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Conceptual design is becoming a common method used in the industries to estimate and design the optimum condition for their production, by concerning the time and money constraint. The objective of this study is to synthesis the process flowsheet for the optimum production of Pentaerythritol Tetradecanoate (PETD) at 5 kg/hour. This study covers on the production cost estimation. The analytical methods of finding the properties were done using Thermogravimetry Analysis (TGA), Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) and Calorimeter Bomb. To validate the conceptual design, rigorous steady state simulations are performed. Extensive simulation using ASPEN Plus software was performed and a scheme that can address the requirement is proposed. This study shows the usage of concepts to model the optimum design of reaction, separation and the utilities of PETD production. The framework which used to develop the flowsheet scheme is general enough for further investigation by extending its application to other problem.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

Over the past number of years, industry has needed to become more effective in bringing new products to the market. In terms of product design, the effect has been that manufacturers must have extremely efficient product development process [17]. In chemical engineering, we might try to generate new ideas to produce something new or to improve the production with new technologies. These new ideas will be translated into real equipment and processes for producing those new materials or for significantly upgrading the value off those existing products.

While conceptual design is regarded as the most demanding phase of design on the designer [14], it also offers the greatest scope for improvements in the design of the product [12]. The design should be done carefully because it affects the accuracy of the estimation cost for designing and operating. All this is called a process synthesis of producing new product where the goal is to find the best process flow sheet and estimating the optimum design condition [1]. It is widely acknowledge that up to 80 per cent of a product’s total cost is dictated by decisions made during the conceptual phase of design [14].

For the conceptual design of Pentaerythritol Tetradecanoate (PETD) production, it is synthesis process of a plant that can produce PETD at optimum rate. This will consider the cost factor as the parameter. The design will be developed by using order-of-magnitude, means that limiting our attention to the major piece of process equipment and then add up the minor piece equipment. At the end of the
project, the best design for the production of PETD will be chosen from the most profitable with a low operating cost and considering all the other factors, including safety and environment control.

1.1 Problem Statement

Traditionally, the design process involves the draftspersons and the design engineers, who, once they have completed their jobs, usually present the blueprints (layouts) of the product to the manufacturing or production division. Product performance failure is usually due to a lack of analysis [18].

The conventional design process may take a lot of time and become costly to be done. From the manual design procedure until the realization of the production, some of the design may not be effective and run as what people want. The manual design procedures that take place is by creating a lot of alternatives, and doing a lot of experiments just for one processes. The process will use a lot of the cost because of damages on the equipments, raw materials, and energy used. Most errors in design, as opposed to those made during production, are due to use of a flawed conceptual design [16].

In this research, the design process that is studied is the conceptual design of Pentaerythritol Tetradecanoate(PETD) production. Problem that occurs is how to produce the product wanted at an optimum output in terms of purity, production rate, energy consumption and process minimization. Besides, less than 1% of ideas for new designs ever become commercialized [1].
1.2 Objective

The objective of doing this project is to find the process flow diagram and estimate the optimum design to produce 5 kilogram per hour of Pentaerythritol Tetradodecanoate (PETD).

1.3 Scope of Study

This project will cover on the study of the process parameter (ie. chemical and phase equilibrium, entrainer selection, kinetic design and optimization) of the esterification reaction that will affect the operation cost of the plant from the feed of raw material until the final product. The scope of this research is to:

i. Run an analysis of PETD by using Calorimeter Bomb to find the enthalpy of combustion.

ii. Find the properties of PETD by using Thermogravity Analysis (TGA) & Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC).

iii. Study on the operation cost which involves the number of equipments and materials used in process.

iv. Run the process simulation by using ASPEN PLUS software.
CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptual Design

Generally, concept gives the meaning of a principle or an idea. It also is an abstract, notion or unit that serves to designate a category of entities, events or relations [5]. Conceptual design also has been defined as that phase of design which takes a statement of a design problem and generates broad solution to it in the form of, what are generally referred to as, ‘schemes’ [12]. Conceptual design is also the process by which the design is initiated, carried to the point of creating a number of possible solutions, narrowed down to single best concepts. It is sometimes called the feasible study [13].

Before this, designs were done empirically. There was no concept practised on designation process. Empirically means that the designation is only based on try and error. A chemist might discover a new reaction to make an existing product or a new catalyst for an existing, commercial reaction, and designers want to translate these discoveries to a new process. Thus, designers start with only knowledge of reaction conditions that they obtain from the chemist, as well as some information about raw materials and product obtained from marketing organization. A lot of these process alternatives to be done to archive the same goal which can be up to $10^4$ until $10^9$ processes [1].
2.2 Definition of Terms

2.2.1 Batch Process

Batch process refers to a discontinuous process involving the bulk movement of material through sequential manufacturing steps. Mass, temperature, concentration, and other properties of a system vary with time. Addition of raw material and withdrawal of product do not typically occur simultaneously in a batch process.

