

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



APCBEE Procedia 00 (2014) 000-000

## APCBEE Procedia

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

### ICBEE 2014: September 15-16, Paris, France

# Kinetics of Free Fatty Acid Esterification in Used Cooking Oil Using Ion Exchange Resin as Catalyst

Sumaiya Zainal Abidin<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Goran Vladisavljevic<sup>b</sup>, Basudeb Saha<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Lebuhraya Tun Razak, 26300 Gambang, Kuantan, Pahang Darul Makmur, Malaysia

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering. Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom <sup>c</sup>Department of Applied Science. Faculty of Engineering, Science and Built Environment, London South Bank University, London, SE 0AA, United Kingdom

#### Abstract

A kinetic study of free fatty acid esterification was carried out using Purolite D5081 as a catalyst. Esterification reaction was carried out using 1.25% (w/w) catalyst loading, 6:1 methanol to oil feed mole ratio, 350 rpm stirring speed and reaction temperatures ranging from 323 - 335 K. The experimental data from the esterification reaction were fitted to three kinetic models: Pseudo Homogeneous (PH), Eley-Rideal (ER) and Langmuir-Hinshelwood-Hougen-Watson (LHHW) models. A built-in ODE45 solver in MATLAB 7.0 was used to numerically integrate the differential molar balances describing the concentration of FFA in the system. The influence of temperature on the kinetic constants was determined by fitting the results to the Arrhenius equation. Experimental data were successfully fitted by the PH model and a good agreement between the experimental and the calculated moles of FFA were observed for all the experimental data points. The activation energies for the esterification and hydrolysis reactions were found to be 53 and 107 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These results proved that the hydrolysis reverse reaction requires more energy to occur as compared to esterification reaction, hence validated the proposed model.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V. Selection and/or peer review under responsibility of Asia-Pacific Chemical, Biological & Environmental Engineering Society

Keywords: biodiesel, free fatty acids, esterification, heterogeneous catalyst, kinetic modeling, used cooking oil

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.:+6094592890; Fax: +6095492889. *E-mail address*: sumaiya@ump.edu.my.

#### 1. Introduction

Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME), or commercially known as biodiesel is an alternative energy that derived from renewable lipid feedstocks. Biodiesel is considered to be one of the best available energy resources as it shows a good combustion emission profile, produces less particulates and hazardous gases, have a higher cetane number, higher flash point and a higher lubricity as compared to conventional diesel. However, the main limitation of biodiesel production was due to the relatively high cost of raw material, comprises more than 75% of the total cost (Atabani et al., 2012). Therefore, sources such as non-edible feedstocks (i.e. non-edible oil, animal fats and waste oils) are found to be the most promising alternative to replace edible feedstocks. Most of the non-edible feedstocks contain significant amounts of free fatty acids (FFA). Oils and fats with high FFA content (i.e.>1%) cannot be directly used in a base catalysed transesterification reaction as the side reaction; saponification process hinders the separation of esters from glycerine. High yield could be achieved using a two-step synthesis of biodiesel and acid catalysed transesterification is always preferable as a pre-treatment step to reduce the large amount of FFA in the feedstock.

The use of heterogeneous catalysts simplifies the production and purification processes because they can be easily separated from the reaction mixture, allowing multiple usage of the catalyst through regeneration process. Ion exchange resins in particular, have become more popular due to the capability of catalysing both esterification and transesterification reaction under mild conditions and it can be easily separated and recovered from the product mixture. Park et al. (2010) studied the performance of two different macroeticular cation exchange catalysts, the Amberlyst-15 and Amberlyst BD20. They found that the amount of pores of the catalyst played an important role, not only in increasing the catalytic activity, but also in reducing the inhibition of water in the esterification process. New development on the polymerization techniques has led to the formulation of hypercrosslinked marcroporous cation exchange resin, which capable to catalyse reaction processes much faster due to the presence of higher specific surface area. Abidin et al. (2012) studied on the esterification of free fatty acids in used cooking oil using hypercrosslinked ion exchange resin, Purolite D5081 as catalyst. This resin was found to give the highest FFA conversion (~92%) in less than 4 hours.

Kinetic studies of the esterification reaction have been conducted for both homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts. Pasias et al. (2006) investigated on the esterification of FFA in used vegetable oils using Purolite CT-275. They correlated their experimental data using PH model and good agreement was obtained between the experimental data and calculated values. In 2010, Tesser and his co-workers studied the kinetic modeling of fatty acid esterification on acid exchange resin (Amberlyst 15 and Relite CFS). They correlated their experimental data with Eley-Rideal mechanism and this model was found to have a good description on the chemical equilibrium and kinetic behavior on different catalyst concentration. In this research work, a detailed study on the kinetic behaviour of FFA esterification using Purolite D5081 as catalyst was carried out. Several kinetic models have been investigated: the PH, LHHW and ER models. Previously, effect of mass transfer resistances showed that the reaction was not affected by the mass transfer resistances (Abidin et al., 2012). Therefore in this kinetic study, external and internal mass transfer limitation is considered to be negligible and hence not considered. In the same study, they also found that the rate of noncatalysed reaction was negligible relative to the catalysed reaction. Kinetic parameters such rate constant and adsorption coefficient were determined using MATLAB using the built-in ODE45 solver (fourth order Runge-Kutta method) to solve the differential equations numerically. The best fitted model was further investigated to determine the activation energy of the esterification reaction.

