L NADARAJAN

MASTER OF SCIENCE

**UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG
AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH**

THESIS DECLARATION LETTER (OPTIONAL)

Librarian,
Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah,
Lebuh Persiaran Tun Khalil Yaakob,
26300, Gambang, Kuantan, Pahang.

Dear Sir,

CLASSIFICATION OF THESIS AS RESTRICTED

Please be informed that the following thesis is classified as RESTRICTED for a period of three (3) years from the date of this letter. The reasons for this classification are as listed below.

Author's Name

Thesis Title

Reasons (i)

(ii)

(iii)

Thank you.

Yours faithfully,

(Supervisor's Signature)

Date: 13 DECEMBER 2023

Stamp: DR. NOORAZLIZA BTI SULAIMAN
PENSYARAH KAJIAN
FAKULTI TEKNOLOGI KEJURUTERAAN ELEKTRIK DAN ELEKTRONIK
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG
26600 PEKAN, PAHANG

Note: This letter should be written by the supervisor and addressed to the Librarian, *Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah* with its copy attached to the thesis.



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : TS. DR. NOORAZLIZA BINTI SULAIMAN
Position : SENIOR LECTURER
Date : 13 DECEMBER 2023



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : RAVINDRAN A/L NADARAJAN

ID Number : MTE20001

Date : 7 December 2023

**OPTIMISATION OF SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE HYPERPARAMETERS
USING ENHANCED ARTIFICIAL BEE COLONY VARIANT TO
DIAGNOSE BREAST CANCER**

RAVINDRAN A.L NADARAJAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Electrical and Electronics Engineering Technology

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH

DECEMBER 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply grateful for the support and guidance I received while completing this thesis. The journey was filled with difficulties, but I was fortunate to have a strong support system to help me through it. In particular, I would like to express my heartfelt appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Noorazliza Sulaiman, for her invaluable guidance and assistance. She provided me with valuable insights and helped me to develop my study topics and methodology. Without her guidance, I would not have been able to complete this work to the best of my abilities.

I would also like to thank my parents for their constant support and understanding. Their wise counsel and sympathetic ears were always there for me, and I am forever grateful for their love and encouragement.

Finally, I would like to extend my gratitude to my friend Devin Babu, who provided me with interesting discussions and much-needed distractions during my studies. His friendship and support were invaluable to me, and I am grateful to have had him by my side throughout this journey. Without the help of these individuals, I would not have been able to successfully defend my proposal and complete my thesis.

ABSTRAK

Kanser payudara merupakan punca utama kematian berkaitan kanser di kalangan wanita di seluruh dunia. Pengesahan awal melalui kaedah seperti mammogram dan biopsi adalah penting dalam mengurangkan kematian yang berkaitan dengan kanser. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah-kaedah ini rentan kepada ketidaktepatan disebabkan oleh campur tangan manusia dalam diagnosis. Pembelajaran mesin menawarkan penyelesaian kepada cabaran ini, dengan mesin vektor sokongan (SVM) menjadi pilihan popular untuk diagnosis kanser payudara kerana kekuatan dalam klasifikasi binari, yang sesuai dengan dataset yang digunakan dalam tesis ini. Prestasi SVM boleh dipengaruhi oleh hiperparameter, yang merupakan skala kernel dan dikenali sebagai parameter gamma dan regularisasi. (C). Algoritma metaheuristic diperkenalkan untuk mengoptimumkan hiperparameter. Algoritma ini dinamakan JAABC5ROC ialah peningkatan varian Koloni Lebah Buatan (ABC), JA-ABC5, dengan menggabungkan dengan kadar perubahan (ROC). Gabungan kedua-dua aspek ini boleh membantu menyeimbangkan dan meningkatkan keupayaan penyelidikan dan eksplorasi. Gabungan kedua-dua aspek ini boleh membantu menyeimbangkan dan meningkatkan keupayaan penyelidikan dan eksplorasi. Sebelum menggunakan JAABC5ROC sebagai pengoptimum untuk SVM, 10 fungsi benchmark digunakan untuk menentukan penilaian prestasi SVM. 5 benchmark yang biasa yang (Show Rosenbrok, Sphere, Step dan RS Schwefel Ridges dan RS Zekhelip) dan 5 CEC2017 benchmarks yang (Shifted and Rotated Zakharov Function, Hybrid Function 01, Composite Function 08, Composite Function 09 and Composite Function 10). JAABC5ROC dipilih untuk pengoptimuman yang lebih baik daripada hasil benchmark. Hyperparameter SVM dioptimalkan dengan iterasi 100,500,1500 dan 3000 dan merekodkan nilai. SVM-JAABC5ROC (SVM dioptimalkan oleh JAABC 5ROC) dibandingkan dengan tiga pengoptimuman (Grid search, Random Search dan Bayesian Optimisation) dan dibandingkannya dengan 5 klasifikator (Artificial Neural Network (ANN), K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN), Naïve Bayes, Logistic Regression dan Decision Tree). Kedua-dua pengoptimuman dan klasifikator menggunakan dataset Wisconsin dan Mammography. Dataset Wisconsin mempunyai 31 ciri dan 569 pesakit untuk Dataset Mammography dengan lima ciri dan 962 pesakit. Hasilnya mendedahkan bahawa SVM-JAABC5ROC mempunyai ketepatan 98.6% untuk Dataset Wisconsin dan 85.63% dalam Dataset Mammography apabila dibandingkan dengan optmiser. Untuk perbandingan klasifikator, ketepatan SVM-JAABC5ROC ialah 94.9% untuk Dataset Wisconsin dan 85.63% untuk dataset mammografi. SVM-JAABC5ROC melebihi semua tiga optimizer dan lima klasifikator dalam hal ketepatan untuk kedua-dua kumpulan data Wisconsin dan Mammography. Ini menunjukkan bahawa SVM-JAABC5ROC adalah model yang sangat berkesan untuk tugas klasifikasi pada set data ini.