2.2.2 Continuous Process

Continuous process means a process where the inputs and outputs flow continuously throughout the duration of the process. Continuous processes are typically steady-state.

2.2.3 Order-of-magnitude Estimate

For a beginner designer, it is useful to have a systematic approach for developing order-of-magnitude estimates. Order-of-magnitude estimates usually made before the facility is designed, and must therefore rely on the cost data of similar facilities built in the past [1]. The Order of Magnitude estimate in is completed when only minimal information is available. The proposed use and size of the planned structure should be known and ay be the only requirement. The “units” can be very general and need not be well defined. The probable accuracy of the design may exceed ±40%.
2.2.4 Rules of Thumb

Originally rules of thumb or also know as heuristics evaluations were developed by experienced designers. It is desirable to recover more than 99% of valuable components in column [1].

Heuristic Evaluation is a method of design evaluation. Based on a heuristic evaluation, the expert should also be able to provide alternative design solutions to address potentially major problems for users. The basic approach requires that a domain expert (someone very familiar with product area) review the product design using a set of heuristics (guiding principles e.g. provide appropriate feedback) with the purpose of identifying design decisions (e.g. layout, labeling, etc) that may lead to use errors [8].

2.3 Description of Material

The materials being used for this study are mercury in Pentaerythritol Tetradodecanoate (PETD), Lauric Acid and Pentaerythritol.

2.3.1 Raw Material

Below are some descriptions of the raw materials used in the production of PETD:

Table 2.1: Raw material properties *(Taken from MSDS of material)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pentaerythritol</th>
<th>Dodecanoic Acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial name</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Lauric Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS number</td>
<td>115-77-5</td>
<td>143-07-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular formula</td>
<td>C₅H₁₂O₄</td>
<td>C₁₁H₂₂COOH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.2 Product

PETD is formed by esterification process between the alcohol group which is the Pentaerythritol and the carboxylic acid which is Lauric Acid or also known as Dodecanoic Acid. The reaction occurs as shown in equation 1:

\[
C_5H_{10}(OH)_4 + 4C_{11}H_{22}COOH \rightleftharpoons C_5H_8(C_{11}H_{22}COOH)_4 + 4H_2O \quad [1]
\]

The non-catalytic reaction occurred at the range 150°C to 230°C. The product was then dried by using water extraction prior to be crystallized at the ambient temperature. The powder was finally obtained by crushing the crystal. The standard material of Pentaerythritol Tetradodecanoate (PETD) is obtained from Kaneka Chemical (M) Sdn. Bhd. The chemicals are those laboratory grades and used without any further purification. Below are some descriptions of the product:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular formula</td>
<td>(C_5H_8(C_{11}H_{22}COOH)_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical state</td>
<td>Crystalline solid (powder)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiling point</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight</td>
<td>865.372 g/mole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Chemical Properties of Pentaerythritol Tetradodecanoic (PETD)

The analysis of Pentaerythritol Tetradodecanoate (PETD) is done based on quantitative analysis which can be further split into different areas of study. For quantitative analysis, the material can be analyzed for the amount of an element, or for the amount of an element in a specific chemical species.

2.4.1 Thermal Stability

The thermal stability of a pure organic compound is roughly spoken a combination of the thermodynamic and the kinetic stability of a molecule. The addition of other compounds or impurities can effect higher or lower stabilities, in most cases as a consequence of kinetic effects: the added compound (or impurities that could not be removed during the synthesis-procedure) can prevent or open reaction pathways leading to the effects of opposite directions: an advanced stability or an elevated decomposition.

The key parameters for a general view on thermal stabilities with regard to technical applications are:

i. maximum operating temperature, below which degradation and thus production and evolution of volatile degradants are negligible,

ii. the rate of degradation at a specific temperature,

iii. the identification of the decomposition products.
Thermal stability of the Pentaerythritol Tetradecanoate should be in excess of processing or use temperatures. The thermal stability range can be determined after analyzing the graph of weight percentage remaining versus time.

2.4.2 Melting Point

A melting point is the temperature at which a solid becomes a liquid at normal atmospheric pressure [9]. Determining the melting point of a compound is one way to test if the substance is pure. A pure substance generally has a melting range (the difference between the temperature where the sample starts to melt and the temperature where melting is complete) of one or two degrees. Impurities tend to depress and broaden the melting range so the purified sample should have a higher and smaller melting range than the original, impure sample.

