

MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR AND
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF
POLYETHYLENE TEREPHTHALATE
GLYCOL

RAJAN KUMARESAN

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG
AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I/We* hereby declare that I/We* have checked this thesis/project* and in my/our* opinion, this thesis/project* is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy/ Master of Science.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : Prof. Ts. Ir. Dr. Kumaran Kadirgama

Position : Professor

Date : 08-01-2024

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : Assoc. Prof. Ir. Ts. Dr. Mahendran Samykano

Position : Associate Professor

Date : 08-01-2024



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : RAJAN KUMARESAN

ID Number : PSM2001

Date : 08 January 2024

MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF
POLYETHYLENE TEREPHTHALATE GLYCOL

RAJAN KUMARESAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering Technology

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH

JANUARY 2024

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to my Main Supervisor, Prof. Ts. Ir. Dr. Kumaran A/L Kadirgama and my Co-Supervisor Assoc. Prof. Ir. Ts. Dr. Mahendran A/L Samykano, for their practical guidance, advice, and support throughout my research work. Their contribution in giving ideas has helped me to complete my research and thesis writing successfully. I am genuinely fascinated with his convincing explanations regarding issues beyond textbooks. Also, teach me the fundamental knowledge of DOE and RSM. I attribute the level of my Ph.D. degree to all of their efforts, and without them, this project would not have been completed. I express my gratitude to Dr. Sudhakar Kumarasamy, for being a source of inspiration with his advisory and mentorship skills.

My sincere appreciation also goes to all my colleagues, lab mates and Mechanical Engineering Faculty, UMP staff who had helped me directly and indirectly. The help provided by them is unforgettable. I am grateful to the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE), Universiti of Malaysia Pahang. I appreciate the funding support from the Universiti Malaysia Pahang grant RDU192209, RDU192204 and Doctoral Research Scheme.

I express my warm regards and heartfelt appreciation to my beloved parents Mr. M. Kumaresan and Mrs. K. Rathinadevi, my all-supportive wife - Mrs. B. Sivaranjini, my daughter - Amritalochna. R. S, my Sister- Mrs. K. Ranjitha for their forbearance and unconditional support till the completion of my Ph.D.

Last but not the least, I am always thankful to God Almighty for bestowing his choicest blessings upon me, without which this work would not have got accomplished.

ABSTRAK

Perniagaan telah meningkatkan kualiti dan kapasiti pengeluaran dengan beralih daripada teknologi diputar tangan kepada teknologi automatik sepanjang 50 tahun sebelumnya. Percetakan 3D dan pembuatan aditif (AM) menandakan titik perubahan dalam prototaip. Kaedah yang dibangunkan baru-baru ini boleh menjana model fizikal dengan lebih pantas dan dengan geometri yang lebih kompleks, daripada reka bentuk dan prototaip kepada pengeluaran kumpulan kecil. Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) menjadi lebih menonjol dalam kalangan pendekatan prototaip. Arca geometri polimer termoplastik kompleks paling baik dibuat dengan FDM. FDM ialah pendekatan yang paling menjanjikan untuk pembuatan produk kerana ia boleh bersaing dengan proses pemprosesan polimer standard. PET-G (diubah suai polietilena tereftalat glikol) ialah filamen percetakan 3D termoplastik biasa. Ia mempunyai keseimbangan kekuatan tegangan dan pemanjangan yang baik serta tahan terhadap air, haba dan bahan kimia. Ia biasanya dianggap kalis air dan mempunyai rintangan haba yang sangat baik. Salah satu ciri penting PETG untuk percetakan 3D ialah ia kurang berkemungkinan menjadi rapuh disebabkan peningkatan fleksibilitinya. Sebagai hasil sampingan minyak, PETG tidak boleh terbiodegradasi walaupun boleh dikitar semula sepenuhnya. Matlamat utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengkaji sifat mekanikal dan ciri struktur sampel PETG yang dicetak FDM dengan mempelbagaikan parameter (corak isian, sudut raster). Sampel telah dicetak kepada tiga fasa berbeza 1) Parameter normal, 2) 4 parameter, dan 3) 5 parameter. Sifat mekanikal (Tegangan, lenturan dan lenturan) spesimen PETG telah disiasat mengikut piawaian ASTM. Metodologi permukaan tindak balas (RSM) kemudiannya digunakan untuk menganalisis data eksperimen untuk mencari parameter yang mempunyai kesan paling ketara terhadap sifat mekanikal. RSM digunakan untuk mencipta model matematik kualiti mekanikal untuk meramal parameter mekanikal yang dikehendaki dengan pelbagai peratusan dan corak infill. Dalam parameter biasa, corak sepusat dengan sudut raster 23° mempunyai kekuatan tinggi dalam sifat tegangan. Corak padu dengan sudut raster 90° mempunyai sifat mampatan dan lentur yang terbaik. Dalam 4 parameter, gabungan dengan corak rectilinear dan concentric mempunyai nilai tertinggi berbanding sifat tegangan, mampatan dan lentur. Begitu juga, dalam 5 parameter, gabungan dengan corak atas/bawah rectilinear dan concentric mempunyai nilai tertinggi berbanding sifat tegangan, mampatan dan lentur. Purata sifat tegangan bagi nilai parameter 4 dan 5 digandakan berbanding dengan parameter biasa. Terdapat sedikit peningkatan dalam sifat mampatan berbanding parameter biasa pada 4 dan 5 parameter sampel PETG yang dicetak. Perbezaan antara sampel bercetak biasa dan dua parameter lain dalam sifat lentur adalah dua kali ganda nilai. Kekuatan lentur maksimum 72.05 MPa telah dicapai dalam 5 parameter, dan ia memberi kesan besar kepada sifat lentur spesimen PETG yang dicetak FDM. Juga, persamaan regresi dicipta menggunakan RSM untuk mencapai sifat maksimum menggunakan PETG. Begitu juga, kesan corak isian dan sudut raster pada sifat mekanikal spesimen yang dicetak telah dianalisis. Nilai Pekali penentuan (R^2) adalah lebih daripada 95% dalam semua model menunjukkan bahawa model regresi adalah sesuai. RSM jelas menggambarkan bahawa kedua-dua corak isian dan sudut raster memberi kesan ketara kepada ciri fizikal bahagian FDM. Dalam kerja akan datang, ketebalan Lapisan, jurang udara, sudut raster, peratusan isian dan corak isian boleh dilaraskan untuk mengkaji cara parameter percetakan mempengaruhi sifat mekanikal spesimen yang dicetak dan menambah baik spesimen dan produk FDM berasaskan PETG.

ABSTRACT

Businesses have improved quality and production capacity by switching from hand-cranked to automated technology over the previous 50 years. 3D printing and additive manufacturing (AM) marked a turning point in prototyping. Recently developed methods can generate physical models faster and with more complex geometries, going from designs and prototypes to small-batch production. Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) is becoming more prominent among prototyping approaches. Complex thermoplastic polymer geometric sculptures are best made with FDM. FDM is the most promising approach for product manufacture since it can compete with standard polymer processing processes. PET-G (polyethylene terephthalate glycol-modified) is a common thermoplastic 3D printing filament. It has a good balance of tensile strength and elongation and is resistant to water, heat, and chemicals. It is usually thought to be waterproof and has excellent thermal resistance. One of the essential features of PETG for 3D printing is that it is less likely to become brittle due to its increased flexibility. As an oil by-product, PETG is not biodegradable despite being completely recyclable. The primary goal of this research was to examine the mechanical properties and structural characteristics of FDM-printed PETG samples by varying the parameters (Infill pattern, raster angle). The samples were printed into three different phases 1) Normal parameters, 2) 4 parameters, and 3) 5 parameters. The mechanical properties (Tensile, bending, and flexural) of PETG specimens were investigated in accordance with ASTM standards. The Response surface methodology (RSM) is then used to analyze the experiment's data to find the parameters that have the most significant effect on mechanical properties. RSM was used to create mathematical models of mechanical qualities to predict desired mechanical parameters with various infill percentages and patterns. In normal parameters, the concentric pattern with a 23° raster angle has a high strength in the tensile properties. The cubic pattern with a 90° raster angle has the best compressive and flexural properties. In 4 parameters, the combination with the rectilinear and concentric pattern has the highest values over the tensile, compressive, and flexural properties. Likewise, in 5 parameters, the combination with the rectilinear and concentric top/bottom pattern has the highest values over the tensile, compressive, and flexural properties. The average tensile properties of the 4 and 5 parameter values were doubled compared with the normal parameters. There is some slight improvement in the compressive properties over the normal parameters on the 4 and 5 parameters printed PETG samples. The difference between the normal printed samples and the other two parameters in the flexural properties were double the values. The maximum flexural strength of 72.05 MPa was achieved in the 5 parameters, and it greatly impacted the flexural properties of the FDM-printed PETG specimens. Also, the regression equations were created using the RSM to achieve the maximum properties using the PETG. Likewise, the effect of the infill pattern and raster angle on the mechanical properties of the printed specimens was analyzed. The Coefficient of determination (R^2) value is more than 95% in all the models showing that the regression models are a good fit. The RSM evidently depicts that both the infill pattern and raster angle significantly affect the physical characteristics of the FDM parts. In future work, the Layer thickness, air gap, raster angle, infill percentage, and infill pattern can be adjusted to study how printing parameters affect the mechanical properties of printed specimens and improve PETG-based FDM specimens and products.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	24
1.1 Background of Additive Manufacturing	24
1.2 Problem Statement	27
1.3 Research Objectives	29
1.4 Scope of this Research	30
1.5 Research questions	31
1.6 Significance of research	32
1.7 Organization of the Thesis	32
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	34
2.1 Introduction	34
2.2 AM categories	34
2.1.1 Sheet lamination	37
2.1.2 Material extrusion	37