ABSTRACT

Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths among women globally. Early detection through methods such as mammography and biopsy are crucial in reducing cancer-related mortality. However, these methods are vulnerable to inaccuracies due to human intervention in diagnosis. Machine learning offers a solution to these challenges, with support vector machines (SVM) being a popular choice for breast cancer diagnosis given its strength in binary classification, which suited well with the dataset used in this thesis. The performance of SVM can be affected by hyperparameters, which are kernel scale and known as gamma and regularization parameters (C). A metaheuristic algorithm is introduced to optimise the hyperparameters. This algorithm named JAABC5ROC is the enhancement of Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) variant, JA-ABC5 by combining with Rate of Change (ROC). The combination of these two aspects can assist to balance and enhance the exploration and exploitation capability. The combination of these two aspects can assist to balance and enhance the exploration and exploitation capability. Before using the JAABC5ROC as an optimizer for the SVM, a total of 10 benchmark function were used to determine its performance assessment 5 common benchmarks which are (Shows Rosenbrok, Sphere, Step and RS Schwefel Ridges and RS Zekhelip) and 5 CEC2017 benchmarks which are (Shifted and Rotated Zakharov Function, Hybrid Function 01, Composite Function 08, Composite Function 09 and Composite Function 10). The JAABC5ROC is chosen for its better optimisation from the benchmark result. The hyperparameter of the SVM is optimised with 100,500,1500 and 3000 iteration and record the value. The criterion for its performance is based on the specificity, sensitivity and accuracy. The SVM-JAABC5ROC (SVM optimised by JAABC5ROC) was compared with three optimisers (Grid search, Random Search and Bayesian Optimisation) and compared with 5 classifiers (Artificial Neural Network (ANN), K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN), Naïve Bayes, Logistic Regression and Decision Tree). Both optimisers and classifiers employed the Wisconsin and Mammography dataset. The Wisconsin dataset has 31 features and 569 patients for Mammography dataset with five features and 962 patients. The results revealed that the SVM-JAABC5ROC has an accuracy of 98.6% for Wisconsin Dataset and 85.63% in Mammography Dataset when compared with the optimiser. For the classifier comparison the accuracy of SVM-JAABC5ROC is 94.9% for Wisconsin Dataset and 85.63% for mammography dataset. The SVM-JAABC5ROC outperformed all three optimizers and five classifiers in terms of accuracy for both the Wisconsin and Mammography datasets. This indicates that the SVM-JAABC5ROC is a highly effective model for classification tasks on these datasets.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	14
1.1 Background of Study	14
1.2 Problem Statement	18
1.3 Research Objective	19
1.4 Scope of research and Limitations	20
1.5 Research Contribution	20
1.6 Thesis Organisation	21
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Chronic diseases	23
2.3 Breast Cancer Diagnosis	25
2.3.1 Mammography	25
2.3.2 Dynamic Magnetic Resonance Imaging	26

