

International Conference on Environmental Systems Research (ICESR 2014)
**Application of Public Domain Satellite-based DEMs in
Geohazard Modeling**

Abolghasem Akbari^a, Noram Irwin Bin Ramli^a, Ngien Su Kong^a, Reyhane Azimi^b

^aFaculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources, University Malaysia Pahang, Kuantan 26300, Kuantan, Malaysia

^bFaculty of Range Land and Watershed Management Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Gorgan, Iran

Abstract

With the invention of Geospatial Information Systems (GIS) and Geohazard computer models, the role of digital elevation model (DEM) has become very important and effective tools in Geohazard modeling. Flood inundation mapping, landslide susceptibility mapping and wind velocity mapping are examples that effectively employ the DEM and its derivatives as one of the important modeling inputs. On the other hand, satellite based DEMs have been growing rapidly in recent years. There are several sources of DEMs available ranging from very coarse to high resolution which provides geospatial data in different scales. This paper describe the specification and applications of public domain satellite-based DEMs including SRTM and ASTER-GDEM which, are globally free. From several applications, the scope is limited to the application of public domain satellite DEMs in flood potential mapping which is considered a widespread Geohazard. From the result, it was found that several advantages were recognized for public domain satellite-based DEMs including ease of accessibility, elimination of institutional bureaucracy, unlimited coverage, adequate spatial resolution, and more importantly, they are available free of charge.

Keywords: DEM, SRTM, ASTER-GDEM, Geohazard

1. Introduction

A hazard is defined as “a potentially damaging physical event, phenomenon or human activity that may cause the loss of life or injury, property damage, social and economic disruption or environmental degradation”. This event has a probability of occurrence within a specified period of time and within a given area, and has a given intensity[1]. Hazards can be single, sequential or combined in their origin and effects. Each hazard is characterised by its location, area affected, intensity, speed, duration and frequency[2]. Natural hazards are natural processes or phenomena in the earth's system (lithosphere, hydrosphere, biosphere or atmosphere) that may constitute a damaging event [2]. A subdivision of natural hazards relates to the main controlling factors of the hazards leading to a disaster. They may be hydro-meteorological (including floods

* Abolghasem Akbari. Tel+609-5492983; fax: +609-5492998.
E-mail address: akbariinbox@yahoo.com.

and wave surges, storms, droughts and related disasters such as extreme temperatures and forest/scrub fires, landslides and snow avalanches), geophysical hazards (resulting from anomalies in the earth's surface or subsurface, such as earthquakes, tsunamis and volcanic eruptions), or biological hazards[2]. The aim of this study is to explore the advantage of public domain satellite-based elevation data for flood potential mapping which is one of the most serious Geohazard in many countries.

2. Digital Elevation Model

With the invention of Geospatial Information Systems (GIS) and Geohazard computer models, the role of digital terrain model (DTM) or digital elevation model (DEM) has become very important and effective tools in analytical Geohazard studies. The DTM is defined as a numerical representation of the terrain. Since Miller and Laflamme [3] who coined the original term, other expressions such as DEM, digital height models (DHM), digital surface model (DSM), digital ground models (DGM) and digital terrain elevation model (DTEM) have been used by Maidment [4], Djokic and Ye [5], Vieux [6] and Li et al. [7]. These terms originated from different countries. According to Li et al. [7] the word DEM is widely used in United States, DHM in Germany, DGM in the United Kingdom and DTEM was introduced and is used by united states geological survey (USGS) as well as and the Defence Mapping Agency (DMA). In hydrology and flood modelling, applications of DEM are mainly focused on automate watershed boundary delineation and segmentation, definition of drainage divides, identification of flow length, flow direction and flow accumulation as well as flood inundation depth and areas. In distributed hydrological models, DEM plays a very important role. The DEM and its derivatives including slope, aspect, upslope contributing area, watershed area and curvature are important factors [8] for flood modelling and landslide susceptibility mapping. One of the key characteristic of the DEM is the cell size or DEM resolution. Many researches have carried out to find best suitable DEM cell size for different purposes and different scales. A comprehensive study by Maidment [9] have shown that DEM resolution for rainfall-runoff and flood modelling changes by watershed area (see Table 1). In theory, the DEM resolution should be selected as a function of the land surface features, scale of the process that are modelled, and numerical model used to model process [10]. But in practice the selection of DEM is often driven by data availability, judgment, test applications, experience and, last but not least, cost [11].

