

# THE IMPACT OF USING A MULTIMEDIA LEARNING TOOL ON MECHANICAL ENGINEERING STUDENTS' CREATIVITY

Submitted by

**HAFIZOAH KASSIM**

Bachelor of Human Sciences  
International Islamic University, Malaysia, 1999

Masters in Education  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2001

A thesis submitted in total fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Education  
La Trobe University  
Bundoora, Victoria 3086  
Australia

November 2011

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG	
No. Perolehan <b>067355</b>	No. Panggilan LB 1028-3
Tarikh <b>11 OCT 2012</b>	H34 2011 rs Thesis

# Contents

Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Abbreviations	xi
Abstract	xii
Statement of Authorship	xiv
Acknowledgements	xv

## **Chapter One: Introduction** **1**

1.1 Preamble	1
1.2 Overview of the Thesis	1
1.3 Background to the Research	2
1.4 Educational Concerns Related to the Problem	3
1.4.1 Impact of Globalisation on Malaysia's Education System	3
1.4.2 Emphasis on Creativity in Engineering Curriculum	4
1.4.3 Scarcity of Creativity Studies in Asia	5
1.4.4 Impact of Technology on Learning Materials	6
1.5 Empirical and Theoretical Concerns Related to the Problem	7
1.5.1 Fragmented Research on Creativity	7
1.5.2 The Importance of Knowledge for Creativity	8
1.5.3 Multimedia Learning Materials and Creativity	8
1.5.4 Multimedia Learning Studies in Actual Classroom Environments	9
1.6 Statement of the Research Problem	10
1.7 Significance of the Study	11
1.8 Scope of the Study	11

## **Chapter Two: Literature Review: Exploring the Human Cognitive System, Multimedia Learning and Creativity** **13**

2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Theoretical Framework: Exploration of a Conundrum	13

2.3	Exploring Human Cognition	15
2.3.1	The Architecture of the Human Cognitive System	15
2.3.2	Cognitive Load	21
2.4	Exploring Multimedia Learning	23
2.4.1	Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning (Mayer, 2001)	24
2.5	Exploring Creativity	27
2.5.1	What is Creativity?	28
2.5.2	A Convergent Approach	38
2.5.3	A Four-phase Recursive Model of the Creative Process	46
2.6	Implications for the Current Study	59
 <b>Chapter Three: Multimedia Learning Tool</b>		<b>60</b>
3.1	Introduction	60
3.2	Development of the MLT	60
3.2.1	Procedures for the Development of the MLT	61
3.2.2	General Descriptions of the MLT	62
3.3	Principles of the Multimedia Instructional Design	64
3.3.1	Coherence Principle	66
3.3.2	Signalling Principle	68
3.3.3	Redundancy Principle	71
3.3.4	Contiguity Principle	74
3.3.5	Modality Principle	79
3.3.6	Segmenting Principle	80
3.3.7	Multimedia Principle	83
3.3.8	Pre-Training, Personalisation, Voice and Image Principles	85
3.4	Appropriate Load for Effective Design of the MLT	88
 <b>Chapter Four: Methodology</b>		<b>92</b>
4.1	Introduction	92
4.2	Methodological Rationale	92
4.2.1	Research Questions	93
4.2.2	Research Design	94

4.2.3	Experimental Design	95
4.2.4	Variables	96
4.2.5	Research Context	97
4.3	Sampling	97
4.3.1	Student Participants	98
4.3.2	Panel of Evaluators	99
4.4	Procedures	99
4.4.1	General Procedures	99
4.4.2	Experimental Procedures	102
4.5	Pilot Study	103
4.6	Research Instruments	105
4.6.1	The Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (TTCT)	105
4.6.2	The Creative Product Semantic Scale (CPSS)	110
4.6.3	Index of Learning Styles	112
4.6.4	Student Questionnaire	114
4.6.5	Semi-Structured Interviews	115
4.6.6	Student Observation	116
4.6.7	Self-rating Questionnaire	118
4.7	Data Processing and Analysis	118
4.7.1	Categorisation and Coding	118
4.7.2	Statistical Analysis Techniques	120
4.7.3	Assumptions Tests for Statistical Techniques	123
4.7.4	Exploratory Techniques	124
4.8	Conclusion	125
<b>Chapter Five: Research Findings</b>		<b>126</b>
5.1	Introduction	126
5.2	Part 1: Student Diversity	126
5.2.1	Learning Styles	127
5.2.2	Level of Confidence in Mechanism Design Knowledge	129
5.2.3	Self-perception of Creative Abilities	131
5.3	Part 2: Findings of the Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (TTCT)	133
5.3.1	Main Analysis of the TTCT	133