2.3.3	Biopsy	27
2.3.4	Ultrasound	28
2.4	Breast cancer dataset	30
2.4.1	Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) Data Set	30
2.4.2	Mammography Dataset	32
2.5	Artificial Intelligence (AI)	35
2.5.1	Optimisation Algorithm	37
2.5.2	Classification method	47
2.6	Summary	58
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		60
3.1	Introduction	60
3.2	Overall Research Plan	61
3.3	Development of JAABC5ROC	62
3.3.1	Performance Assessment of the JAABC5ROC	66
3.4	Optimisation of SVM hyperparameters	69
3.5	Performance Assessment of SVM-JAABC5ROC	74
3.6	Summary	80
CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION		81
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Performance Results of JAABC5ROC on Benchmark Functions	81
4.2.1	Classical Benchmark Function	81
4.2.2	CEC2017 Benchmark Function	90
4.3	Comparative Performance Analysis of SVM-JAABC5ROC	97
4.3.1	Performance Results on Wisconsin Breast Cancer Dataset	98
4.3.2	Performance Results on Mammography Breast Cancer Dataset	103

4.4	Summary	109
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		111
5.1	Conclusion	111
5.2	Recommendation and Future Work	112
REFERENCES		114
APPENDICES		124

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary of diagnosis method	29
Table 2.2	Features contained in Wisconsin Dataset	32
Table 2.3	Features contain in mammography dataset	34
Table 2.4	Comparison of SVM variation with its advantages.	51
Table 2.5	Comparison Of Classification method use in Breast cancer	57
Table 3.1	List of Commonly Used Benchmark Functions	67
Table 3.2	List of CEC2017 Benchmark Function used for performance assessment	68
Table 3.3	The features presented in Wisconsin Dataset	72
Table 3.4	The features presented in Mammography Dataset	73
Table 3.5	Optimsier Used to compare with JAABC5ROC	79
Table 3.6	Classifier Used to compare with the SVM-JAABC5ROC	79
Table 4.1	Statistical results of JAABC5ROC performance compared with ABC and JA-ABC5 algorithm	88
Table 4.2	Performance assessment for ABC, JA-ABC5 and JAABC5ROC in CEC2017	96
Table 4.3	Classifier Comparison Table using Wisconsin Dataset	102
Table 4.4	Classifier Comparison Table using Mammography Dataset	108

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Illustration of different pathology classes associated with breast cancer.	15
Figure 2.1	Number of cancer cases for Females in Malaysia at 2020.	24
Figure 2.2	DWI image of breast cancer.	27
Figure 2.3	Classifying malignant and benign cancer.	31
Figure 2.4	Mammography - Breast Imaging Lexicon	33
Figure 2.5	Umbrella of data science techniques.	36
Figure 2.6	Classification of a metaheuristic algorithm	38
Figure 2.7	Pseudocode for standard ABC	40
Figure 2.8	Standard flowchart for ABC	43
Figure 2.9	The new enhanced ABC, which is JA-ABC5.	44
Figure 2.10	Pseudocode for SVM classification.	48
Figure 2.11	Linear SVM classification	49
Figure 3.1	The flowchart of the overall research project	61
Figure 3.2	Flowchart of JAABC5ROC	65
Figure 3.3	Flow chart of the proposed system for breast cancer diagnosis	70
Figure 3.4	Confusion matix for the breast cancer data	75
Figure 3.5	Average K-fold performance for 500 iteration	78
Figure 3.6	Time taken for K-fold to access the performance of the optimised SVM.	78
Figure 4.1	Rosenbrock Function convergence curve	82
Figure 4.2	Sphere Function convergence curve	83
Figure 4.3	Step Function convergence curve	84
Figure 4.4	Random Shiftted Schwefel Ridges convergence curve	85
Figure 4.5	Random Shiftted Zekhelip convergence curve	86
Figure 4.6	Shifted and Rotated Zakharov Function convergence curve	90
Figure 4.7	Hybrid Function 01 convergence curve	92
Figure 4.8	Composite Function 08 convergence curve	92
Figure 4.9	Composite Function 09 convergence curve	93
Figure 4.10	Composite Function 10 convergence curve	94
Figure 4.11	JAABC5ROC as optimiser for SVM hyperparameter using the Wisconsin Dataset	98
Figure 4.12	Comparison of misclassification cost for SVM hyperparameter optimiser using Wisconsin Dataset	99