#### 2. Kinetic Modeling of FFA Esterification

The experimental work on the esterification process was carried out in a jacketed-glass reactor and the

findings have been reported by Abidin et al. (2012). For the kinetic studies of FFA esterification, several kinetic models have been proposed i.e. the PH, LHHW and ER models. The PH kinetic model was built based on the following assumptions; i) MeOH to FFA molar ratio used was very high, and therefore MeOH concentration was assumed to be constant ii) the diffusion rate of reactants and products onto the catalyst surface assumed to be negligible iii) there was no fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) present in the reaction at time, t = 0.

For the heterogeneous models (LHHW and ER), a reaction-on-surface kinetics and rate law were developed based on the following assumptions i) the adsorption of MeOH,  $H_2O$ , FFA and FAME occurs on the surface of the resins. Triglycerides are considered to be non-adsorbing compounds ii) For LHHW model, the rate determining step is controlled by the surface reaction with dual site adsorption mechanism and for ER model, a single site adsorption mechanism is been considered iii) the adsorption equilibrium constants are assumed to be independent of the reaction temperature in the investigated temperature range iv) there was no fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) present in the reaction at time, t = 0.

It was proposed that for PH model, the reaction was a pseudo n order in the forward reaction and second order in the reverse reaction. Therefore, based on these assumptions, the following kinetic rate law was derived.

$$PH model \quad (-r_A) = \left(k'_f C_A\right)^n - (-k_r C_C C_D) \tag{1}$$

where  $-r_A$  is the reaction rate of FFA,  $C_A$ ,  $C_B$ ,  $C_C$  and  $C_D$  are the concentration of FFA, MeOH, FAME and H<sub>2</sub>O in the reaction mixture,  $k_f = C_{B,0}$ ,  $k_f$  and  $k_r$  are the reaction rate constant for forward (esterification) and reverse (hydrolysis) reaction and *n* is the order of forward reaction.

For both heterogeneous model (LHHW and ER), it was proposed that the reaction is second order for forward and reverse reactions. For an esterification reaction sequence based on the LHHW model, the mechanism involves the chemisorption of FFA and MeOH as molecules, followed by a reaction between chemisorbed FFA and MeOH molecules to form FAME and  $H_2O$  molecules, and finally the desorption of FAME and  $H_2O$ . For an esterification reaction sequence based on the ER model, the reaction mechanism differs in terms of the components which are adsorbed onto the catalyst. There are two possible cases for ER model; ER model (Case I) where the adsorbed MeOH is reacting with FFA in the fluid and ER model (Case II) where the adsorbed FFA reacts with MeOH in the fluid. The rate equation for LHHW and ER models can be expressed as:

$$LHHW model (-r_A) = \frac{k_f K_A K_B (C_A C_B - \frac{1}{K} C_C C_D)}{(1 + K_A C_A + K_B C_B + K_C C_C + K_D C_D)^2}$$
(2)

$$ER \ model \ (Case \ I) \ (-r_A) = \frac{k_f K_B \left( C_A C_B - \frac{1}{K} C_C C_D \right)}{(1 + K_B C_B + K_D C_D)} \tag{3}$$

$$ER \ model \ (Case \ II) \qquad (-r_A) = \frac{k_f K_A \left( C_A C_B - \frac{1}{K} C_C C_D \right)}{(1 + K_A C_A + K_C C_C)} \tag{4}$$

where  $-r_A$  is the reaction rate of FFA,  $C_A$ ,  $C_B$ ,  $C_C$  and  $C_D$  are the concentration of FFA, MeOH, FAME and H<sub>2</sub>O in the reaction mixture,  $K_A$ ,  $K_B$ ,  $K_C$  and  $K_D$  are the adsorption equilibrium constants for species A, B, C and D respectively and formulated from the ratio of the adsorption and desorption rate constant,  $K_i = k_a/k_d$ ,  $K = (K_A K_B/K_C K_D)K_e$  for LH model,  $K = (K_B/K_D)K_e$  for Case I ER model and  $K = (K_A/K_C)K_e$  for Case II ER model,  $K_e = k_f/k_r = ((K_C/K_A)x(K_D/K_B))x((C_C/C_A)x(C_D/C_B))$  for LH model,  $K_e = k_f/k_r = (K_C/K_A)x((C_C/C_A)x(C_D/C_B))$  for Case II ER model,  $K_r = k_f/k_r = (K_C/K_A)x((C_C/C_A)x(C_D/C_B))$  for Case II ER model,  $K_r = k_f/k_r = (K_r/K_B)x((C_r/C_A)x(C_D/C_B))$  for Case II ER model,  $K_r = k_f/k_r = (K_r/K_B)x((C_r/C_A)x(C_D/C_B))$  for Case II ER model,  $k_r$  are the reaction rate constant for forward (esterification) and reverse (hydrolysis) reaction.