5.3.2	The Influence of Learning Styles on Creative Thinking Performance after Using the MLT	136
5.3.3	The Influence of Students' Level of Confidence in Knowledge on their Creative Thinking Performance after Using the MLT	140
5.3.4	The Influence of Students' Self-perception of their Creative Abilities on their Creative Thinking Performance after Using the MLT	143
5.4	Part 3: Findings of the Creative Product Semantic Scale (CPSS)	147
5.4.1	Main Analysis of the CPSS	147
5.4.2	The Influence of Students' Learning Styles on their Ability to Make Creative Engineering Products after Using the MLT	150
5.4.3	The Influence of Students' Level of Confidence in Knowledge on their Ability to Make Creative Engineering Products after Using the MLT	153
5.4.4	The Influence of Students' Self-perception of their Ability to Make Creative Engineering Products after Using the MLT	156
5.5	Part 4: Findings of the Student Questionnaire	159
5.5.1	The Effects of the MLT Design Features on MLT Students' Understanding	159
5.5.2	The Effects of Using the MLT	161
5.5.3	Positive Influences of Using the MLT	163
5.6	Part 5: Findings of the Interviews and Observations	165
5.6.1	Students' Interviews	165
5.6.2	Evaluators' Interviews	171
5.6.3	Observations	174
5.7	General Reflections on the Findings	175
<b>Chapter Six: Discussions</b>		<b>176</b>
6.1	Introduction	176
6.2	The Effects of Using the MLT on Engineering Students' Understanding of the Mechanism Design Concepts	176
6.2.1	Interpretations and Discussions: Students' Understanding	178
6.2.2	Interpretations and Discussions: Application of the Design Principles	178
6.3	The Effects of Using the MLT on Engineering Students' Creative Thinking Performance	184

6.3.1	Interpretations and Discussions	185
6.4	The Effects of Using the MLT on Engineering Students' Ability to Make Creative Engineering Products	187
6.4.1	Interpretations and Discussions	188
6.5	The Influence of Learning Styles on Engineering Students' Creative Performance after Using the MLT	190
6.5.1	Interpretations and Discussions	191
6.6	The Influence of Students' Level of Confidence in Mechanism Design Knowledge on their Creative Performance after Using the MLT	193
6.6.1	Interpretations and Discussions	194
6.7	The Influence of Students' Self-perception of their Creative Abilities on their Creative Performance after Using the MLT	195
6.7.1	Interpretations and Discussions	196
6.8	Relevant Findings: The Influences of Environmental and Emotional Factors on the Effects of Using the MLT on Engineering Students' Creativity	197
6.8.1	Differences in the Environmental Factors Affecting the Pilot and Main Studies	197
6.8.2	Emotional Factors Affecting the Studies	198
6.8.3	Environmental Factors Affecting the Studies	199
6.9	Summary of the Findings	200
<b>Chapter Seven: Implications, Limitations and Conclusion</b>		<b>204</b>
7.1	Introduction	204
7.2	The Four-phase Recursive Model of the Creative Process	204
7.3	Research Contributions	206
7.4	Implications of the Findings	208
7.4.1	Implications for Instructional Designers	208
7.4.2	Implications for Engineering Educators	209
7.5	Limitations of the Research	210
7.6	Directions for Future Research	211
7.7	Conclusion	213

<b>References</b>	215
<b>Appendices</b>	228

## List of Tables

Table 1.1	Qualities of an Engineer Required by Employers	4
Table 2.1	Summary of the Cognitive Loads	21
Table 2.2	Summary of the Learning Styles Models	54
Table 3.1	Content of the MLT by Chapters	63
Table 3.2	Summary of All the Design Principles	65
Table 3.3	Summary of the Application of the CTML's Design Principles for Each Multimedia Clip of the MLT	89
Table 4.1	Demographic Data of the Student Participants	98
Table 4.2	Summary of the General Procedures and Instruments of the Main Study	99
Table 4.3	Summary of the Experimental Procedures	102
Table 4.4	Summary of the Instruments Used in this Study	105
Table 4.5	Activities in the TTCT-Verbal Forms A and B	107
Table 4.6	Inter-item Correlation Matrix (Subscales of TTCT-Verbal Forms A and B)	108
Table 4.7	Intra-class Correlation Coefficient for TTCT-Verbal Forms A and B	110
Table 4.8	CPSS Dimensions, Subscales and Measurement Purposes	111
Table 4.9	Intra-class Correlation Coefficient for CPSS Using 3 Products	112
Table 4.10	Summary of the Student Questionnaire	114
Table 4.11	Summary of Items for the Observation Field Note	117
Table 4.12	Categorisation for Students' Learning Styles	119
Table 4.13	Coding for the Student Questionnaire Administration	119
Table 4.14	Categories and Coding of Students' Responses for Question 4 of the Student Questionnaire	120
Table 5.1	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the TTCT Results of the Pilot Study	134
Table 5.2	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations to Determine the Equivalence of the TTCT Pre-test Results between the MLT and non-MLT Groups	134
Table 5.3	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the TTCT Post-test Results between the MLT and non-MLT Groups, Using the Pre-test as a Covariate	136
Table 5.4	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the TTCT Results Comparing Students' Learning Styles for Active-Reflective, Sensing-Intuitive and Sequential-Global Dimensions	138
Table 5.5	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the TTCT Results for Different Levels of Visual Learners	139
Table 5.6	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the TTCT Results Comparing Students' Level of Confidence in their Mechanism Design Knowledge	141