Figure 4.13	Comparison of sensitivity cost for SVM hyperparameter optimiser using Wisconsin Dataset	101
Figure 4.14	Comparison of specificity cost for SVM hyperparameter optimiser using Wisconsin Dataset	101
Figure 4.15	JAABC5ROC as optimiser for SVM hyperparameter using the Mammography Dataset	103
Figure 4.16	Comparison of misclassification cost for every SVM hyperparameter optimiser using Mammography Dataset	105
Figure 4.17	Comparison of sensitivity cost for every SVM hyperparameter optimiser using Mammography Dataset	106
Figure 4.18	Comparison of specificity cost for every SVM hyperparameter optimiser using Mammography Dataset	107

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Basset, M., Abdel-Fatah, L., & Sangaiah, A. K. (2018). Metaheuristic algorithms: A comprehensive review. In *Computational Intelligence for Multimedia Big Data on the Cloud with Engineering Applications*. Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813314-9.00010-4>
- Afifi, S., GholamHosseini, H., & Sinha, R. (2019). A system on chip for melanoma detection using FPGA-based SVM classifier. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, 65, 57–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpro.2018.12.005>
- Agrawal, P., Abutarboush, H. F., Ganesh, T., & Mohamed, A. W. (2021). Metaheuristic Algorithms on Feature Selection: A Survey of One Decade of Research (2009–2019). *IEEE Access*, 9, 26766–26791. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3056407>
- Alba-Bernal, A., Lavado-Valenzuela, R., Domínguez-Recio, M. E., Jiménez-Rodríguez, B., Queipo-Ortuño, M. I., Alba, E., & Comino-Méndez, I. (2020). Challenges and achievements of liquid biopsy technologies employed in early breast cancer. *EBioMedicine*, 62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.103100>
- Allam, M., & Nandhini, M. (2018). Feature optimization using teaching learning based optimization for breast disease diagnosis. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 7(4), 78–85.
- Alshamlan, H., Badr, G., & Alohal, Y. (2019). Microarray Gene Selection and Cancer Classification Method Using Artificial Bee Colony and SVM Algorithms (ABC-SVM). In *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering* (Vol. 520). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1799-6_59
- Ang, S. L., Ong, H. C., & Low, H. C. (2016). Classification using the general bayesian network. *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 24(1), 205–211.
- Anuar, S., Selamat, A., & Sallehuddin, R. (2016). A modified scout bee for artificial bee colony algorithm and its performance on optimization problems. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, 28(4), 395–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2016.03.001>
- Anvesh, M. (2014). *Machine Learning Approaches for Breast Cancer Diagnosis and their Comparison Department of Computer Science and Engineering National Institute of Technology Rourkela. May*.
- Arora, J. S. (2004). Global Optimization Concepts and Methods for Optimum Design. In *Introduction to Optimum Design* (pp. 565–592). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-012064155-0/50018-5>
- Asri, H., Mousannif, H., Al Moatassime, H., & Noel, T. (2016). Using Machine Learning Algorithms for Breast Cancer Risk Prediction and Diagnosis. *Procedia Computer Science*, 83(Fams), 1064–1069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2016.04.224>
- Awad, N. H., Ali, M. Z., Suganthan, P. N., Liang, J. J., & Qu, B. Y. (2017). *Suganthan P, Ali M, Wu G, Mallipeddi R (2018) Special session & competitions on real-parameter single*

objective optimization. In: Proceedings of the IEEE congress on evolutionary computation (CEC), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Rep., Jul 2018.

