

HYBRIDIZATION OF NONLINEAR SINE
COSINE AND SAFE EXPERIMENTATION
DYNAMICS ALGORITHMS FOR SOLVING
CONTROL ENGINEERING OPTIMIZATION
PROBLEMS

MOHD HELMI BIN SUID

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG
AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH



اوتورسيتي مليسيا فهغ السلطان عبد الله
**UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG
AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH**

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis, and, in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. MOHD ASHRAF BIN AHMAD

Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Date : 6 February 2024



اونيورسيتي مليسيا فهغ السلطان عبد الله
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG
AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : MOHD HELMI BIN SUID

ID Number : PEK18004

Date : 6 February 2024

HYBRIDIZATION OF NONLINEAR SINE COSINE AND SAFE
EXPERIMENTATION DYNAMICS ALGORITHMS FOR SOLVING CONTROL
ENGINEERING OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

MOHD HELMI BIN SUID

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Electrical and Electronics Engineering Technology

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG AL-SULTAN ABDULLAH

FEBRUARY 2024

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful.

Praise be to Almighty Allah S.W.T., the Lord of all worlds. My heart brims with gratitude as I attempt to convey my deep appreciation for the boundless Blessings, Mercy, and Rahmah that Almighty Allah S.W.T. has bestowed upon me throughout the remarkable journey of conducting this research. His unwavering guidance has been my steadfast compass, illuminating the path that led me to the successful culmination of my study. Indeed, I am profoundly humbled and abundantly blessed by His grace.

Foremost, I extend my heartfelt appreciation to my esteemed main supervisor, Associate Prof. Ts. Dr. Mohd Ashraf bin Ahmad, whose invaluable guidance, unwavering support, and mentorship have been instrumental in shaping the course of this research. Under his astute tutelage, I have delved into myriad facets of this study, and his reservoir of knowledge, ideas, and encouragement have been pivotal in shaping the essence of this work. I am genuinely indebted for the enriching training and wisdom he has graciously imparted to me over the years. May Allah's blessings be upon him always.

Equally deserving of profound gratitude is the Ministry of Education Malaysia (SLAB scheme) and Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah for the bestowed scholarship, which has been an indispensable pillar of support on this academic journey.

With great joy, I extend my heartfelt appreciation to the members of LabZding research, whose comradeship, cooperation, and unwavering support have fostered an atmosphere of synergy and excellence. I reserve a special token of gratitude for Ts. Dr. Mohd Shafie bin Bakar, whose invaluable assistance in thesis formatting.

Last but certainly not least, my wife, Noor Hanani binti Ahmad, and my cherished daughters (Humaira, Huwaida, and Hadeera) have been a constant source of strength, understanding, and encouragement throughout this odyssey. To my entire family, notably my late father, Hj. Suid bin Khalib, my mother, Hjh. Sodah binti Hamid, my father-in-law, mother-in-law, siblings, extended family, and in-laws, I extend my heartfelt appreciation for their unwavering prayers, unwavering support, and steadfast encouragement.

In culmination, I extend my sincere gratitude to all those who have contributed to this journey. The tapestry of support, mentorship, and love that envelops me is a testament to the power of unity and shared aspirations.

Thank you, from the depths of my heart.

ABSTRAK

Dalam landskap kejuruteraan kawalan yang berkembang pesat dalam kejuruteraan elektrik dan elektronik, kajian ini menangani cabaran kritikal yang ditimbulkan oleh kerumitan reka bentuk sistem yang semakin meningkat. Apabila teknologi terus berkembang, permintaan untuk metodologi yang berkesan untuk mereka bentuk, menganalisis dan mensintesis model sistem yang kompleks menjadi penting. Sebagai jawapan kepada keharusan ini, para penyelidik semakin beralih kepada pendekatan berasaskan pengoptimuman, dengan Algoritma Kosinus Sinus (SCA) muncul sebagai penyelesaian yang menonjol. Walau bagaimanapun, batasan sedia ada dari segi ketepatan penumpuan dan genangan optima tempatan telah mendorong pengenalan penambahbaikan inovatif dalam kajian ini. Algoritma Kosinus Sinus Tak Linear (NSCA) diperkenalkan sebagai sambungan utama, menggabungkan keuntungan menurun tak linear serba boleh ke dalam mekanisme parameter peralihan. Peningkatan ini menawarkan keseimbangan yang disesuaikan antara penerokaan dan eksploitasi, sejajar dengan keperluan khusus masalah kejuruteraan elektrik dan elektronik yang kompleks. Selain itu, Dinamik Percubaan Selamat Algoritma Sinus Tak Linear (NSCA-SED) memperkenalkan penghibridan algoritma berbilang ejen dan ejen tunggal, mempersembahkan pendekatan dinamik dengan gangguan rawak untuk menavigasi trajektori carian dengan berkesan, dan mengeluarkan parameter reka bentuk yang mungkin terperangkap dalam genangan optima tempatan. Penilaian empirikal kaedah yang dicadangkan ini merangkumi set pelbagai 23 fungsi penanda aras, menunjukkan keberkesanannya setanding dengan algoritma metaheuristik yang mantap seperti Pengoptimuman Serigala Kelabu (GWO), Pengoptimuman Multi-Verse (MVO), Algoritma Kosinus Sinus (SCA), Ant Lion Optimizer (ALO), Algoritma Pengoptimuman Moth-Flame (MFO) dan Algoritma Pengoptimuman Belalang (GOA). Aplikasi ini melangkaui masalah pengoptimuman yang telah ditetapkan, menangani eksperimen kontemporari dalam kejuruteraan kawalan, termasuk Pengurangan Pesanan Model, Pengenalan Sistem Tak Linear dan Kawalan Didorong Data. Keputusan simulasi menggariskan kekukuhan dan keunggulan algoritma NSCA dan NSCA-SED dalam konteks ini, mempamerkan penambahbaikan antara 13.97% hingga 97.17% untuk Pengurangan Pesanan Model, 17.76% hingga 99.37% untuk Pengenalan Sistem Tak Linear dan 84.51% hingga 89.47% Kawalan dipacu data jika dibandingkan dengan SCA standard. Ringkasnya, kajian ini bukan sahaja menyumbang kemajuan kepada algoritma pengoptimuman tetapi juga menangani secara langsung dan meningkatkan metodologi dalam kejuruteraan elektrik dan elektronik. Dengan mengatasi batasan pendekatan sedia ada, algoritma NSCA dan NSCA-SED berdiri sebagai alat berharga dalam pengumpulan jurutera kawalan, memudahkan reka bentuk dan pengoptimuman sistem kompleks dalam aplikasi elektrik dan elektronik kontemporari.