2.1.3	Powder bed fusion	38
2.1.4	Direct energy deposition	38
2.1.5	Binder jetting	39
2.1.6	Material jetting	39
2.1.7	Vat photopolymerization	40
2.3	Important technologies of AM	40
2.2.1	Stereolithography (SLA)	41
2.2.2	Selective laser sintering (SLS)	41
2.2.3	Inkjet printing	42
2.2.4	Laminated object manufacturing (LOM)	42
2.2.5	Fused deposition modelling (FDM)	43
2.4	Materials for FDM process	51
2.3.1	Polymers	51
2.3.2	Composites	53
2.3.2.1	Biodegradable Materials	54
2.3.2.2	Non-Biodegradable Materials	60
2.5	Parameters of FDM process:	67
2.4.1	Infill Pattern	67
2.4.2	Infill Density	67
2.4.3	Raster Angle	68
2.4.4	Raster Width	69
2.4.5	Layer Thickness	69
2.4.6	Build orientation	70
2.4.7	Printing Speed	71
2.4.8	Air Gap	71
2.4.9	Operating Temperature	71

2.6	Design of Experiment (DOE)	72
2.7	Mechanical properties of FDM parts:	77
2.8	Summary	86
2.9	Research gap	86
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		88
3.1	Introduction	88
3.2	Materials selection	88
3.3	Thermo-Physical properties analysis	90
3.3.1	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)	90
3.3.2	Scanning electron microscope with Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy	91
3.4	Selection of 3D printer	92
3.5	Design of the Specimen	93
3.6	FDM Machining Parameters	93
3.7	Experimental Conduct	97
3.8	Mechanical properties of the specimen	98
3.8.1	Tensile Test Procedure	98
3.8.2	Compression Test Procedure	100
3.8.3	Bending Test Procedure	101
3.9	Design of Experiment (DOE)	102
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		108
4.1	Introduction	108
4.2	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)	109
4.3	Elemental analysis	109
4.4	Mechanical properties of Normal parameters	110

4.4.1	Tensile properties	110
4.4.1.1	Ultimate tensile strength	112
4.4.1.2	Modulus of elasticity	113
4.2.1.3	Yield strength (0.2% offset)	114
4.4.2	Compressive properties	115
4.2.2.1	Compressive strength	117
4.2.2.2	Compressive modulus	118
4.4.3	Flexural properties	118
4.4.1.1	Flexural strength	120
4.2.3.2	Flexural modulus	121
4.5	Mechanical properties of 4 parameters	122
4.5.1	Tensile properties	123
4.5.1.1	Ultimate tensile strength	123
4.5.1.2	Modulus of elasticity	125
4.5.1.3	Yield strength (0.2% offset)	125
4.5.2	Compressive properties	127
4.5.2.1	Compressive strength	127
4.5.2.2	Compressive modulus	128
4.5.3	Flexural properties	129
4.5.3.1	Flexural strength	130
4.5.3.2	Flexural modulus	131
4.6	Mechanical properties of 5 parameters	132
4.6.1	Tensile properties	133
4.6.1.1	Ultimate tensile strength	134
4.6.1.2	Modulus of elasticity	135
4.6.1.3	Yield strength (0.2% offset)	136
4.6.2	Compressive properties	137

4.6.2.1	Compressive strength	139
4.6.2.2	Compressive modulus	140
4.6.3	Flexural properties	141
4.6.3.1	Flexural strength	142
4.6.3.2	Flexural modulus	143
4.7	Microstructural behaviour of various parameter printed PETG specimens	145
4.8	Statistical analysis of normal parameters	151
4.8.1	Statistical analysis on the tensile strength	151
4.8.1.1	Statistical analysis of UTS	151
4.8.1.2	Statistical analysis of modulus of elasticity	155
4.8.1.3	Statistical analysis of yield strength	160
4.8.2	Statistical analysis on the compressive properties	165
4.8.2.1	Statistical analysis of compressive strength	165
4.8.2.2	Statistical analysis of compression modulus	170
4.8.3	Statistical analysis on the flexural properties	175
4.8.3.1	Statistical analysis of flexural strength	175
4.8.3.2	Statistical analysis of flexural modulus	180
4.9	Statistical analysis of 4 parameters	185
4.9.1	Statistical analysis on the tensile strength	185
4.9.1.1	Statistical analysis of UTS	185
4.9.1.2	Statistical analysis of modulus of elasticity	189
4.9.1.3	Statistical analysis of yield strength	194
4.9.2	Statistical analysis on the compressive properties	198
4.9.2.1	Statistical analysis of compressive strength	199
4.9.2.2	Statistical analysis of compression modulus	203
4.9.3	Statistical analysis on the flexural properties	208
4.9.3.1	Statistical analysis of flexural strength	208

4.6.3.2	Statistical analysis of flexural modulus	212
4.10	Statistical analysis of 5 parameters	217
4.10.1	Statistical analysis on the tensile strength	217
4.10.1.1	Statistical analysis of UTS	217
4.10.1.2	Statistical analysis of modulus of elasticity	224
4.10.1.3	Statistical analysis of yield strength	231
4.10.2	Statistical analysis on the compressive properties	238
4.10.2.1	Statistical analysis of compressive strength	238
4.10.2.2	Statistical analysis of compressive modulus	245
4.10.3	Statistical analysis on the flexural properties	252
4.10.3.1	Statistical analysis of flexural strength	253
4.10.3.2	Statistical analysis of flexural modulus	260
4.11	Comparison of mechanical properties of normal, 4 and 5 parameters PETG specimens.	267
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		270
5.1	Introduction	270
5.2	Contribution to Knowledge and Practical Implications	274
5.3	Future scope and recommendations	275
REFERENCES		277
APPENDICES		302

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A. M., Tuan Rahim, T. N. A., Mohamad, D., Akil, H. M., & Rajion, Z. A. (2017). Mechanical and physical properties of highly ZrO₂ / β -TCP filled polyamide 12 prepared via fused deposition modelling (FDM) 3D printer for potential craniofacial reconstruction application. *Materials Letters*, *189*, 307–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2016.11.052>
- Afrose, M. F., Masood, S. H., Iovenitti, P., Nikzad, M., & Sbarski, I. (2016). Effects of part build orientations on fatigue behaviour of FDM-processed PLA material. *Progress in Additive Manufacturing*, *1*(1–2), 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-015-0002-3>
- Ahmed, O., Hasan, S., & Lal, J. (2017). Experimental investigation of time-dependent mechanical properties of PC-ABS prototypes processed by FDM additive manufacturing process. *Materials Letters*, *193*, 58–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2017.01.104>
- Ahn, S. H., Montero, M., Odell, D., Roundy, S., & Wright, P. K. (2002). Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS. In *Rapid Prototyping Journal* (Vol. 8, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540210441166>
- Aihemaiti, P., Jia, R., Aiyiti, W., Jiang, H., & Kasimu, A. (2023). Study on 3D printing process of continuous polyglycolic acid fiber-reinforced polylactic acid degradable composites. *International Journal of Bioprinting*, *9*(4).
- Ajaj, R., Abu Jadayil, W., Anver, H., & Aqil, E. (2022). A revision for the different reuses of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) water bottles. *Sustainability*, *14*(8), 4583.
- Akilesh, M., Elango, P. R., Devanand, A. A., Soundararajan, R., & Varthanan, P. A. (2018). Optimization of selective laser sintering process parameters on surface quality. *3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing Technologies*, 141–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0305-0_13
- Alafaghani, A., & Qattawi, A. (2018). Investigating the effect of fused deposition modeling processing parameters using Taguchi design of experiment method. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, *36*(June), 164–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2018.09.025>