- Azar, A. T., & El-Said, S. A. (2014). Performance analysis of support vector machines classifiers in breast cancer mammography recognition. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 24(5), 1163–1177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-012-1324-4>
- Bazila Banu, A., & Thirumalaikolundusubramanian, P. (2018). Comparison of bayes classifiers for breast cancer classification. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 19(10), 2917–2920. <https://doi.org/10.22034/APJCP.2018.19.10.2917>
- Bektas, B., & Babur, S. (2016). Makine Öğrenmesi Teknikleri Kullanılarak Meme Kanseri Teşhisinin Performans Değerlendirmesi Machine Learning Based Performance Development for Diagnosis of Breast Cancer. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 1–4.
- Bergstra, J., & Bengio, Y. (2012). Random search for hyper-parameter optimization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 13, 281–305.
- Bharat, A., Pooja, N., & Reddy, R. A. (2018). Using Machine Learning algorithms for breast cancer risk prediction and diagnosis. *2018 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Circuits, Control, Communication and Computing, I4C 2018*, x, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIMCA.2018.8739696>
- Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, R. L., Torre, L. A., & Jemal, A. (2018). Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 68(6), 394–424. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21492>
- Bulac, C., & Bulac, A. (2016). Decision Trees. In *Advanced Solutions in Power Systems: HVDC, FACTS, and AI Techniques*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119175391.ch18>
- Cawley, G. C., & Talbot, N. L. C. (2010). On over-fitting in model selection and subsequent selection bias in performance evaluation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11, 2079–2107.
- Chen, C.-J., Chang, R.-F., & Chen, D.-R. (2009). Automatic Tumor Diagnosis for Breast Ultrasound Using 3D Sub-volume Registration. *2009 Ninth IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and BioEngineering*, 61–68. <https://doi.org/10.1109/BIBE.2009.17>
- Cherif, W. (2018). Optimization of K-NN algorithm by clustering and reliability coefficients: Application to breast-cancer diagnosis. *Procedia Computer Science*, 127, 293–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.01.125>
- Choi, R. Y., Coyner, A. S., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., Chiang, M. F., & Peter Campbell, J. (2020). Introduction to machine learning, neural networks, and deep learning. *Translational Vision Science and Technology*, 9(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.9.2.14>
- DeSantis, C. E., Ma, J., Gaudet, M. M., Newman, L. A., Miller, K. D., Goding Sauer, A., Jemal, A., & Siegel, R. L. (2019). Breast cancer statistics, 2019. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 69(6), 438–451. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21583>

- Diseases, G. C. (2005). Global Chronic Diseases. *Science*, 307(5708), 317–317. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1108656>
- Du, J., Wang, L., Fei, M., & Menhas, M. I. (2023). A human learning optimization algorithm with competitive and cooperative learning. *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, 9(1), 797–823. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747-022-00808-4>
- Dua, D., & Graff, C. (2017). *{UCI} Machine Learning Repository*. University of California, Irvine, School of Information and Computer Sciences. <http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml>
- El-Baz, A. H. (2014). Hybrid intelligent system-based rough set and ensemble classifier for breast cancer diagnosis. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 26(2), 437–446. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-014-1731-9>
- Elter, M., Schulz-Wendtland, R., & Wittenberg, T. (2007). The prediction of breast cancer biopsy outcomes using two {CAD} approaches that both emphasize an intelligible decision process. *Med. Phys.*, 34(11), 4164–4172.
- Ely, S., & Vioral, A. N. (2007). Breast cancer overview. *Plastic Surgical Nursing*, 27(3), 128–133. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.PSN.0000290281.48197.AE>
- Estes, W. K. (1962). Learning Theory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 13(1), 107–144. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.13.020162.000543>
- Gao, L., Ye, M., & Wu, C. (2017). Cancer classification based on support vector machine optimized by particle swarm optimization and artificial bee colony. *Molecules*, 22(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22122086>
- Gao, S., & Li, H. (2012). Breast cancer diagnosis based on support vector machine. *Proceeding of 2012 International Conference on Uncertainty Reasoning and Knowledge Engineering, URKE 2012*, 240–243. <https://doi.org/10.1109/URKE.2012.6319555>
- Gbenga, D. E., Christopher, N., & Yetunde, D. C. (2017). Performance Comparison of Machine Learning Techniques for Breast Cancer Detection. *Nova Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 6(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.20286/nova-jeas-060105>
- Geisel, J., Raghu, M., & Hooley, R. (2018). The Role of Ultrasound in Breast Cancer Screening: The Case for and Against Ultrasound. *Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI*, 39(1), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.sult.2017.09.006>
- Ghiasi, M. M., & Zendehboudi, S. (2021). Application of decision tree-based ensemble learning in the classification of breast cancer. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 128, 104089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combiomed.2020.104089>
- Graziano, L., Bitencourt, A., Cohen, M., Guatelli, C., Poli, M., Souza, J., & Marques, E. (2017). Elastographic Evaluation of Indeterminate Breast Masses on Ultrasound. *Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia / RBGO Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 39(02), 072–079. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1597753>
- Hamet, P., & Tremblay, J. (2017). Artificial intelligence in medicine. *Metabolism*, 69, S36–S40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2017.01.011>
- Hamsagayathri, P., & Sampath, P. (2017). Decision Tree Classifiers for Classification of Breast

Cancer. *International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research*, 9(2), 31.
<https://doi.org/10.22159/ijcpr.2017v9i1.17377>

Heywang-Köbrunner, S. H., Hacker, A., & Sedlacek, S. (2011). Advantages and disadvantages of mammography screening. *Breast Care*, 6(3), 199–207.
<https://doi.org/10.1159/000329005>