ABSTRACT

In the rapidly developing landscape of control engineering within electrical and electronics engineering, the study addresses critical challenges posed by the escalating complexity of system designs. As technology continues to advance, the demand for effective methodologies to design, analyse, and synthesize complex system models becomes vital. In response to this imperative, researchers have increasingly turned to optimization-based approaches, with the Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA) emerging as a prominent solution. However, existing limitations in terms of convergence accuracy and local optima stagnation have prompted the introduction of innovative improvements in this study. The Nonlinear Sine Cosine Algorithm (NSCA) is introduced as a key extension, incorporating a versatile nonlinear decreasing gain into the transition parameter mechanism. This enhancement offers a tailored balance between exploration and exploitation, aligning with the specific requirements of complex electrical and electronics engineering problems. Moreover, the Nonlinear Sine Cosine Algorithm-Safe Experimentation Dynamic (NSCA-SED) introduces a hybridization of multi-agent and single-agent algorithms, presenting a dynamic approach with random perturbation to navigate search trajectories effectively, and release design parameters that might be trapped in local optima. The empirical assessment of these proposed methods encompasses a diverse set of 23 benchmark functions, demonstrating their efficacy comparable to well-established metaheuristic algorithms such as the Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO), Multi-Verse Optimization (MVO), Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA), Ant Lion Optimizer (ALO), Moth-Flame Optimization Algorithm (MFO), and Grasshopper Optimization Algorithm (GOA). The applications extend beyond established optimization problems, addressing contemporary experiments in control engineering, including Model Order Reduction, Nonlinear System Identification, and Data-driven Control. Simulation results underscore the robustness and superiority of the NSCA and NSCA-SED algorithms in these contexts, showcasing improvements ranging from 13.97% to 97.17% for Model Order Reduction, 17.76% to 99.37% for Nonlinear System Identification, and 84.51% to 89.47% for Data-driven Control when compared to the standard SCA. In summary, this study not only contributes advancements to optimization algorithms but also directly addresses and enhances methodologies in electrical and electronics engineering. By overcoming the limitations of existing approaches, the NSCA and NSCA-SED algorithms stand as valuable tools in the collection of control engineers, facilitating the design and optimization of complex systems in contemporary electrical and electronics applications.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Preliminaries	1
1.2 Research Background	5
1.3 Problem Statements and Motivation	9
1.4 Research Objective	10
1.5 Research Scope	11
1.6 Organization of the Thesis	13
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 Class of Metaheuristic Optimization	15
2.3 Overview of Conventional Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA)	18
2.4 Variants of Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA)	23
2.4.1 Modified Variants of SCA	24

2.4.2	Hybridized Variants of SCA	32
2.5	Applications of SCA and Its Variants	40
2.5.1	Benchmark Functions	40
2.5.2	Engineering Applications	43
2.5.3	Recent Control Engineering Applications	50
2.6	Research Gap and Motivation	53
2.7	Summary	55
 CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		 56
3.1	Introduction	56
3.2	Framework of Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA)	58
3.3	Improved Sine Cosine Algorithm	61
3.3.1	Nonlinear Sine Cosine Algorithm (NSCA)	61
3.3.2	Hybrid Nonlinear Sine Cosine Algorithm- Safe Experimentation Dynamics (NSCA-SED)	66
3.4	Benchmarks Functions	71
3.5	Model Order Reduction	77
3.5.1	Problem formulation of MOR	77
3.5.2	Application of Improved SCA for the Model Order Reduction problems	79
3.6	Nonlinear System Identification	80
3.6.1	Problem formulation of Nonlinear System Identification	81
3.6.2	Application of Improved SCA for the Nonlinear System Identification problems	83
3.7	Data-driven Control	86
3.7.1	Problem formulation	88
3.7.2	Application of Improved SCA for the Data-driven Control problem	92

3.8	Summary	93
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Results of Improved SCA to the Benchmark Functions	95
4.2.1	Wilcoxon's Rank Test Results	102
4.2.2	Convergence Analysis	101
4.3	Results of Improved SCA to the Model Order Reduction problems	106
4.3.1	Numerical example	107
4.3.2	Double-Pendulum Overhead Crane	114
4.3.3	Flexible Manipulator	120
4.4	Results of Improved SCA to the Nonlinear System Identification problems	127
4.4.1	Numerical example	128
4.4.2	Twin Rotor System	145
4.4.3	Electro-Mechanical Positioning System	160
4.5	Results of Improved SCA to the Data-driven Control problem	171
4.5.1	Step Response Analysis	173
4.5.2	Trajectory Tracking Analysis	179
4.5.3	Disturbance Rejection Analysis	185
4.6	Summary	189
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		192
5.1	Introduction	192
5.2	Contribution of The Research	193
5.3	Advantages of The Proposed Methods	194
5.4	Recommendations for Future Works	195

REFERENCES

196

APPENDICES

211

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Fatah, S., Ebeed, M., & Kamel, S. (2019). Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch Using Modified Sine Cosine Algorithm. *2019 International Conference on Innovative Trends in Computer Engineering (ITCE)*, 510–514. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITCE.2019.8646460>
- Abualigah, L., & Diabat, A. (2021). Advances in Sine Cosine Algorithm: A comprehensive survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, *54*(4), 2567–2608. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-020-09909-3>
- Abualigah, L., Diabat, A., Mirjalili, S., Abd Elaziz, M., & Gandomi, A. H. (2021). The Arithmetic Optimization Algorithm. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, *376*, 113609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2020.113609>
- Ahmad, M. A., Rohani, M. A., Ismail, R. M. T. R., Jusof, M. F. M., Suid, M. H., & Nasir, A. N. K. (2016). A model-free PID tuning to slosh control using simultaneous perturbation stochastic approximation. *Proceedings - 5th IEEE International Conference on Control System, Computing and Engineering, ICCSCE 2015*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCSCE.2015.7482207>
- Al-qaness, M. A. A., Elaziz, M. A., & Ewees, A. A. (2018). Oil Consumption Forecasting Using Optimized Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System Based on Sine Cosine Algorithm. *IEEE Access*, *6*, 68394–68402. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2879965>
- Ashraf bin Ahmad, M. (2015). *Model-Free Controller Design based on Simultaneous Perturbation Stochastic Approximation*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14989/doctor.k19125>
- Åström, K. J., & Eykhoff, P. (1971). System identification—A survey. *Automatica*, *7*(2), 123–162. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098\(71\)90059-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098(71)90059-8)
- Atashpaz-Gargari, E., & Lucas, C. (2007). Imperialist competitive algorithm: An algorithm for optimization inspired by imperialistic competition. *2007 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, 4661–4667. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEC.2007.4425083>
- Attia, A.-F., El Sehiemy, R. A., & Hasanien, H. M. (2018). Optimal power flow solution in power systems using a novel Sine-Cosine algorithm. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, *99*, 331–343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2018.01.024>
- Aydin, O., Gozde, H., Dursun, M., & Taplamacioglu, M. C. (2019). Comparative Parameter Estimation of Single Diode PV-Cell Model by Using Sine-Cosine Algorithm and Whale Optimization Algorithm. *2019 6th International Conference on Electrical and Electronics Engineering (ICEEE)*, 65–68. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEE2019.2019.00020>
- Bender, F. A., Goltz, S., Braunl, T., & Sawodny, O. (2017). Modeling and Offset-Free Model Predictive Control of a Hydraulic Mini Excavator. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, *14*(4), 1682–1694. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TASE.2017.2700407>
- Bhatnagar, U., & Gupta, A. (2017). Application of grey wolf optimization in order reduction of