Table 5.7	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the TTCT Results on Students' Self-perception of their Ability to Think Creatively	144
Table 5.8	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the TTCT Results on Students' Self-perception of their Ability to Make Creative Products and Generate Original Ideas	146
Table 5.9	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the CPSS Results for the MLT and non-MLT Groups	149
Table 5.10	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the CPSS Results on Learning Styles of Three Dimensions	151
Table 5.11	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the CPSS Results for Different Levels of Visual Learners	152
Table 5.12	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the CPSS Results for Students' Levels of Confidence in their Mechanism Design Knowledge	154
Table 5.13	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the CPSS Results on Students' Self-perception of their Ability to Think Creatively	157
Table 5.14	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of the CPSS Results on Students' Self-perception of their Creative Abilities to Make Creative Products and Generate Original Ideas	158
Table 5.15	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for the Effects of the MLT Design Features from Time 1 to Time 4	160
Table 5.16	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for the Effects of Using the MLT from Time 1 to Time 4	162
Table 5.17	Students' Notable Products	171
Table 5.18	The Differences in the Conditions of Learning between the Pilot and Main Studies	174
Table 6.1	Summary of the Effects of Using the MLT on Students' Understanding	176
Table 6.2	Summary of the Effects of the Design Features on Students' Understanding	177
Table 6.3	Summary of the Effects of Using the CTML Design Principles on Students' Understanding	179
Table 6.4	Summary of the Effects of Using the MLT on Students' Creative Thinking	184
Table 6.5	Summary of the Effects of Using the MLT on Students' Product Creativity	187
Table 6.6	Summary of the Influence of Learning Styles on Students' Creative Performance after Using the MLT	190
Table 6.7	Summary of the Influence of Students' Confidence in Knowledge on their Creative Performance after Using the MLT	194
Table 6.8	Summary of the Influence of Students' Self-perception of their Creative Abilities on their Creative Performance after Using the MLT	196
Table 6.9	Differences in the Environmental Factors between the Pilot and Main Studies	198

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Organisation of Chapter Two	14
Figure 2.2	Information-processing Model of the Cognitive System	15
Figure 2.3	Human Cognitive System and its Component Structures	16
Figure 2.4	Baddeley's Working Memory Model	17
Figure 2.5	Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning	25
Figure 2.6	The Relationships between the Four Dimensions of Creativity	38
Figure 2.7	Stage Theory of the Creative Process	40
Figure 2.8	The Two-tiered Componential Model of the Creative Process	42
Figure 2.9	A Four-phase Recursive Model of the Creative Process	47
Figure 3.1	Screenshot of the Contents Page of the MLT	62
Figure 3.2	Screenshot of the Chapter Page of the MLT	64
Figure 3.3	Selected Frames from the MLT to Illustrate the Use of the Coherence Principle	68
Figure 3.4	Types of Signals Used in the MLT	70
Figure 3.5	Strategies Used in the MLT to Avoid Redundancy Effects	73
Figure 3.6	Selected Frames from the MLT to Illustrate the Use of the Spatial Contiguity Principle	75
Figure 3.7	Selected Frames from the MLT to Show the Use of the Temporal Contiguity Principle	78
Figure 3.8	Screenshot of a Multimedia Clip to Show Learner Control Features	82
Figure 4.1	Visual Model of the Research Design	95
Figure 4.2	The Variables and their Effects and Relationships	97
Figure 5.1	Students' Learning Styles in Four Dimensions	128
Figure 5.2	Students' Confidence Level in their Mechanism Design Knowledge	130
Figure 5.3	Students' Self-perception of their Creative Abilities	132
Figure 5.4	Mean Scores of the Creative Thinking Test for the MLT and non-MLT Groups	136
Figure 5.5	Mean Scores of the Creative Thinking Test for Three Learning Styles Dimensions	138
Figure 5.6	Mean Scores of the Creative Thinking Test for Different Levels of Visual Learners	140
Figure 5.7	Mean Scores of the Creative Thinking Test for Different Levels of Students' Confidence on all Knowledge Criteria	142
Figure 5.8	Mean Scores of the Creative Thinking Test for Students' Levels of Self-perception on their Ability to Think Creatively	145
Figure 5.9	Mean Scores of the TTCT Results for Different Levels of Students' Self-perception of their Ability to Make Creative Products and Generate Original Ideas	146