- Alizadeh-Osgouei, M., Li, Y., & Wen, C. (2019). A comprehensive review of biodegradable synthetic polymer-ceramic composites and their manufacture for biomedical applications. *Bioactive Materials*, 4(1), 22–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2018.11.003>
- Andreeßen, C., & Steinbüchel, A. (2019). Recent developments in non-biodegradable biopolymers: Precursors, production processes, and future perspectives. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 103(1), 143–157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9483-6>
- Arif, M. F., Kumar, S., Varadarajan, K. M., & Cantwell, W. J. (2018). Performance of biocompatible PEEK processed by fused deposition additive manufacturing. *Materials and Design*, 146, 249–259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2018.03.015>
- ASTM. (2009). *ASTM International Committee F42 on Additive Manufacturing Technologies, ASTM F2792–10 Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing Technologies*.
- Ayrilmis, N., Kariz, M., Kwon, J. H., & Kitek Kuzman, M. (2019). Effect of printing layer thickness on water absorption and mechanical properties of 3D-printed wood/PLA composite materials. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 102(5–8), 2195–2200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03299-9>
- Azizi Macheuposhti, S., Mohaved, S., & Narayan, R. J. (2019). Inkjet dispensing technologies: recent advances for novel drug discovery. *Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery*, 14(2), 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17460441.2019.1567489>
- Bai, X., Wu, J., Liu, Y., Xu, Y., & Yang, D. (2020). Exploring the characteristics of 3D printing global industry chain and value chain innovation network. *Information Development*, 36(4), 559–575. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666919884348>
- Bakar, N. S. A., Alkahari, M. R., & Boejang, H. (2010). Analysis on fused deposition modelling performance. *Journal of Zhejiang University: Science A*, 11(12), 972–977. <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A1001365>
- Benwood, C., Anstey, A., Andrzejewski, J., Misra, M., & Mohanty, A. K. (2018). Improving the Impact Strength and Heat Resistance of 3D Printed Models: Structure, Property, and Processing Correlations during Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) of Poly(Lactic Acid). *ACS Omega*, 3(4), 4400–4411. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b00129>
- Bhandari, S., Lopez-Anido, R. A., & Gardner, D. J. (2019). Enhancing the interlayer tensile strength of 3D printed short carbon fiber reinforced PETG and PLA

composites via annealing. In *Additive Manufacturing* (Vol. 30).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100922>

Bhatt, P. M., Kabir, A. M., Malhan, R. K., Shah, B., Shembekar, A. V., Yoon, Y. J., & Gupta, S. K. (2019). A robotic cell for multi-resolution additive manufacturing. *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 2019-May*(July 2018), 2800–2807. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA.2019.8793730>

Blok, L. G., Longana, M. L., Yu, H., & Woods, B. K. S. (2018). An investigation into 3D printing of fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites. In *Additive Manufacturing* (Vol. 22, pp. 176–186).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.04.039>

Boparai, K. S., Singh, R., & Singh, H. (2016). Development of rapid tooling using fused deposition modeling: A review. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 22(2), 281–299.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-04-2014-0048>

Boschetto, A., Bottini, L., & Veniali, F. (2016). Finishing of Fused Deposition Modeling parts by CNC machining. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 41, 92–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2016.03.004>

Braconnier, D. J., Jensen, R. E., & Peterson, A. M. (2020). Processing parameter correlations in material extrusion additive manufacturing. In *Additive Manufacturing* (Vol. 31). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100924>

Bryll, K., Piesowicz, E., Szymański, P., Slaczka, W., & Pijanowski, M. (2018). Polymer Composite Manufacturing by FDM 3D Printing Technology. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 237, 0–6. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201823702006>

Calignano, F., Manfredi, D., Ambrosio, E. P., Biamino, S., Lombardi, M., Atzeni, E., Salmi, A., Minetola, P., Iuliano, L., & Fino, P. (2017). Overview on additive manufacturing technologies. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 105(4), 593–612.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2016.2625098>

Camargo, J. C., Machado, Á. R., Almeida, E. C., & Silva, E. F. M. S. (2019). Mechanical properties of PLA-graphene filament for FDM 3D printing. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 103(5–8), 2423–2443. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03532-5>

Caminero, M. A., Chacón, J. M., García-Moreno, I., & Rodríguez, G. P. (2018a). Impact damage resistance of 3D printed continuous fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites using fused deposition modelling. In *Composites Part B: Engineering* (Vol. 148, pp. 93–103). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.04.054>

- Caminero, M. A., Chacón, J. M., García-Moreno, I., & Rodríguez, G. P. (2018b). Impact damage resistance of 3D printed continuous fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites using fused deposition modelling. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, *148*(March), 93–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.04.054>
- Cao, D., Bouzolin, D., Lu, H., & Griffith, D. T. (2023). Bending and shear improvements in 3D-printed core sandwich composites through modification of resin uptake in the skin/core interphase region. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, *264*, 110912.
- Chacón, J. M., Caminero, M. A., García-Plaza, E., & Núñez, P. J. (2017). Additive manufacturing of PLA structures using fused deposition modelling: Effect of process parameters on mechanical properties and their optimal selection. *Materials and Design*, *124*, 143–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.03.065>
- Chaturvedi, V. (2009). *Parametric optimization of fused deposition modeling using response surface methodology*. NIT Rourkela.
- Chen*, Z., , Ziyong Li, Junjie Li, Chengbo Liu, C. L., , Yuelong Fu, C. L., & Yang Li, Pei Wang, Y. H. (2019). 3D printing of ceramics: A review. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, *39*(2–3), 115–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2018.08.048>
- Chen, G., Chen, N., & Wang, Q. (2019a). Fabrication and properties of poly(vinyl alcohol)/ β -tricalcium phosphate composite scaffolds via fused deposition modeling for bone tissue engineering. *Composites Science and Technology*, *172*(January), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2019.01.004>
- Chen, G., Chen, N., & Wang, Q. (2019b). Fabrication and properties of poly(vinyl alcohol)/ β -tricalcium phosphate composite scaffolds via fused deposition modeling for bone tissue engineering. *Composites Science and Technology*, *172*(January), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2019.01.004>
- Chen, S., Lu, J., & Feng, J. (2018). 3D-Printable ABS Blends with Improved Scratch Resistance and Balanced Mechanical Performance. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, *57*(11), 3923–3931. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.7b05074>
- Chen, Y. F., Wang, Y. H., & Tsai, J. che. (2019). Enhancement of surface reflectivity of fused deposition modeling parts by post-processing. *Optics Communications*, *430*(December 2017), 479–485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2018.07.011>
- Chouksey, A. (2012). *Study of parametric optimization of fused deposition modelling process using response surface methodology*.

- Coltelli, M. B., Cinelli, P., Gigante, V., Aliotta, L., Morganti, P., Panariello, L., & Lazzeri, A. (2019). Chitin nanofibrils in poly(Lactic acid) (PLA) nanocomposites: Dispersion and thermo-mechanical properties. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20030504>
- Coogan, T. J., & Kazmer, D. O. (2020). Prediction of interlayer strength in material extrusion additive manufacturing. *Additive Manufacturing*, 35(June). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101368>
- Coppola, B., Cappetti, N., Maio, L. Di, Scarfato, P., & Incarnato, L. (2018). 3D printing of PLA/clay nanocomposites: Influence of printing temperature on printed samples properties. *Materials*, 11(10), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11101947>
- Daminabo, S. C., Goel, S., Grammatikos, S. A., Nezhad, H. Y., & Thakur, V. K. (2020). Fused deposition modeling-based additive manufacturing (3D printing): techniques for polymer material systems. *Materials Today Chemistry*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2020.100248>
- Daver, F., Lee, K. P. M., Brandt, M., & Shanks, R. (2018). Cork–PLA composite filaments for fused deposition modelling. *Composites Science and Technology*, 168(October), 230–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2018.10.008>
- David Stoof, K. P., & and Yuanji Zhang. (2017). Fused Deposition Modelling of Natural Fibre/Polylactic Acid Composites. *Journal of Composites Science*, 1(2), 8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs1010008>
- De Leon, A. C., Chen, Q., Palaganas, N. B., Palaganas, J. O., Manapat, J., & Advincula, R. C. (2016). High performance polymer nanocomposites for additive manufacturing applications. In *Reactive and Functional Polymers* (Vol. 103, pp. 141–155). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reactfunctpolym.2016.04.010>
- DebRoy, T., Wei, H. L., Zuback, J. S., Mukherjee, T., Elmer, J. W., Milewski, J. O., Beese, A. M., Wilson-Heid, A., De, A., & Zhang, W. (2018). Additive manufacturing of metallic components – Process, structure and properties. *Progress in Materials Science*, 92, 112–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2017.10.001>
- Deng, X., Zeng, Z., Peng, B., Yan, S., & Ke, W. (2018). Mechanical properties optimization of poly-ether-ether-ketone via fused deposition modeling. *Materials*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11020216>
- Depuydt, D., Balthazar, M., Hendrickx, K., Six, W., Ferraris, E., Desplentere, F., Ivens, J., & Van Vuure, A. W. (2019). Production and characterization of bamboo and

flax fiber reinforced polylactic acid filaments for fused deposition modeling (FDM). *Polymer Composites*, 40(5), 1951–1963. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24971>