- large scale LTI systems. *2017 4th IEEE Uttar Pradesh Section International Conference on Electrical, Computer and Electronics (UPCON), 2018-Janua*, 686–691. <https://doi.org/10.1109/UPCON.2017.8251132>
- Bhatt, R., Parmar, G., Gupta, R., & Sikander, A. (2019). Application of stochastic fractal search in approximation and control of LTI systems. *Microsystem Technologies*, *25*(1), 105–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-018-3939-6>
- Bhattacharjee, K., & Patel, N. (2018). A Comparative Study of Economic Load Dispatch using Sine Cosine Algorithm. *Scientia Iranica*, 0–0. <https://doi.org/10.24200/sci.2018.50635.1796>
- Bhookya, J., & Jatoth, R. K. (2019). Optimal FOPID/PID controller parameters tuning for the AVR system based on sine–cosine–algorithm. *Evolutionary Intelligence*, *12*(4), 725–733. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12065-019-00290-x>
- Bingul, Z., & Karahan, O. (2018). A novel performance criterion approach to optimum design of PID controller using cuckoo search algorithm for AVR system. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, *355*(13), 5534–5559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2018.05.056>
- Biswas, A., Mishra, K. K., Tiwari, S., & Misra, A. K. (2013). Physics-Inspired Optimization Algorithms: A Survey. *Journal of Optimization*, *2013*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/438152>
- Bureerat, S., & Pholdee, N. (2017). Adaptive Sine Cosine Algorithm Integrated with Differential Evolution for Structural Damage Detection. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics): Vol. 10404 1040* (pp. 71–86). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62392-4_6
- Çelik, E. (2018). Incorporation of stochastic fractal search algorithm into efficient design of PID controller for an automatic voltage regulator system. *Neural Computing and Applications*, *30*(6), 1991–2002. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-017-3335-7>
- Çelik, E., & Durgut, R. (2018). Performance enhancement of automatic voltage regulator by modified cost function and symbiotic organisms search algorithm. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, *21*(5), 1104–1111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2018.08.006>
- Chatterjee, S., & Mukherjee, V. (2016). PID controller for automatic voltage regulator using teaching-learning based optimization technique. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, *77*, 418–429.
- Chegini, S. N., Bagheri, A., & Najafi, F. (2018). PSOSCALF: A new hybrid PSO based on Sine Cosine Algorithm and Levy flight for solving optimization problems. *Applied Soft Computing*, *73*, 697–726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.09.019>
- Chen, Hao, Heidari, A. A., Zhao, X., Zhang, L., & Chen, H. (2020). Advanced orthogonal learning-driven multi-swarm sine cosine optimization: Framework and case studies. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *144*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESWA.2019.113113>
- Chen, Huiling, Jiao, S., Heidari, A. A., Wang, M., Chen, X., & Zhao, X. (2019). An opposition-based sine cosine approach with local search for parameter estimation of photovoltaic

- models. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 195, 927–942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.05.057>
- Chen, K., Zhou, F., Yin, L., Wang, S., Wang, Y., & Wan, F. (2018). A hybrid particle swarm optimizer with sine cosine acceleration coefficients. *Information Sciences*, 422, 218–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.09.015>
- Cheng, J., & Duan, Z. (2019). Cloud model based sine cosine algorithm for solving optimization problems. *Evolutionary Intelligence*, 12(4), 503–514. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12065-019-00251-4>
- Chon, K. H., & Cohen, R. J. (1997). Linear and nonlinear ARMA model parameter estimation using an artificial neural network. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 44(3), 168–174. <https://doi.org/10.1109/10.554763>
- Cuevas, E., Díaz, P., Avalos, O., Zaldívar, D., & Pérez-Cisneros, M. (2018). Nonlinear system identification based on ANFIS-Hammerstein model using Gravitational search algorithm. *Applied Intelligence*, 48(1), 182–203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10489-017-0969-1>
- Das, S., Bhattacharya, A., & Chakraborty, A. K. (2018). Solution of short-term hydrothermal scheduling using sine cosine algorithm. *Soft Computing*, 22(19), 6409–6427. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-017-2695-3>
- Dasgupta, D., & Michalewicz, Z. (2013). *Evolutionary algorithms in engineering applications*. Retrieved from [https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=g4urCAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA3&dq=6.%09Dasgupta,+D.,+%26+Michalewicz,+Z.,+\(Eds.\).+\(2013\).+Evolutionary+algorithms+in+engineering+applications.+Springer+Science+%26+Business+Media.&ots=sTJgqJe3IW&sig=oROLVuDr6m188K4q3](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=g4urCAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA3&dq=6.%09Dasgupta,+D.,+%26+Michalewicz,+Z.,+(Eds.).+(2013).+Evolutionary+algorithms+in+engineering+applications.+Springer+Science+%26+Business+Media.&ots=sTJgqJe3IW&sig=oROLVuDr6m188K4q3)
- de Oliveira, L. M., Panoeiro, F. F., Junior, I. C. da S., & Oliveira, L. W. (2018). Application of the sine cosine optimization algorithm for thermal unit commitment. *2018 Simposio Brasileiro de Sistemas Eletricos (SBSE)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SBSE.2018.8395633>
- Desai, S. R., & Prasad, R. (2013). A novel order diminution of LTI systems using big bang big crunch optimization and routh approximation. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(16–17), 8016–8028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2013.02.052>
- Desale, S., Rasool, A., Andhale, S., Trends, P. R.-I. J. C. E. R., & 2015, U. (2015). Heuristic and meta-heuristic algorithms and their relevance to the real world: a survey. *Citeseer*, 2, 296–304. Retrieved from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.741.3773&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Ding, J., Wang, Q., Zhang, Q., Ye, Q., & Ma, Y. (2019). A Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization-Cuckoo Search Algorithm and Its Engineering Applications. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2019, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5213759>
- Dorigo, M., Birattari, M., & Stutzle, T. (2006). Ant colony optimization. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, 1(4), 28–39. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCI.2006.329691>
- dos Santos Coelho, L. (2009). Tuning of PID controller for an automatic regulator voltage system using chaotic optimization approach. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 39(4), 1504–1514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2007.06.018>