Depending on the kinetic model, two reaction rate constants  $k_f$  and  $k_r$  and up to four adsorption coefficient  $(K_A, K_B, K_C \text{ and } K_D)$  are unknown. These unknowns must be determined to describe the reaction system. A built-in ODE45 solver in MATLAB 7.0 was used to numerically integrate the differential molar balances describing the concentration of FFA in the system. Optimum kinetic parameters were determined by minimising the sum of residual squares (SRS) between experimental and calculated moles of FFA.

#### 3. Results and Discussions

4

Experimental data were successfully fitted by the PH model whereas results obtained from both heterogeneous kinetic models gave negative values for adsorption coefficients. As some of the adsorption coefficients give negative values, the results would be meaningless and hence they were not considered. For this analysis, PH model was chosen for further analysis since both LHHW and ER models were unable to predict the experimental data. Fig. 1 shows the moles of FFA versus reaction time profile for the esterification performed at different reaction temperatures. From Fig. 1(a), a good agreement between the experimental and the calculated moles of FFA were observed for all the experimental data points. The pseudo order for forward reaction was found to give the optimum value of n = 1.6.

The influence of reaction temperature on the reaction rate was determined by fitting the rate constant,  $k_r$  and  $k_r$  to the Arrhenius-Van't Hoff equation. The Arrhenius plot for the esterification of FFA with MeOH is shown in Fig. 1 (b). The activation energies for the esterification and hydrolysis reactions were found to be 53 and 107 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The reported activation energy values are in good agreement with those values reported in the literature for similar systems, with the consideration that different reaction system, temperature range, type of catalyst and catalyst loading were involved.



Fig. 1. (a) The effect of reaction temperatures on the moles of FFA (b) The Arrhenius plot for the esterification of FFA using Purolite D5081 as a catalyst.

#### 4. Conclusions

The kinetic modeling of FFA esterification was successfully carried out using Purolite D5081 as a catalyst. Esterification reaction was carried out using 1.25% (w/w) catalyst loading, 6:1 MeOH:UCO feed mole ratio, 350 rpm stirring speed and reaction temperatures ranging from 323 - 335 K. The experimental data from the

#### Sumaiya Zainal Abidin et al. / APCBEE Procedia 00 (2014) 000-000

esterification reaction were fitted to three kinetic models: PH, LHHW and ER. Experimental data was successfully represented by the PH model and good agreement between the experimental and the calculated values was obtained. Both heterogeneous kinetic models gave negative values for the adsorption coefficients and hence were not considered further. The activation energies for the esterification and hydrolysis reactions were found to be 53 and 107 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These results proved that the hydrolysis reverse reaction requires more energy to occur as compared to esterification reaction, hence validated the proposed model.

#### Acknowledgement

We gratefully acknowledge Purolite International Ltd. (late Dr. Jim Dale and Mr. Brian Windsor) for kindly supplying the catalysts for this research work and GreenFuel Oil Co. Ltd. for supplying the UCO.

#### References

[1] Atabani E, Silitonga AS, Badruddin IA, Mahlia TMI., Masjuki HH, Mekhilef S. A comprehensive review on biodiesel as an alternative energy resource and its characteristics. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 2012, 16(4): 2070-93.

[2] Tesser R, Casale L, Verde D, Di Serio M, Santacesaria E. Kinetics and modeling of fatty acids esterification on acid exchange resins", *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010, 157 (2-3): 539-50.

[3] Park JY, Kim DG, Lee JS. Esterification of free fatty acids using water-tolerable Amberlyst as a heterogeneous catalyst. *Bioresour. Tech. 2010*, 101(1): S62-S65.

[4] Abidin SZ, Haigh KF, Saha B. Esterification of Free Fatty Acids in Used Cooking Oil Using Ion-Exchange Resins as Catalysts: An Efficient Pretreatment Method for Biodiesel Feedstock. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2012, 51: 14653–64.

[5] Pasias S, Barakos N, Alexopoulos C, Papayannakos N. Heterogeneously catalyzed esterification of FFAs in vegetable oils", *Chem. Eng. Tech.* 2006, 29(11): 1365-71.

5