- Dermeik, B., & Travitzky, N. (2020). Laminated Object Manufacturing of Ceramic-Based Materials. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 2000256. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202000256>
- Dickson, A. N., Barry, J. N., McDonnell, K. A., & Dowling, D. P. (2017). Fabrication of continuous carbon, glass and Kevlar fibre reinforced polymer composites using additive manufacturing. In *Additive Manufacturing* (Vol. 16, pp. 146–152). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.06.004>
- Dilag, J., Chen, T., Li, S., & Bateman, S. A. (2019). Design and direct additive manufacturing of three-dimensional surface micro-structures using material jetting technologies. *Additive Manufacturing*, 27(January), 167–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.01.009>
- Dini, F., Ghaffari, S. A., Jafar, J., Hamidreza, R., & Marjan, S. (2020). A review of binder jet process parameters; powder, binder, printing and sintering condition. *Metal Powder Report*, 75(2), 95–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mprp.2019.05.001>
- Dizon, J. R. C., Espera, A. H., Chen, Q., & Advincula, R. C. (2018). Mechanical characterization of 3D-printed polymers. *Additive Manufacturing*, 20, 44–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.12.002>
- Djafari Petroudy, S. R. (2017). Physical and mechanical properties of natural fibers. *Advanced High Strength Natural Fibre Composites in Construction*, 59–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100411-1.00003-0>
- Dong, G., Tang, Y., Li, D., & Zhao, Y. F. (2018). Mechanical properties of continuous kevlar fiber reinforced composites fabricated by fused deposition modeling process. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 26, 774–781.
- Dong, G., Wijaya, G., Tang, Y., & Zhao, Y. F. (2018). Optimizing process parameters of fused deposition modeling by Taguchi method for the fabrication of lattice structures. *Additive Manufacturing*, 19, 62–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.11.004>
- Dul, S., Fambri, L., & Pegoretti, A. (2016). *Composites : Part A Fused deposition modelling with ABS – graphene nanocomposites*. 85, 181–191.
- Durgun, I., & Ertan, R. (2014). Experimental investigation of FDM process for improvement of mechanical properties and production cost. *Rapid Prototyping*

Journal, 20(3), 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-10-2012-0091>

- Es-Said, O. S., Foyos, J., Noorani, R., Mendelson, M., Marloth, R., & Pregger, B. A. (2000). Effect of layer orientation on mechanical properties of rapid prototyped samples. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 15(1), 107–122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10426910008912976>
- Esposito Corcione, C., Gervaso, F., Scalera, F., Padmanabhan, S. K., Madaghiele, M., Montagna, F., Sannino, A., Licciulli, A., & Maffezzoli, A. (2019). Highly loaded hydroxyapatite microsphere/ PLA porous scaffolds obtained by fused deposition modelling. *Ceramics International*, 45(2), 2803–2810. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2018.07.297>
- Fafenrot, S., Grimmelsmann, N., Wortmann, M., & Ehrmann, A. (2017). Three-dimensional (3D) printing of polymer-metal hybrid materials by fused deposition modeling. *Materials*, 10(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10101199>
- Farahani, R. D., Dubé, M., & Therriault, D. (2016). Three-Dimensional Printing of Multifunctional Nanocomposites: Manufacturing Techniques and Applications. *Advanced Materials*, 28(28), 5794–5821. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201506215>
- Fatimatuzahraa, A. W., Farahaina, B., & Yusoff, W. A. Y. (2011). The effect of employing different raster orientations on the mechanical properties and microstructure of Fused Deposition Modeling parts. *ISBEIA 2011 - 2011 IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering and Industrial Applications*, 22–27. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISBEIA.2011.6088811>
- Ferreira, I., Machado, M., Alves, F., & Torres Marques, A. (2019). A review on fibre reinforced composite printing via FFF. In *Rapid Prototyping Journal* (Vol. 25, Issue 6, pp. 972–988). <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-01-2019-0004>
- Frone, A. N., Batalu, D., Chiulan, I., Oprea, M., Gabor, A. R., Nicolae, C. A., Raditoiu, V., Trusca, R., & Panaitescu, D. M. (2020). Morpho-structural, thermal and mechanical properties of PLA/PHB/Cellulose biodegradable nanocomposites obtained by compression molding, extrusion, and 3d printing. *Nanomaterials*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10010051>
- G. Arumaikkannu*, N. Uma Maheshwaraa*, S. G. (2001). *A GENETIC ALGORITHM WITH DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS APPROACH TO PREDICT THE OPTIMAL PROCESS PARAMETERS FOR FDM*. *January*, 43.
- Gao, X., Zhang, D., Wen, X., Qi, S., Su, Y., & Dong, X. (2019). Fused deposition modeling with polyamide 1012. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 25(7), 1145–1154.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-09-2018-0258>

- Geng, L. C., Ruan, X. L., Wu, W. W., Xia, R., & Fang, D. N. (2019). Mechanical Properties of Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) Additive Manufactured Chiral Auxetic Cylindrical Stent. *Experimental Mechanics*, 59(6), 913–925. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11340-019-00489-0>
- Gkartzou, E., Koumoulos, E. P., & Charitidis, C. A. (2017). Production and 3D printing processing of bio-based thermoplastic filament. *Manufacturing Review*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mfreview/2016020>
- Gregor-Svetec, D. (2022). Polymers in printing filaments. In *Polymers for 3D printing* (pp. 155–269). Elsevier.
- Groza, J. R., & Shackelford, J. F. (2007). *Materials processing handbook*. CRC press.
- Guessasma, S., Belhabib, S., & Nouri, H. (2019). Printability and tensile performance of 3D printed polyethylene terephthalate glycol using fused deposition modelling. *Polymers*, 11(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11071220>
- Guo, Y., Patanwala, H. S., Bognet, B., & Ma, A. W. K. (2017). Inkjet and inkjet-based 3D printing: Connecting fluid properties and printing performance. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 23(3), 562–576. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-05-2016-0076>
- Hanon, M. M., Marczis, R., & Zsidai, L. (2019). Anisotropy evaluation of different raster directions, spatial orientations, and fill percentage of 3d printed petg tensile test specimens. *Key Engineering Materials*, 821 KEM, 167–173. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.821.167>
- Haq, R. H. A., Bin Marwah, O. M. F., Rahman, M. N. A., Haw, H. F., Abdullah, H., Ahmad, S., Ariffin, A. M. T., Hassan, M. F., & Yunus, M. Z. (2018). Mechanical properties of PCL/PLA/PEG composite blended with different molecular weight (M W) of PEG for Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) filament wire. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 10(5), 187–192. <https://doi.org/10.30880/ijie.2018.10.05.028>
- Hinchcliffe, S. A., Hess, K. M., & Srubar, W. V. (2016). Experimental and theoretical investigation of prestressed natural fiber-reinforced polylactic acid (PLA) composite materials. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 95, 346–354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2016.03.089>
- Hossain, M. S., Espalin, D., Ramos, J., Perez, M., & Wicker, R. (2014). Improved Mechanical Properties of Fused Deposition Modeling-Manufactured Parts

Through Build Parameter Modifications. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Transactions of the ASME*, 136(6). <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4028538>

- Hu, R., & Lim, J. K. (2007). Fabrication and mechanical properties of completely biodegradable hemp fiber reinforced polylactic acid composites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 41(13), 1655–1669. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998306069878>
- Ivanov, E., Kotsilkova, R., Xia, H., Chen, Y., Donato, R. K., Donato, K., Godoy, A. P., Di Maio, R., Silvestre, C., Cimmino, S., & Angelov, V. (2019). PLA/Graphene/MWCNT composites with improved electrical and thermal properties suitable for FDM 3D printing applications. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 9(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9061209>
- Jaisingh Sheoran, A., & Kumar, H. (2020). Fused Deposition modeling process parameters optimization and effect on mechanical properties and part quality: Review and reflection on present research. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 21, 1659–1672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.11.296>
- Jiang, J., Lou, J., & Hu, G. (2019). Effect of support on printed properties in fused deposition modelling processes. *Virtual and Physical Prototyping*, 14(4), 308–315. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17452759.2019.1568835>
- Jin, Y. A., Li, H., He, Y., & Fu, J. Z. (2015). Quantitative analysis of surface profile in fused deposition modelling. *Additive Manufacturing*, 8, 142–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2015.10.001>
- Justo, J., Távora, L., García-Guzmán, L., & París, F. (2018). Characterization of 3D printed long fibre reinforced composites. *Composite Structures*, 185(October 2017), 537–548. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.11.052>
- K., P., M., M., & P., S. P. (2020). Technologies in additive manufacturing for fiber reinforced composite materials: a review. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, 28, 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2020.01.001>
- Kesavarma, S., Kong, C. K., Samykano, M., Kadirgama, K., & Pandey, A. K. (2020). Bending properties of 3D printed coconut wood-PLA composite. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 736(5). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/736/5/052031>
- Kim, H., Fernando, T., Li, M., Lin, Y., & Tseng, T. L. B. (2018). Fabrication and characterization of 3D printed BaTiO₃/PVDF nanocomposites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 52(2), 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998317704709>