- Ekinci, S. (2018). Sinüs kosinüs algoritması kullanarak güç sistemi kararlı kılıcısının optimal tasarımı. *Gazi Üniversitesi Mühendislik-Mimarlık Fakültesi Dergisi*, 2018(18–2), 1329–1350. <https://doi.org/10.17341/gazimmfd.460529>
- Ekinci, S., & Hekimoğlu, B. (2019). Improved Kidney-Inspired Algorithm Approach for Tuning of PID Controller in AVR System. *IEEE Access*, 7, 39935–39947. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2906980>
- Ekinci, S., Hekimoğlu, B., & Kaya, S. (2019). Tuning of PID Controller for AVR System Using Salp Swarm Algorithm. *2018 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Data Processing, IDAP 2018*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IDAP.2018.8620809>
- Ekiz, S., Erdoğan, P., & Özgür, B. (2017). Solving constrained optimization problems with sine-cosine algorithm. *Periodicals of Engineering and Natural Sciences (PEN)*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.21533/pen.v5i3.131>
- Engelbrecht, A. (2007). *Computational intelligence: an introduction*. Retrieved from <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=IZosIcgJMjUC&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=%5B41%5D%09Engelbrecht,+A.P.,+2007.+Computational+intelligence:+an+introduction.+John+Wiley+%26+Sons.&ots=DxfuycBrOi&sig=76Da01Xwa-T7cpxGDK-aIxbB44s>
- Erol, O. K., & Eksin, I. (2006). A new optimization method: Big Bang–Big Crunch. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 37(2), 106–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2005.04.005>
- Fan, Y., Wang, P., Heidari, A. A., Wang, M., Zhao, X., Chen, H., & Li, C. (2020). Rationalized fruit fly optimization with sine cosine algorithm: A comprehensive analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 157, 113486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113486>
- Farasat, A., Menhaj, M. B., Mansouri, T., & Moghadam, M. R. S. (2010). ARO: A new model-free optimization algorithm inspired from asexual reproduction. *Applied Soft Computing*, 10(4), 1284–1292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2010.05.011>
- Fathy, A., Elaziz, M. A., Sayed, E. T., Olabi, A. G., & Rezk, H. (2019). Optimal parameter identification of triple-junction photovoltaic panel based on enhanced moth search algorithm. *Energy*, 188, 116025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116025>
- Feng, Z., Niu, W., Liu, S., Luo, B., Miao, S., & Liu, K. (2020). Multiple hydropower reservoirs operation optimization by adaptive mutation sine cosine algorithm based on neighborhood search and simplex search strategies. *Journal of Hydrology*, 590, 125223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125223>
- Fu, W., Wang, B., Li, X., Liu, L., & Wang, Y. (2019). Ascent Trajectory Optimization for Hypersonic Vehicle Based on Improved Chicken Swarm Optimization. *IEEE Access*, 7, 151836–151850. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2947297>
- Gabis, A. B., Meraihi, Y., Mirjalili, S., & Ramdane-Cherif, A. (2021). A comprehensive survey of sine cosine algorithm: variants and applications. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 54(7), 5469–5540. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-021-10026-y>
- Gaing, Z. L. (2004). A particle swarm optimization approach for optimum design of PID controller in AVR system. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 19(2), 384–391. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEC.2003.821821>

- Ganguli, S., Kaur, G., & Sarkar, P. (2020). Identification in the delta domain: a unified approach via GWOCFA. *Soft Computing*, 24(7), 4791–4808. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00500-019-04232-8>
- Gao, W. F., Liu, S. Y., & Huang, L. L. (2013). A novel artificial bee colony algorithm based on modified search equation and orthogonal learning. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 43(3), 1011–1024. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMCB.2012.2222373>
- Gong, C. (2019). Jaya Algorithm-optimized PID controller for AVR system. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 885, 382–393. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02804-6_52
- Gonidakis, D., & Vlachos, A. (2019). A new sine cosine algorithm for economic and emission dispatch problems with price penalty factors. *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 40(3), 679–697. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2018.1453667>
- Goodall, P., Sharpe, R., & West, A. (2019). A data-driven simulation to support remanufacturing operations. *Computers in Industry*, 105, 48–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.11.001>
- Gotmare, A., Patidar, R., & George, N. V. (2015). Nonlinear system identification using a cuckoo search optimized adaptive Hammerstein model. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(5), 2538–2546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESWA.2014.10.040>
- Gozde, H. (2020). Robust 2DOF state-feedback PI-controller based on meta-heuristic optimization for automatic voltage regulation system. *ISA Transactions*, 98, 26–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2019.08.056>
- Gozde, H., & Taplamacioglu, M. C. (2011). Comparative performance analysis of artificial bee colony algorithm for automatic voltage regulator (AVR) system. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 348(8), 1927–1946.
- Guo, W., Wang, Y., Dai, F., & Xu, P. (2020). Improved sine cosine algorithm combined with optimal neighborhood and quadratic interpolation strategy. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 94, 103779. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2020.103779>
- Gupta, S., & Deep, K. (2019a). A hybrid self-adaptive sine cosine algorithm with opposition based learning. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 119, 210–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESWA.2018.10.050>
- Gupta, S., & Deep, K. (2019b). Improved sine cosine algorithm with crossover scheme for global optimization. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 165, 374–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2018.12.008>
- Gupta, S., & Deep, K. (2020). Hybrid sine cosine artificial bee colony algorithm for global optimization and image segmentation. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 32(13), 9521–9543. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00521-019-04465-6>
- Gupta, S., Deep, K., & Engelbrecht, A. P. (2020). A memory guided sine cosine algorithm for global optimization. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENGAPPAI.2020.103718>
- Gupta, S., Deep, K., Mirjalili, S., & Kim, J. H. (2020). A modified Sine Cosine Algorithm with