Figure 5.10	Mean Scores of the CPSS Results Comparing the MLT and non-MLT Groups	149
Figure 5.11	Mean Scores of the CPSS Results for Three Learning Styles Dimensions	152
Figure 5.12	Mean Scores of the CPSS Results for Different Levels of Visual Learners	153
Figure 5.13	Mean Scores of the CPSS Results for Students' Level of Confidence in their Mechanism Design Knowledge	155
Figure 5.14	Mean Scores of the CPSS Results on Students' Self-perceptions of their Ability to Think Creatively	157
Figure 5.15	Mean Scores of the CPSS Results for Students' Self-perception of their Ability to Make Creative Products and Generate Original Ideas	158
Figure 5.16	Mean Scores of the Effects of the MLT Design Features on Students' Understanding from Time 1 to Time 4	161
Figure 5.17	Mean Scores of the Effects of Using the MLT from Time 1 to Time 4	162
Figure 5.18	Positive Influences of Using the MLT	163
Figure 6.1	Observed Effects and Relationships of the Variables Measured	201
Figure 7.1	The Four-phase Recursive Model of the Creative Process	204

## List of Abbreviations

ACL	: Adjective Check List
ANCOVA	: One-way analysis of covariance
ANOVA	: One-way analysis of variance
APA	: American Psychological Association
CLT	: Cognitive Load Theory
CPSS	: Creative Product Semantic Scale
CTML	: Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning
EAC	: Engineering Accreditation Council
ILS	: Index of Learning Styles
IPAR	: Institute of Personality Assessment and Research
MANOVA	: Multivariate analysis of variance
MLT	: Multimedia Learning Tool
MMPI	: Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory
SOI	: Structure-of-Intellect
TTCT	: Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking

## **Abstract**

This research examines the impact of utilising multimedia learning tool (MLT) on engineering students' ability to think creatively and make creative engineering products. This research links multimedia learning to creativity by looking at how to manage cognitive load on the cognitive system for effective information processing and knowledge construction. Theoretical perspectives include Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller et al., 1998), Cognitive Theories of Multimedia Learning (Mayer, 2009) and creativity theories (Runco & Chand, 1995; Wallas, 1926). The influences of moderating variables such as students' diversity, environmental factors and emotional effects were also explored.

This research used a mixed method approach with a pre-test post-test quasi-experimental design as the predominant data gathering method. The Torrance Tests for Creative Thinking (TTCT) and the Creative Product Semantic Scale (CPSS) were used. This study extends previous research by including in its outcomes, the use of established creative performance measurements. Other research instruments used included a student questionnaire, observation and semi-structured interviews.

Based on a successful outcome in a pilot study, the main study tested the assumption that using MLT would assist engineering students to perform better in their understanding and creative performance. Results from the interviews and student questionnaire supported the assumption, as did the analysis of the other test scores. Differences in mean scores showed that students who used the MLT performed better creatively than students who did not. However, the main statistical analyses of creative thinking and product creativity did not reach significance.

A key innovation in this study was that unlike previous studies in multimedia learning and cognitive load that have been conducted in controlled lab-based

conditions, this study was conducted in actual classroom environments. As a result of examining these environments, this study has identified the effects of two new loads on the cognitive process: the environmental and emotional loads. Both loads appear to have increased the extraneous cognitive load and impeded the cognitive process for learning and creative performance. This study also looked at the influence of students' diversity in terms of learning styles, level of confidence in their knowledge and self-perception of their creative abilities on the main relationship between the use of the MLT and its effects on students' creativity. The findings indicate that the MLT is most advantageous for students with high preference for visual learning materials, lower confidence in their knowledge and high self-perception of their creative abilities.

Based on the findings, *a four-phase recursive model of the creative process* has been proposed to explain the creative cognitive process. This model takes into account the architecture of human cognition, cognitive load and the moderating influences on creative outcomes.