- Kim, J., McBride, S., Tellis, B., Alvarez-Urena, P., Song, Y. H., Dean, D. D., Sylvia, V. L., Elgendy, H., Ong, J., & Hollinger, J. O. (2012). Rapid-prototyped PLGA/ β -TCP/hydroxyapatite nanocomposite scaffolds in a rabbit femoral defect model. *Biofabrication*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1758-5082/4/2/025003>
- Korpela, J., Kokkari, A., Korhonen, H., Malin, M., Narhi, T., & Seppälä, J. (2013). Biodegradable and bioactive porous scaffold structures prepared using fused deposition modeling. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research - Part B Applied Biomaterials*, 101(4), 610–619. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.32863>
- Kottasamy, A., Rajan, K., Samykano, M., Kadirgama, K., Moorthy, K., & Rahman, M. M. (2023). *Preliminary Tensile Investigation of FDM Printed PLA/Copper Composite BT - Technological Advancement in Instrumentation & Human Engineering* (M. H. A. Hassan, M. H. Zohari, K. Kadirgama, N. A. N. Mohamed, & A. Aziz (eds.); pp. 351–361). Springer Nature Singapore.
- Kottasamy, Arvind, Samykano, M., Kadirgama, K., Rahman, M., & Noor, M. M. (2022). Experimental investigation and prediction model for mechanical properties of copper-reinforced polylactic acid composites (Cu-PLA) using FDM-based 3D printing technique. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 119(7–8), 5211–5232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-021-08289-4>
- Kulkarni, P., & Dutta, D. (2000). On the integration of layered manufacturing and material removal processes. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Transactions of the ASME*, 122(1), 100–108. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.538891>
- Kumar, P., Ahuja, I. P. S., & Singh, R. (2012). Application of fusion deposition modelling for rapid investment casting - A review. *International Journal of Materials Engineering Innovation*, 3(3–4), 204–227. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMATEI.2012.049254>
- Kumaresan, R., Kananathan, J., Samykano, M., Kadirgama, K., & Badadhe, A. M. (2023). PARAMETER INFLUENCE ON THE TENSILE PROPERTIES OF FDM PRINTED PLA/COCONUT WOOD. *Journal of Engineering and Technology (JET)*, 14(1), 91–99. <https://doi.org/https://jet.utem.edu.my/jet/article/view/6413>
- Kumaresan, Rajan, Samykano, M., Kadirgama, K., Pandey, A. K., & Rahman, M. M. (2023). Effects of printing parameters on the mechanical characteristics and mathematical modeling of FDM-printed PETG. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-023-12155-w>
- Kumbhar, N. N., & Mulay, A. V. (2018). Post Processing Methods used to Improve Surface Finish of Products which are Manufactured by Additive Manufacturing

Technologies: A Review. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C*, 99(4), 481–487. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40032-016-0340-z>

- Lalehpour, A., & Barari, A. (2016). Post processing for Fused Deposition Modeling Parts with Acetone Vapour Bath. In *IFAC-PapersOnLine* (Vol. 49, Issue 31, pp. 42–48). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.12.159>
- Lay, M., Thajudin, N. L. N., Hamid, Z. A. A., Rusli, A., Abdullah, M. K., & Shuib, R. K. (2019). Comparison of physical and mechanical properties of PLA, ABS and nylon 6 fabricated using fused deposition modeling and injection molding. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 176(July). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2019.107341>
- Le Duigou, A., Barbé, A., Guillou, E., & Castro, M. (2019). 3D printing of continuous flax fibre reinforced biocomposites for structural applications. *Materials and Design*, 180, 4–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2019.107884>
- Le Duigou, A., Castro, M., Bevan, R., & Martin, N. (2016). 3D printing of wood fibre biocomposites: From mechanical to actuation functionality. *Materials and Design*, 96, 106–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2016.02.018>
- Lee, J. Y., An, J., & Chua, C. K. (2017). Fundamentals and applications of 3D printing for novel materials. *Applied Materials Today*, 7, 120–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2017.02.004>
- Lei, Y., Rai, B., Ho, K. H., & Teoh, S. H. (2007). In vitro degradation of novel bioactive polycaprolactone-20% tricalcium phosphate composite scaffolds for bone engineering. *Materials Science and Engineering C*, 27(2), 293–298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2006.05.006>
- Letcher, T. (2016). Imece2014-39379 Material Property Testing of 3D-Printed Specimen. *Proceedings of the ASME 2014 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition*, 1–8.
- Letcher, T. (2017). Imece2015-52634 Experimental Study of Mechanical Properties of Additively. *Proceedings of the ASME 2015 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition IMECE2015, Houston, 2015*, 1–8.
- Li, J., Rossignol, F., & Macdonald, J. (2015). Inkjet printing for biosensor fabrication: Combining chemistry and technology for advanced manufacturing. *Lab on a Chip*, 15(12), 2538–2558. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5lc00235d>
- Li, N., Li, Y., & Liu, S. (2016). Rapid prototyping of continuous carbon fiber

reinforced polylactic acid composites by 3D printing. In *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* (Vol. 238, pp. 218–225).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2016.07.025>

Li, Q., Zhao, W., Li, Y., Yang, W., & Wang, G. (2019). Flexural properties and fracture behavior of CF/PEEK in orthogonal building orientation by FDM: Microstructure and mechanism. *Polymers*, 11(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11040656>

Liu, H., He, H., Peng, X., Huang, B., & Li, J. (2019). Three-dimensional printing of poly(lactic acid) bio-based composites with sugarcane bagasse fiber: Effect of printing orientation on tensile performance. In *Polymers for Advanced Technologies* (Vol. 30, Issue 4, pp. 910–922). <https://doi.org/10.1002/pat.4524>

Liu, Z., Lei, Q., & Xing, S. (2019a). Mechanical characteristics of wood, ceramic, metal and carbon fiber-based PLA composites fabricated by FDM. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 8(5), 3743–3753.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2019.06.034>

Liu, Z., Lei, Q., & Xing, S. (2019b). Mechanical characteristics of wood, ceramic, metal and carbon fiber-based PLA composites fabricated by FDM. In *Journal of Materials Research and Technology* (Vol. 8, Issue 5, pp. 3743–3753).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2019.06.034>

Lužanin, O., Movrin, D., & Plan, M. (2014). *Effect of Layer Thickness , Deposition Angle , and Infill on Maximum Flexural Force in Fdm-Built Specimens*. 39(1).

M. Milosevic, D. S. and K. L. P. (2017). Characterizing the Mechanical Properties of Fused Deposition Modelling Natural Fiber Recycled Polypropylene Composites. *Journal of Composites Science*, 1(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs1010007>

Manapat, J. Z., Chen, Q., Ye, P., & Advincula, R. C. (2017). 3D Printing of Polymer Nanocomposites via Stereolithography. *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*, 302(9), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mame.201600553>

Manen, T. Van, Janbaz, S., & Zadpoor, A. A. (2018). *Programming the shape-shifting of fl at soft matter*. 21(2).

Mansfield, B., Torres, S., Yu, T., & Wu, D. (2019). A review on additive manufacturing of ceramics. *ASME 2019 14th International Manufacturing Science and Engineering Conference, MSEC 2019, 1*, 36–53.
<https://doi.org/10.1115/MSEC2019-2886>

Marwah, O. M. F., Shukri, M. S., Mohamad, E. J., Johar, M. A., Haq, R. H. A., &

- Khirotidin, R. K. (2017). Direct Investment Casting For Pattern Developed By Desktop 3D Printer. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 135. <https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201713500036>
- Masood, S. H., & Song, W. Q. (2004). Development of new metal/polymer materials for rapid tooling using Fused deposition modelling. *Materials and Design*, 25(7), 587–594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2004.02.009>
- Matsuzaki, R., Ueda, M., Namiki, M., Jeong, T. K., Asahara, H., Horiguchi, K., Nakamura, T., Todoroki, A., & Hirano, Y. (2016). Three-dimensional printing of continuous-fiber composites by in-nozzle impregnation. *Scientific Reports*, 6(February), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23058>
- Mazzanti, V., Malagutti, L., & Mollica, F. (2019). FDM 3D printing of polymers containing natural fillers: A review of their mechanical properties. *Polymers*, 11(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11071094>
- McCullough, E. J., & Yadavalli, V. K. (2013). Surface modification of fused deposition modeling ABS to enable rapid prototyping of biomedical microdevices. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 213(6), 947–954. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2012.12.015>
- Medellin-Castillo, H. I., & Zaragoza-Siqueiros, J. (2019). Design and Manufacturing Strategies for Fused Deposition Modelling in Additive Manufacturing: A Review. *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering (English Edition)*, 32(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s10033-019-0368-0>
- Melchels, F. P. W., Feijen, J., & Grijpma, D. W. (2010). A review on stereolithography and its applications in biomedical engineering. *Biomaterials*, 31(24), 6121–6130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.04.050>
- Menčík, P., Přikryl, R., Stehnová, I., Melčová, V., Kontárová, S., Figalla, S., Alexy, P., & Bočkaj, J. (2018). Effect of selected commercial plasticizers on mechanical, thermal, and morphological properties of poly (3-hydroxybutyrate)/poly (lactic acid)/plasticizer biodegradable blends for three-dimensional (3d) print. *Materials*, 11(10), 1893.
- Meteyer, S., Xu, X., Perry, N., & Zhao, Y. F. (2014). Energy and material flow analysis of binder-jetting additive manufacturing processes. In *Procedia CIRP* (Vol. 15, pp. 19–25). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2014.06.030>
- Mitchell, A., Lafont, U., Hołyńska, M., & Semprimoschnig, C. (2018). Additive manufacturing — A review of 4D printing and future applications. *Additive*