Table 1: Recommended DEM cell sizes and their range of applications (After Maidment [9])

Cell Size	Watershed Area (km ²)	Typical Application
30 m	5	Urban watersheds
90 m	40	Rural watersheds
460 m	1000	River basins
930 m	4000	Nations
5.6 km	150,000	Continents
9.3 km	400,000	Global

Traditionally, DEM has been generated from digital topographic contour lines or mass points. These methods are still used in some projects. There are some disadvantages for DEM generation based on cartographic products. Non-homogeneity of topographic maps, institutional bureaucracy for accessing the data, edge matching error, dead end and code consistency are the main sources of errors in the traditional method of DEM generation. Some of these errors are demonstrated in real data collected from the Department of Survey

and Mapping Malaysia. For accurate analysis of Geohazards such as flood inundation areas or mass movement, airborne laser technology LiDAR, IfSAR, IKONOS and Spot DEM have been used in several projects. However these technologies are expensive and not accessible for use in all projects.

3. Public domain Satellite DEMs

The Shuttle Topography Mission (SRTM) elevation data is the first global free satellite DEM released in 2003. The SRTM elevation data have been produced using radar images gathered from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's shuttle (NASA). The SRTM provides an accurate global elevation model with a vertical accuracy of 6 m and a horizontal pixel spacing of 30 m over the USA and Canada and 90 m outside these regions [12]. NASA released the SRTM dataset in 2003. The SRTM elevation data are available through the internet (<http://hydrosheds.cr.usgs.gov>). Raw SRTM elevation data includes void or holes ranging from one pixel to regions of 500 km² [13]. The existence of no-data in the SRTM-DEM can cause significant problems during derivation of hydrological products, which require continuous flow surfaces [14]. Therefore DEM should be free from voids or holes. Most void areas of SRTM elevation data have been edited and filled in the HydroSHEDS version. However, for some areas voids are still present [15]. In January 2009 Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) global DEM version 1 was released. Three years later, in October 2011, ASTER-GDEM version 2 released with significant improvement in quality. The ASTER-GDEM is a joint product developed and made available to the public by the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI) of Japan and the NASA. It is generated from data collected from the ASTER, a spaceborne earth observing optical instrument. The data are available through the internet (<http://gdem.ersdac.jspacesystems.or.jp>). ASTER elevation data [16]. Hendricks et al. [17] used SRTM for estimation of channel slope in Amazon watershed. A comprehensive study was conducted by Jarvis et al. [12] to evaluate the SRTM data against the cartographic DEM derived from topo maps at scale 1:50000 for Honduras areas. Tulu [18] assessed the suitability of SRTM-DEM for runoff studies and compared with ASTER-DEM. He observed that daily runoff output of SWAT model when ASTER-DEM was used is higher than when the SRTM-DEM was used. Hancock et al. [19] used 90 meter SRTM elevation data for drainage network and hydrologic modelling. They have found that 90 m SRTM data results in incorrect drainage network patterns and different runoff properties compared to DEM with a high resolution of 10 m. Osorio et al. [20] compared ASTER and SRTM DEMs with DEM derived from topo map at scale of 1:50000 for watershed delineation. In theory, the DEM resolution should be selected as a function of the land surface features, the scale at which the processes are modelled, and numerical models used to model the process [10].

4. Case study

A case study was conducted to identify the flood potential zonation in Khorasan Razavi/Iran. The methodology is based on ASTER-GDEM data to delineate watershed boundaries and of extraction of catchment area. Flood potential zonation was performed by SCS-CN method. The SCS-CN model employs the landuse and hydrologic soil group to derive Curve Number (CN). The initial CN values were adjusted with the terrain slope derived from ASTER-GDEM. Flood potential maps were generated based on 1 hour frequency storm with return period of 25 years. This period is sufficient to recover the flood damages by the local insurance companies. To account the maximum flood potential for the study area, CN values were calculated for wet condition. And initial abstraction ratio was considered to be 0.005. Final flood potential map was classified in five quantitative classes (see Fig 1).