# Chapter One: Introduction

## 1.1 Preamble

In a world where technology is a widespread necessity, computer technology is becoming a powerful tool of change in education (Warschauer & Ware, 2008). One type of computer technology that is applied extensively at all levels of education is multimedia technology (Giller & Barker, 2006). Multimedia technology can be used to develop learning materials that have an interactive and animated interface, especially where information is presented using audio and computer graphics. Studies of the effectiveness of learning materials and learning programs that use multimedia technology have been conducted for decades (Issing, 1994; Mayer, 2009). The use of multimedia technology for learning needs to be based on understanding how this technology can best be exploited to benefit students (Mayer, 2009). This understanding should include how to effectively design the learning materials to accommodate learners' cognitive system, learning environments and their individual differences (Mayer, 2009; Sweller, van Merriënboer, & Paas, 1998; Warschauer & Ware, 2008).

This research focuses on the impact of multimedia learning materials on students' creativity. The trial of the learning materials was conducted in an actual classroom environment. The influences of individual differences such as learning styles, confidence in knowledge and self-perception of creative abilities were examined as moderating variables. This study adds to previous research on multimedia learning and cognitive load by opening up the possibilities of examining environmental influences on learners' cognitive processes and creative performance. Potential influences therefore include environmental factors as well as the influencing factors that may result from students' work in actual classroom environments.

## 1.2 Overview of the Thesis

This thesis is organised into seven chapters. The first chapter offers an overview of the educational, empirical and theoretical concerns related to the research problem. The educational concerns arise out of the need to address the acquisition of creativity-

related skills for engineering students and of using computer technology within the educational context. Theoretical concerns are explored in order to understand the principles for effective design of multimedia learning materials for improved creativity-related skills. Empirical concerns are related to the importance of conducting this research in actual classroom environments, which previous studies lack. The influences of student diversity and factors from the environment on students' cognitive processing and use of multimedia learning materials are important in order to understand their impact on creativity. These issues will be reported in Chapter One. The detailed discussion that sets up the framework for the research will review the current literature and this study's argument will be presented in Chapters Two and Three. Chapter Three also describes the development of a multimedia learning tool (MLT), which is the main material for this study. A CD, which contains 37 multimedia clips of the MLT, is also included with this thesis.

The methodological considerations are explained in Chapter Four. These include the description of all the research instruments used in this study, the reliability and validity estimates of the instruments, and research design for both the pilot and main studies. Chapter Five reports on the findings of the study using both quantitative and qualitative analyses, and Chapter Six discusses and interprets the findings. Finally, Chapter Seven summarises the study, describes its theoretical and practical implications and provides suggestions for future research.

### **1.3 Background to the Research**

The ubiquity of technological advancement, the rapid expansion of information, and the widespread practice of collaboration between different fields of studies such as engineering and social sciences have increased the demand for individuals and workers who are highly skilled and knowledgeable. Through this lens, creativity is seen as one of the essential skills that can be a factor for individual survival (Amabile, 1996) as well as organisational survival (Dhillon, 2006). 'Practical ingenuity and creativity' is one of the five necessary skills which the next-generation engineers need to possess (Bengelink, 2007). As Runco (2004a) stated, individuals with creative personalities possess flexibilities in their characters that enable them to cope with changes and

difficulties in order to seize the opportunities in the fast-moving society that we live in today.

Studies of creativity have indicated that creativity can be fostered and learnt through technology manipulation, specifically through learning and teaching materials (Cropley, 2001; Cropley & Cropley, 2008). Despite the effort made to provide highly technological advantages to educational communities, the question that needs to be asked is whether this technology can be engineered and utilised as learning tools in order to develop creative potential. Therefore, the present study aims to explore whether providing engineering students with multimedia learning materials can improve or enhance their creativity.

## **1.4 Educational Concerns Related to the Problem**

### **1.4.1 Impact of Globalisation on Malaysia's Education System**

In Malaysia, the impact of globalisation was illustrated by the government's concerted effort to move the country towards a knowledge-based economy (K-economy) in the 1990s. This was steered by an ICT-driven infrastructure and the development of a knowledge-based society (Vicziány & Puteh, 2004) to help transform Malaysia into a developed nation (Awang, 2004). Beginning in 1995, the Malaysian education system was transformed, and over the next 15 years, educational reform characterised school curricula and tertiary education (Kamogawa, 2003). At the higher education level, the National Higher Education Strategic Plan was laid down in 2007. The strategies that were implemented were directed towards educational transformation that included the introduction of science and technology courses at private universities, the establishment of six new engineering-based public universities, funding for the development and improvement of ICT infrastructure for teaching and learning purposes and emphasis on teaching and learning methods to promote creativity, innovation and thinking skills (Economic Planning Unit, 2001b; Kamogawa, 2003; Ministry of Higher Education, 2007).