- novel transition parameter and mutation operator for global optimization. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 154, 113395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113395>
- Güvenç, U., Yiğit, T., Işık, A. H., & Akkaya, I. (2016). Performance analysis of biogeography-based optimization for automatic voltage regulator system. *Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences*, 24(3), 1150–1162. <https://doi.org/10.3906/elk-1311-111>
- H. Suid, M., Z. Tumari, M., & A. Ahmad, M. (2019). A modified sine cosine algorithm for improving wind plant energy production. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 16(1), 101. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v16.i1.pp101-106>
- Hachino, T., & Shimoda, K, H, T. (2009). Hybrid algorithm for Hammerstein system identification using genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 53, 499–504. Retrieved from <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.193.5507&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Hägglund, T. (2012). Signal Filtering in PID Control. *IFAC Conference on Advances in PID Control*, 1–10. Brescia (Italy).
- Hamad, Q. S., Samma, H., Suandi, S. A., & Mohamad-Saleh, J. (2022). Q-learning embedded sine cosine algorithm (QLESCA). *Expert Systems with Applications*, 193, 116417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.116417>
- Hatamlou, A. (2013). Black hole: A new heuristic optimization approach for data clustering. *Information Sciences*, 222, 175–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2012.08.023>
- Hekimoğlu, B. (2019). Sine-cosine algorithm-based optimization for automatic voltage regulator system. *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control*, 41(6), 1761–1771. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0142331218811453>
- Hekimoğlu, B., & Ekinçi, S. (2018). Grasshopper optimization algorithm for automatic voltage regulator system. *2018 5th International Conference on Electrical and Electronics Engineering, ICEEE 2018*, (November), 152–156. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEE2.2018.8391320>
- Holland, J. H. (1992). Genetic algorithms. *JSTOR*, 267(1), 66–73. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24939139>
- Hou, Z.-S., & Wang, Z. (2013). From model-based control to data-driven control: Survey, classification and perspective. *Information Sciences*, 235, 3–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2012.07.014>
- Hou, Z., & Jin, S. (2011). A Novel Data-Driven Control Approach for a Class of Discrete-Time Nonlinear Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 19(6), 1549–1558. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCST.2010.2093136>
- Hussain, K., Neggaz, N., Zhu, W., & Houssein, E. H. (2021). An efficient hybrid sine-cosine Harris hawks optimization for low and high-dimensional feature selection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 176, 114778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.114778>
- Issa, M., Hassanien, A. E., Oliva, D., Helmi, A., Ziedan, I., & Alzohairy, A. (2018). ASCA-PSO: Adaptive sine cosine optimization algorithm integrated with particle swarm for pairwise

- local sequence alignment. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 99, 56–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESWA.2018.01.019>
- Jaafar, H. I., Mohamed, Z., Shamsudin, M. A., Mohd Subha, N. A., Ramli, L., & Abdullahi, A. M. (2019). Model reference command shaping for vibration control of multimode flexible systems with application to a double-pendulum overhead crane. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 115, 677–695. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymsp.2018.06.005>
- Janot, A., Gautier, M., & Brunot, M. (2019). Data set and reference models of EMPS. *Nonlinear System Identification Benchmarks*. Retrieved from <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02178709/>
- Jin, Q., & Cui, M. (2020). Chaotic salp swarm algorithm: Application to parameter identification for MIMO Hammerstein model under heavy tail noise. *Proceedings - 2020 12th International Conference on Intelligent Human-Machine Systems and Cybernetics, IHMSC 2020*, 1(2), 264–268. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IHMSC49165.2020.00066>
- Jinxing, S., Hongxin, C., Ke, F., Hong, Z., Huanliang, L., & Jiang, C. (2020). Parameter Identification and Control Algorithm of Electrohydraulic Servo System for Robotic Excavator Based on Improved Hammerstein Model. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9216019>
- Jui, J. J., & Ahmad, M. A. (2021). A hybrid metaheuristic algorithm for identification of continuous-time Hammerstein systems. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 95, 339–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2021.01.023>
- Junis, E. F., Jui, J. J., Suid, M. H., & Ahmad, M. A. (2019). Identification of Continuous-time Hammerstein System using Sine Cosine Algorithm. *2019 IEEE 6th International Conference on Smart Instrumentation, Measurement and Application, ICSIMA 2019*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSIMA47653.2019.9057299>
- Jusof, M. F. M., Mohammad, S., Razak, A. A. A., Nasir, A. N. K., Ghazali, M. R., Ahmad, M. A., & Hashim, A. I. (2018). A Kalman-Filter-Based Sine-Cosine Algorithm. *2018 IEEE International Conference on Automatic Control and Intelligent Systems (I2CACIS)*, 137–141. <https://doi.org/10.1109/I2CACIS.2018.8603711>
- Kamboj, V. K., Nandi, A., Bhadoria, A., & Sehgal, S. (2020). An intensify Harris Hawks optimizer for numerical and engineering optimization problems. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ASOC.2019.106018>
- Karaboga, D., & Akay, B. (2009). A comparative study of Artificial Bee Colony algorithm. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 214(1), 108–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AMC.2009.03.090>
- Karami, H., Sanjari, M. J., & Gharehpetian, G. B. (2014). Hyper-Spherical Search (HSS) algorithm: a novel meta-heuristic algorithm to optimize nonlinear functions. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 25(6), 1455–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-014-1636-7>
- Kaveh, A., & Khayatazad, M. (2012). A new meta-heuristic method: Ray Optimization. *Computers & Structures*, 112–113, 283–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2012.09.003>

- Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, 4, 1942–1948. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968>
- Khalilpourazari, S., & Khalilpourazary, S. (2018). SCWOA: an efficient hybrid algorithm for parameter optimization of multi-pass milling process. *Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering*, 35(3), 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21681015.2017.1422040>
- Kim, D. H., Abraham, A., & Cho, J. H. (2007). A hybrid genetic algorithm and bacterial foraging approach for global optimization. *Information Sciences*, 177(18), 3918–3937. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2007.04.002>
- Koronaki, E., Gkinis, P., Beex, L., Bordas, S. P. A., Theodoropoulos, C., & Boudouvis, A. G. (2019). Classification of states and model order reduction of large scale Chemical Vapor Deposition processes with solution multiplicity. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 121, 148–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2018.08.023>
- Laouamer, M., Kouzou, A., Mohammedi, R. ., & Tlemcani, A. (2018). Optimal PMU Placement in Power Grid using Sine Cosine Algorithm. *2018 International Conference on Applied Smart Systems (ICASS)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASS.2018.8651991>
- Lavana, S., & Nagaria, D. (2016). Evolutionary approach for model order reduction. *Perspectives in Science*, 8, 361–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pisc.2016.04.075>
- Lee, K. S., & Geem, Z. W. (2005). A new meta-heuristic algorithm for continuous engineering optimization: Harmony search theory and practice. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 194(36–38), 3902–3933. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2004.09.007>
- Li, C., Luo, Z., Song, Z., Yang, F., Fan, J., & Liu, P. X. (2019). An Enhanced Brain Storm Sine Cosine Algorithm for Global Optimization Problems. *IEEE Access*, 7, 28211–28229. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2900486>
- Li, H.-X. (1999). Identification of Hammerstein models using genetic algorithms. *IEE Proceedings - Control Theory and Applications*, 146(6), 499–504. <https://doi.org/10.1049/ip-cta:19990437>
- Li, S., Fang, H., & Liu, X. (2018). Parameter optimization of support vector regression based on sine cosine algorithm. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 91, 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2017.08.038>
- Long, W., Wu, T., Liang, X., & Xu, S. (2019). Solving high-dimensional global optimization problems using an improved sine cosine algorithm. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 123, 108–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2018.11.032>
- Lu, S., & Jingzhuo, S. (2019). Nonlinear Hammerstein model of ultrasonic motor for position control using differential evolution algorithm. *Ultrasonics*, 94, 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2018.12.012>
- Lv, L., He, D., Lu, M., & Rao, Y. (2019). A Quaternion's Encoding Sine Cosine Algorithm. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 11643 LNCS, 707–718. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26763-6_68

- M. LAXMIDEVI, R., & M. DAMODAR, R. (2017). SINE COSINE ALGORITHM FOR LOSS REDUCTION IN DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WITH UNIFIED POWER QUALITY CONDITIONER. *I-Manager's Journal on Power Systems Engineering*, 5(3), 10. <https://doi.org/10.26634/jps.5.3.13667>
- Ma, L., & Liu, X. (2017). A novel APSO-aided weighted LSSVM method for nonlinear hammerstein system identification. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 354(4), 1892–1906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFRANKLIN.2016.12.022>
- Mahmood, I. A., Moheimani, S. O. R., & Bhikkaji, B. (2008). Precise tip positioning of a flexible manipulator using resonant control. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 13(2), 180–186. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMECH.2008.918494>
- Marden, J. R., Young, H. P., Arslan, G., & Shamma, J. S. (2009). Payoff-Based Dynamics for Multiplayer Weakly Acyclic Games. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 48(1), 373–396. <https://doi.org/10.1137/070680199>
- Mat Jusof, M. F., Amira Mhd Rizal, N., Abd Razak, A. A., Mohammad, S., & Kasruddin Nasir, A. N. (2019). Exponentially Adaptive Sine-Cosine Algorithm for Global Optimization. *2019 IEEE 9th Symposium on Computer Applications & Industrial Electronics (ISCAIE)*, 6–10. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISCAIE.2019.8743786>
- Mehmood, A., Chaudhary, N. I., Zameer, A., & Raja, M. A. Z. (2019). Backtracking search optimization heuristics for nonlinear Hammerstein controlled auto regressive auto regressive systems. *ISA Transactions*, 91, 99–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2019.01.042>
- Meshkat, M., & Parhizgar, M. (2017). A novel weighted update position mechanism to improve the performance of sine cosine algorithm. *2017 5th Iranian Joint Congress on Fuzzy and Intelligent Systems (CFIS)*, 166–171. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CFIS.2017.8003677>
- Mirjalili, S. (2015a). Moth-flame optimization algorithm: A novel nature-inspired heuristic paradigm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 89, 228–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.07.006>
- Mirjalili, S. (2015b). The ant lion optimizer. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 83, 80–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2015.01.010>
- Mirjalili, S. (2016a). Dragonfly algorithm: a new meta-heuristic optimization technique for solving single-objective, discrete, and multi-objective problems. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 27(4), 1053–1073. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-015-1920-1>
- Mirjalili, S. (2016b). SCA: A Sine Cosine Algorithm for solving optimization problems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 96, 120–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.12.022>
- Mirjalili, S., Jangir, P., & Saremi, S. (2017). Multi-objective ant lion optimizer: a multi-objective optimization algorithm for solving engineering problems. *Applied Intelligence*, 46(1), 79–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-016-0825-8>
- Mirjalili, S., & Lewis, A. (2016). The Whale Optimization Algorithm. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 95, 51–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2016.01.008>
- Mirjalili, S. M., Mirjalili, S. Z., Saremi, S., & Mirjalili, S. (2020). Sine cosine algorithm: Theory,

- literature review, and application in designing bend photonic crystal waveguides. *Studies in Computational Intelligence*, 811, 201–217. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-12127-3_12
- Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., & Hatamlou, A. (2016). Multi-Verse Optimizer: a nature-inspired algorithm for global optimization. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 27(2), 495–513. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-015-1870-7>
- Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., & Lewis, A. (2014). Grey Wolf Optimizer. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 69, 46–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2013.12.007>
- Mirjalili, S. Z., Mirjalili, S., Saremi, S., Faris, H., & Aljarah, I. (2018). Grasshopper optimization algorithm for multi-objective optimization problems. *Applied Intelligence*, 48(4), 805–820. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-017-1019-8>
- Mittal, N., Singh, U., & Sohi, B. S. (2016). Modified Grey Wolf Optimizer for Global Engineering Optimization. *Applied Computational Intelligence and Soft Computing*, 2016, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7950348>
- Moghaddam, F. F., Moghaddam, R. F., & Cheriet, M. (2012). *Curved Space Optimization: A Random Search based on General Relativity Theory*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1208.2214>
- Mukherjee, V., & Ghoshal, S. P. (2007). Intelligent particle swarm optimized fuzzy PID controller for AVR system. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 77(12), 1689–1698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2006.12.004>
- Nair, S. S., Rana, K. P. S., Kumar, V., & Chawla, A. (2017). Efficient Modeling of Linear Discrete Filters Using Ant Lion Optimizer. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, 36(4), 1535–1568. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00034-016-0370-z>
- Nanda, S. J., Panda, G., & Majhi, B. (2010). Improved identification of Hammerstein plants using new CPSO and IPSO algorithms. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(10), 6818–6831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESWA.2010.03.043>
- Narendra, K., & Gallman, P. (1966). An iterative method for the identification of nonlinear systems using a Hammerstein model. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 11(3), 546–550. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1966.1098387>
- Nenavath, H., & Jatoth, R. K. (2019). Hybrid SCA–TLBO: a novel optimization algorithm for global optimization and visual tracking. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 31(9), 5497–5526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00521-018-3376-6>
- Nenavath, H., Kumar Jatoth, D. R., & Das, D. S. (2018). A synergy of the sine-cosine algorithm and particle swarm optimizer for improved global optimization and object tracking. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 43, 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2018.02.011>
- Ni, Y., Li, C., Du, Z., & Zhang, G. (2016). Model order reduction based dynamic equivalence of a wind farm. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 83, 96–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2016.03.050>
- Nithila Britt, M., Sivakumar, S., Ompriyadharshini, K., Mrinalini, R., & Nagarajan, S. (2018). Tuning of Finest Controller for AVR Unit-An Examination with Firefly-Algorithm. *2018 IEEE International Conference on System, Computation, Automation and Networking*,