Manufacturing, 24(September 2017), 606–626.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.10.038>

- Mohamed, Omar A., Masood, S. H., & Bhowmik, J. L. (2015). Optimization of fused deposition modeling process parameters: a review of current research and future prospects. *Advances in Manufacturing*, 3(1), 42–53.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40436-014-0097-7>
- Mohamed, Omar Ahmed, Masood, S. H., & Bhowmik, J. L. (2016). Mathematical modeling and FDM process parameters optimization using response surface methodology based on Q-optimal design. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40(23–24), 10052–10073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2016.06.055>
- Mohamed, Omar Ahmed, Masood, S. H., & Bhowmik, J. L. (2017a). Experimental investigation of creep deformation of part processed by fused deposition modeling using definitive screening design. *Additive Manufacturing*, 18, 164–170.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.10.013>
- Mohamed, Omar Ahmed, Masood, S. H., & Bhowmik, J. L. (2017b). Process parameter optimization of viscoelastic properties of FDM manufactured parts using response surface methodology. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 4(8), 8250–8259.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.07.167>
- Mohan, N., Senthil, P., Vinodh, S., & Jayanth, N. (2017). A review on composite materials and process parameters optimisation for the fused deposition modelling process. *Virtual and Physical Prototyping*, 12(1), 47–59.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17452759.2016.1274490>
- Mohd Pu'ad, N. A. S., Abdul Haq, R. H., Mohd Noh, H., Abdullah, H. Z., Idris, M. I., & Lee, T. C. (2019). Review on the fabrication of fused deposition modelling (FDM) composite filament for biomedical applications. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 29(November 2018), 228–232.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.05.535>
- Nagendra, J., & Prasad, M. S. G. (2020). FDM Process Parameter Optimization by Taguchi Technique for Augmenting the Mechanical Properties of Nylon–Aramid Composite Used as Filament Material. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C*, 101(2), 313–322. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40032-019-00538-6>
- Nancharaiah, T. (2011). Optimization of Process Parameters in FDM Process Using Design of Experiments. *International Journal on Emerging Technologies* 2(1):, 2(1), 100–102.

- Nancharaiyah, Tata, Raju, D. R., & Raju, V. R. (2010). An experimental investigation on surface quality and dimensional accuracy of FDM components. *International Journal on Emerging Technologies*, 1(2), 106–111.
- Nayak, L., Mohanty, S., Nayak, S. K., & Ramadoss, A. (2019). A review on inkjet printing of nanoparticle inks for flexible electronics. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 7(29), 8771–8795. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9tc01630a>
- Ngo, T. D., Kashani, A., Imbalzano, G., Nguyen, K. T. Q., & Hui, D. (2018). Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 143(February), 172–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.02.012>
- Nguyen, N. A., Bowland, C. C., & Naskar, A. K. (2018). A general method to improve 3D-printability and inter-layer adhesion in lignin-based composites. *Applied Materials Today*, 12, 138–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2018.03.009>
- Nikzad, M., Masood, S. H., Sbarski, I., & Groth, A. (2009). A Study of Melt Flow Analysis of an ABS-Iron Composite in Fused Deposition Modelling Process. *Tsinghua Science and Technology*, 14(June), 29–37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1007-0214\(09\)70063-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1007-0214(09)70063-X)
- Ning, F., Cong, W., Qiu, J., Wei, J., & Wang, S. (2015). Additive manufacturing of carbon fiber reinforced thermoplastic composites using fused deposition modeling. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 80, 369–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2015.06.013>
- Niu, X., Singh, S., Garg, A., Singh, H., Panda, B., Peng, X., & Zhang, Q. (2019). Review of materials used in laser-aided additive manufacturing processes to produce metallic products. *Frontiers of Mechanical Engineering*, 14(3), 282–298. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11465-019-0526-1>
- Nofar, M., Sacligil, D., Carreau, P. J., Kamal, M. R., & Heuzey, M. (2019). International Journal of Biological Macromolecules Poly (lactic acid) blends : Processing , properties and applications. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 125, 307–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.12.002>
- Novakova-Marcincinova, L., Novak-Marcincin, J., Barna, J., & Torok, J. (2012). Special materials used in FDM rapid prototyping technology application. *INES 2012 - IEEE 16th International Conference on Intelligent Engineering Systems, Proceedings*, 73–76. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INES.2012.6249805>
- Nyberg, E., Rindone, A., Dorafshar, A., & Grayson, W. L. (2017). Comparison of 3D-

Printed Poly- ϵ -Caprolactone Scaffolds Functionalized with Tricalcium Phosphate, Hydroxyapatite, Bio-Oss, or Decellularized Bone Matrix. *Tissue Engineering - Part A*, 23(11–12), 503–514. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2016.0418>

Ognjan, L., Vera, G., Ivan, R., & Simon, M. (2017). Investigating impact of five build parameters on the maximum flexural force in FDM specimens – a definitive screening design approach. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 23(6), 1088–1098. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-09-2015-0116>

Ou-yang, Q., Guo, B., & Xu, J. (2018). *Preparation and Characterization of Poly(butylene succinate)/ Polylactide Blends for Fused Deposition Modeling 3D Printing*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b02549>

Pakkanen, J., Manfredi, D., Minetola, P., & Iuliano, L. (2017). About the Use of Recycled or Biodegradable Filaments for Sustainability of 3D Printing. *Sustainable Design and Manufacturing 2017, Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies* 68, 3(April), 11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57078-5>

Pavan Kumar, G., & Regalla, S. P. (2012). Optimization of support material and build time in fused deposition modeling (FDM). *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 110–116, 2245–2251. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.110-116.2245>

Peace, G. S. (1993). *Taguchi methods: a hands-on approach*. Addison Wesley Publishing Company.

Peng, X. (2019). Shape memory effect of three-dimensional printed products based on polypropylene / nylon 6 alloy. *Journal of Materials Science*, 54(12), 9235–9246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-019-03366-2>

Piccioni, V., Leschok, M., Grobe, L. O., Wasilewski, S., Seshadri, B., Hischier, I., & Schlüter, A. (2023). Tuning the Solar Performance of Building Facades through Polymer 3D Printing: Toward Bespoke Thermo-Optical Properties. *Advanced Materials Technologies*, 8(6), 2201200.

Popescu, D., Zapciu, A., Amza, C., Baci, F., & Marinescu, R. (2018). FDM process parameters influence over the mechanical properties of polymer specimens: A review. *Polymer Testing*, 69(May), 157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2018.05.020>

Postiglione, G., Natale, G., Griffini, G., Levi, M., & Turri, S. (2015). Conductive 3D microstructures by direct 3D printing of polymer/carbon nanotube nanocomposites via liquid deposition modeling. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 76, 110–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2015.05.014>

- Rădulescu, B., Mihalache, A. M., Păduraru, E., Hrițuc, A., Rădulescu, M. C., Slătineanu, L., & Ermolai, V. (2023). Tensile Behavior of Chain Links Made of Polymeric Materials Manufactured by 3D Printing. *Polymers*, *15*(15), 3178.
- Rahim, T. N. A. T., Abdullah, A. M., Akil, H. M., Mohamad, D., & Rajion, Z. A. (2017). The improvement of mechanical and thermal properties of polyamide 12 3D printed parts by fused deposition modelling. *Express Polymer Letters*, *11*(12), 963–982. <https://doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2017.92>
- Rajpurohit, S. R., & Dave, H. K. (2018). Effect of process parameters on tensile strength of FDM printed PLA part. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, *24*(8), 1317–1324. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-06-2017-0134>
- Ramírez-Revilla, S., Camacho-Valencia, D., Gonzales-Condori, E. G., & Márquez, G. (2023). Evaluation and comparison of the degradability and compressive and tensile properties of 3D printing polymeric materials: PLA, PETG, PC, and ASA. *MRS Communications*, *13*(1), 55–62.
- Rayegani, F., & Onwubolu, G. C. (2014). Fused deposition modelling (fdm) process parameter prediction and optimization using group method for data handling (gmdh) and differential evolution (de). *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, *73*(1–4), 509–519. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-5835-2>
- Reese, R. (2015). Imece2015-52209 Mechanical Properties of Additively Manufactured Peek Components Using Fused. *Proceedings of the ASME 2015 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, Houston, Texas, 2015*, p. 2015., 1–11.
- Ribeiro, K. S. B., Mariani, F. E., & Coelho, R. T. (2020). A Study of Different Deposition Strategies in Direct Energy Deposition (DED) Processes. In *Procedia Manufacturing* (Vol. 48, pp. 663–670). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.05.158>
- Rochus, P., Plessier, J. Y., Van Elsen, M., Kruth, J. P., Carrus, R., & Dormal, T. (2007). New applications of rapid prototyping and rapid manufacturing (RP/RM) technologies for space instrumentation. *Acta Astronautica*, *61*(1–6), 352–359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2007.01.004>
- Roy, R. K. (2010). *A primer on the Taguchi method* (second). Society of Manufacturing Engineers.
- Ryan, J., Dizon, C., Espera, A. H., Chen, Q., & Advincula, R. C. (2018). *Mechanical*

characterization of 3D-printed polymers. 20, 44–67.