The emphasis given to engineering courses is evident with the steady increase in the number of student enrolments and graduates in recent years compared to the 1990s. The Economic Planning Unit of the Prime Minister's Department, Malaysia (2001a)

documented that there was a steady growth in the percentage of engineering graduates in the late 1990s. Recent statistics by the Ministry of Higher Education (2009) also showed a constant growth in the enrolment and graduate percentages for engineering courses in public educational institutions. As a result, six public engineering-based universities were established to cater for this demand. One of the challenges for each of these newly-established engineering-based universities is to ensure that the graduates are creative because creativity is regarded by employers as one of the skills that engineering graduates need to possess (Sns Bukhari, 2005; Zakaria, Che Munaaim, & Iqbal Khan, 2006).

#### 1.4.2 Emphasis on Creativity in Engineering Curriculum

The Engineering Accreditation Council (EAC) of Malaysia, a body responsible for the accreditation of the engineering programs at Malaysian educational institutions, listed 10 generic attributes of an engineer from the employers' perspective. One of these generic attributes is adaptability through creative thinking and problem-solving skills (Sns Bukhari, 2005). In addition, the Malaysian Employers Federation (2004) reported that one of the criteria adopted by employers during recruitment is the potential employee's ability to generate creative ideas. Zakaria et al. (2006) also stated that within the field of civil engineering, creativity emerged as one of the demanded soft skills. Tengku Tan Sri Mahaleel Tengku Arif, the former Chief Executive Officer of Proton Holdings Berhad, Malaysia's first national car company, provided a synthesis of qualities that engineering employers expected from their engineering graduates. These qualities are presented in Table 1.1 (taken from Zakaria, et al., 2006), which indicates that creativity is a necessary attribute sought by engineering employers, with the implication that creativity needs to be given emphasis in engineering curricula.

**Table 1.1. Qualities of an Engineer Required by Employers**

<b>Skills</b>	<b>Qualities</b>
Hard Skills	Mathematics, Technologies, Business and Economic, Human Resource, Science, IT Skills, Global Politics, Global General Knowledge, Geography, Coaching Skills
Soft Skills	Creativity, Innovative, Multilingual, Communication Skills, Analytical and Critical Thinking Skills, Helicopter View, Leadership Skills
Competitive Skills	Drive for results, Can do attitude, Attention to details, Teamwork, Consensus

### 1.4.3 Scarcity of Creativity Studies in Asia

Studies of creativity in the Western world especially in the U.S. and the U.K. have been conducted for decades (Runco & Albert, 2010). A significant increase in creativity research began following Guilford's (1950) presidential address at the American Psychological Association (APA). What began as an interest in intelligence (Guilford, 1975) has proliferated into diverse areas of interest which include cognition, personality, motivation and more recently the alignment with developing technology. In Asia, however, creativity research is still emerging as a field.

Even though the number is still very small, studies on creativity in Malaysia are increasing. Some of the earliest studies of creativity conducted in Malaysia were carried out by Yong (1987, 1989) and Palaniappan (1989, 1994). These studies focused on identifying school children's creativity and its relationship to academic achievement. More studies examined the creativity of school pupils (Abd Hamid, 2008; Malayalam, 1998), tertiary students (Chua, 2002; Lee, 2005) and employees (Ow, 2009). These studies mainly explored creativity within the area of arts and language (Chua, 2002; Lee, 2005), mathematics (Idris, 2006), and its correlation with other creativity-related variables such as critical thinking (Chua, 2002). No educational studies, thus far, have been found that examine creativity within an engineering context at the tertiary level in Malaysia.

Educational studies which investigated the effects of computer utilisation or development of multimedia learning software did not examine the effects of the technology on creativity (Saleh, 2006; Tang, 2007). Studies which looked at the effects of technology on creativity within educational contexts are limited to general computer use (Idris & Mohd Nor, 2010; Malayalam, 1998). Consequently, more studies that can contribute to the literature of creativity in Malaysian context need to be conducted especially within the engineering context and at the tertiary level.