ICSCA 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSCAN.2018.8541164>

- Odili, J. B., Kahar, M. N. M., & Noraziah, A. (2017). Parameters-Tuning of PID controller for automatic voltage regulators using the African buffalo optimization. *PLoS ONE*, *12*(4), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175901>
- Panda, S., Sahu, B. K., & Mohanty, P. K. (2012). Design and performance analysis of PID controller for an automatic voltage regulator system using simplified particle swarm optimization. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, *349*(8), 2609–2625.
- Pandey, V. K., Kar, I., & Mahanta, C. (2016). Control of twin-rotor MIMO system using multiple models with second level adaptation. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, *49*(1), 676–681. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.03.134>
- Patel, S., Stephan, K., Bajpai, M., Das, R., Domin, T. J., Fennell, E., ... Yalla, M. (2004). Performance of Generator protection during major system disturbances. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, *19*(4), 1650–1662. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2003.820613>
- Pradhan, R., Majhi, S. K., & Pati, B. B. (2018). Design of PID controller for automatic voltage regulator system using Ant Lion Optimizer. *World Journal of Engineering*, *15*(3), 373–387. <https://doi.org/10.1108/WJE-05-2017-0102>
- Prajapati, A. K., & Prasad, R. (2020). A New Model Reduction Method for the Linear Dynamic Systems and Its Application for the Design of Compensator. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, *39*(5), 2328–2348. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00034-019-01264-1>
- Qu, C., Zeng, Z., Dai, J., Yi, Z., & He, W. (2018). A Modified Sine-Cosine Algorithm Based on Neighborhood Search and Greedy Levy Mutation. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, *2018*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/4231647>
- Rahmani, R., & Yusof, R. (2014). A new simple, fast and efficient algorithm for global optimization over continuous search-space problems: Radial Movement Optimization. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, *248*, 287–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.09.102>
- Rajesh, K. S., & Dash, S. S. (2019). Load frequency control of autonomous power system using adaptive fuzzy based PID controller optimized on improved sine cosine algorithm. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, *10*(6), 2361–2373. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S12652-018-0834-Z>
- Rajinikanth, V., & Satapathy, S. C. (2015). Design of Controller for Automatic Voltage Regulator Using Teaching Learning Based Optimization. *Procedia Technology*, *21*, 295–302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2015.10.032>
- Rao, R. V., Savsani, V. J., & Vakharia, D. P. (2011). Teaching–learning-based optimization: A novel method for constrained mechanical design optimization problems. *Computer-Aided Design*, *43*(3), 303–315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CAD.2010.12.015>
- Rashedi, E., Nezamabadi-pour, H., & Saryazdi, S. (2009). GSA: A Gravitational Search Algorithm. *Information Sciences*, *179*(13), 2232–2248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.INS.2009.03.004>
- Razmjoooy, N., Khalilpour, M., & Ramezani, M. (2016). A New Meta-Heuristic Optimization

- Algorithm Inspired by FIFA World Cup Competitions: Theory and Its Application in PID Designing for AVR System. *Journal of Control, Automation and Electrical Systems*, 27(4), 419–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40313-016-0242-6>
- Rechenberg, I. (1978). *Evolutionsstrategien*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-81283-5_8
- Rizk-Allah, R. M. (2018). Hybridizing sine cosine algorithm with multi-orthogonal search strategy for engineering design problems. *Journal of Computational Design and Engineering*, 5(2), 249–273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCDE.2017.08.002>
- Rizk-Allah, R. M. (2019a). An improved sine–cosine algorithm based on orthogonal parallel information for global optimization. *Soft Computing*, 23(16), 7135–7161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00500-018-3355-Y>
- Rizk-Allah, R. M. (2019b). An improved sine–cosine algorithm based on orthogonal parallel information for global optimization. *Soft Computing*, 23(16), 7135–7161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-018-3355-y>
- Sahib, M. A. (2015). A novel optimal PID plus second order derivative controller for AVR system. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, 18(2), 194–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2014.11.006>
- Sahu, N., & Londhe, N. D. (2017). Selective harmonic elimination in five level inverter using sine cosine algorithm. *2017 IEEE International Conference on Power, Control, Signals and Instrumentation Engineering (ICPCSI)*, 385–388. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPCSI.2017.8392322>
- Salimi, H. (2015). Stochastic Fractal Search: A powerful metaheuristic algorithm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 75, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.KNOSYS.2014.07.025>
- Sambariya, D. K., & Gupta, T. (2018). Optimal design of PID controller for an AVR system using monarch butterfly optimization. *IEEE International Conference on Information, Communication, Instrumentation and Control, ICICIC 2017, 2018-January*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICOMICON.2017.8279106>
- Sarvat, M. (2001). *Modelling and control of a twin rotor MIMO system*. (University of Sheffield). Retrieved from <https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/14820/>
- Satakshi, Mukherjee, S., & Mittal, R. C. (2005). Order reduction of linear discrete systems using a genetic algorithm. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 29(6), 565–578. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2004.09.016>
- Sato, Y., Shimotani, T., & Igarashi, H. (2017). Synthesis of Cauer-Equivalent Circuit Based on Model Order Reduction Considering Nonlinear Magnetic Property. *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, 53(6). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.2017.2684242>
- Schoukens, M., & Tiels, K. (2017). Identification of block-oriented nonlinear systems starting from linear approximations: A survey. *Automatica*, 85, 272–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2017.06.044>
- SeyedShenava, S., & Delta, S. A. (2017). Tuning controller parameters for AGC of multi-source power system using SCA algorithm. *International Conference on Electrical, Computer, Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering (ICE2017)*, 9. Retrieved from