2.4.3 Product Purity

Purchasers of raw products became more demanding about the quality and purity of the product they were purchasing. This means that information about purity and quality of the product flows downstream and that information coming from consumer demand flows upstream [22]. Quality standards are enforced by private commitment to industry standards, as the product value is greater given higher purity levels. Standards enforcement is crucial, as products that do not conform to the desired quality level will not be accepted. Tolerance levels vary from product to product and also depend on the preferences of the final consumer. Testing and tolerance levels are important to ensure that the purity and the high quality levels of the product are maintained. Through purity and product control, it enhances the demand of product hence giving ideal competition to gain customer.
2.4.4 Heat Capacity

Heat capacity is mathematically defined as the ratio of a small amount of heat $\delta Q$ added to the body, to the corresponding small increase in its temperature $dT$:

$$C = \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial T} \right)_{\text{cond.}} = T \left( \frac{dS}{dT} \right)_{\text{cond.}}$$

Where $\delta Q$ is the infinitesimal amount of heat added, and $dT$ is the subsequent rise in temperature.

The heat capacity at constant volume is

$$C_v = \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right)_v$$

$$dU = C_v dT$$

$$\Delta U = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} C_v dT$$

And the heat capacity at constant pressure is

$$C_p = \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial T} \right)_p$$

$$dH = C_p dT$$

$$\Delta H = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} C_p dT$$

2.4.5 Enthalpy

Enthalpy, $H$ can be defined as the sum of the internal energy of the system plus the product of the pressure of the gas in the system and its volume:

$$H_{\text{sys}} = E_{\text{sys}} + PV$$
After a series of rearrangements, and if pressure is kept constant, we can arrive at the following equation:

\[ \Delta H_{sys} = q \quad \text{(at constant pressure)} \]

where \( \Delta H \) is the \( H_{\text{final}} \) minus \( H_{\text{initial}} \) and q is heat

The enthalpy is defined by \( H = U + PV \). The increment of enthalpy is

\[ dH = TdS + VdP \]

### 2.4.6 Heat of Combustion

Since the calorimeter is isolated from the rest of the universe, we can define the reactants (sample and oxygen) to be the system and the rest of the calorimeter (bomb and water) to be the surroundings. The change in internal energy of the reactants upon combustion can be calculated from

\[
dU_{\text{tot}} = dU_{\text{sys}} + dU_{\text{surr}} = 0
\]

\[
dU_{\text{sys}} = -dU_{\text{surr}}
\]

\[
dU_{\text{sys}} = - \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right)_{V} dT + \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_{T} dV
\]

Since the process if constant volume, \( dV=0 \). Thus, recognizing the definition of heat capacity \( C_{V} \) yields

\[
dU_{\text{sys}} = -C_{V} dT
\]

Assuming \( C_{V} \) to be independent of \( T \) over small temperature ranges, this expression can be integrated to give

\[
\Delta U = -C_{V} \Delta T
\]

where \( C_{V} \) is the heat capacity of the surroundings, \( i.e. \), the water and the bomb.

By definition of enthalpy

\[
\Delta H = \Delta U + \Delta(pV)
\]
Since there is very little expansion work done by condensed phases, \( \Delta(pV) \approx 0 \) for solids and liquids. Assuming the gas to be ideal yields

\[
\Delta H = \Delta U + RT\Delta n_{\text{gas}}
\]

Recall that \( \Delta U=q_v \) is the heat flow under constant volume conditions, whereas \( \Delta H=q_p \) is the heat flow under constant pressure conditions. The difference between these two situations is that \( pV \) work can be done under constant pressure conditions, whereas no \( pV \) work is done under constant volume conditions.

Consider the case where \( \Delta n_{\text{gas}} > 0 \), i.e., the system expands during the reaction. The same amount of energy is released by the reaction under both sets of conditions. However, some of the energy is released in the form of work at constant pressure; thus, the heat released will be less than at constant volume. Mathematically,

\[
\text{heat released} < \text{energy released} \\
-\Delta H < -\Delta U \\
\Delta H > \Delta U
\]

In the case where \( \Delta n_{\text{gas}} < 0 \), i.e., the system contracts during the reaction, the surroundings do work on the system. Thus, this work is available for energy release from the system back to the surroundings in the form of heat. Mathematically,

\[
\text{heat released} > \text{energy released} \\
-\Delta H > -\Delta U \\
\Delta H < \Delta U
\]

Enthalpy of a reaction or energy change of a reaction \( \Delta H \), is the amount of energy or heat absorbed in a reaction. If the energy is required, \( \Delta H \) is positive, and if energy is released, the \( \Delta H \), is negative.