In a slightly larger context, the literature on creativity studies in Asian countries, namely Singapore, South Korea, Japan, Hong Kong and Taiwan, is greater than in Malaysia. Kim (2005) dubbed these countries the East Asian Five Dragons due to their rapid economic growth. One of the interests in creativity studies in these countries is to

examine whether economic growth is attributable to people's culturally embedded characteristics such as being hardworking. Creativity research in Singapore includes conceptual analysis and empirical experimentation displaying a focus on different disciplines of studies and at different educational levels (Tan, 2000). This was particularly due to Singapore's rapid development and technological advancement (Tan, 2000, 2004). This indicated that the growth of interests in creativity research in Asia can largely be attributed to economic growth and technological development.

#### **1.4.4 Impact of Technology on Learning Materials**

Technology allows for easy access to abundant information in a variety of delivery media such as the Internet. Technology also allows the presentation of information in many different ways (Mayer, 2009; Rouet, 2001), for example, presentation of static graphics and texts using *PowerPoint* or animation and audio using *Flash* or *Media Player*. Computer technology can be employed to transform texts and graphics and manipulate colours, audio or other computer effects to create more dynamic and animated representations of information. Representations of information can therefore be transformed from static and paper-based formats into dynamic representations (Giller & Barker, 2006; Rouet, 2001). This allows for new tools, materials and techniques to be used in teaching and learning processes.

Multimedia learning is a research area which investigates the effectiveness of learning materials that use multiple information representations. Basically, multimedia learning refers to learning using materials or tools which consist of a combination of words and pictures (Mayer, 2001, 2009). Mayer (2001, 2009) did not specify how the learning materials should be presented; any type of learning materials which contains words (as printed text or narration) and pictures (as static graphic or dynamic animation) is considered multimedia learning materials. Therefore, print-based materials such as textbooks, technology-based materials such as videos and computer-based materials such as *PowerPoint* and multimedia presentations which utilise both words and pictures are examples of multimedia learning materials. In the context of this study, however, multimedia learning materials are defined as multiple information representations in the learning materials, and the development of these learning materials to make use of multimedia technology. In Malaysia, the use of static and paper-based learning tools is

still the preferred method, but the use of multimedia learning materials is increasing, especially Internet, computer and multimedia technologies (Teoh & Neo, 2006). It is the aim of the present study to examine the influence on creativity of learning tools which are developed using computer technologies.

## **1.5 Empirical and Theoretical Concerns Related to the Problem**

### **1.5.1 Fragmented Research on Creativity**

Creativity is a multi-faceted construct (Isaksen, 1987; Rhodes, 1961) and its complex nature makes it a complex construct to define and examine. For this reason, creativity researchers and theorists have defined creativity based on their respective world views, paradigms, and methodological orientations. Rhodes (1961) was one of the early creativity researchers who examined creativity in an attempt to provide a single definition of creativity. He defined creativity as “a noun naming the phenomenon in which a person communicates a new concept. Mental activity is implicit in the definition, ..., so the term process is also implicit” (Rhodes, 1961, p.305). His definition divided creativity into four mutually overlapping and intertwined dimensions, which are person, process, press and product. Person deals with traits and personalities of creative individuals, process looks mostly into the cognitive process which leads to creativity, press looks at the influences of environmental factors that can promote and hinder creativity, and finally product indicates the characteristics of creative products. This categorisation has been widely accepted as the dimensions of creativity, and is known as the 4Ps of creativity.

Creativity research has become fragmented by this categorisation (Barron & Harrington, 1981; Kozbelt, Beghetto, & Runco, 2010; Runco, 2004a). Prior to the 1980s and due to the influence of Guilford's (1950) presidential speech at the APA, focus on creativity research was on the person and process dimensions. In fact, the most researched of the four dimensions are person and process (Barron & Harrington, 1981; Runco, 2004a). However, in order to better understand creativity, all four dimensions needs to be considered and investigated as one systematic construct and not in fragments (Mumford, 2003; Rhodes, 1961). Recent creativity research and theories have employed a convergent approach to the understanding and examination of creativity (Kozbelt, et al., 2010). Through this approach, all the 4Ps of creativity are being

investigated although the emphasis on particular dimensions might not be equal. The present study therefore attempts to examine all four creativity dimensions; the main focus will be on process and product dimensions while press and person dimensions will be examined as moderating variables.

### **1.5.2 The Importance of Knowledge for Creativity**

Guildford (1975), Sternberg (2006b), Torrance (1965) and other major researchers posited that knowledge is one of the essential elements needed for creativity. The depth of one's knowledge in one particular domain as well as the extent of general knowledge can help a person to generate creative ideas. Studies on eminent creative individuals such as Mozart postulated that deep knowledge of their domains is one of the factors that facilitated these individuals' creative achievements (Csikszentmihalyi, 1996; Simonton, 2010). With a deeper knowledge base, the association of information and knowledge across remote and divergent ideas can lead to creativity (Guildford, 1975; Mednick, 1962).