Ryan, K. R., Down, M. P., & Banks, C. E. (2021). Future of additive manufacturing: Overview of 4D and 3D printed smart and advanced materials and their applications. In *Chemical Engineering Journal* (Vol. 403, Issue June 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126162>

Sa'ude, N., Masood, S. H., Nikzad, M., & Ibrahim, M. (n.d.). *Dynamic mechanical properties of copper-ABS composites for FDM feedstock.*

Salem Bala, A., bin Wahab, S., & binti Ahmad, M. (2016). Elements and Materials Improve the FDM Products: A Review. *Advanced Engineering Forum, 16*, 33–51. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/aef.16.33>

Samykan, M., Selvamani, S. K., Kadirgama, K., Ngui, W. K., Kanagaraj, G., & Sudhakar, K. (2019). Mechanical property of FDM printed ABS: influence of printing parameters. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 102*(9–12), 2779–2796. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03313-0>

Sandanamsamy, L., Mogan, J., Rajan, K., Harun, W. S. W., Ishak, I., Romlay, F. R. M., Samykan, M., & Kadirgama, K. (2023). Effect of process parameter on tensile properties of FDM printed PLA. *Materials Today: Proceedings.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.03.217>

Sathies, T., Senthil, P., & Anoop, M. S. (2020). A review on advancements in applications of fused deposition modelling process. *Rapid Prototyping Journal, 26*(4), 669–687. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-08-2018-0199>

Selvamani, S. K., Rajan, K., Samykan, M., Kumar, R. R., Kadirgama, K., & Mohan, R. V. (2022). Investigation of tensile properties of PLA–brass composite using FDM. *Progress in Additive Manufacturing.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-021-00255-6>

Sezer, H. K., & Eren, O. (2019a). FDM 3D printing of MWCNT re-inforced ABS nano-composite parts with enhanced mechanical and electrical properties. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 37*(December 2018), 339–347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2018.12.004>

Sezer, H. K., & Eren, O. (2019b). FDM 3D printing of MWCNT re-inforced ABS nano-composite parts with enhanced mechanical and electrical properties. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 37*(July 2018), 339–347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2018.12.004>

- Shahrubudin, N., Lee, T. C., & Ramlan, R. (2019). An overview on 3D printing technology: Technological, materials, and applications. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 35, 1286–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2019.06.089>
- Shanmugam, V., Johnson, D. J., Babu, K., Rajendran, S., Veerasimman, A., Marimuthu, U., Singh, S., Das, O., Neisiany, R. E., Hedenqvist, M. S., Berto, F., & Ramakrishna, S. (2020). The mechanical testing and performance analysis of polymer-fibre composites prepared through the additive manufacturing. *Polymer Testing*, 93(July 2020), 106925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2020.106925>
- Shofner, M. L., Lozano, K., Rodríguez-Macías, F. J., & Barrera, E. V. (2003). Nanofiber-reinforced polymers prepared by fused deposition modeling. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 89(11), 3081–3090.
- Shubham, P., Sikidar, A., & Chand, T. (2016). The influence of layer thickness on mechanical properties of the 3D printed ABS polymer by fused deposition modeling. *Key Engineering Materials*, 706, 63–67. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.706.63>
- Singh, J., Singh, R., & Singh, H. (2017). Investigations for improving the surface finish of FDM based ABS replicas by chemical vapor smoothing process: A case study. *Assembly Automation*, 37(1), 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AA-12-2015-127>
- Singh, Riya, Gupta, A., Tripathi, O., Srivastava, S., Singh, B., Awasthi, A., Rajput, S. K., Sonia, P., Singhal, P., & Saxena, K. K. (2020). Powder bed fusion process in additive manufacturing: An overview. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 26, 3058–3070. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.02.635>
- Singh, Rupinder, & Garg, H. K. (2016). Fused Deposition Modeling – A State of Art Review and Future Applications. *Reference Module in Materials Science and Materials Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-803581-8.04037-6>
- Singh, S., & Singh, R. (2020). Mechanical characterization and comparison of additive manufactured ABS, Polyflex™ and ABS/Polyflex™ blended functional prototypes. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 26(2), 225–237. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-11-2017-0234>
- Sood, A. K., Ohdar, R. K., & Mahapatra, S. S. (2010). Parametric appraisal of fused deposition modelling process using the grey Taguchi method. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 224(1), 135–145. <https://doi.org/10.1243/09544054JEM1565>

- Sood, Anoop K., Ohdar, R. K., & Mahapatra, S. S. (2012). Experimental investigation and empirical modelling of FDM process for compressive strength improvement. In *Journal of Advanced Research* (Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp. 81–90). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2011.05.001>
- Sood, Anoop Kumar, Ohdar, R. K., & Mahapatra, S. S. (2010). Parametric appraisal of mechanical property of fused deposition modelling processed parts. *Materials and Design*, 31(1), 287–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2009.06.016>
- Spoerk, M., Savandaiah, C., Arbeiter, F., Traxler, G., Cardon, L., Holzer, C., & Sapkota, J. (2018). Anisotropic properties of oriented short carbon fibre filled polypropylene parts fabricated by extrusion-based additive manufacturing. In *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* (Vol. 113, pp. 95–104). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2018.06.018>
- Srinivasan, R., Nirmal Kumar, K., Jenish Ibrahim, A., Anandu, K. V., & Gurudhevan, R. (2020). Impact of fused deposition process parameter (infill pattern) on the strength of PETG part. In *Materials Today: Proceedings* (Vol. 27, pp. 1801–1805). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.03.777>
- Stansbury, J. W., & Idacavage, M. J. (2016). 3D printing with polymers: Challenges among expanding options and opportunities. In *Dental Materials* (Vol. 32, Issue 1, pp. 54–64). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2015.09.018>
- Subramaniam, S. R., Samykano, M., Selvamani, S. K., Ngui, W. K., Kadirgama, K., Sudhakar, K., & Idris, M. S. (2019). 3D printing: Overview of PLA progress. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2059(January). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085958>
- Tao, Y., Wang, H., Li, Z., Li, P., & Shi, S. Q. (2017). Development and application of wood flour-filled polylactic acid composite filament for 3d printing. *Materials*, 10(4), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10040339>
- Tarrés, Q., Melbø, J. K., Delgado-Aguilar, M., Espinach, F. X., Mutjé, P., & Chinga-Carrasco, G. (2018). Bio-polyethylene reinforced with thermomechanical pulp fibers: Mechanical and micromechanical characterization and its application in 3D-printing by fused deposition modelling. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 153(April), 70–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.07.009>
- Tekinalp, H. L., Kunc, V., Velez-Garcia, G. M., Duty, C. E., Love, L. J., Naskar, A. K., Blue, C. A., & Ozcan, S. (2014). Highly oriented carbon fiber-polymer composites via additive manufacturing. In *Composites Science and Technology* (Vol. 105, pp. 144–150). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2014.10.009>