Horng, M. (2017). *The Construction of Support Vector Machine Classifier Using the Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm for Multi-Classifications of Ultrasonic Supraspinatus Images*. 116(Ceie 2016), 554–560. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ceie-16.2017.71>

Hou, R., Mazurowski, M. A., Grimm, L. J., Marks, J. R., King, L. M., Maley, C. C., Hwang, E. S. S., & Lo, J. Y. (2020). Prediction of upstaged ductal carcinoma in situ using forced labeling and domain adaptation. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 67(6), 1565–1572. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2019.2940195>

Hu, Q., & Liu, S. (2020). Progresses of Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Diagnosis in Breast Cancer. *Yangtze Medicine*, 04(02), 85–96.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/ym.2020.42009>

Jerrell, M. E. (1988). A random search strategy for function optimization. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 28(3), 223–229. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0096-3003\(88\)90138-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0096-3003(88)90138-5)

Karabatak, M. (2015). A new classifier for breast cancer detection based on Naïve Bayesian. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 72, 32–36.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2015.04.028>

Karaboga, D., & Akay, B. (2009). A comparative study of Artificial Bee Colony algorithm. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 214(1), 108–132.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2009.03.090>

Karaboga, D., & Basturk, B. (2007). A powerful and efficient algorithm for numerical function optimization: Artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 39(3), 459–471. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-007-9149-x>

Karamizadeh, S., Abdullah, S. M., Halimi, M., Shayan, J., & Rajabi, M. J. (2014). Advantage and drawback of support vector machine functionality. *I4CT 2014 - 1st International Conference on Computer, Communications, and Control Technology, Proceedings, I4ct*, 63–65. <https://doi.org/10.1109/I4CT.2014.6914146>

Kasraeian, S., Allison, D. C., Ahlmann, E. R., Fedenko, A. N., & Menendez, L. R. (2010). A comparison of fine-needle aspiration, core biopsy, and surgical biopsy in the diagnosis of extremity soft tissue masses. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 468(11), 2992–3002. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1401-x>

Keivanfard, F., Teshnehab, M., Shoorehdeli, M. A., Nie, K., & Su, M.-Y. (2010). Feature selection and classification of breast cancer on dynamic Magnetic Resonance Imaging by using artificial neural networks. *2010 17th Iranian Conference of Biomedical Engineering (ICBME)*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBME.2010.5704942>

Kotsiantis, S. B. (2013). Decision trees: A recent overview. *Artificial Intelligence Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-011-9272-4>

- Kousalya, K., Krishnakumar, B., Shanthosh, C. I., Sharmila, R., & Sneha, V. (2020). Diagnosis of breast cancer using machine learning algorithms. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(3 Special Issue), 970–974.
- Lu, J., Liao, X., Li, S., Ouyang, H., Chen, K., & Huang, B. (2019). An Effective ABC-SVM Approach for Surface Roughness Prediction in Manufacturing Processes. *Complexity*, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3094670>
- Mantovani, R. G., Rossi, A. L. D., Vanschoren, J., Bischi, B., & De Carvalho, A. C. P. L. F. (2015). Effectiveness of Random Search in SVM hyper-parameter tuning. *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, 2015-Septem*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN.2015.7280664>
- Mohd Hatta, N., Ali Shah, Z., & Kasim, S. (2020). Evaluate the Performance of SVM Kernel Functions for Multiclass Cancer Classification. *International Journal of Data Science*, 1(1), 37–41. <https://doi.org/10.18517/ijods.1.1.37-41.2020>
- Moro, S., Mahmoudi, M. R., Ghaffary, K. A., Maleki, M., Alidoostan, A., & Jalali, S. M. J. (2017). A comparative analysis of classifiers in cancer prediction using multiple data mining techniques. *International Journal of Business Intelligence and Systems Engineering*, 1(2), 166. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBISE.2017.10009655>
- Musa, R. M., P. P. Abdul Majeed, A., Mohd Razman, M. A., & Shaharudin, M. A. H. (2019). Match outcomes prediction of six top english premier league clubs via machine learning technique. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 1015, 236–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7780-8_20
- Nguyen, V. (2019). Bayesian optimization for accelerating hyper-parameter tuning. *Proceedings - IEEE 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Engineering, AIKE 2019*, 302–305. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AIKE.2019.00060>
- Obaid, O. I., Mohammed, M. A., Abd Ghani, M. K., Mostafa, S. A., & Al-Dhief, F. T. (2018). Evaluating the performance of machine learning techniques in the classification of Wisconsin Breast Cancer. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology(UAE)*, 7(4.36 Special Issue 36), 160–166. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i4.36.23737>
- Okfalisa, Gazalba, I., Mustakim, & Reza, N. G. I. (2018). Comparative analysis of k-nearest neighbor and modified k-nearest neighbor algorithm for data classification. *Proceedings - 2017 2nd International Conferences on Information Technology, Information Systems and Electrical Engineering, ICITISEE 2017, 2018-Janua*, 294–298. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICITISEE.2017.8285514>
- Omondiagbe, D. A., Veeramani, S., & Sidhu, A. S. (2019). Machine Learning Classification Techniques for Breast Cancer Diagnosis. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 495(1), 012033. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/495/1/012033>
- Parmar, D. (2015). *International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research SURVEY ON WEB USAGE MINING AND PRE-FETCHING*. 222–224.
- Peng, C. Y. J., Lee, K. L., & Ingersoll, G. M. (2002). An introduction to logistic regression analysis and reporting. *Journal of Educational Research*, 96(1), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220670209598786>