Moreover, creativity can be learnt and fostered, and one of the ways to foster creativity in the classroom is by changing the learning and teaching materials (Cropley, 2001). Therefore, providing students with learning materials that ease the process of acquiring information and constructing knowledge may assist in enhancing students' creativity. Research in multimedia learning has proven that multimedia learning materials can help students acquire information and construct knowledge (Mayer, 2009). This study therefore seeks to discover whether using multimedia learning materials as a tool for knowledge construction helps students perform better creatively.

### **1.5.3 Multimedia Learning Materials and Creativity**

Research in multimedia learning investigates how multimedia learning materials should be designed in order to accommodate the systems and processes of human cognition (Mayer, 2009). The architecture of human cognition consists of sensory memory, working memory and long-term memory. All active processing of information occurs in working memory, which is limited in its capacity and duration (Baddeley, Eysenck, & Anderson, 2009; Sweller, et al., 1998). By employing Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, et al., 1998), multimedia learning research considers the

cognitive load on working memory in the design of multimedia learning materials. When load on working memory can be managed and reduced, working memory's processing capacity increases. This can lead to information acquisition, knowledge construction and eventually meaningful learning (Mayer, 2009; Sweller, et al., 1998). Mayer (2009) defined meaningful learning as students' ability to utilise in novel ways the information presented in multimedia learning materials. Hence, this study aims to examine the appropriate design of multimedia learning materials that can be used to enhance students' creativity.

Participants in multimedia learning studies have so far been tested only on retention and transfer performance tests (Ayres & Paas, 2007; Mayer, 2009; Seufert & Brunken, 2006). Although Mayer (2009) defined performance in transfer tests as students' ability to utilise their knowledge in a new situation or to solve problems in novel ways, he did not specifically define creativity. Furthermore, the content of the transfer tests was related to the content of the multimedia learning materials. To date, there are no multimedia learning studies which have used established creativity measurement instruments. The present study therefore attempts to measure the effectiveness of using multimedia learning materials on students' creative performance by using established creativity measurements such as the Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (TTCT) and the Creative Product Semantic Scale (CPSS).

#### **1.5.4 Multimedia Learning Studies in Actual Classroom Environments**

Research in multimedia learning has been conducted for over three decades. However, most studies have been carried out in laboratories under experimental conditions (Mayer, 2009). Within this setting, participants were timed for all their activities, and performance measurements were administered immediately after they used the learning materials (Harp & Mayer, 1997; Leahy, Chandler, & Sweller, 2003; Mayer, 2009; Mayer & Chandler, 2001; Moreno & Mayer, 1999; Tindall-Ford, Chandler, & Sweller, 1997). The effects of using the multimedia learning materials on students' mental loads, and learning and creative performance were therefore constrained within the conditions of the laboratory settings, and the controlled experimental conditions.

Creativity is a complex phenomenon (Isaksen, 1987; Rhodes, 1961) which requires time for growth and appropriate environments in order to nurture its development (Martindale, 1989; Simonton, 2004). Thus, in order to better understand how the multimedia learning materials could help in enhancing students' creativity, administering the study in actual classroom environments could provide a better avenue for exploring creativity development.

Conducting the study in actual classroom environments could also assist in identifying environmental influences that could affect the learning process. Environmental factors such as the classroom settings, the general atmosphere of the learning environment, group interactions, and time spent studying the learning materials as well as feelings and moods which might be aroused by the environmental factors can affect the learning process and creativity development. Research on memory has shown that environments and emotions produced from interactions with such environments can affect the cognitive process (Baddeley, et al., 2009). This study therefore attempts to explore the effectiveness of a multimedia learning tool (MLT) on students' learning and creativity by conducting it in actual learning environments.

## **1.6 Statement of the Research Problem**

Studies that examine the effectiveness of multimedia learning materials in actual classroom environments on students' learning and creativity are still lacking. Hence, the present study attempts to examine the use of multimedia technology in the design of the MLT and the application of Mayer's (2002, 2009) Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning's design principles. Mayer (2009) proposes twelve design principles with the objective of managing different types of cognitive load, i.e. extraneous, intrinsic and germane cognitive loads, in order to achieve meaningful learning. This study applies multiple design principles to develop the MLT, and the use of the MLT by engineering students in their actual classroom environment in Malaysia. This study contributes to the literature on multimedia learning from the perspective of its effects on creativity and environmental influences on cognitive load and cognitive process.