- https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sajjad-Asefi/publication/323557181_Tuning_Controller_Parameters_for_AGC_of_Multisource_Power_System_using_SCA_Algorithm/links/5a9d58d9a6fdcc3cbacdf811/Tuning-Controller-Parameters-for-AGC-of-Multisource-Power-System-us
- Shamash, Y. (1974). Stable reduced-order models using Padé-type approximations. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 19(5), 615–616. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100661>
- Shayeghi, H., Younesi, A., & Hashemi, Y. (2015). Optimal design of a robust discrete parallel FP+FI+FD controller for the Automatic Voltage Regulator system. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 67, 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.11.013>
- Shi, J., Xu, X., & Dai, Y. (2011). Identification of Hammerstein LSSVM-ARMAX systems and its application in Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor. *Energy Procedia*, 13, 5359–5365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.12.174>
- Shijie, Z., Shijie, Z., Leifu, G., Leifu, G., Jun, T., Jun, T., ... Dongmei, Y. (2020). A novel modified tree-seed algorithm for high-dimensional optimization problems. *Chinese Journal of Electronics*, 29(2), 337–343. <https://doi.org/10.1049/CJE.2020.01.012>
- Shukla, S. K., Koley, E., & Ghosh, S. (2020). A Novel Approach Based on Line Inequality Concept and Sine–Cosine Algorithm for Estimating Optimal Reach Setting of Quadrilateral Relays. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 45(3), 1499–1511. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S13369-019-04004-4>
- Sikander, A. A., & Prasad, B. R. (2015). A Novel Order Reduction Method Using Cuckoo Search Algorithm. *IETE Journal of Research*, 61(2), 83–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03772063.2015.1009396>
- Sikander, A., & Prasad, R. (2015). Soft Computing Approach for Model Order Reduction of Linear Time Invariant Systems. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, 34(11), 3471–3487. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00034-015-0018-4>
- Simon, D. (2008). Biogeography-Based Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 12(6), 702–713. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEVC.2008.919004>
- Singh, N., & Singh, S. B. (2017). A novel hybrid GWO-SCA approach for optimization problems. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, 20(6), 1586–1601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2017.11.001>
- Singh, Narinder, Son, L. H., Chiclana, F., & Magnot, J. P. (2020). A new fusion of salp swarm with sine cosine for optimization of non-linear functions. *Engineering with Computers*, 36(1), 185–212. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00366-018-00696-8>
- Singh, S., Rawat, T. K., & Ashok, A. (2022). Nonlinear System Identification Using Adaptive Volterra Model Optimized with Sine Cosine Algorithm. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering 2022*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S13369-022-06800-X>
- Singh, V. P. (2017). Sine cosine algorithm based reduction of higher order continuous systems. *2017 International Conference on Intelligent Sustainable Systems (ICISS)*, 649–653. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISS1.2017.8389252>

- Suid, M. H., Ahmad, M. A., Ismail, M. R. T. R., Ghazali, M. R., Irawan, A., & Tumari, M. Z. (2018). An Improved Sine Cosine Algorithm for Solving Optimization Problems. *2018 IEEE Conference on Systems, Process and Control (ICSPC)*, 209–213. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SPC.2018.8703982>
- Sulaiman, S. F., Rahmat, M. F., Faudzi, A. A. M., Osman, K., Sunar, N. H., Najib, S., ... Takzim, D. (2017). Hammerstein Model Based RLS Algorithm for Modeling the Intelligent Pneumatic Actuator (IPA) System. *Core.Ac.Uk*, 7(4). Retrieved from <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/205684673.pdf>
- Tawhid, M. A., & Savsani, V. (2019). Multi-objective sine-cosine algorithm (MO-SCA) for multi-objective engineering design problems. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 31(S2), 915–929. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-017-3049-x>
- Tay, T. T., Mareels, I. M. Y., & Moore, J. B. (2012). *High Performance Control*. Retrieved from https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=QBAGCAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR13&dq=%5B45%5D%09Tay,+T.T.,+Mareels,+I.+and+Moore,+J.B.,+2012.+High+performance+control.+Springer+Science+%26+Business+Media.+&ots=9mI2Ri8lmF&sig=t6tqnrTckE_OnIDYPNZVJ74i1MA
- Togun, N., Baysec, S., & Kara, T. (2012). Nonlinear modeling and identification of a spark ignition engine torque. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 26(1), 294–304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YMSSP.2011.06.010>
- Turgut, O. E. (2017). Thermal and Economical Optimization of a Shell and Tube Evaporator Using Hybrid Backtracking Search—Sine–Cosine Algorithm. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 42(5), 2105–2123. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-017-2458-6>
- Wang, J., Zhang, Q., & Ljung, L. (2009). Revisiting the Two-Stage Algorithm for Hammerstein system identification. *Proceedings of the 48th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC) Held Jointly with 2009 28th Chinese Control Conference*, 3620–3625. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2009.5400243>
- Wang, M., & Lu, G. (2021). A Modified Sine Cosine Algorithm for Solving Optimization Problems. *IEEE Access*, 9, 27434–27450. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3058128>
- Wang, Y., Li, H. X., Huang, T., & Li, L. (2014). Differential evolution based on covariance matrix learning and bimodal distribution parameter setting. *Applied Soft Computing*, 18, 232–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ASOC.2014.01.038>
- Yulianto, A., & Winardi, B. K. K. (2017). Optimasi economic dispatch pada unit pembangkit pltu tanjung jati b menggunakan metode sine cosine algorithm. *Transient: Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Elektro*, 6(4), 334–341. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14710/transient.6.4.534-341>
- Zeng, G. Q., Chen, J., Dai, Y. X., Li, L. M., Zheng, C. W., & Chen, M. R. (2015). Design of fractional order PID controller for automatic regulator voltage system based on multi-objective extremal optimization. *Neurocomputing*, 160, 173–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2015.02.051>
- Zhang, J., Zhou, Y., & Luo, Q. (2018). An improved sine cosine water wave optimization algorithm for global optimization. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 34(4), 2129–2141. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-171001>

- Zhang, Q., Wang, Q., & Li, G. (2016). Nonlinear modeling and predictive functional control of Hammerstein system with application to the turntable servo system. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 72–73, 383–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2015.09.011>
- ZHANG Xiao-fei;BAI Yan-ping;HAO Yan;WANG Yong-jie. (2017). Research of Improved Sine Cosine Algorithm in Function Optimization. Retrieved May 13, 2022, from http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTotal-CGGL201702024.htm
- Zhang, Z., Zheng, Y., Xiao, X., & Yan, W. (2018). Improved order-reduction method for cooperative tracking control of time-delayed multi-spacecraft network. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 355(5), 2849–2873. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2018.01.019>
- Ziegler, J. G., & Nichols, N. B. (1993). Optimum settings for automatic controllers. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control, Transactions of the ASME*, 115(2B), 220–222. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2899060>