- Pesapane, F., Codari, M., & Sardanelli, F. (2018). Artificial intelligence in medical imaging: Threat or opportunity? Radiologists again at the forefront of innovation in medicine. *European Radiology Experimental*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41747-018-0061-6>
- Rathi, M. (2012). *Breast Cancer Prediction using Naïve Bayes Classifier*. 1, 77–80.
- Rodriguez, V., Sharma, K., & Walker, D. (2018). *Breast Cancer Prediction with K-Nearest Neighbor Algorithm using Different Distance Measurements*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.20288.79361>
- Rubinstein, Y. R., & Samorodnitsky, G. (1982). Efficiency of the random search method. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 24(4), 257–268. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4754\(82\)90069-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4754(82)90069-6)
- Sadoughi, F., Kazemy, Z., Hamedan, F., Owji, L., Rahmanikatigari, M., & Azadboni, T. T. (2018). Artificial intelligence methods for the diagnosis of breast cancer by image processing: A review. *Breast Cancer: Targets and Therapy*, 10, 219–230. <https://doi.org/10.2147/BCTT.S175311>
- Safdar, S., Rizwan, M., Gadekallu, T. R., Javed, A. R., Rahmani, M. K. I., Jawad, K., & Bhatia, S. (2022). Bio-Imaging-Based Machine Learning Algorithm for Breast Cancer Detection. *Diagnostics*, 12(5), 1134. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12051134>
- Sengar, P. P., Gaikwad, M. J., & Nagdive, A. S. (2020). Comparative study of machine learning algorithms for breast cancer prediction. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Smart Systems and Inventive Technology, ICSSIT 2020*, 796–801. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSSIT48917.2020.9214267>
- Sennerstam, R. B., Franzén, B. S. H., Wiksell, H. O. T., & Auer, G. U. (2017). Core-needle biopsy of breast cancer is associated with a higher rate of distant metastases 5 to 15 years after diagnosis than FNA biopsy. *Cancer Cytopathology*, 125(10), 748–756. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.21909>
- Shahriari, B., Swersky, K., Wang, Z., Adams, R. P., & De Freitas, N. (2016). Taking the human out of the loop: A review of Bayesian optimization. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 104(1), 148–175. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2015.2494218>
- Shekar, B. H., & Dagnew, G. (2019, February 1). Grid search-based hyperparameter tuning and classification of microarray cancer data. *2019 2nd International Conference on Advanced Computational and Communication Paradigms, ICACCP 2019*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACCP.2019.8882943>
- Shirazi, F., & Rashedi, E. (2016). Feature weighting for cancer tumor detection in mammography images using gravitational search algorithm. *2016 6th International Conference on Computer and Knowledge Engineering, ICCKE 2016*, 310–313. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCKE.2016.7802158>
- Showrov, Md. I. H., Islam, M. T., Hossain, Md. D., & Ahmed, Md. S. (2019). Performance Comparison of Three Classifiers for the Classification of Breast Cancer Dataset. *2019 4th International Conference on Electrical Information and Communication Technology (EICT)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EICT48899.2019.9068816>
- Siddiqui, F., Gupta, S., Dubey, S., Murtuza, S., & Jain, A. (2020). Classification and Diagnosis