- Tekinalp, H. L., Meng, X., Lu, Y., Kunc, V., Love, L. J., Peter, W. H., & Ozcan, S. (2019). High modulus biocomposites via additive manufacturing: Cellulose nanofibril networks as “microsponges.” *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 173(May 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2019.05.028>
- Thibaut, C., Denneulin, A., Rolland du Roscoat, S., Beneventi, D., Orgéas, L., & Chaussy, D. (2019). A fibrous cellulose paste formulation to manufacture structural parts using 3D printing by extrusion. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 212(January), 119–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.01.076>
- Thomason, J. L. (2002). The influence of fibre length and concentration on the properties of glass fibre reinforced polypropylene: 5. Injection moulded long and short fibre PP. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 33(12), 1641–1652. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X\(02\)00179-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X(02)00179-3)
- Tiwari, S. K., Pande, S., Agrawal, S., & Bobade, S. M. (2015). Selection of selective laser sintering materials for different applications. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21(6), 630–648. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-03-2013-0027>
- Torrado Perez, A. R., Roberson, D. A., & Wicker, R. B. (2014). Fracture surface analysis of 3D-printed tensile specimens of novel ABS-based materials. *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention*, 14(3), 343–353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11668-014-9803-9>
- Tran, T. N., Bayer, I. S., Heredia-Guerrero, J. A., Frugone, M., Lagomarsino, M., Maggio, F., & Athanassiou, A. (2017). Cocoa Shell Waste Biofilaments for 3D Printing Applications. *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*, 302(11), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mame.201700219>
- Türk, D. A., Brenni, F., Zogg, M., & Meboldt, M. (2017). Mechanical characterization of 3D printed polymers for fiber reinforced polymers processing. *Materials and Design*, 118, 256–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.01.050>
- Vaidya, A. A., Collet, C., Gaugler, M., & Lloyd-Jones, G. (2019). Integrating softwood biorefinery lignin into polyhydroxybutyrate composites and application in 3D printing. *Materials Today Communications*, 19(February), 286–296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2019.02.008>
- Valino, A. D., Dizon, J. R. C., Espera, A. H., Chen, Q., Messman, J., & Advincula, R. C. (2019). Advances in 3D printing of thermoplastic polymer composites and nanocomposites. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2019.101162>

- Vasudevarao, B., Natarajan, D. P., & Henderson, M. (2000). Sensitivity of Rp Surface Finish To Process. *In Solid Freeform Fabrication Proceedings*, 251–258. <https://doi.org/10.26153/tsw/3045>
- Vialva, T. (2020). *3D Hubs 3D Printing Trends Report forecasts 24% growth in 3D printing industry over 5 years - 3D Printing Industry*. <https://3dprintingindustry.com/news/3d-hubs-3d-printing-trends-report-forecasts-24-growth-in-3d-printing-industry-over-5-years-167998/>
- Vinitha, M., Rao, A. N., & Mallik, M. K. (2012). Optimization of speed parameters in burnishing of samples fabricated by fused deposition modeling. *International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering*, 2(2), 10–12. <https://doi.org/10.47893/IJMIE.2013.1103>
- Vyavahare, S., Teraiya, S., Panghal, D., & Kumar, S. (2020). Fused deposition modelling: a review. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 26(1), 176–201. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-04-2019-0106>
- Wang, P., Zou, B., Xiao, H., Ding, S., & Huang, C. (2019). Effects of printing parameters of fused deposition modeling on mechanical properties, surface quality, and microstructure of PEEK. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 271(March), 62–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2019.03.016>
- Wang, X., Jiang, M., Zhou, Z., Gou, J., & Hui, D. (2017). 3D printing of polymer matrix composites: A review and prospective. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 110, 442–458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2016.11.034>
- Wankhede, V., Jagetiya, D., Joshi, A., & Chaudhari, R. (2019). Experimental investigation of FDM process parameters using Taguchi analysis. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 27, 2117–2120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.09.078>
- Weisensel, L., Travitzky, N., Sieber, H., & Greil, P. (2004). Laminated Object Manufacturing (LOM) of SiSiC composites. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 6(11), 899–903. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.200400112>
- Weng, Z., Wang, J., Senthil, T., & Wu, L. (2016). Mechanical and thermal properties of ABS/montmorillonite nanocomposites for fused deposition modeling 3D printing. *Materials and Design*, 102, 276–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2016.04.045>
- Westbeek, S., Remmers, J. J. C., van Dommelen, J. A. W., & Geers, M. G. D. (2020). Multi-scale process simulation for additive manufacturing through particle filled vat photopolymerization. *In Computational Materials Science* (Vol. 180). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2020.109647>

- Windsheimer, H., Travitzky, N., Hofenauer, A., & Greil, P. (2007). Laminated object manufacturing of preceramic-paper-derived Si-SiC composites. *Advanced Materials*, 19(24), 4515–4519. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200700789>
- WOHLER, T. T. (2023). Wohlers Report 2023. Wohlers Associates. *Wohlers Associates, Inc., October*. <https://wohlersassociates.com/product/wohlers-report-2022/>
- Wu, D., Spanou, A., Diez-Escudero, A., & Persson, C. (2020). 3D-printed PLA/HA composite structures as synthetic trabecular bone: A feasibility study using fused deposition modeling. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 103(December 2019), 103608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2019.103608>
- Wu, W., Geng, P., Li, G., Zhao, D., Zhang, H., & Zhao, J. (2015). Influence of layer thickness and raster angle on the mechanical properties of 3D-printed PEEK and a comparative mechanical study between PEEK and ABS. *Materials*, 8(9), 5834–5846. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma8095271>
- Xiaoyong, S., Liangcheng, C., Honglin, M., Peng, G., Zhanwei, B., & Cheng, L. (2017). Experimental analysis of high temperature PEEK materials on 3D printing test. *Proceedings - 9th International Conference on Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation, ICMTMA 2017*, 13–16. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMTMA.2017.0012>
- Xu, N., Ye, X., Wei, D., Zhong, J., Chen, Y., Xu, G., & He, D. (2014). 3D artificial bones for bone repair prepared by computed tomography-guided fused deposition modeling for bone repair. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, 6(17), 14952–14963. <https://doi.org/10.1021/am502716t>
- Yang, L., Li, S., Zhou, X., Liu, J., Li, Y., Yang, M., Yuan, Q., & Zhang, W. (2019). Effects of carbon nanotube on the thermal, mechanical, and electrical properties of PLA/CNT printed parts in the FDM process. *Synthetic Metals*, 253(May), 122–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synthmet.2019.05.008>
- Yao, S., Jin, F., Rhee, K. Y., Hui, D., & Park, S. (2018). Recent advances in carbon-fiber-reinforced thermoplastic composites: A review. *Composites Part B*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2017.12.007>
- Yao, X. X., Ge, P., Li, J. Y., Wang, Y. F., Li, T., Liu, W. W., & Zhang, Z. (2020). Controlling the solidification process parameters of direct energy deposition additive manufacturing considering laser and powder properties. *Computational Materials Science*, 182(April). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2020.109788>

- Yap, Y. L., Wang, C., Sing, S. L., Dikshit, V., Yeong, W. Y., & Wei, J. (2017). Material jetting additive manufacturing: An experimental study using designed metrological benchmarks. *Precision Engineering*, *50*, 275–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precisioneng.2017.05.015>
- Yu, D. M., Zhu, C. J., Su, J., & Wang, D. (2011). Process analysis and application for rapid prototyping based on fused deposition modeling. *Advanced Materials Research*, *179–180*, 875–880. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.179-180.875>
- Yu, J., Xu, Y., Li, S., Seifert, G. V., & Becker, M. L. (2017). Three-Dimensional Printing of Nano Hydroxyapatite/Poly(ester urea) Composite Scaffolds with Enhanced Bioactivity. *Biomacromolecules*, *18*(12), 4171–4183. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.7b01222>
- Zakeri, S., Vippola, M., & Levänen, E. (2020). A comprehensive review of the photopolymerization of ceramic resins used in stereolithography. In *Additive Manufacturing* (Vol. 35). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101177>
- Zhang, J., & Peng, A. (2012). Process-parameter optimization for fused deposition modeling based on Taguchi method. *Advanced Materials Research*, *538–541*, 444–447. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.538-541.444>
- Zhang, X., Fan, W., & Liu, T. (2020). Fused deposition modeling 3D printing of polyamide-based composites and its applications. *Composites Communications*, *21*(July). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coco.2020.100413>
- Zhang, Y., Wu, L., Guo, X., Kane, S., Deng, Y., Jung, Y. G., Lee, J. H., & Zhang, J. (2018). Additive Manufacturing of Metallic Materials: A Review. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance*, *27*(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-017-2747-y>
- Zhao, D., Cai, X., Shou, G., Gu, Y., & Wang, P. (2016). Study on the preparation of bamboo plastic composite intend for additive manufacturing. *Key Engineering Materials*, *667*, 250–258. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.667.250>
- Zhong, W., Li, F., Zhang, Z., Song, L., & Li, Z. (2001). Short fiber reinforced composites for fused deposition modeling. *Materials Science and Engineering A*, *301*(2), 125–130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093\(00\)01810-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(00)01810-4)
- Zhou, Y. G., Zou, J. R., Wu, H. H., & Xu, B. P. (2020). Balance between bonding and deposition during fused deposition modeling of polycarbonate and acrylonitrile-

butadiene-styrene composites. *Polymer Composites*, 41(1), 60–72.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25345>

Ziaee, M., & Crane, N. B. (2019). Binder jetting: A review of process, materials, and methods. *Additive Manufacturing*, 28(May), 781–801.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.05.031>

Zindani, D., & Kumar, K. (2019). An insight into additive manufacturing of fiber reinforced polymer composite. In *International Journal of Lightweight Materials and Manufacture* (Vol. 2, Issue 4, pp. 267–278).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlmm.2019.08.004>