Figure 1: layout of the study area (a), Mosaic of ASTER-GDEM for the study area (b), optimized ASTER-GDEM of study area (c), Subbasin scale flood potential zonation in the study area (d)

5. Conclusion and remarks

Digital elevation model is one of the main inputs in Geohazard studies specifically in semi and fully distributed hydrological modelling. Cell size is a key characteristic of the DEM which denote its capability for spatial modelling. Recently, rapid growth of earth observation technology has facilitated Geohazard researches. Particularly, public domain DEMs provide valuable information for different areas of research and studies. By using satellite DEM data it is possible to study flood potential zonation, flood inundation mapping, landslide susceptibility, snowmelt modelling and many other Geohazards effectively. Using satellite data eliminates institutional bureaucracy, access to data at the border of neighbouring countries, edge matching and code consistency. The satellite DEM data is easy to access and very cost effective.

References

- [1] UN-ISDR. *Terminology of disaster risk reduction. United Nations, International Strategy for Disaster Reduction*. 2014 [cited 2014 June]; Available from: <http://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/terminology>.
- [2] Van Westen, C.J., *Remote Sensing and GIS for Natural Hazards Assessment and Disaster Risk Management*. Application of space technology for disaster risk reduction: International training course lecture notes, Indian Institute of Remote Sensing IIRS, Centre for Space Science and Technology Education in Asia and the Pacific CSSTEAP, Dehradun, India, 2012: p. 307-375.
- [3] Miller, C. and R. Laflamme, *The digital terrain model : theory and applications*. Photogrammetric Engineering, 1958. **24**: p. 433–442.
- [4] Maidment, D., *GIS and hydrologic modeling*. Environmental modeling with GIS, 1993: p. 147-167.
- [5] Djokic, D. and Z. Ye, *DEM Pre-processing for efficient watershed delineation*. Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modelling Support with Geographic Information Systems, 2000: p. 65–84.
- [6] Vieux, B., *Distributed hydrologic modeling using GIS*. 2004: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [7] Li, Z., C. Zhu, and C. Gold, *Digital terrain modeling: principles and methodology*. 2010: CRC press.
- [8] Wu, S., J. Li, and G. Huang, *A study on DEM-derived primary topographic attributes for hydrologic applications: Sensitivity to elevation data resolution*. Applied Geography, 2008. **28**(3): p. 210-223.
- [9] Maidment, D.R., *GIS and Hydrologic Modeling - an Assessment of Progress*, in *The Third International Conference on GIS and Environmental Modeling* 1996: Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- [10] Maidment, D.R. and D. Djokic, *Hydrologic and hydraulic modeling support:with geographic information systems*. 1 ed. Vol. 1. 2000, New York: ESRI Press. 1.
- [11] Garbrecht, J. and L.W. Martz, *Digital Elevation Model Issues In Water Resources Modeling*, in *Hydrologic and hydraulic modeling support:with geographic information systems*, D.R. MAIDMENT and D. DJOKIC, Editors. 2000, ESRI Press: New York.
- [12] Jarvis, A., et al., *Practical use of SRTM data in the tropics: comparisons with digital elevation models generated from cartographic data*. Working document, 2004. **198**: p. 32.
- [13] Reuter, H., A. Nelson, and A. Jarvis, *An evaluation of void-filling interpolation methods for SRTM data*. International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 2007. **21**(9): p. 983-1008.
- [14] Lehner, B., K. Verdin, and A. Jarvis, *HydroSHEDS, Technical Documentation*, USGS, Editor 2006: Washington, DC. p. 7-8.
- [15] NASA. *Shuttle Radar Topography Mission*. 2005 [cited 2014 May]; Available from: <http://www2.jpl.nasa.gov/srtm/contact.htm>.
- [16] Yu, Z., *Grid-spacing Effect on Watershed Hydrological Simulations*. Journal of Hydrological Science & Technology, 1997. **v.13**(no.1-4): p. p.75-85.
- [17] Hendricks, G., et al. *Channel Slope From SRTM Water Surface Elevations in the Amazon Basin*. 2003.
- [18] Tulu, M.D., *SRTM-DEM Suitability in Runoff Studies*, in *International Institute for Geo-information Science and Earth Observation 2005*, ITC: Enschede. p. 96.
- [19] Hancock, G.R., et al., *A comparison of SRTM and high-resolution digital elevation models and their use in catchment geomorphology and hydrology: Australian examples*. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 2006. **vol.31**: p. pp.1394–1412.
- [20] Osorio, J., et al., *Comparison of watershed boundaries derived from SRTM and ASTER digital elevation datasets and from a digitized topographic map*. 2007.