- of Invasive Ductal Carcinoma Using Deep Learning. *2020 10th International Conference on Cloud Computing, Data Science & Engineering (Confluence)*, 242–247. <https://doi.org/10.1109/Confluence47617.2020.9058077>
- Singh, B. K., Yadav, A., & Singh, S. (2011). *ANN based Classifier System for Digital Mammographic Images*. 35(13), 39–42.
- Sivakumar, R., & Karnan, M. (2012). Diagnose breast cancer through mammograms using EABCO algorithm. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 4(5), 302–307.
- Sperandei, S. (2014). Understanding logistic regression analysis. *Biochimia Medica*, 24(1), 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.11613/BM.2014.003>
- Sree, G., Velvizhi, V. K., & Sundararajan, R. (2012). Electric field distribution of malignant breast tissue under needle electrode configuration. *Annual Report - Conference on Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena, CEIDP, Ilc*, 267–270. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEIDP.2012.6378772>
- Sulaiman, N., Mohamad-Saleh, J., & Abro, A. G. (2015). New enhanced artificial bee colony (JA-ABC5) algorithm with application for reactive power optimization. *Scientific World Journal*, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/396189>
- Sultan, L. R., Schultz, S. M., Cary, T. W., & Sehgal, C. M. (2018). Machine Learning to Improve Breast Cancer Diagnosis by Multimodal Ultrasound. *2018 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS)*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ULTSYM.2018.8579953>
- Taplin, S. H., Abraham, L., Geller, B. M., Yankaskas, B. C., Buist, D. S. M., Smith-Bindman, R., Lehman, C., Weaver, D., Carney, P. A., & Barlow, W. E. (2010). Effect of previous benign breast biopsy on the interpretive performance of subsequent screening mammography. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 102(14), 1040–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djq233>
- Tavares, A. R., Anbalagan, S., Soriano Marcolino, L., & Chaimowicz, L. (2018). Algorithms or Actions? A Study in Large-Scale Reinforcement Learning. *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2717–2723. <https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2018/377>
- Tereshko, V. (2000). Reaction-diffusion model of a honeybee colony's foraging behaviour. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 1917, 807–816. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45356-3_79
- TIWARI, M., Bharuka, R., Shah, P., & Lokare, R. (2020). Breast Cancer Prediction Using Deep Learning and Machine Learning Techniques. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3558786>
- Uddin, K. M. M., Biswas, N., Rikta, S. T., & Dey, S. K. (2023). Machine learning-based diagnosis of breast cancer utilizing feature optimization technique. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update*, 3, 100098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpbup.2023.100098>
- Uzer, M. S., Yilmaz, N., & Inan, O. (2013). Feature selection method based on artificial bee

- colony algorithm and support vector machines for medical datasets classification. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/419187>
- Vapnik, V. N. (1999). An overview of statistical learning theory. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 10(5), 988–999. <https://doi.org/10.1109/72.788640>
- Vijayarajeswari, R., Parthasarathy, P., Vivekanandan, S., & Basha, A. A. (2019). Classification of mammogram for early detection of breast cancer using SVM classifier and Hough transform. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 146, 800–805. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2019.05.083>
- Viswanath, V., Guachi-Guachi, L., & Thirumuruganandham, S. (2019, December 5). *Breast Cancer Detection Using Image Processing Techniques and Classification Algorithms*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Breast-Cancer-Detection-Using-Image-Processing-and-Viswanath-Guachi-Guachi/956fb46011ffcbd9e6ea32ec4282e2abbaad10cb>
- Wang, H., Zheng, B., Yoon, S. W., & Ko, H. S. (2018). A support vector machine-based ensemble algorithm for breast cancer diagnosis. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 267(2), 687–699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.12.001>
- Wang, K., & Dowling, A. W. (2022). Bayesian optimization for chemical products and functional materials. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, 36, 100728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2021.100728>
- Yang, X. S. (2013). Optimization and Metaheuristic Algorithms in Engineering. *Metaheuristics in Water, Geotechnical and Transport Engineering*, January 1970, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-398296-4.00001-5>
- Yuanyuan, S., Yongming, W., Lili, G., Zhongsong, M., & Shan, J. (2017). The comparison of optimizing SVM by GA and grid search. *ICEMI 2017 - Proceedings of IEEE 13th International Conference on Electronic Measurement and Instruments*, 2018-Janua, 354–360. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEMI.2017.8265815>
- Zhang, L., Zhu, Y., Jiang, M., Wu, Y., Deng, K., & Ni, Q. (2021). Body Temperature Monitoring for Regular COVID-19 Prevention Based on Human Daily Activity Recognition. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 21(22), 7540. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21227540>